

**STATE OF WASHINGTON  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE  
WASHINGTON STATE LIBRARY DIVISION  
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON**

**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS AND QUOTATION**

**RFQQ NO. 26-01**

**PROJECT TITLE: Micrographic Services – Microfilm Processing and Duplicating Services**

**PROPOSAL DUE DATE: October 24, 2025**

**EXPECTED TIME PERIOD FOR CONTRACT: November 21, 2025 to November 20, 2026, with options to extend for four (4) additional one (1)- year terms.**

**CONTRACTOR ELIGIBILITY: This procurement is open to those vendors that satisfy the minimum qualifications stated herein and that are available for work in Washington State.**

**CONTENTS OF THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS AND QUOTATION**

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. General Information for Bidders**
- 3. Proposal Contents**
- 4. Evaluation and Contract Award**
- 5. Exhibits**
  - Exhibit A-1 - Bidder's Certifications**
  - Exhibit A-2 - Bidder's Profile & References**
  - Exhibit B - Contract**
  - Exhibit C - Washington State Standards for the Production and Use of Microfilm**
  - Exhibit D - Microfilm Box Label Template and Example**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose and Background.....	1
1.2 Objective .....	1
1.3 Minimum Qualifications .....	1
1.4 Apparent Successful Bidder Selection and Contract Award .....	1
1.5 Period of Performance .....	2
1.6 Definitions.....	2
1.7 ADA .....	2
<b>2. General Information for Bidders.....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 RFQQ Coordinator .....	2
2.2 Estimated Schedule of Procurement Activities .....	3
2.3 Preproposal Conference .....	3
2.4 Submission of Proposals.....	3
2.5 Proprietary Information/Public Disclosure .....	4
2.6 Revisions to the RFQQ .....	4
2.7 Small & Diverse Businesses .....	4
2.8 Acceptance Period .....	5
2.9 Responsiveness.....	5
2.10 Most Favorable Terms .....	5
2.11 Contract.....	5
2.12 Costs to Propose .....	6
2.13 No Obligation to Contract.....	6
2.14 Rejection of Proposals .....	6
2.15 Commitment of Funds .....	6
2.16 Electronic Payment .....	6
2.17 Insurance Coverage .....	6
2.18 Evaluation Preference.....	6
<b>3. Proposal Contents .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4. Evaluation and Contract Award .....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 Evaluation Procedure .....	10
4.2 Responsibility .....	10
4.3 Responsiveness.....	10
4.4 Evaluation Weighting and Scoring .....	10
4.5 Oral Presentations .....	11
4.6 Best and Final Offer .....	11
4.7 Request for Documents .....	12
4.8 Contract Execution .....	12
4.9 Notification to Bidders .....	12
4.10 Complaint, Debriefing and Protest Procedures.....	12
<b>5. RFQQ Exhibits.....</b>	<b>14</b>
Exhibit A-1 Bidder's Certifications	
Exhibit A-2 Bidder's Profile & References	
Exhibit B Contract	
Exhibit C Washington State Standards for the Production and Use of Microfilm	
Exhibit D Microfilm Box Label Template and Example	

# 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND

The Washington State Office of the Secretary of State, Archives and Records Management – Imaging Services (OSOS), is initiating this Request for Qualifications and Quotations (RFQQ) to solicit proposals from firms interested in participating on a project to:

Provide micrographic processing services for OSOS that meets the most current version of the Washington State Standards for the Production and Use of Microfilm.

The number of estimated rolls needing processing and/or duplicating during the period of performance of the contract award resulting from this solicitation is estimated to be:

Processing and/or Browntoning*:	1,500 reels
Duplication:	1,000 reels

\*As allowed by chemical availability.

## 1.2 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this RFQQ is to award a contract to a qualified vendor that can meet the specific requirements set forth in this RFQQ which include the following:

- Must be available during standard business hours Monday through Friday for consultation of current or ongoing projects via email or by phone
- Receive electronic packages of images and targets, separated by roll, and delivered via Secure File Transfer Protocol (SFTP), for conversion to 16 mm or 35 mm silver-halide microfilm. Must provide us with at least 2 copies of software for specific digital-to-analog conversion equipment used, if necessary.
- Process/develop and Browntone 16 mm and 35 mm microfilm – as allowed by chemical availability
- Inspect developed microfilm to ensure that the Washington State Standards for the Production and Use of Microfilm (Exhibit C) are met - except for browntoning once the chemical is no longer available and the Methylene Blue Test.
- Return microfilm rolls in individual “acid free” paper boxes with labels as described in Exhibit D
- Provide written documentation of microfilm inspection results
- Create diazo duplicates for 16 mm or 35 mm film
- Create silver duplicates for 16 mm or 35 mm film
- Create duplicate microfiche

## 1.3 MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

By the time of contract execution, the Apparent Successful Bidder must be registered to do business with the state and have a current Unified Business Identifier (UBI).

Bidder's must possess more than ten (10) years' demonstrated experience in 16 mm and 35 mm microfilm processing, silver and polyester duplication, browntone processing as chemical availability allows, and be able to produce 16 mm and 35 mm microfilm via an SFTP site. All work for this contract must be completed within the State of Washington.

## 1.4 APPARENT SUCCESSFUL BIDDER SELECTION AND CONTRACT AWARD

The contract that would result from this solicitation has an initial estimated value less than \$150,000.

Per RCW 39.26 and Enterprise Services Policy No. POL-DES-090-06 Section C.4, OSOS intends to award the contract to the highest-ranked responsive and responsible Washington small business (as defined in RCW 39.26.010(22)(a)) or

veteran-owned business, unless there was no responsive and responsible proposal from a Washington small or veteran-owned business.

If there is no responsive and responsible proposal from a Washington small or veteran-owned business, OSOS will determine the Apparent Successful Bidder based on the highest ranked responsive and responsible proposal, from all other bids submitted.

## 1.5 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The period of performance of any contract resulting from this RFQQ is tentatively scheduled to begin on or about November 21, 2025, and to end on November 20, 2026, with the potential for up to four (4) additional one (1)-year extensions. Amendments extending the period of performance, if any, shall be at the sole discretion of OSOS.

## 1.6 DEFINITIONS

Definitions for the purposes of this RFQQ include:

**Apparent Successful Bidder** – the bidder identified by OSOS, after evaluation of Proposals, who is recommended for contract award.

**Bidder** – the individual or company submitting a Proposal in order to attain a contract with OSOS.

**Contractor** – the vendor awarded a contract pursuant to this solicitation.

**OSOS** – The Office of the Secretary of State, the agency of the state of Washington that is issuing this RFQQ.

**Proposal** – A formal offer submitted in response to this solicitation.

**RFQQ** – This Request for Qualifications and Quotation.

**WEBS** – Washington’s Electronic Business Solution, an online vendor registration and bid notification system.

## 1.7 Americans with Disabilities Act Compliance

OSOS complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Vendors may contact the RFQQ Coordinator to receive this RFQQ in Braille or on tape.

# 2. GENERAL INFORMATION FOR BIDDERS

## 2.1 RFQQ COORDINATOR

The RFQQ Coordinator is the sole point of contact in OSOS for this procurement. All communication between vendors and OSOS upon receipt of this RFQQ shall be with the RFQQ Coordinator, as follows:

Name	Ivan Zavrazhnov
Address	6880 Capitol Blvd SE
City, State, Zip Code	Tumwater, WA 98501
E-Mail Address	Ivan.zavrazhnov@sos.wa.gov

Any other communication will be considered unofficial and non-binding on OSOS. Vendors are to rely on written statements issued by the RFQQ Coordinator. Communication directed to parties other than the RFQQ Coordinator may result in disqualification of the vendor.

## 2.2 ESTIMATED SCHEDULE OF PROCUREMENT ACTIVITIES

Issue RFQQ	September 9, 2025
------------	-------------------

Question and Answer Period	September 9, 2025 – September 19, 2025
Last Date for Questions regarding RFQQ	September 19, 2025
Preproposal Conference	September 16, 2025
Publish Q&As from Preproposal Conference on WEBS and OSOS website	September 22, 2025
Publish complete list of Q&As, including from Preproposal Conference, on WEBS and OSOS website	September 22, 2025
Last Amendment to RFQQ	October 13, 2025
Last Date for Complaint	October 17, 2025
Proposals Due	<b>October 24, 2025</b>
Evaluate Proposals	October 27, 2025 – November 7, 2025
Conduct Oral Presentations with Finalists <i>(if applicable)</i>	November 10, 2025 – November 12, 2025
Announce Apparent Successful Bidder and Notify Unsuccessful Bidders	November 13, 2025
Debriefing	See Section 4.10
Protest	See Section 4.10
Negotiate Contract	November 14, 2025 – November 20, 2025
Begin Contract Work	November 21, 2025

OSOS reserves the right to revise the above schedule.

### 2.3 PREPROPOSAL CONFERENCE

**A voluntary preproposal conference is scheduled to be held via Microsoft Teams (*information to join meeting is below*) on September 16, 2025, beginning at 8:45 a.m. and concluding at the first to occur of 9:45 a.m. or until there are no more vendor questions.** OSOS shall be bound only to written answers to questions. Any oral responses given at the preproposal conference shall be considered unofficial.

[Join the meeting now](#)

Meeting ID: 227 245 742 632 7

Passcode: x3ac7vL2

Dial in by phone

[+1 206-899-2560,,26806885#](#) United States, Bainbridge Island

[Find a local number](#)

Phone conference ID: 268 068 85#

Within five business days of the preproposal conference, a copy of the questions and answers from the preproposal conference will be posted on WEBS and on the Current Procurements webpage of the OSOS website: <http://www.sos.wa.gov/office/procurements.aspx>.

This preproposal conference may be recorded by OSOS. Vendors will be notified at the outset if OSOS intends to record the conference and will be given an opportunity to leave the conference before recording begins. A vendor's continued attendance at the conference after such notice shall constitute the vendor's consent to being recorded. The recording, if any is made, is for OSOS recordkeeping purposes only and will not be offered to vendors. The official OSOS answers to questions asked at the conference will be posted on WEBS and the OSOS Current Procurements webpage.

### 2.4 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS

Bidders may submit an electronic copy of their Proposal, provided that they also submit a physical Sample of Work Portfolio, as specified in Section 3. Otherwise, a physical copy of the entire Proposal must be submitted. The Proposal,

including the physical Sample of Work Portfolio, must be received by OSOS **no later than 4:00 p.m., Pacific Time, on October 24, 2025**. Attachments to the e-mail shall be in Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel or Adobe PDF format.

The Proposal is to be sent to the RFQQ Coordinator at the e-mail address noted in Section 2.1. The physical Sample of Work Portfolio should be sent to:

Office of the Secretary of State  
Attn: Contracts  
6880 Capitol Blvd SE  
Tumwater, WA 98501

Late Proposals will not be accepted and will be automatically disqualified from further consideration. All Proposals and any accompanying documentation become the property of OSOS.

A Bidder's Proposal must respond to all of the solicitation requirements. Do not respond by referencing material presented elsewhere. The Proposal, including the physical Sample of Work Portfolio, shall be considered complete and stand on its own merits. Failure to respond to any portions may result in rejection of the Proposal as non-responsive.

Include Bidder's contact information for this RFQQ with name, title, email, and telephone number.

The Apparent Successful Bidder will be expected to enter into a contract which is substantially the same as the Contract attached as Exhibit B. In no event is a Bidder to submit its own standard contract terms and conditions in response to this solicitation. Bidders may submit exceptions as allowed in Exhibit A-1 – Bidder's Certification. All exceptions to the contract terms and conditions must be submitted as an attachment to Exhibit A-1 – Bidder's Certification. OSOS will review requested exceptions and accept or reject the same at its sole discretion.

## **2.5 PROPRIETARY INFORMATION/PUBLIC DISCLOSURE**

Materials submitted in response to this competitive procurement shall become the property of OSOS.

All Proposals received shall remain confidential until the Apparent Successful Bidder is announced; thereafter, the Proposals shall be deemed public records as defined under the Public Records Act, RCW Chapter 42.56.

Any information in the Proposal that a vendor desires to claim as proprietary and exempt from disclosure under the provisions of RCW 42.56.270 must be clearly designated. The page must be identified and the particular exception from disclosure upon which the vendor is making the claim. Each page claimed to be exempt from disclosure must be clearly identified by the word "Confidential" printed on the lower right-hand corner of the page.

OSOS will consider a vendor's request for exemption from disclosure; however, OSOS will decide predicated upon RCW Chapter 42.56 and Chapter 143-06 of the Washington Administrative Code. Marking the entire Proposal exempt from disclosure will not be honored. Vendors must be reasonable in designating information as confidential. If any information is marked as proprietary in the Proposal, such information will not be made available until the affected vendor has been given an opportunity to seek a court injunction against the requested disclosure.

A charge will be made for copying and shipping, as outlined in RCW 42.56.120. No fee shall be charged for inspection of contract files, but twenty-four (24) hours' notice to the RFQQ Coordinator is required. All requests for information should be directed to the RFQQ Coordinator.

## **2.6 REVISIONS TO THE RFQQ**

In the event it becomes necessary to revise any part of this RFQQ, amendments will be published on WEBS and the OSOS website: <http://www.sos.wa.gov/office/procurements.aspx>. For this purpose, any pertinent information, and answers to substantive questions by potential Bidders shall be considered an amendment to the RFQQ and also posted in WEBS and on the OSOS website. OSOS also reserves the right to cancel or reissue this RFQQ, in whole or in part, prior to execution of a contract.

## **2.7 SMALL & DIVERSE BUSINESSES**

OSOS, in accordance with Washington law, encourages small and diverse businesses to compete for and participate in state procurements as contractors and as subcontractors to awarded bidders. See, e.g., [RCW 39.19](#) (OMWBE certified businesses); [RCW 43.60A.200](#) (WDVA certified veteran-owned businesses); and [RCW 39.26.005](#) (Washington small businesses).

**OMWBE Certification.** Vendors may contact the Washington State [Office of Minority and Women's Business Enterprises](#) (OMWBE) regarding information on Minority-Owned and Women-Owned certified firms, state and federal certification programs, or to become certified. OMWBE can be reached by telephone, 866-208-1064, or through their website at [OMWBE](#). OMWBE-Certified firms may provide their certification information on Exhibit A-2 – Bidder's Profile & References.

**WDVA Certification.** Vendors may contact the [Washington State Department of Veterans' Affairs](#) (WDVA) for information regarding Certified Veteran-Owned businesses or to become a Certified Veteran-Owned Business. The WDVA can be reached by telephone, (360) 725-2169, or through their website at [WDVA](#). The qualification requirements to be a Certified Veteran-Owned Business are set forth in Exhibit A-1 – Bidder's Certification.

**Washington Small Businesses.** Vendors may contact OSOS about small and diverse business inclusion and qualification as a Washington Small Business. If you qualify as a Washington Small Business, identify yourself as such in WEBS. Call WEBS Customer Service at 360-902-7400. The qualification requirements to self-certify as a Washington Small Business are set forth in Exhibit A-1 – Bidder's Certification.

## 2.8 ACCEPTANCE PERIOD

Proposals must provide 60 days for acceptance by OSOS from the later of the due date for receipt of Proposals or receipt of best and final offers (if utilized). By submitting a Proposal, a Bidder agrees that during the acceptance period it may not modify, withdraw, or cancel its Proposal.

## 2.9 RESPONSIVENESS

All Proposals will be reviewed by the RFQQ Coordinator to determine compliance with administrative requirements and instructions specified in this RFQQ. Bidders are specifically notified that failure to comply with any part of the RFQQ may result in rejection of the Proposal as non-responsive.

OSOS also reserves the right, however, at its sole discretion to waive minor administrative irregularities.

## 2.10 MOST FAVORABLE TERMS

OSOS reserves the right to make an award without further discussion of the Proposal submitted. Therefore, the Proposal should be submitted initially on the most favorable terms which the Bidder can propose. OSOS reserves the right to contact a Bidder for clarification of its Proposal. OSOS also reserves the right to enact a best and final offer (BAFO) process. If so enacted, the procedure is described in Section 4.

Bidders should be prepared to accept this RFQQ, all amendments to this RFQQ, all clarifying responses, and a best and final offer, as applicable, for incorporation into a contract resulting from this RFQQ. Contract negotiations may incorporate some or all of a Bidder's Proposal. It is understood that the Proposal will become a part of the official procurement file on this matter without obligation to OSOS.

## 2.11 CONTRACT

The form of the contract that may be awarded as a result of this solicitation is attached as Exhibit B- Contract. The Apparent Successful Bidder will be expected to enter into a contract which is substantially the same as the Contract attached as Exhibit B. In no event is a Bidder to submit its own standard contract terms and conditions in response to this solicitation. Bidders may submit exceptions as allowed in Exhibit A-1 – Bidder's Certification. All exceptions to the contract terms and conditions must be submitted as an attachment to Exhibit A-1 – Bidder's Certification. OSOS will review requested exceptions and accept or reject the same at its sole discretion.

## 2.12 COSTS TO PROPOSE

OSOS will not be liable for any costs incurred by a vendor in preparation of a Proposal submitted in response to this RFQQ, in conduct of a presentation, or any other activities related to responding to this RFQQ.

## 2.13 NO OBLIGATION TO CONTRACT

This RFQQ does not obligate the state of Washington or OSOS to contract for services specified herein.

## 2.14 REJECTION OF PROPOSALS

OSOS reserves the right at its sole discretion to reject any and all Proposals received without penalty and not to issue a contract as a result of this RFQQ.

## 2.15 COMMITMENT OF FUNDS

The Secretary of State or their delegate are the only individuals who may legally commit OSOS to the expenditure of funds for a contract resulting from this RFQQ. No cost chargeable to the proposed contract may be incurred before receipt of a fully executed contract.

## 2.16 ELECTRONIC PAYMENT

The state of Washington prefers to utilize electronic payment in its transactions. The Apparent Successful Bidder will be provided information to authorize such payment method.

## 2.17 INSURANCE COVERAGE

Should a contract be awarded pursuant to this RFQQ, the Contractor will be required to provide insurance coverage as described in the contract attached to this solicitation.

The Contractor will at all times comply with all applicable workers' compensation, occupational disease, and occupational health and safety laws, statutes, and regulations to the full extent applicable. The state will not be held responsible in any way for claims filed by the Contractor or its employees for services performed under the terms of the contract.

## 2.18 EVALUATION PREFERENCE

Pursuant to RCW 39.26.160(3) (best value criteria) and consistent with [Executive Order 18-03 – Supporting Workers' Rights to Effectively Address Workplace Violations](#) (dated June 12, 2018), OSOS will evaluate bids for best value and will provide a bid preference in the amount of 5% to any Bidder who certifies, pursuant to Exhibit A-1 – Bidder's Certification, that their firm does NOT require its employees, as a condition of employment, to sign or agree to mandatory individual arbitration clauses or class or collective action waivers.

# 3. PROPOSAL CONTENTS

Proposals must be complete, legible, signed, and follow all instructions stated in the solicitation (including the exhibits). Unless otherwise specified in writing by OSOS, documents included with an electronic proposal must be prepared in MS Word, MS Excel, or Adobe PDF. Where required to do so, Bidders may sign using either a physical or electronic signature.

Bidders' Proposals must respond to all of the solicitation requirements. Do not respond by referencing material presented elsewhere. The e-mailed or mailed Proposal, including the mailed Sample of Work Portfolio shall be considered complete and stand on its own merits. Failure to respond to any portions may result in rejection of the Proposal as non-responsive.

This section identifies the submittals that must be provided as instructed below to constitute a responsive Proposal. Proposals that do not include all of the applicable submittals identified below are considered non-responsive and will be



rejected. In addition, a Bidder's failure to complete any submittal as instructed may result in the Proposal being rejected. Bidders may not provide unsolicited materials. For any supplemental materials expressly required by OSOS in writing, Bidders must identify such supplemental materials with the Bidder's name.

Bidders must submit the following electronic documents separately as email attachments, except for the physical copy of the Bidders' Sample of Work Portfolio, which shall be mailed to the RFQQ Coordinator at the address stated in 2.1 of this RFQQ:

☐ **EXHIBIT A-1 – BIDDER'S CERTIFICATION**

Complete the certification, attach any exceptions or required explanations, and submit it to the RFQQ Coordinator using the following file naming convention: **BidderName\_CERTIFICATION.pdf**. The certification must be complete. Where there are choices, Bidders **must** check a box. The certification must be signed and submitted by a duly authorized representative of the Bidder.

**(PARTIALLY SCORED** – Pursuant to Executive Order 18-03, a 5% evaluation preference is given to those Bidders that certify that they do **NOT** require their employees, as a condition of employment, to sign or agree to mandatory individual arbitration clauses or class or collective action waivers).

☐ **EXHIBIT A-2 – BIDDER'S PROFILE & REFERENCES**

Complete as instructed and submit to the RFQQ Coordinator using the following file naming convention: **BidderName\_REFERENCES.pdf**.

**(PARTIALLY SCORED** – A Bidder's submitted references can earn up to a maximum of 10 points if they are a top-scoring Bidder).

☐ **MANAGEMENT PROPOSAL**

No form is provided for this submittal. The management proposal must include the following and demonstrate that the minimum qualifications set forth in Section 1.3 are met:

**A. Project Management**

1. **Project Team Structure/Internal Controls** - Provide a description of the proposed project team structure and internal controls to be used during the course of the project, including any subcontractors. Provide an organizational chart of your firm indicating lines of authority for personnel involved in performance of this potential contract and relationships of this staff to other programs or functions of the firm. This chart must also show lines of authority to the next senior level of management. Include who within the firm will have prime responsibility and final authority for the work.

2. **Staff Qualifications/Experience** – Identify staff, including subcontractors, who will be assigned to the potential contract, indicating the responsibilities and qualifications of such personnel, and include the amount of time each will be assigned to the project. Provide resumes for the named staff which include information on the individuals' particular skills related to this project, education, experience, significant accomplishments and any other pertinent information. A Bidder must commit that staff identified in its Proposal will actually perform the assigned work. Any staff substitution must have the prior approval of OSOS.

**B. Experience of the Bidder**

1. Describe the knowledge and experience of Bidder in years in the following areas:
  - Receiving packages of electronic images via Secure File Transfer Protocol (SFTP), for conversion to 16 mm or 35 mm silver-halide microfilm.
  - Processing/developing 16 mm and 35 mm microfilm
  - Inspecting microfilm to ensure that the Washington State Standards for the Production and Use of Microfilm, or the applicable ANSI standards, are met
  - Creating diazo duplicates for 16 mm or 35 mm film
  - Creating silver duplicates for 16 mm or 35 mm film
  - Creating duplicate microfiche

2. Describe any other relevant experience that demonstrates the qualifications of the Bidder for the performance of the potential contract.
3. Include a list of 5-10 contracts or work Bidder has had during the last ten (10) years that show Bidder's ability to perform the services needed under this RFQQ. List contract reference numbers, contract period of performance, contact persons, telephone numbers and email addresses.
4. Describe where the work will be conducted physically. Describe the levels of security that are in place for the physical building and the employees.

**C. Sample of Work Portfolio (Physical copy must be mailed to RFQQ Coordinator, address located in Section 2.1)**

Please provide sample microfilm rolls from past work experience which meets the Washington State Standards for the Production and Use of Microfilm, as allowed by chemical availability, along with inspection results, for the following:

- Digital-to-analog, 16 mm or 35 mm
- Diazo Duplicate, 16 mm or 35 mm
- Silver Duplicate, 16 mm or 35 mm
- Duplicate Microfiche
- Acid-free paper microfilm box and label

One copy is sufficient.

Submit Sample of Work Portfolio via mail to the RFQQ Coordinator at the address listed in Section 2.1. Submit remainder of Management Proposal electronically to the RFQQ Coordinator using the following naming convention: **BidderName\_MANAGEMENT.pdf**.

**(SCORED – Up to a maximum of 160 points).**

☐ **COST PROPOSAL**

No form is provided for this submittal. Bidders are to submit a fully detailed budget for the initial one (1)-year contract term, including staff costs, applicable hourly rates and any expenses necessary to accomplish the objectives under the contract. Bidders shall also indicate whether the budget provided for the initial contract term will be different for subsequent years (should any such option be exercised by OSOS) based on hourly rate or other costs, and if so, detail such variances for any subsequent option years. The vendor awarded a contract pursuant to this solicitation will be required to collect and pay Washington state sales tax, if applicable. Costs for subcontractors are to be broken out separately.

For the purpose of submitting a cost proposal, the estimated annual number of rolls to be provided during the period of this contract is:

- |  |             |
|--|-------------|
| • Digital-to-analog, 16 mm (2.5 mil, 215') = | 1,000 Reels |
| • Digital-to-analog, 35 mm (4 mil, 100') =   | 500 Reels   |
| • Processed camera film =                    | 1,500 Reels |
| • Diazo Duplicate, 16 mm (2.5 mil, 215') =   | 10 Reels    |
| • Diazo Duplicate, 16 mm (4 mil, 100') =     | 700 Reels   |
| • Diazo Duplicate, 35 mm (4 mil, 100') =     | 150 Reels   |
| • Silver Duplicate, 16 mm (4 mil, 100') =    | 50 Reels    |
| • Silver Duplicate, 35 mm (4 mil, 100') =    | 90 Reels    |
| • Duplicate Microfiche =                     | 10 Sheets   |

To be considered responsive to this RFQQ, the cost proposal should detail at least the following:

- Price per acid-free paper microfilm box with label
- Any roll charges, if used

- Price per image of Digital-to-analog film, 16 mm film and 4,000 images per roll
- Price per image of Digital-to-analog film, 35 mm film and 500 images per roll
- Price per roll for processed 16 mm film
- Price per roll for processed 35 mm film
- Price per roll for diazo duplicate, 16 mm film 100 ft.
- Price per roll for diazo duplicate, 35 mm film
- Price per roll for silver duplicate, 16 mm film
- Price per roll for silver duplicate, 35 mm film
- Price per sheet of duplicated fiche
- Shipping and handling fees
- Any other charges not described above

The evaluation process is designed to award this procurement not necessarily to the Bidder of least cost, but rather to the Bidder whose Proposal best meets the requirements of this RFQQ. However, Bidders are encouraged to submit Proposals which are consistent with state government efforts to conserve state resources.

Submit to the RFQQ Coordinator using the following file naming convention: **BidderName\_COST.pdf**.  
(SCORED – Up to a maximum of 40 points).

☐ **CONTRACT ISSUES LIST [IF APPLICABLE]**

No form is provided for this submittal. **This is a required submittal ONLY IF a Bidder has business issues with the contract attached to this solicitation.** If so, the Bidder must prepare a Contract Issues List, attach it to Exhibit A-1 and submit it to the RFQQ Coordinator using the following file naming convention: **BidderName\_ISSUES.pdf**. Note, however, that OSOS reserves the right not to modify the contract and to award the contract on the basis of a Bidder's willingness to agree to the contract attached to this solicitation.  
(NOT SCORED)

☐ **BIDDER'S DIVERSE BUSINESS INCLUSION PLAN – SUBCONTRACTORS [IF APPLICABLE]**

No form is provided for this submittal. **This is a required submittal ONLY IF a Bidder will be using subcontractors to perform the contract.** If so, the Bidder must outline its inclusion plan for diverse business subcontractors pertaining to the contract and submit it to the RFQQ Coordinator using the following file naming convention: **BidderName\_INCLUSIONPLAN.pdf**.  
(NOT SCORED)

## 4. EVALUATION AND CONTRACT AWARD

### 4.1 EVALUATION PROCEDURE

Proposals will be evaluated strictly in accordance with the requirements stated in this solicitation and any amendments issued. The evaluation of Proposals shall be accomplished by an evaluation team, to be designated by OSOS, which will determine the ranking of the Proposals. Both the Bidders' responsibility and the responsiveness of their Proposals to this solicitation will be evaluated. In scoring against stated criteria, the evaluation team may consider such factors as accepted industry standards and a comparative evaluation of other Proposals in terms of differing price and quality. These scores will be used to determine the most advantageous Proposal to OSOS.

After receipt of Proposals and prior to the recommendation of an award, OSOS, at its sole discretion, may initiate discussions with one or more Bidders for clarification, and/or select the top-scoring Bidders as finalists for an oral presentation, and/or initiate a best and final offer process.

### 4.2 RESPONSIBILITY

In determining whether a Bidder is responsible, OSOS will consider (1) the Bidder's ability, capacity, and skill to perform the contract; (2) The Bidder's character, integrity, reputation, judgment, experience, and efficiency; (3) Whether the Bidder can perform the contract within the time specified; (4) the quality of Bidder's performance of previous contracts; (5) The previous and existing compliance by the Bidder with laws relating to the contract; (6) Whether, within the three-year period immediately preceding the date of this RFQQ, the Bidder has been determined by a final and binding citation and notice of assessment issued by the Washington Department of Labor and Industries or through a civil judgment entered by a court of limited or general jurisdiction to have willfully violated, as defined in RCW 49.48.082, any provision of RCW Chapter 49.46, 49.48, or 49.52; and (7) Such other information as may be secured having a bearing on the decision to award the contract.

#### 4.3 RESPONSIVENESS

OSOS will initially classify all Proposals as either "responsive" or "nonresponsive". OSOS may deem a Proposal nonresponsive if: (1) any of the required information is not provided; (2) the submitted price is found to be excessive or inadequate as measured by the RFQQ criteria; or (3) the Proposal does not meet RFQQ requirements and specifications. OSOS may find any Proposal to be nonresponsive at any time during the procurement process. If OSOS deems a Proposal nonresponsive, it will not be considered further. The RFQQ Coordinator will notify the Bidder by email via WEBS.

#### 4.4 EVALUATION WEIGHTING AND SCORING

The following weighting and points will be assigned to the Proposal for evaluation purposes:

Management Proposal – 70%		140 points (maximum)	140 points
Experience of the Bidder	60 points (maximum)		
Samples of Work Portfolio	80 points (maximum)		
Cost Proposal – 20%		40 points (maximum)	40 points
Executive Order 18-03 Evaluation Preference – 5%			<u>10 points</u>
<b>Sub-Total</b>			<b>190 points</b>
			<hr/>
References (top-scoring Bidder(s) only) – 5%			10 points
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>			<b>200 POINTS</b>

The score for the cost proposal will be computed by dividing the lowest cost Proposal received by the Bidder's total cost. Then the resultant number will be multiplied by the maximum possible points for the cost section. References will be contacted for the top-scoring Bidder(s) only, based on the Proposal. References will then be scored and included in the Grand Total.

#### 4.5 ORAL PRESENTATIONS

Oral presentations, if considered necessary or desirable, may be utilized in selecting the Apparent Successful Bidder. OSOS, at its sole discretion, may elect to select the top-scoring Bidders from the evaluation of Proposals for an oral presentation and final determination of contract award. The cut-off for the top-scoring Bidders will be based on several considerations, such as responsiveness, qualifications, and competitiveness, suitability of the products and services offered, cost and economy, and the ability of the Bidder to perform. Should OSOS elect to hold oral presentations, it will contact the top-scoring Bidders to schedule a date, time and location. Commitments made by Bidders in the oral

presentation, if any, will be considered binding. The score from the oral presentation will be considered independently and will determine the Apparent Successful Bidder.

#### **4.6 BEST AND FINAL OFFER (BAFO).**

Bidders are encouraged to submit their most competitive offer, but there is a potential for a best-and-final offer (BAFO) process. This section defines that process.

OSOS reserves the right, any point during the evaluation of Proposals, to notify all remaining responsive and responsible Bidders that OSOS will require them to submit BAFOs. Bidders will not be allowed to make material changes to their Proposals unless they receive a request for a BAFO from OSOS.

The notice will be in writing and will set a specific time and date certain by which the Bidder must submit the BAFO to OSOS. The BAFO notice may set additional conditions and requirements for its submission. The notice will advise Bidders that the BAFO shall be in writing and that following the closing date for submission, OSOS intends to select the highest scoring responsive and responsible Bidder for award. Prior to the closing date for the submission of BAFOs, OSOS may, at its discretion, engage in discussion with any or all remaining Bidders regarding how they can make their Proposals more responsive to the selection criteria in the RFQQ. All Bidders shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any opportunity for discussion and revision of Proposals, and such revisions may be permitted after submissions and prior to award for the purpose of obtaining BAFOs. In conducting discussions, there shall be no disclosure of any pricing information or other content derived from Proposals submitted by competing Bidders.

For purposes of the BAFO, Bidders may make such changes to their original Proposals as they believe appropriate to enhance their potential for selection and award under the selection criteria set forth in the RFQQ and the BAFO notice. Changes to the original Proposal must be clearly identified in the re-submitted Proposal using the Track Changes function in Microsoft Word.

Evaluation of BAFOs and selection of the Apparent Successful Bidder will be based upon the evaluation criteria set out in the RFQQ. Terms proposed as part of a BAFO must be substantially in accordance with the terms requested in this RFQQ and may not materially alter the requirements of the RFQQ. Bidders may be requested to make an oral presentation regarding their BAFO. The evaluation team may accept or reject any information submitted in a BAFO.

Bidders are not required to submit a BAFO and may submit a written response stating that their original Proposal remains as originally submitted. If a BAFO process is initiated, all Bidders that submitted a Proposal will be eligible for a debriefing conference.

At the conclusion of negotiations with the Apparent Successful Bidder, OSOS will require that the Apparent Successful Bidder submit a signed contract as a BAFO pending acceptance.

#### **4.7 REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS**

Upon concurrence with the recommendation of the evaluation team, the RFQQ Coordinator will request from the Apparent Successful Bidder the required documents and information, such as insurance policy documents, contract performance security, an electronic copy of any requested material (e.g., the Proposal, responses to clarification questions), and any other necessary documents. Receipt of this request does not constitute a contract between the Bidder and OSOS.

#### **4.8 CONTRACT EXECUTION**

Upon receipt of all required materials, a Contract substantially in the form of the attached Exhibit B will be presented to the Apparent Successful Bidder for signature. The Apparent Successful Bidder will be expected to accept and agree to all material requirements contained in the contract. If the Apparent Successful Bidder does not accept all material requirements, OSOS may move to the next highest scoring bidder or cancel the RFQQ. Work under the contract may begin when the contract is signed by all parties and OSOS has given the Contractor a notice to proceed.

#### **4.9 NOTIFICATION TO UNSUCCESSFUL BIDDERS**

Bidders whose Proposals are not selected for further consideration or award will be notified via facsimile or e-mail.

#### 4.10 COMPLAINT, DEBRIEFING AND PROTEST PROCEDURES

This section details the applicable requirements for complaints, debriefs, and protests.

**A. COMPLAINTS.** This solicitation offers a complaint period for vendors wishing to voice objections to this solicitation. The complaint period ends five (5) business days before the proposal due date. The complaint period is an opportunity to voice objections, raise concerns, or suggest changes that were not addressed during the question and answer period. Failure by the vendor to raise a complaint at this stage may waive its right for later consideration. OSOS will consider all complaints but is not required to modify or cancel the solicitation. If vendor complaints result in changes to the solicitation, written amendments to the solicitation will be issued and posted on WEBS and the OSOS website.

1. **CRITERIA FOR COMPLAINT.** A formal complaint may be based only on one or more of the following grounds: (a) The solicitation unnecessarily restricts competition; (b) The solicitation evaluation or scoring process is unfair or flawed; or (c) The solicitation requirements are inadequate or insufficient to prepare a response.
2. **INITIATING A COMPLAINT.** A complaint must: (a) Be submitted to and received by the RFQQ Coordinator no less than five (5) business days prior to the proposal due date; and (b) Be in writing. A complaint should clearly articulate the basis of the complaint and include a proposed remedy.
3. **RESPONSE.** When a complaint is received, the RFQQ Coordinator (or designee) will consider all the facts available and respond in writing prior to the deadline for proposal submittals, unless more time is needed. OSOS is required to promptly post the response to a complaint on WEBS.
4. **RESPONSE IS FINAL.** The RFQQ Coordinator's response to the complaint is final and not subject to administrative appeal. Issues raised in a complaint may not be raised again during the protest period. Furthermore, any issue, exception, addition, or omission not brought to the attention of the RFQQ Coordinator prior to Proposal submittal may be deemed waived for protest purposes.

**B. DEBRIEF CONFERENCES.** A debrief conference is an opportunity for a Bidder and OSOS to meet and discuss the Bidder's Proposal (and, as further explained below, is a necessary prerequisite to filing a protest). Following the evaluation of the proposals, OSOS will issue an announcement of the Apparent Successful Bidder. Unsuccessful bidders will have three (3) business days to request a debrief conference. Once a debrief conference is requested, OSOS will offer the requesting bidder a meeting opportunity and notify the bidder of the debrief conference place, date, and time. Please note, because the debrief process must occur before making an award, OSOS likely will schedule the debrief conference shortly after the announcement of the Apparent Successful Bidder and the bidder's request for a debrief conference. OSOS will not allow the debrief process to delay the award. Therefore, vendors should plan for contingencies and alternate representatives. Vendors who wish to protest must first participate in a debrief conference. Bidders who are unwilling or unable to attend the debrief conference will lose the opportunity to protest. Participation in a debrief conference is a prerequisite for a Bidder wishing to file a protest.

1. **TIMING.** A debrief conference may be requested by a Bidder following the announcement of the Apparent Successful Bidder.
2. **PURPOSE OF DEBRIEF CONFERENCE.** Any Bidder who has submitted a timely Proposal may request a debrief conference. A debrief conference provides an opportunity for the vendor to meet with OSOS to discuss their Proposal and evaluation. It does not provide an opportunity to discuss other Bidder's proposals and evaluations.
3. **REQUESTING A DEBRIEF CONFERENCE.** The request for a debrief conference must be made in writing via email to the RFQQ Coordinator and received within three (3) business days after the announcement of the Apparent Successful Bidder. Debrief conferences may be conducted either in person at the OSOS offices in Olympia, Washington, or virtually (e.g., by telephone or web-based virtual meeting such as Zoom, Skype, MS Teams), as determined by OSOS, and may be limited by OSOS to a specified period of time. The failure of a Bidder to request a debrief conference within the specified time and attend a debrief conference constitutes a waiver of the right to submit a protest. Any issue, exception, addition, or omission not brought to the attention of the RFQQ Coordinator before or during the debrief conference may be deemed waived for protest purposes.

**C. PROTESTS.** Following a debrief conference, a Bidder may protest the contract award(s).

1. **CRITERIA FOR A PROTEST.** A protest may be based only on one or more of the following: (a) Bias, discrimination, or conflict of interest on the part of an evaluator; (b) Error in computing evaluation scores; or (c) Non-compliance with any procedures described in the solicitation.
2. **INITIATING A PROTEST.** Any Bidder that participates in a debrief conference may protest an award to the Apparent Successful Bidder. A protest must: (a) Be submitted to and received by the Protest Officer specified below, within five (5) business days after the protesting Bidder's debrief conference (see Form and Substance, and Other below); (b) Be in writing; (c) Include a specific and complete statement of facts forming the basis of the protest; and (d) Include a description of the relief or corrective action requested.
3. **PROTEST RESPONSE.** After reviewing the protest and available facts, the Protest Officer will issue a written response within ten (10) business days from receipt of the protest, unless additional time is needed.
4. **DECISION IS FINAL.** The protest decision is final and not subject to administrative appeal. If the protesting Bidder does not accept the protest response, the protesting Bidder may seek relief in Thurston County Superior Court.

**D. COMMUNICATION DURING COMPLAINTS, DEBRIEFS, AND PROTESTS.** All communications about this solicitation, including complaints, debriefs, and protests, must be addressed to the RFQQ Coordinator unless otherwise directed.

1. **FORM, SUBSTANCE, & OTHER.** All complaints, requests for debrief, and protests must:
  - i. Be in writing;
  - ii. Be signed by the complaining or protesting Bidder or an authorized agent, unless sent by email;
  - iii. Be delivered within the time frame(s) outlined herein;
  - iv. Identify the solicitation number;
  - v. Conspicuously state "Complaint," "Debrief," or "Protest" in any subject line of any correspondence or email; and
  - vi. Be sent to the address identified below.
2. **COMPLAINTS & PROTESTS.** All complaints and protests must (a) State all facts and arguments on which the complaining or protesting Bidder is relying as the basis for its action; and (b) Include any relevant documentation or other supporting evidence.

**E. HOW TO CONTACT OSOS**

1. **TO SUBMIT A COMPLAINT.** Send an email message to the RFQQ Coordinator listed in this solicitation. The email message must include "Complaint" in the subject line of the email message. Alternatively, mail the complaint to the RFQQ Coordinator at the following address:

Attn: Ivan Zavrazhnov, RFQQ Coordinator  
 Office of the Secretary of State  
 Operations Division  
 PO Box 40224  
 Olympia, WA 98504-0224

2. **TO REQUEST A DEBRIEF CONFERENCE.** Send an email message to the RFQQ Coordinator listed in this solicitation. The email message must include "Debrief" in the subject line of the email message.
3. **TO SUBMIT A PROTEST.** Send an email message to the RFQQ Coordinator listed in this solicitation. The email message must include "Protest" in the subject line of the email message. Alternatively, mail the protest to the RFQQ Coordinator at the following address:

Attn: Ivan Zavrazhnov, RFQQ Coordinator  
 Office of the Secretary of State

Operations Division  
PO Box 40224  
Olympia, WA 98504-0224

## 5. RFQQ EXHIBITS

- Exhibit A A-1 Bidder's Certifications  
A-2 Bidder's Profile & References
- Exhibit B Contract
- Exhibit C Washington State Standards for the Production and Use of Microfilm
- Exhibit D Microfilm Box Label Template and Example