

WA
353.1
st2.pa
1988
ed 7
c1

1988 VOTERS & CANDIDATES PAMPHLET & LOCAL VOTERS PAMPHLET

EDITION

NUMBER 7



Published jointly by :



Office of the Secretary of State



King County Division of Records & Elections

State General Election • November 8, 1988

WASHINGTON STATE LIBRARY
STATE DEPOSITORY COPY

VOTER PARTICIPATION IN ELECTION CAMPAIGNS

Any person who wishes to participate in the election campaign process through financial contributions, volunteer work or other types of involvement may contact the candidate or party of his or her choice for more information. Listed below are the addresses and telephone numbers of the major and minor political parties with candidates on the general election ballot.

Washington State Democratic
Central Committee
1701 Smith Tower
Seattle WA 98104
(206) 583-0664

Libertarian Party of Washington
6901 Narrows Lane North
Tacoma, WA 98407
(206) 329-5669

Socialist Workers Party
5517 Rainier Avenue South
Seattle, WA 98118
(206) 723-5330

Washington State Republican Party
Nine Lake Bellevue Drive,
Suite 203
Bellevue WA 98005
(206) 451-1984

New Alliance Party
1900 East Madison
Seattle, WA 98122
(206) 328-9456

Workers World Party
1017C East Pike
Seattle, WA 98122
(206) 322-6478

DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTIONS TO CANDIDATES AND POLITICAL COMMITTEES

Contributions to candidates and political committees: State law does not limit the amount a person may contribute to support or oppose a candidate, ballot measure, political party or political committee. However, during the 21 days before the general election, a person may contribute no more than \$50,000 to a candidate for statewide office or \$5,000 to any other candidate or political committee. Contributions from corporations, unions, businesses, associations and similar organizations are permitted.

Registration and reporting by candidates and political committees: Within two weeks after a person becomes a candidate or a political committee is organized, a campaign finance registration statement must be filed with the Public Disclosure Commission and the local county elections official. The candidate or committee treasurer is then required to report periodically the source and amount of campaign contributions of \$25 or more and to list campaign expenditures.

These reports are open to the public. Copies are available at the Public Disclosure Commission in Olympia or at the county elections office in the county where the candidate lives. In addition, the campaign financial books and records of a candidate or committee are available for public inspection the last eight days (Monday through Friday) before each election. The campaign registration shows the time and place where the records may be inspected.

Independent Campaign Expenditures: Any person who makes an expenditure in support of or opposition to a state or local candidate or ballot proposition (not including contributions made to a candidate or political committee) must make a report to the Public Disclosure Commission within five days if the expenditure is \$100 or more. Reporting forms are available from the Commission or the county elections office.

Federal campaigns: Contributions to U.S. Senate and House of Representative candidates are regulated by federal law. An individual may contribute a maximum of \$1,000 in the primary election and \$1,000 in the general election to each candidate for senator and representative. Corporations, businesses, unions and similar groups are generally prohibited from contributing to federal campaigns. Copies of federal campaign finance reports are available from the Public Disclosure Commission.

For additional information contact: Public Disclosure Commission, 403 Evergreen Plaza, FJ-42, Olympia WA 98504-3342, (206) 753-1111, or, for federal campaigns, Federal Election Commission, 1-800-424-9530.

FEDERAL INCOME TAX CREDITS AND DEDUCTIONS FOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Political contributions tax credit: The Federal Tax Reform Act of 1986 eliminated the personal tax credit previously allowed for political contributions.

As in the past, contributions or gifts made to political parties or candidates may not be deducted as a business expense. In addition, expenses paid or incurred to take part in any political campaign on behalf of a candidate for public office are not deductible as a business expense. Finally, indirect political contributions, such as advertising for a political party or admission to a program with proceeds going to a political party or candidate, may not be deducted as a business expense.

Presidential Election Campaign Fund checkoff: Individuals, however, may make a deductible contribution to the Presidential Election Campaign Fund checkoff. This fund was established to help pay for presidential election campaigns. \$1 may be taken (\$2 on a joint return) from an individual's taxes to go to a general fund, not for any specific party, to meet the expenses of the 1988 presidential election. The contribution will not increase your tax or reduce your refund.

INTRODUCTION TO THE 1988 VOTERS & CANDIDATES PAMPHLET

Fellow Washingtonians:

As Secretary of State and Co-Chairman of the Washington Centennial Commission, it is my pleasure to introduce you to the 1988 Washington State Voters and Candidates Pamphlet. This year's pamphlet has special meaning because it arrives in your mailbox just as Washington State is poised to kick off its centennial observance. On November 11, 1988 — just three days after our general election — we will officially begin a year-long celebration leading up to the 100th anniversary of statehood. The centennial is a truly appropriate time to reflect on the unique makeup of our state government and the special rights and privileges that were enacted by those who founded this state a century ago.

For example, this voters pamphlet is an outgrowth of the populist philosophy on which our state government was built. Washington's Constitution gives its citizens the right to a voters' pamphlet containing information on issues appearing at each general election. Our state was one of the first in the nation to mandate a voters pamphlet for its citizens.

Our populist founders gave us numerous other special rights as well. Unlike citizens in many other states, Washingtonians have the right to make their own laws through the initiative process, the right to refer the legislature's actions to the ballot and the right to recall elected officials. As you look at this year's ballot, you'll see that these grass-roots procedures continue to play an important role in our system of government.

This voters' pamphlet, which commemorates the approaching centennial, represents Washington State's rich tradition of promoting voter awareness and participation. It contains extensive information on ballot measures, on candidates for public office, and on election procedures and voting. I urge you to study this pamphlet thoroughly, and to cast your vote on November 8. By doing so, you will celebrate Washington's heritage and shape its future.



RALPH MUNRO
Secretary of State



AB0004 710693

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
Initiative Measure 518	4-5
Initiative Measure 97	6-7
Alternative Measure 97B	8-9
House Joint Resolution 4222	10-11
House Joint Resolution 4223	12-13
House Joint Resolution 4231	14-15
Explanatory Statements (Initiative 97 & Alternative 97B)	16
Text of Measures	17-35
Candidates for U.S. President and Vice President	36-42
Candidates for U.S. Senate	43-44
Candidates for U.S. Representative	45-47
Candidates for State Offices	48-57
Candidates for Superior Court	58
Candidates for State Legislature	60-65
Disclosure Requirements for Political Contributions	2
Summary of Federal Tax Credits for Contributions	2
Legislative and Congressional District Map	59
Description of Office of Precinct Committee Officer	66
King County Local Voters Pamphlet	67-84
Voter Information	85
Sample Ballot	86
Absentee Ballot Request Form and Instructions	87

SECRETARY OF STATE TOLL-FREE HOTLINES:
1-800-448-4881; TDD (Hearing Impaired) 1-800-422-8683
KING COUNTY VOTER HOTLINE: 296-VOTE

COVER PHOTO: Elisha P. Ferry is inaugurated as the state's first governor at the territorial capitol in Olympia, November 18, 1889.
(Photo courtesy of the State Capital Museum.)



INITIATIVE MEASURE 518

TO THE PEOPLE

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of Initiative Measure 518 begins on page 17.

Official Ballot Title:

Shall the state minimum wage increase from \$2.30 to \$3.85 (January 1, 1989) and then to \$4.25 (January 1, 1990) and include agricultural workers?

The law as it now exists:

The state minimum wage for employees who are 18 or older is established by statute at \$2.30 per hour. For employees below the age of 18, the minimum wage is established by regulations adopted by the Director of the State Department of Labor and Industries.

There are statutory exemptions to the state minimum wage requirement, of which only two would be changed by Initiative 518 if enacted. Those two exemptions, now under current law, exempt from coverage under the State Minimum Wage Law: (1) individuals employed on a farm for the cultivation, raising or harvesting of agricultural commodities or animals or employed in packaging, packing or grading products, but not commercial canning or commercial freezing; (2) persons employed in domestic service in or about a private home.

The effect of Initiative Measure 518, if approved into law:

If approved, this Initiative would, effective January 1, 1989 increase the state minimum wage to \$3.85 per hour. The minimum wage would the following year, on January 1, 1990, be increased to \$4.25 per hour.

The present exemption from the minimum wage for farm workers would be eliminated and be replaced by a new farm labor exemption. The new farm labor exemption would only apply to

individuals employed as a hand harvest laborer who are paid on a piece rate basis where such payment is customarily recognized, and the worker must commute daily from his or her permanent residence to the farm, and the individual must have been employed in agricultural for less than 13 weeks during the prior calendar year.

The present exemption from the state minimum wage for domestic service in a private home would be repealed and replaced by an exemption for individuals employed as casual laborers in or about a private home.

The State Office of Financial Management would in the future be required to review the state minimum wage and make recommendations to the Legislature and the Governor regarding its increase by January 1 of each odd numbered year.

Statement for

RAISING THE MINIMUM WAGE MAKES GOOD SENSE

People working full-time should be able to support themselves and their families. But in Washington State nearly 50,000 people are working full-time and can't.

Our state minimum wage is an unbelievable \$2.30 an hour. That's \$4,784 per year, or only slightly over half of what a family of three needs to get out of poverty. Seven out of ten poor minimum wage workers are their family's only earner. And 63 percent are not teenagers.

THE STATE MINIMUM WAGE HASN'T CHANGED SINCE 1976

If the state minimum wage had kept pace with inflation, it would be \$4.35 today. Initiative 518 merely restores its value to near what it used to be. (It only affects wages earned by adults; it does *not* affect teenagers' wages or wages earned by seasonal agricultural workers.)

FULL-TIME WORKERS AND THEIR FAMILIES DESERVE MORE THAN POVERTY

Minimum wage jobs force many families to use public assistance programs such as food stamps and Medicaid. Currently, someone working full-time at \$2.30 an hour can not support his or her family. Raising the minimum wage will help these people to get off public assistance and become self supporting.

A HIGHER MINIMUM WAGE MAKES GOOD ECONOMIC SENSE

It will give people more money to spend at local businesses in their own communities. A higher minimum wage will allow people to earn a decent living, provide for their families, and become taxpayers.

Please vote yes to give working adults a wage they can live on.

Rebuttal of Statement against

No one was ever hurt by a minimum wage increase. Minimum wage jobs are jobs industries depend on, in services, retail, manufacturing and agriculture. These jobs won't disappear.

While raising the minimum wage will dramatically improve people's lives, past increases show it will have no significant impact on inflation.

The working poor need a wage they can live on, not another government program. Vote YES to give them a decent wage.

For more information about Initiative 518 call (206) 622-3442.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

JENNIFER BELCHER, State Representative; LARRY L. VOGNILD, State Senator; SHIRLEY WINSLEY, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: BOOTH GARDNER, Governor; LARRY KINNEY, Washington State Labor Council; LOREN ARNETT, Washington Association of Churches; TOMAS VILLANUEVA, United Farmworkers of Washington; SUSAN JOHNSON, Washington Women United.

Statement against

WILL HURT THE WORKING POOR

Initiative 518 will reduce jobs, increase inflation and hurt the working poor. Employers recognize that it is almost impossible to keep full-time employees by paying the state or federal minimum wage (even farm workers in Washington average almost \$5 per hour). But a reasonable minimum wage does permit employers to provide entry level and part-time supplemental income jobs which would otherwise be reduced. This year the employer community supported a 40% increase in the state minimum wage but the bill died in the legislative debate.

When the minimum wage is increased, impacted employers reduce working hours, increase mechanization, and reduce service to control costs. They also pass the cost of any wage increase to consumers in the form of inflation.

Tips are considered wages by state and federal law, but this initiative ignores that fact and, as a consequence, employers of tipped workers will be unfairly penalized millions of dollars resulting in higher menu prices and loss of employment opportunities for unskilled job applicants.

MEANS FEWER PART-TIME JOB OPPORTUNITIES

Supporters of the initiative argue that an increase above the federal minimum wage will help heads of larger households. But an initiative *which outlaws any job* that doesn't pay enough to support a family of three, does a great disservice to the vast majority of minimum wage earners who are single, work part-time, and live in households which earn significantly above the poverty income level. For these families the increase means fewer part-time jobs and entry level positions.

We can target programs to help the poor without reducing job opportunities for everyone else.

VOTE "NO" ON 518

Rebuttal of Statement for

Economists don't agree with initiative supporters. As the New York Times acknowledged, "there's a virtual consensus among economists that the minimum wage is an idea whose time has passed. Raising the minimum wage by a substantial amount would price working poor people out of the job market."


For example, seasonal agricultural workers are impacted by Initiative 518. Those unable to produce at a production rate equal to the minimum wage won't be allowed to work.

For more information, call 1-800-521-9325.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

CLIFTON FINCH, Association of Washington Business; ROBERT SEEGER, Restaurant Association of Washington; LINDA MATSON, National Federation of Independent Business.

Advisory Committee: GARY SMITH, Independent Business Association; BILL ROBERTS, Washington State Farm Bureau.



INITIATIVE MEASURE 97

TO THE LEGISLATURE

Note: the ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of Initiative Measure 97 begins on page 18.

Official Ballot Title:

Shall a hazardous waste cleanup program, partially funded by a 7/10 of 1% tax on hazardous substances, be enacted?

The law as it now exists:

State law enacted in October, 1987 provides for a hazardous waste cleanup program in the State of Washington. The primary responsibility for the cleanup of hazardous waste sites is imposed upon the owner or operator of the site, the person responsible for

the disposal or release, and the generator or transporter of the waste. The strict liability under the law does not apply to persons who, without negligence and in accordance with State and federal law, apply pesticides or fertilizers for the purpose of growing any crops, trees, nursery plants, or farm animals.

The State Department of Ecology is empowered to investigate, adopt rules, establish standards, classify substances as hazardous substances, require remedial actions, establish priorities for site cleanups, promote hazardous waste reduction and recycling, and provide educational programs. A scientific advisory board is to advise the Department.

The person legally responsible for the cleanup of a hazardous waste site must be given a reasonable opportunity by the Department of Ecology to develop a remedial program, meeting the Department's standards for the cleanup of the site. The Department, before approving such plan and settlement, must give an opportunity for public comment. The plan, when approved, must be filed with the superior court; then there is a thirty-day waiting period for public comment. As part of an approved cleanup plan, the Department can defray some of the costs, agree not to bring suit to compel cleanup in excess of the plan, and certify the completion of the cleanup. Such approved cleanup programs are exempted from various permits that would otherwise be required by law.

The Department of Ecology can, for failure to comply with a Department order, seek from the court civil penalties of up to three times the remedial costs incurred by the State and penalties of up to \$10,000 per day. The State's costs to clean up a hazardous waste site is a debt secured by a lien on the real property. The Department's orders are subject to review in court.

Private persons can sue the Department of Ecology to compel it to perform any nondiscretionary duty under this law. Private persons can also sue to compel potentially liable persons to comply with the law as well as other common-law and statutory actions. Clean-up contractors are not liable unless they are negligent or grossly negligent.

Owners who know that a significant quantity of hazardous materials has been released or spilled on their property must place a notice of that fact in the county real estate records, and must also notify the State Department of Ecology. When the Department of Ecology discovers such a release or spill, the Department is required to place a notice of such fact in the county real estate records.

The Department of Agriculture may dispose of unusable pesticides collected from licensed pesticide operators. And the Department shall implement a pesticide waste disposal program. The Department of Ecology is to adopt rules allowing the Department to
(continued on page 16.)

Statement for

INITIATIVE 97 MAKES THE POLLUTERS PAY

Polluters should pay to clean up their own mess. Initiative 97 would make them do that. *Polluters are forced to clean up their wastes.* If they don't, tough fines and criminal penalties will follow.

TOUGH LAWS. TOUGH FINES. NO DEALS.

Nearly every week brings news of new toxic catastrophes. *One out of six people who live in Washington could be affected by toxics.* Families around Puget Sound, in Spokane, and in Central Washington *cannot drink their water* because of chemical pollution. Washington is the second worst state west of the Mississippi for hazardous waste sites. Seeping landfills, pesticides, and petroleum products can cause cancer and birth defects. Seniors may be particularly vulnerable. The need for a tough toxics cleanup law now is clear.

THE PEOPLE'S INITIATIVE

For years irresponsible polluters fought hard to avoid a tough law. An initiative was written after polluters blocked legislation to clean up toxic waste. Thousands of volunteers worked very hard to give us the chance to clean up toxics now. *Across the state over 200,000 people signed petitions. Now you have your chance to send a strong message to polluters: You want a tough law, with tough fines and no deals.*

DON'T LET BIG CORPORATE POLLUTERS BUY THE ELECTION

Big oil and chemical companies will spend \$1.5 million dollars to convince you to vote against Initiative 97. Don't

be fooled. *Initiative 97 is the stronger toxic cleanup program which will make our environment cleaner and safer, for today and tomorrow, for our children and grandchildren.*

Rebuttal of Statement against

- *Strong citizens' initiative eliminates polluters' loopholes.* It forces polluters to clean up their own mess. No deals. No delays. No watered-down health standards. I-97 has been carefully reviewed and supported by more than 70 groups, dozens of legislators and signed by 215,000 people.
- *Cleanups, not lawsuits.* I-97 makes cleanups happen now—not later. The initiative prohibits polluters from filing lawsuits that delay cleanups.
- *More money for farmers, small businesses and recycling* - to clean up our drinking water now and for the future.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

JOLENE UNSOELD, State Representative; JANICE NIEMI, State Senator; DAVID BRICKLIN, President, Washington Environmental Council.

Advisory Committee: THE REVEREND DR. WILLIAM B. CATE, President, Church Council of Greater Seattle; LAWRENCE KENNEY, President, Washington State Labor Council; HENRY BURTON, Chair, Cascade Chapter Sierra Club; WENDY A. WENDLANDT, Executive Director, Washington Public Interest Research Group; WANDA HAAS, President, League of Women Voters of Washington.

Statement against

I-97: FLAWED INITIATIVE MAKES FOR BAD LAW

Initiative 97 is full of good intentions, but contains serious flaws that will hurt many groups in Washington. The Initiative's purpose was to encourage the legislature to act. It is not good law and fails to include many important public programs, like household hazardous waste collection.

The Initiative simply did not go through the same scrutiny and public input that the legislature's law did. The legislature worked for three years to create a law that is fair to everyone — 97B.

I-97: DELAYS AND LAWSUITS, NOT CLEANUPS

The Initiative will stop the cleanups that are already taking place under 97B, the new law. Long delays will result and costs will escalate. The Initiative will result in lawsuits, not cleanups.

I-97: HURTS TAXPAYERS, AGRICULTURE, SMALL BUSINESSES

The Association of Washington Cities endorses Legislative Alternative 97B, not the Initiative. Initiative 97 will result in outrageous public cleanup costs with no added protection of public health or the environment.

I-97: LIKE THE FEDERAL SUPERFUND, A 99% FAILURE

I-97 is patterned after the federal superfund law that has produced eight years of costly court battles and virtually no cleanup. Why replace a law that is working and resulting in cleanups (97B), with an initiative (Initiative 97) patterned after a federal failure?

Rebuttal of Statement for


Don't fall for I-97, a toxic scare campaign imported from California and financed by out-of-staters. The Seattle Times charges I-97 backers with "demagogery and phony one-liners," such as "make the polluters pay." 97B is the current law; it's already making the polluters pay and cleaning up toxics now. I-97 would overthrow the law and delay cleanups. Out-of-staters are funding a toxic scare campaign to overthrow the law. Keep the *best* law, vote YES 97B.

For more information, call (206) 448-4972.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

MIKE KREIDLER, State Senator; CLYDE BALLARD, State Representative; DAVE STURDEVANT, Clark County Commissioner.

Advisory Committee: DAN EVANS, U.S. Senator; VICKI MCNEILL, President, Association of Washington Cities; RAY HILL, Master, Washington State Grange; ANDREA BEATTY RINIKER, former Director, Department of Ecology; GILBERT S. OMENN, M.D., Ph.D., Chair, Scientific Advisory Board, Department of Ecology.



ALTERNATIVE MEASURE 97B

Chapter 112, Laws of 1988

Note: the ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of Alternative Measure 97B begins on page 23.

Vote cast by the 1988 Legislature on final passage:
HOUSE: Yeas, 73; Nays, 25; Absent or not voting, 0.
SENATE: Yeas, 40; Nays, 5; Absent or not voting, 4.

Official Ballot Title:

Shall the legislature's cleanup program, with 0.8% hazardous substance tax raising less money, with less coverage of petroleum, be retained?

The law as it now exists:

State law enacted in October, 1987 provides for a hazardous waste cleanup program in the State of Washington. The primary responsibility for the cleanup of hazardous waste sites is imposed upon the owner or operator of the site, the person responsible for

the disposal or release, and the generator or transporter of the waste. The strict liability under the law does not apply to persons who, without negligence and in accordance with State and federal law, apply pesticides or fertilizers for the purpose of growing any crops, trees, nursery plants, or farm animals.

The State Department of Ecology is empowered to investigate, adopt rules, establish standards, classify substances as hazardous substances, require remedial actions, establish priorities for site cleanups, promote hazardous waste reduction and recycling, and provide educational programs. A scientific advisory board is to advise the Department.

The person legally responsible for the cleanup of a hazardous waste site must be given a reasonable opportunity by the Department of Ecology to develop a remedial program, meeting the Department's standards for the cleanup of the site. The Department, before approving such plan and settlement, must give an opportunity for public comment. The plan, when approved, must be filed with the superior court; then there is a thirty-day waiting period for public comment. As part of an approved cleanup plan, the Department can defray some of the costs, agree not to bring suit to compel cleanup in excess of the plan, and certify the completion of the cleanup. Such approved cleanup programs are exempted from various permits that would otherwise be required by law.

The Department of Ecology can, for failure to comply with a Department order, seek from the court civil penalties of up to three times the remedial costs incurred by the State and penalties of up to \$10,000 per day. The State's costs to clean up a hazardous waste site is a debt secured by a lien on the real property. The Department's orders are subject to review in court.

Private persons can sue the Department of Ecology to compel it to perform any nondiscretionary duty under this law. Private persons can also sue to compel potentially liable persons to comply with the law as well as other common-law and statutory actions. Clean-up contractors are not liable unless they are negligent or grossly negligent.

Owners who know that a significant quantity of hazardous materials has been released or spilled on their property must place a notice of that fact in the county real estate records, and must also notify the State Department of Ecology. When the Department of Ecology discovers such a release or spill, the Department is required to place a notice of such fact in the county real estate records.

The Department of Agriculture may dispose of unusable pesticides collected from licensed pesticide operators. And the Department shall implement a pesticide waste disposal program. The Department of Ecology is to adopt rules allowing the Department to

(continued on page 16)

Statement for

97B: THE BEST LAW IS ALREADY ON THE BOOKS

Vote for 97B, Washington's new hazardous waste cleanup law. After three years of work, Governor Gardner called a special session where a bi-partisan majority of the legislature passed the *best* toxic cleanup law in the country.

97B, our new law, is already at work. Initiative 97 would stop the law and delay the cleanups.

97B: THOSE WHO MADE THE MESSSES PAY FOR CLEANUPS

Under 97B, 70% of cleanup money comes from the polluters. Using less tax money, cleanups are faster because responsible parties are volunteering to clean up now instead of going to court. Initiative 97 will cause lawsuits, not cleanups.

For example, oil companies pay more than 50% of the toxics tax, but Washington jobs and gasoline prices are protected by exempting out-of-state sales of petroleum.

97B RAISES LESS MONEY, INSURES MORE CLEANUPS

With less than one percent (.08%) tax on toxics, raising about \$6 million a year less than I-97, 97B is designed to insure *more* private cleanups without using tax money.

Half the time, the "responsible party" is a local government. 97B *protects* taxpayers. Local governments get 53% of the toxics tax money to clean up landfills, build recycling plants or other waste facilities and *prevent* future toxic sites.

97B: TOUGH ON TOXICS, FAIR TO TAXPAYERS

The law is tough on toxics, a "win" for the environment, fair to taxpayers — and provides money to *help the average person dispose of household and farm chemicals safely.*

Farmers, businesses (small and large), and local governments support 97B because it protects public health *and* taxpayers, giving the Department of Ecology authority to enforce safe, thorough cleanups, and prevent runaway public costs.

Rebuttal of Statement against

Who helps small businesses and farmers? All farm organizations and small business groups endorse the current law, 97B, *not* I-97. Oil companies pay 50% of the toxics tax under the current law, 97B. I-97's extra money from oil means you pay higher taxes at the gas pump. What I-97 doesn't tell you: They're trying to repeal our current, tough cleanup law, 97B, with a law like the failed federal superfund law — all lawsuits, no cleanups.

For more information, call (206) 448-4972.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

MIKE KREIDLER, State Senator; LORRAINE HINE, State Representative; SID MORRISON, U.S. Representative.

Advisory Committee: DAN EVANS, U.S. Senator; VICKI MCNEILL, President, Association of Washington Cities; RAY HILL, Master, Washington State Grange; ANDREA BEATTY RINIKER, former Director, Department of Ecology; GILBERT S. OMENN, M.D., Ph.D., Chair, Scientific Advisory Board, Department of Ecology.

Statement against

DON'T TRUST POLLUTERS TO CLEAN UP

Toxic waste sites must be cleaned up now. But 97B raises less money. It allows backroom deals from tough cleanup standards. It has weaker enforcement provisions, smaller fines, less money for prevention or to help small businesses and farmers. If we don't force irresponsible polluters to pay for cleanup, taxpayers will.

97B LETS BIG OIL OFF THE HOOK

There is good reason big oil companies support 97B. They get special treatment — a special exemption from the cleanup program. And because of a special tax break for big oil, 97B raises less money than Initiative 97. That's right. Even though 97B has a higher tax rate, *the big oil loophole means less money will be raised.* That means less enforcement and fewer cleanups. *No wonder our worst polluters favor 97B.*

WHO SUPPORTS 97? WHO SUPPORTS 97B?

The choice is clear. 97B is paid for by ARCO, Shell, Texaco, and chemical companies like Union Carbide. Initiative 97 is supported by people who really care: Washington Environmental Council, League of Women Voters, Washington State Labor Council, Sierra Club, Church Council of Greater Seattle, and over 70 health and environmental organizations.

VOTE FOR 97: DON'T BE FOOLED BY 97B

INITIATIVE 97: • Drafted by citizens to protect their families from toxic waste. • Polluters pay to clean up sites. • No exceptions for polluters. • Offenders get big fines now. • Protects farmers and small businesses.

ALTERNATIVE MEASURE 97B: • Supported by the polluters to protect their special interests. • Polluters cut deals; the public pays. • Oil companies get special treatment. • Cases in courts for years. • Protects big polluters.

If the polluters win this election, people lose. Together we can protect our way of life. Call us at (206) 547-1314.

Rebuttal of Statement for

• *Big oil wants 97B.* For three years, oil and chemical companies killed every attempt at a cleanup law. Now they support 97B — to get loopholes to delay cleanups.


• *We can't count on voluntary cleanups.* 97B lets polluters file lawsuits to delay cleanups. Big oil companies get exemptions — then the public will have to pay.

• *97B: less money for cleanups;* less money to collect household and farm chemicals safely; less money to clean up landfills; less money for recycling.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

JOLENE UNSOELD, State Representative; JANICE NIEMI, State Senator, DAVID BRICKLIN, President, Washington Environmental Council.

Advisory Committee: THE REVEREND DR. WILLIAM B. CATE, President, Church Council of Greater Seattle; LAWRENCE KENNEY, President, Washington State Labor Council; WENDY A. WENDLANDT, Executive Director, Washington Public Interest Research Group; HENRY BURTON, Chair, Cascade Chapter Sierra Club; WANDA HAAS, President, League of Women Voters of Washington.



HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4222

PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of House Joint Resolution 4222 begins on page 34.

Vote cast by the 1988 Legislature on final passage:
HOUSE: Yeas, 97; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 1.
SENATE: Yeas, 48; Nays, 1; Absent or not voting, 0.

Official Ballot Title:

Shall the legislature's authority to exempt from tax \$300 of a family head's personal property value be increased to \$3,000?

The law as it now exists:

While the State Constitution requires that taxes be uniform on the same class of property, it specifically authorizes the legislature to exempt \$300 of personal property value from taxation for each head of a family.

The effect of HJR 4222, if approved into law:

If approved, HJR 4222 will increase from \$300 to \$3,000 the value of personal property that the legislature is authorized to exempt from taxation for each head of a family.

Statement for

WHO PAYS PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES?

The legislature has historically exempted household goods and personal effects from personal property taxes. However, current law provides that any household goods and equipment that are used in a business operated from a home are subject to property taxes if the property is worth more than \$300. This \$300 exemption was established in 1935, over fifty years ago.

HJR 4222 increases the exemption for \$300 to \$3000.

WHY INCREASE THE EXEMPTION?

There are reasons why HJR 4222 passed the legislature 145 to one. First, the \$300 exemption has not been increased since 1935. The intervening inflation makes the \$300 (based on 1935 money standards) worth \$3000 today. Secondly, local governments are spending a great deal of time and effort collecting small amounts of personal property tax. For example, \$4.00 of property tax per \$300 item means that assessing and taxing low value items costs more than the taxes collected.


- Vote YES on HJR 4222 and provide a common-sense increase in the personal property tax exemption.
- Vote YES on HJR 4222 and eliminate a tax that now costs more to collect than its worth.
- Vote YES on HJR 4222 - a good government proposal that passed the legislature 145 to one.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

ALAN BLUECHEL, State Senator; MARLIN APPELWICK, State Representative; BRUCE HOLLAND, State Representative.

Statement against

State law requires that the argument and rebuttal statement against a constitutional amendment be written by one or more members of the state Legislature who voted against that proposed measure on final passage or, in the event that no such member of the Legislature consents to prepare the statement, by any other responsible individual or individuals to be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President of the State Senate, and the Secretary of State. No legislator who voted against House Joint Resolution 4222 or other individual opposing the measure consented to write an argument against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.



HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4223

PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of House Joint Resolution 4223 begins on page 35.

Vote cast by the 1988 Legislature on final passage:

HOUSE: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 4.

SENATE: Yeas, 33; Nays, 16; Absent or not voting, 0.

Official Ballot Title:

Shall the constitutional authority for public utilities to assist residential energy conservation continue and extend to other structures and equipment?

The law as it now exists:

The State Constitution prohibits municipal corporations, such as counties, cities and public utility districts, from giving or lending their funds to private persons who are not poor or infirm. In 1979, the Constitution was amended to specifically permit the legislature to

authorize municipal corporations which sell or distribute energy to assist owners of residential structures to finance the acquisition and installation of materials and equipment for the conservation or more effective use of energy. This 1979 constitutional authorization expires on January 1, 1990.

The effect of HJR 4223, if approved into law:

If approved, HJR 4223 will permit the continuation of the energy conservation program for residences by eliminating the expiration date of January 1, 1990. It will also permit the legislature to expand the eligibility of the program to all structures and equipment but will exclude any purposes which result in a conversion from one energy source to another.

Statement for

HJR 4223 PRESERVES THE BENEFITS OF ENERGY CONSERVATION

Since 1979, when Washington voters approved a constitutional amendment permitting publicly-owned utilities to make residential energy conservation loans, energy conservation has proven to be the quickest, cleanest, and cheapest source of new energy.

Approval of HJR 4223 allows publicly-owned utilities to continue highly successful loan programs to help residential customers conserve electricity. HJR 4223 also authorizes conservation loans to non-profit corporations, small businesses, and other commercial interests.

ENERGY CONSERVATION LOANS ARE PROVEN AND EFFECTIVE

- All ratepayers benefit from continued energy conservation loan programs:
- Conservation defers the need for expensive new power plants and rate increases to pay for them.
- Thousands of homeowners and renters have saved significant amounts of money through lower utility bills.
- Utilities have saved millions of kilowatts.
- Hundreds of private sector jobs have been generated because authorized loan programs required private contractors to perform the work.

HJR 4223 MAINTAINS THE PROTECTION OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INTERESTS

HJR 4223 carefully limits conservation loan programs. No tax dollars are involved. Only funds from the sale of electricity can be used for conservation loans.

Loans can be used only for the purchase and installation of energy conservation materials. They cannot be used to change from one energy source to another.

The sale and installation of conservation materials will continue to be performed by qualified private businesses.

VOTE ENERGY CONSERVATION. VOTE YES ON HJR 4223.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

DICK NELSON, State Representative; MAX BENITZ, State Senator; DANIEL J. EVANS, U.S. Senator.

Advisory Committee: WANDA HASS, President, League of Women Voters of Washington; RAY HILL, Master, Washington State Grange; LARRY KENNEY, President, Washington State Labor Council; GARY D. BRACKETT, Tacoma-Pierce County Chamber of Commerce; BOOTH GARDNER, Governor.

Statement against

State law requires that the argument and rebuttal statement against a constitutional amendment be written by one or more members of the state Legislature who voted against that proposed measure on final passage or, in the event that no such member of the Legislature consents to prepare the statement, by any other responsible individual or individuals to be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President of the State Senate, and the Secretary of State. No legislator who voted against House Joint Resolution 4223 or other individual opposing the measure consented to write an argument against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.



HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4231

PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of House Joint Resolution 4231 begins on page 35.

Vote cast by the 1988 Legislature on final passage:
HOUSE: Yeas, 94; Nays, 0, Absent or not voting, 4.
SENATE: Yeas, 46; Nays, 0, Absent or not voting, 3.

Official Ballot Title:

Shall references in the State Constitution to "idiots, insane, dumb, and defective youth" be removed and new language be added?

The law as it now exists:

The State Constitution declares that "idiots and insane persons" are not eligible to vote. The Constitution, in describing educational,

reformatory and penal institutions which shall be operated and supported by the state, also refers to the institutions for the benefit of the "blind, deaf, dumb or otherwise defective youth, and for the insane or the idiotic."

The effect of HJR 4231, if approved into law:

If approved, HJR 4231 would remove from the State Constitution references to "idiots, insane, dumb, otherwise defective youth and idiot." New language would provide that individuals who are judicially declared mentally incompetent would be ineligible to vote during the period of their incompetency. The description of institutions operated or supported by the state would add new language referring to "youth who are blind or deaf or otherwise disabled; and persons who are mentally ill or developmentally disabled."

Statement for

People with disabilities deserve our full respect. But they have not always received it.

In bygone days people with disabilities were sometimes considered to be less than full human beings. They were referred to as "idiots" and "defective." Such words used in those days reflected prejudice and ignorance. Unfortunately our State Constitution still contains such language.

It is past time that we update the obsolete passages in our State Constitution which refer to people with disabilities. Our Constitution should treat all of us with the respect we deserve as people.

This measure is a simple change in the wording of two sentences. It will cause no change in policy. But it will mean a great deal to thousands of Washingtonians. Please support this measure.

Voters Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

WES PRUITT, State Representative; ARLIE DEJARNATT, State Senator; GARY NELSON, State Senator.

Advisory Committee: RALPH MUNRO, Secretary of State; RUTH FISHER, State Representative, Chair, House Committee on Constitution, Elections and Ethics; WM. L. E. DUSSAULT, J.D., Attorney at Law; VAN R. HINKLE, Co-Founder, Foundation for the Handicapped; JOY ISHAM, President, Washington Association of Retarded Citizens.

Statement against

State law requires that the argument and rebuttal statement against a constitutional amendment be written by one or more members of the state Legislature who voted against that proposed measure on final passage or, in the event that no such member of the Legislature consents to prepare the statement, by any other responsible individual or individuals to be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President of the State Senate, and the Secretary of State. No legislator who voted against House Joint Resolution 4231 or other individual opposing the measure consented to write an argument against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

(Explanatory statement for Initiative Measure 97 is continued here from page 7.)

collect and dispose of household hazardous wastes. The Department provides grants to local governments for household hazardous waste collection and disposal.

The law also makes it a crime (a felony) to be guilty of toxic endangerment.

Until July 1, 1990, petroleum is not subject to the hazardous waste provisions unless it is an extremely hazardous waste or a solid waste decomposition that presents a substantial threat to human health or environment. Petroleum is, however, not exempt from cleanup orders for spills, leaks and discharges.

A State tax of 8/10 of 1% is imposed on the wholesale value of hazardous substances which includes petroleum products except for natural gas, alumina, petroleum coke and petroleum products exported for use or sale outside the State. 53 percent of the proceeds of that tax is made available to local government and 47 percent to State government for the hazardous waste cleanup program.

The Department of Ecology is to establish fees for water discharge permits to pay the costs of monitoring such permits, but not to exceed a total of \$3,600,000 for the 1987-89 biennium.

The Legislature has appropriated to carry out this program \$41,600,000 for expenditure through June 30, 1989.

If neither Alternative Measure 97B nor Initiative 97 is approved by the voters, then the current law is repealed effective upon certification of the election results.

The effect of Initiative Measure 97, if approved into law:

If Initiative 97 is approved, then the existing law is repealed on March 1, 1989 and the following becomes the new law:

The primary responsibility for the cleanup of hazardous waste sites would be imposed upon the owner or operator of the site, the person responsible for the disposal or release, and the generator, or the transporter of the waste. The strict liability under the Initiative does not apply to persons who, without negligence and in accordance with State and federal law, apply pesticides and fertilizers for the purpose of growing food crops.

The State Department of Ecology is empowered to investigate, adopt rules, establish standards, classify substances as hazardous substances, require remedial actions, establish priorities for site cleanups, promote hazardous waste reduction and recycling and provide educational programs. A scientific advisory board and regional citizen advisory committees are to advise the Department.

Before the Department finds that a person is potentially liable, the person is to be notified and allowed an opportunity for comment. No settlement can be made by the Department of Ecology with any person who is potentially liable for the cleanup of hazardous waste sites unless the Attorney General agrees to the settlement and the Department finds, after a public hearing, the settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of the hazardous substances. A settlement agreement must be entered as a court order. A settlement may later be reopened if factors are discovered which present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment. The Department can provide financial assistance only in situations which would result in a more expeditious cleanup and prevention of an unfair economic hardship.

The Attorney General can seek from the court, for failure to comply with a Department of Ecology order, civil penalties of up to three times any costs incurred by the State as a result of persons' refusal to comply and penalties of up to \$25,000 a day. The Department's actions are reviewable in court.

Private persons can sue the Department of Ecology to compel it to perform any nondiscretionary duty under this law. Private persons can also pursue common-law and other statutory actions. Cleanup

contractors are held to strict liability but if the contractor is retained by Ecology, the State can be indemnified by the State.

The law also makes it a crime, a felony, to knowingly transport, treat, store, handle or dispose of a hazardous substance in violation of this law. Petroleum in underground storage tanks, in compliance with federal, State and local laws, is not subject to this law unless there is a release from the tank. However, petroleum is subject to the hazardous waste provisions.

A State tax of 7/10ths of 1% is imposed on the wholesale value of hazardous substances which includes petroleum products except for natural gas and alumina. 52.86 percent of the proceeds of that tax is made available to local government and 47.14 percent to State government for the hazardous waste cleanup program. None of these funds can be used for solid waste incineration.

The Department of Ecology is to establish annual fees for water discharge permits and the maximum fee for municipalities shall not exceed five cents per month per residence contributing to the municipality's waste water system.

The Legislature's appropriation of \$41,600,000 for the hazardous waste program will expire March 1, 1989 and expenditures after that date will require a legislative appropriation.

(Explanatory statement for Alternative Measure 97B continued from page 9.)

collect and dispose of household hazardous wastes. The Department provides grants to local governments for household hazardous waste collection and disposal.

The law also makes it a crime (a felony) to be guilty of toxic endangerment.

Until July 1, 1990, petroleum is not subject to the hazardous waste provisions unless it is an extremely hazardous waste or a solid waste decomposition that presents a substantial threat to human health or environment. Petroleum is, however, not exempt from cleanup orders for spills, leaks and discharges.

A State tax of 8/10 of 1% is imposed on the wholesale value of hazardous substances which includes petroleum products except for natural gas, alumina, petroleum coke and petroleum products exported for use or sale outside the State. 53 percent of the proceeds of that tax is made available to local government and 47 percent to State government for the hazardous waste cleanup program.

The Department of Ecology is to establish fees for water discharge permits to pay the costs of monitoring such permits, but not to exceed a total of \$3,600,000 for the 1987-89 biennium.

The Legislature has appropriated to carry out this program \$41,600,000 for expenditure through June 30, 1989.

If neither Alternative Measure 97B nor Initiative 97 is approved by the voters, then the current law is repealed effective upon certification of the election results.

The effect of Alternative Measure 97B, if approved into law:

If Alternative Measure 97B is approved, then the existing law enacted in October, 1987 will remain the same. For an explanation of that law, see the description above under the caption "The Law As It Now Exists" (beginning on page 8).



COMPLETE TEXT OF Initiative 518

AN ACT Relating to the state minimum wage; amending RCW 49.46.010 and 49.46.202; adding a new section to chapter 49.46 RCW; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

Sec. 1. Section 1, chapter 294, Laws of 1959 as last amended by section 364, chapter 7, Laws of 1984 and RCW 49.46.010 are each amended to read as follows:

As used in this chapter:

- (1) "Director" means the director of labor and industries;
- (2) "Wage" means compensation due to an employee by reason of employment, payable in legal tender of the United States or checks on banks convertible into cash on demand at full face value, subject to such deductions, charges, or allowances as may be permitted by regulations of the director;
- (3) "Employ" includes to permit to work;
- (4) "Employer" includes any individual, partnership, association, corporation, business trust, or any person or group of persons acting directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer in relation to an employee;
- (5) "Employee" includes any individual employed by an employer but shall not include:
 - (a) ~~((Any individual employed (i) on a farm, in the employ of any person, in connection with the cultivation of the soil, or in connection with raising or harvesting any agricultural or horticultural commodity, including raising, shearing, feeding, caring for, training, and management of livestock, bees, poultry, and furbearing animals and wildlife, or in the employ of the owner or tenant or other operator of a farm in connection with the operation, management, conservation, improvement, or maintenance of such farm and its tools and equipment or (ii) in packing, packaging, grading, storing or delivering to storage, or to market or to a carrier for transportation to market, any agricultural or horticultural commodity, and the exclusions from the term "employee" provided in this item shall not be deemed applicable with respect to commercial canning, commercial freezing, or any other commercial processing, or with respect to services performed in connection with the cultivation, raising, harvesting, and processing of oysters or in connection with any agricultural or horticultural commodity after its delivery to a terminal market for distribution for consumption;~~
 - (b) Any individual employed in domestic service in or about a private home;
 - (c) Any individual (i) employed as a hand harvest laborer and paid on a piece rate basis in an operation which has been, and is generally and customarily recognized as having been, paid on a piece rate basis in the region of employment; (ii) who commutes daily from his or her permanent residence to the farm on which he or she is employed; and (iii) who has been employed in agriculture less than thirteen weeks during the preceding calendar year;
 - (b) Any individual employed in casual labor in or about a private home, unless performed in the course of the employer's trade, business, or profession;
 - (c) Any individual employed in a bona fide executive, administrative, or professional capacity or in the capacity of outside salesman as those terms are defined and delimited by regulations of the director. However, those terms shall be defined and delimited by the state personnel board pursuant to chapter 41.06 RCW and the higher education personnel board pursuant to chapter 283.16 RCW for employees employed under their respective jurisdictions;
 - (d) Any individual engaged in the activities of an educational, charitable, religious, state or local governmental body or agency, or nonprofit

organization where the employer-employee relationship does not in fact exist or where the services are rendered to such organizations gratuitously. If the individual receives reimbursement in lieu of compensation for normally incurred out-of-pocket expenses or receives a nominal amount of compensation per unit of voluntary service rendered, an employer-employee relationship is deemed not to exist for the purpose of this section or for purposes of membership or qualification in any state, local government or publicly supported retirement system other than that provided under chapter 41.24 RCW;

(e) Any individual employed full time by any state or local governmental body or agency who provides voluntary services but only with regard to the provision of the voluntary services. The voluntary services and any compensation therefor shall not affect or add to qualification, entitlement or benefit rights under any state, local government, or publicly supported retirement system other than that provided under Chapter 41.24 RCW;

(f) Any newspaper vendor or carrier;

(g) Any carrier subject to regulation by Part 1 of the Interstate Commerce Act;

(h) Any individual engaged in forest protection and fire prevention activities;

(i) Any individual employed by any charitable institution charged with child care responsibilities engaged primarily in the development of character or citizenship or promoting health or physical fitness or providing or sponsoring recreational opportunities or facilities for young people or members of the armed forces of the United States;

(j) Any individual whose duties require that he or she reside or sleep at the place of his or her employment or who otherwise spends a substantial portion of his or her work time subject to call, and not engaged in the performance of active duties;

(k) Any resident, inmate, or patient of a state, county, or municipal correctional, detention, treatment or rehabilitative institution;

(l) Any individual who holds a public elective or appointive office of the state, any county, city, town, municipal corporation or quasi municipal corporation, political subdivision, or any instrumentality thereof, or any employee of the state legislature;

(m) All vessel operating crews of the Washington state ferries operated by the department of transportation;

(n) Any individual employed as a seaman on a vessel other than an American vessel.

(6) "Occupation" means any occupation, service, trade, business, industry, or branch or group of industries or employment or class of employment in which employees are gainfully employed.

Sec. 2. Section 2, chapter 294, Laws of 1959 as last amended by section 2, chapter 289, Laws of 1975 1st ex. sess. and RCW 49.46.020 are each amended to read as follows:

~~((f)) Every employer shall pay to each of his or her employees who ((have)) has reached the age of eighteen years wages at a rate of not less than ((one-dollar)) three dollars and ((sixty)) eighty-five cents per hour except as may be otherwise provided under ((subsections (2) through (7) of this section or as otherwise provided under this chapter. PROVIDED: That beginning the calendar year 1974, the applicable rate under this section shall be one dollar and eighty cents per hour, and beginning with September 1, 1975 the applicable rate under this section shall be two dollars and ten cents an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1976 the applicable rate under this section shall be two dollars and thirty cents an hour)) this section. Beginning January 1, 1990, the state minimum wage shall be four dollars and twenty-five cents per hour. The director shall by regulation establish the minimum wage for employees under the age of eighteen years.~~

~~((f2) Any individual eighteen years of age or older, unless exempt under the provisions of section 4(5)(k)(8) of this 1975 amendatory act, employed by the state, any county, city, town, municipal corporation or quasi-municipal corporation, political subdivision, or any instrumentality thereof shall be paid wages beginning with September 1, 1975, at a rate of not less than two dollars an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1976 at a rate of not less than two dollars and twenty cents an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1977 at a rate of not less than two dollars and thirty cents an hour.~~

~~(3) Any individual eighteen years of age or older engaged in per-~~

forming services in a nursing home licensed pursuant to chapter 48.51 RCW, shall be paid wages beginning with September 1, 1975, at a rate of not less than two dollars and ten cents an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1976, at a rate of not less than two dollars and twenty cents an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1977, at a rate of not less than two dollars and thirty cents an hour.

(4) Any individual eighteen years of age or older engaged in performing services in a hospital licensed pursuant to chapter 70.41 RCW, or chapter 71.12 RCW, shall be paid wages beginning with September 1, 1975, at a rate of not less than two dollars and ten cents an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1976, at a rate of not less than two dollars and twenty cents an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1977 at a rate of not less than two dollars and thirty cents an hour.

(5) Any individual eighteen years of age or older employed in a retail or service establishment and who is so employed primarily in connection with the preparation or offering of food or beverages for human consumption, either on the premises, or by such services as catering, banquet, box lunch, or curb or counter service, to the public, to employees, or to members or guests of members of clubs shall be paid wages beginning with September 1, 1975, at a rate of not less than two dollars an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1976, at a rate of not less than two dollars and twenty cents an hour, and beginning the calendar year 1977, at a rate of not less than two dollars and thirty cents an hour.))

Sec. 3. Section 15, chapter 16, Laws of 1973 2nd ex. sess. and RCW 49.12.121 are each amended to read as follows:

The committee, or the director, may at any time inquire into wages, hours, and conditions of labor and minors employed in any trade, business or occupation in the state of Washington and may adopt special rules for the protection of the safety, health and welfare of minor employees ((such minimum wages not to exceed the state minimum wage as prescribed in RCW 49.46.020, as now or hereafter amended)). The minimum wage for minors shall be as prescribed in RCW 49.46.020. The committee shall issue work permits to employers for the employment of minors, after being assured the proposed employment of a minor meets the standards set forth concerning the health, safety and welfare of minors as set forth in the rules and regulations promulgated by the committee. No minor person shall be employed in any occupation, trade or industry subject to this 1973 amendatory act, unless a work permit has been properly issued, with the consent of the parent, guardian or other person having legal custody of the minor and with the approval of the school which such minor may then be attending.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 49.46 RCW to read as follows:

Beginning January 1, 1991, and prior to January 1 of each odd-numbered year thereafter, the office of financial management shall review the state minimum wage and make recommendations to the legislature and the governor regarding its increase.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. This act shall take effect January 1, 1989.



COMPLETE TEXT OF Initiative 97

AN ACT Relating to the environment; amending RCW 43.21B.--; adding a new chapter to Title 70 RCW; adding a new chapter to Title 82 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 70.105 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 70.105A RCW; adding a new section to chapter 90.48 RCW; creating new sections; repealing RCW 90.48.460; prescribing penalties; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. DECLARATION OF POLICY. (1) Each person has a fundamental and inalienable right to a healthful environment, and each person has a responsibility to preserve and enhance that right. The beneficial stewardship of the land, air, and waters of the state is a solemn obligation of the present generation for the benefit of future generations.

(2) A healthful environment is now threatened by the irresponsible use and disposal of hazardous substances. There are hundreds of hazardous waste sites in this state, and more will be created if current waste practices continue. Hazardous waste sites threaten the state's water resources, including those used for public drinking water. Many of our municipal landfills are current or potential hazardous waste sites and present serious threats to human health and environment. The costs of eliminating these threats in many cases are beyond the financial means of our local governments and ratepayers. The main purpose of this act is to raise sufficient funds to clean up all hazardous waste sites and to prevent the creation of future hazards due to improper disposal of toxic wastes into the state's land and waters.

(3) Many farmers and small business owners who have followed the law with respect to their uses of pesticides and other chemicals nonetheless may face devastating economic consequences because their uses have contaminated the environment or the water supplies of their neighbors. With a source of funds, the state may assist these farmers and business owners, as well as those persons who sustain damages, such as the loss of their drinking water supplies, as a result of the contamination.

(4) Because it is often difficult or impossible to allocate responsibility among persons liable for hazardous waste sites and because it is essential that sites be cleaned up well and expeditiously, each responsible person should be liable jointly and severally.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. DEFINITIONS. (1) "Department" means the department of ecology.

(2) "Director" means the director of ecology or the director's designee.

(3) "Facility" means (a) any building, structure, installation, equipment, pipe or pipeline (including any pipe into a sewer or publicly owned treatment works), well, pit, pond, lagoon, impoundment, ditch, landfill, storage container, motor vehicle, rolling stock, vessel, or aircraft, or (b) any site or area where a hazardous substance, other than a consumer product in consumer use, has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise come to be located.

(4) "Federal cleanup law" means the federal comprehensive environmental response, compensation, and liability act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 9601 et seq., as amended by Public Law 99-499.

(5) "Hazardous substance" means:

(a) Any dangerous or extremely hazardous waste as defined in RCW 70.105.010(5) and (6), or any dangerous or extremely dangerous waste designated by rule pursuant to chapter 70.105 RCW;

(b) Any hazardous substance as defined in RCW 70.105.010(14) or any hazardous substance as defined by rule pursuant to chapter 70.105 RCW;

(c) Any substance that, on the effective date of this section, is a hazardous substance under section 101(14) of the federal cleanup law, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 9601(14);

(d) Petroleum or petroleum products; and

(e) Any substance or category of substances, including solid waste decomposition products, determined by the director by rule to present a threat to human health or the environment if released into the environment.

The term hazardous substance does not include any of the following when contained in an underground storage tank from which there is not a release: Crude oil or any fraction thereof or petroleum, if the tank is in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local law.

(6) "Owner or operator" means:

(a) Any person with any ownership interest in the facility or who exercises any control over the facility; or

(b) In the case of an abandoned facility, any person who had owned, or operated, or exercised control over the facility any time before its abandonment;

The term does not include:

(i) An agency of the state or unit of local government which acquired ownership or control involuntarily through bankruptcy, tax delinquency, abandonment, or circumstances in which the government involuntarily acquires title. This exclusion does not apply to an agency of the state or unit of local government which has caused or contributed to the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance from the facility; or

(ii) A person who, without participation in the management of a facility, holds indicia of ownership primarily to protect the person's security interest in the facility.

(7) "Person" means an individual, firm, corporation, association, partnership, consortium, joint venture, commercial entity, state government agency, unit of local government, federal government agency, or Indian tribe.

(8) "Potentially liable person" means any person whom the department finds, based on credible evidence, to be liable under section 4 of this act. The department shall give notice to any such person and allow an opportunity for comment before making the finding, unless an emergency requires otherwise.

(9) "Public notice" means, at a minimum, adequate notice mailed to all persons who have made timely request of the department and to persons residing in the potentially affected vicinity of the proposed action; mailed to appropriate news media; published in the newspaper of largest circulation in the city or county of the proposed action; and opportunity for interested persons to comment.

(10) "Release" means any intentional or unintentional entry of any hazardous substance into the environment, including but not limited to the abandonment of disposal of containers of hazardous substances.

(11) "Remedy" or "remedial action" means any action or expenditure consistent with the purposes of this chapter to identify, eliminate, or minimize any threat or potential threat posed by hazardous substances to human health or the environment including any investigative and monitoring activities with respect to any release or threatened release of a hazardous substance and any health assessments or health effects studies conducted in order to determine the risk or potential risk to human health.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. DEPARTMENT'S POWERS AND DUTIES. (1) The department may exercise the following powers in addition to any other powers granted by law:

(a) Investigate, provide for investigating, or require potentially liable persons to investigate any releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances, including but not limited to inspecting, sampling, or testing to determine the nature or extent of any release or threatened release. If there is a reasonable basis to believe that a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance may exist, the department's authorized employees, agents, or contractors may enter upon any property and conduct investigations. The department shall give reasonable notice before entering property unless an emergency prevents such notice. The department may by subpoena require the attendance or testimony of witnesses and the production of documents or other information that the department deems necessary;

(b) Conduct, provide for conducting, or require potentially liable persons to conduct remedial actions (including investigations under (a) of this subsection) to remedy releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances. In carrying out such powers, the department's authorized employees, agents, or contractors may enter upon property. The department shall give reasonable notice before entering property unless an emergency prevents such notice. In conducting, providing for, or requiring remedial action, the department shall give preference to permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable and shall provide for or require adequate monitoring to ensure the effectiveness of the remedial action;

(c) Indemnify contractors retained by the department for carrying out investigations and remedial actions, but not for any contractor's reckless or wilful misconduct;

(d) Carry out all state programs authorized under the federal cleanup law and the federal resource, conservation, and recovery act, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 6901 et seq., as amended;

(e) Classify substances as hazardous substances for purposes of section 2(5) of this act and classify substances and products as hazardous substances for purposes of section 9(1) of this act; and

(f) Take any other actions necessary to carry out the provisions of this chapter, including the power to adopt rules under chapter 34.04 RCW.

(2) The department shall immediately implement all provisions of this chapter to the maximum extent practicable, including investigative and remedial actions where appropriate. The department, within nine months

after the effective date of this section, shall adopt, and thereafter enforce, rules under chapter 34.04 RCW to:

(a) Provide for public participation, including at least (i) the establishment of regional citizen's advisory committees, (ii) public notice of the development of investigative plans or remedial plans for releases or threatened releases, and (iii) concurrent public notice of all compliance orders, enforcement orders, or notices of violation;

(b) Establish a hazard ranking system for hazardous waste sites;

(c) Establish reasonable deadlines not to exceed ninety days for initiating an investigation of a hazardous waste site after the department receives information that the site may pose a threat to human health or the environment and other reasonable deadlines for remedying releases or threatened releases at the site; and

(d) Publish and periodically update minimum cleanup standards for remedial actions at least as stringent as the cleanup standards under section 121 of the federal cleanup law, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 9621, and at least as stringent as all applicable state and federal laws, including health-based standards under state and federal law.

(3) Before November 1st of each even-numbered year, the department shall develop, with public notice and hearing, and submit to the ways and means and appropriate standing environmental committees of the senate and house of representatives a ranked list of projects and expenditures recommended for appropriation from both the state and local toxics control accounts. The department shall also provide the legislature and the public each year with an accounting of the department's activities supported by appropriations from the state toxics control account, including a list of known hazardous waste sites and their hazard rankings, actions taken and planned at each site, how the department is meeting its top two management priorities under RCW 70.105.150, and all funds expended under this chapter.

(4) The department shall establish a scientific advisory board to render advice to the department with respect to the hazard ranking system, cleanup standards, remedial actions, deadlines for remedial actions, monitoring, the classification of substances as hazardous substances for purposes of section 2(5) of this act and the classification of substances or products as hazardous substances for purposes of section 9(1) of this act. The board shall consist of five independent members to serve staggered three-year terms. No members may be employees of the department. Members shall be reimbursed for travel expenses as provided in RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060.

(5) The department shall establish a program to identify potential hazardous waste sites and to encourage persons to provide information about hazardous waste sites.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. STANDARD OF LIABILITY. (1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, the following persons are liable with respect to a facility:

(a) The owner or operator of the facility;

(b) Any person who owned or operated the facility at the time of disposal or release of the hazardous substances;

(c) Any person who owned or possessed a hazardous substance and who by contract, agreement, or otherwise arranged for disposal or treatment of the hazardous substance at the facility, or arranged with a transporter for transport for disposal or treatment of the hazardous substances at the facility, or otherwise generated hazardous wastes disposed of or treated at the facility;

(d) Any person (i) who accepts or accepted any hazardous substance for transport to a disposal, treatment, or other facility selected by such person from which there is a release or a threatened release for which remedial action is required, unless such facility, at the time of disposal or treatment, could legally receive such substance; or (ii) who accepts a hazardous substance for transport to such a facility and has reasonable grounds to believe that such facility is not operated in accordance with chapter 70.105 RCW; and

(e) Any person who both sells a hazardous substance and is responsible for written instructions for its use if (i) the substance is used according to the instructions and (ii) the use constitutes a release for which remedial action is required at the facility.

(2) Each person who is liable under this section is strictly liable, jointly and severally, for all remedial action costs and for all natural resource damages resulting from the releases or threatened releases of hazardous

substances. The attorney general, at the request of the department, is empowered to recover all costs and damages from persons liable therefor.

(3) The following persons are not liable under this section:

(a) Any person who can establish that the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance for which the person would be otherwise responsible was caused solely by:

(i) An act of God;

(ii) An act of war; or

(iii) An act or omission of a third party (including but not limited to a trespasser) other than (A) an employee or agent of the person asserting the defense, or (B) any person whose act or omission occurs in connection with a contractual relationship existing, directly or indirectly, with the person asserting this defense to liability. This defense only applies where the person asserting the defense has exercised the utmost care with respect to the hazardous substance, the foreseeable acts or omissions of the third party, and the foreseeable consequences of those acts or omissions;

(b) Any person who is an owner, past owner, or purchaser of a facility and who can establish by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time the facility was acquired by the person, the person had no knowledge or reason to know that any hazardous substance, the release or threatened release of which has resulted in or contributed to the need for the remedial action, was released or disposed of on, in, or at the facility. This subsection (b) is limited as follows:

(i) To establish that a person had no reason to know, the person must have undertaken, at the time of acquisition, all appropriate inquiry into the previous ownership and uses of the property, consistent with good commercial or customary practice in an effort to minimize liability. Any court interpreting this subsection (b) shall take into account any specialized knowledge or experience on the part of the person, the relationship of the purchase price to the value of the property if uncontaminated, commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property, the obviousness of the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property, and the ability to detect such contamination by appropriate inspection;

(ii) The defense contained in this subsection (b) is not available to any person who had actual knowledge of the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance when the person owned the real property and who subsequently transferred ownership of the property without first disclosing such knowledge to the transferee;

(iii) The defense contained in this subsection (b) is not available to any person who, by any act or omission, caused or contributed to the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance at the facility;

(c) Any natural person who uses a hazardous substance lawfully and without negligence for any personal or domestic purpose in or near a dwelling or accessory structure when that person is: (i) A resident of the dwelling; (ii) a person who, without compensation, assists the resident in the use of the substance; or (iii) a person who is employed by the resident, but who is not an independent contractor;

(d) Any person who, for the purpose of growing food crops, applies pesticides or fertilizers without negligence and in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations.

(4) There may be no settlement by the state with any person potentially liable under this chapter except in accordance with this subsection.

(a) The attorney general may agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person only if the department finds, after public notice and hearing, that the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances in compliance with cleanup standards under section 3(2)(d) of this act and with any remedial orders issued by the department. Whenever practicable and in the public interest, the attorney general may expedite such a settlement with persons whose contribution is insignificant in amount and toxicity.

(b) A settlement agreement under this subsection shall be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

(c) A settlement agreement may contain a covenant not to sue only of a scope commensurate with the settlement agreement in favor of any person with whom the attorney general has settled under this section. Any covenant not to sue shall contain a reopener clause which requires the court to amend the covenant not to sue if factors not known at the

time of entry of the settlement agreement are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment.

(d) A party who has resolved its liability to the state under this subsection shall not be liable for claims for contribution regarding matters addressed in the settlement. The settlement does not discharge any of the other liable parties but it reduces the total potential liability of the others to the state by the amount of the settlement.

(5) Nothing in this chapter affects or modifies in any way any person's right to seek or obtain relief under other statutes or under common law, including but not limited to damages for injury or loss resulting from a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance. No settlement by the department or remedial action ordered by a court or the department affects any person's right to obtain a remedy under common law or other statutes.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. ENFORCEMENT. (1) With respect to any release, or threatened release, for which the department does not conduct or contract for conducting remedial action and for which the department believes remedial action is in the public interest, the director shall issue orders requiring potentially liable persons to provide the remedial action. Any liable person who refuses, without sufficient cause, to comply with an order of the director is liable in an action brought by the attorney general for:

(a) Up to three times the amount of any costs incurred by the state as a result of the party's refusal to comply; and

(b) A civil penalty of up to twenty-five thousand dollars for each day the party refuses to comply. The treble damages and civil penalty under this subsection apply to all recovery actions filed on or after the effective date of this section.

(2) Any person who incurs costs complying with an order issued under subsection (1) of this section may petition the department for reimbursement of those costs. If the department refuses to grant reimbursement, the person may within thirty days thereafter file suit and recover costs by proving that he or she was not a liable person under section 4 of this act and that the costs incurred were reasonable.

(3) The attorney general shall seek, by filing an action if necessary, to recover the amounts spent by the department for investigative and remedial actions and orders, including amounts spent prior to the effective date of this section.

(4) The attorney general may bring an action to secure such relief as is necessary to protect human health and the environment under this chapter.

(5) (a) Any person may commence a civil action to compel the department to perform any nondiscretionary duty under this chapter. At least thirty days before commencing the action, the person must give notice of intent to sue, unless a substantial endangerment exists. The court may award attorneys' fees and other costs to the prevailing party in the action.

(b) Civil actions under this section and section 6 of this act may be brought in the superior court of Thurston county or of the county in which the release or threatened release exists.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. TIMING OF REVIEW. The department's investigative and remedial decisions under sections 3 and 5 of this act and its decisions regarding liable persons under sections 2(8) and 4 of this act shall be reviewable exclusively in superior court and only at the following times: (1) In a cost recovery suit under section 5(3) of this act; (2) in a suit by the department to enforce an order or seek a civil penalty under this chapter; (3) in a suit for reimbursement under section 5(2) of this act; (4) in a suit by the department to compel investigative or remedial action; and (5) in a citizen's suit under section 5(5) of this act. The court shall uphold the department's actions unless they were arbitrary and capricious.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNTS. (1) The state toxics control account and the local toxics control account are hereby created in the state treasury.

(2) The following moneys shall be deposited into the state toxics control account: (a) Those revenues which are raised by the tax imposed under section 10 of this act and which are attributable to that portion of the rate equal to thirty-three one-hundredths of one percent; (b) the costs of remedial actions recovered under this chapter or chapter 70.105A RCW after the effective date of this section; (c) penalties collected or recovered under this chapter; and (d) any other money appropriated or

transferred to the account by the legislature. Moneys in the account may be used only to carry out the purposes of this chapter, including but not limited to the following activities:

(i) The state's responsibility for hazardous waste planning, management, regulation, enforcement, technical assistance, and public education required under chapter 70.105 RCW;

(ii) The state's responsibility for solid waste planning, management, regulation, enforcement, technical assistance, and public education required under chapter 70.95 RCW;

(iii) The hazardous waste cleanup program required under this chapter;

(iv) State matching funds required under the federal cleanup law;

(v) Financial assistance for local programs in accordance with RCW 70.95.130, 70.95.140, 70.95.220, 70.95.230, 70.95.530, 70.105.220, 70.105.225, 70.105.235, and 70.105.260;

(vi) State government programs for the safe reduction, recycling, or disposal of hazardous wastes from households, small businesses, and agriculture;

(vii) Hazardous materials emergency response training;

(viii) Water and environmental health protection and monitoring programs;

(ix) Programs authorized under chapter 70.146 RCW;

(x) A public participation program, including regional citizen advisory committees;

(xi) Public funding to assist potentially liable persons to pay for the costs of remedial action in compliance with cleanup standards under section 3(2)(d) of this act but only when the amount and terms of such funding are established under a settlement agreement under section 4(4) of this act and when the director has found that the funding will achieve both (A) a substantially more expeditious or enhanced cleanup than would otherwise occur, and (B) the prevention or mitigation of unfair economic hardship; and

(xii) Development and demonstration of alternative management technologies designed to carry out the top two hazardous waste management priorities of RCW 70.105.150.

(3) The following moneys shall be deposited into the local toxics control account: Those revenues which are raised by the tax imposed under section 10 of this act and which are attributable to that portion of the rate equal to thirty-seven one-hundredths of one percent. Moneys deposited in the local toxics control account shall be used by the department for grants to local governments for the following purposes in descending order of priority: (a) Remedial actions; (b) hazardous waste plans and programs under RCW 70.105.220, 70.105.225, 70.105.235, and 70.105.260; and (c) solid waste plans and programs under RCW 70.95.130, 70.95.140, 70.95.220, and 70.95.230. Funds for plans and programs shall be allocated consistent with the priorities and matching requirements established in chapters 70.105 and 70.95 RCW.

(4) Except for unanticipated receipts under RCW 43.79.260 through 43.79.282, moneys in the state and local toxics control accounts may be spent only after appropriation by statute. All earnings from investment of balances in the accounts, except as provided in RCW 43.84.090, shall be credited to the accounts.

(5) One percent of the moneys deposited into the state and local toxics control accounts shall be allocated only for public participation grants to persons who may be adversely affected by a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance and to not-for-profit public interest organizations. The primary purpose of these grants is to facilitate the participation by persons and organizations in the investigation and remedying of releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances and to implement the state's solid and hazardous waste management priorities. No grant may exceed fifty thousand dollars though it may be renewed annually. Moneys appropriated for public participation from either account which are not expended at the close of any biennium shall revert to the state toxics control account.

(6) No moneys deposited into either the state or local toxics control account may be used for solid waste incinerator feasibility studies, construction, maintenance, or operation.

(7) The department shall adopt rules for grant issuance and performance.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. INTENT OF POLLUTION TAX. It is the intent of this chapter to impose a tax only once for each hazardous substance possessed in this state and to tax the first possession of all hazardous substances, including substances and products that the department of ecology determines to present a threat to human health or the environment. However, it is not intended to impose a tax on the first possession of small amounts of any hazardous substance (other than petroleum and pesticide products) that is first possessed by a retailer for the purpose of sale to ultimate consumers. This chapter is not intended to exempt any person from tax liability under any other law.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. DEFINITIONS. Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter.

(1) "Hazardous substance" means:

(a) Any substance that, on the effective date of this section, is a hazardous substance under section 101(14) of the federal comprehensive environmental response, compensation, and liability act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 9601(14), as amended by Public Law 99-499;

(b) Petroleum products;

(c) Any pesticide product required to be registered under the federal insecticide, fungicide and rodenticide act; and

(d) Any other substance, category of substance, and any product or category of product determined by the director of ecology by rule to present a threat to human health or the environment if released into the environment. The director of ecology shall not add or delete substances from this definition more often than twice during each calendar year. For tax purposes, changes in this definition shall take effect on the first day of the next month that is at least thirty days after the effective date of the rule. The word "product" or "products" as used in this paragraph (d) means an item or items containing both: (i) One or more substances that are hazardous substances under (a), (b), or (c) of this subsection or that are substances or categories of substances determined under this paragraph (d) to present a threat to human health or the environment if released into the environment; and (ii) one or more substances that are not hazardous substances.

(2) "Petroleum product" means plant condensate, lubricating oil, gasoline, aviation fuel, kerosene, diesel motor fuel, benzol, fuel oil, residual oil, liquefied or liquefiable gases such as butane, ethane, and propane, and every other product derived from the refining of crude oil, but the term does not include crude oil.

(3) "Possession" means the control of a hazardous substance located within this state and includes both actual and constructive possession. "Actual possession" occurs when the person with control has physical possession. "Constructive possession" occurs when the person with control does not have physical possession. "Control" means the power to sell or use a hazardous substance or to authorize the sale or use by another.

(4) "Previously taxed hazardous substance" means a hazardous substance in respect to which a tax has been paid under this chapter and which has not been remanufactured or reprocessed in any manner (other than mere repackaging or recycling for beneficial reuse) since the tax was paid.

(5) "Wholesale value" means fair market wholesale value, determined as nearly as possible according to the wholesale selling price at the place of use of similar substances of like quality and character, in accordance with rules of the department.

(6) Except for terms defined in this section, the definitions in chapters 82.04, 82.08, and 82.12 RCW apply to this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. POLLUTION TAX. (1) A tax is imposed on the privilege of possession of hazardous substances in this state. The rate of the tax shall be seven-tenths of one percent multiplied by the wholesale value of the substance.

(2) Moneys collected under this chapter shall be deposited in the toxics control accounts under section 7 of this act.

(3) Chapter 82.32 RCW applies to the tax imposed in this chapter. The tax due dates, reporting periods, and return requirements applicable to chapter 82.04 RCW apply equally to the tax imposed in this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. EXEMPTIONS. The following are exempt from the tax imposed in this chapter:

(1) Any successive possession of a previously taxed hazardous sub-

stance. If tax due under this chapter has not been paid with respect to a hazardous substance, the department may collect the tax from any person who has had possession of the hazardous substance. If the tax is paid by any person other than the first person having taxable possession of hazardous substance, the amount of tax paid shall constitute a debt owed by the first person having taxable possession to the person who paid the tax.

(2) Any possession of a hazardous substance by a natural person under circumstances where the substance is used, or is to be used, for a personal or domestic purpose (and not for any business purpose) by that person or a relative of, or person residing in the same dwelling as, that person.

(3) Any possession of a hazardous substance amount which is determined as minimal by the department of ecology and which is possessed by a retailer for the purpose of making sales to ultimate consumers. This exemption does not apply to pesticide or petroleum products.

(4) Any possession of alumina or natural gas.

(5) Persons or activities which the state is prohibited from taxing under the United States Constitution.

(6) Any persons possessing a hazardous substance where such possession first occurred before the effective date of this section.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. CREDITS. (1) Credit shall be allowed in accordance with rules of the department of revenue for taxes paid under this chapter with respect to fuel carried from this state in the fuel tank of any airplane, ship, truck, or other vehicle.

(2) Credit shall be allowed, in accordance with rules of the department, against the taxes imposed in this chapter for any hazardous substance tax paid to another state with respect to the same hazardous substance. The amount of the credit shall not exceed the tax liability arising under this chapter with respect to that hazardous substance. For the purpose of this subsection:

(a) "Hazardous substance tax" means a tax:

(i) Which is imposed on the act or privilege of possession hazardous substances, and which is not generally imposed on other activities or privileges; and

(ii) Which is measured by the value of the hazardous substance, in terms of wholesale value or other terms, and in the determination of which the deductions allowed would not constitute the tax an income tax or value added tax.

(b) "State" means (i) the state of Washington, (ii) a state of the United States other than Washington, or any political subdivision of such other state, (iii) the District of Columbia, and (iv) any foreign country or political subdivision thereof.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. WATER DISCHARGE FEES. A new section is added to chapter 90.48 RCW to read as follows:

(1) The department shall establish annual fees to collect expenses for issuing and administering each class of permits under RCW 90.48.160, 90.48.162, and 90.48.260. An initial fee schedule shall be established by rule within one year of the effective date of this section, and thereafter the fee schedule shall be adjusted no more often than once every two years. This fee schedule shall apply to all permits, regardless of date of issuance, and fees shall be assessed prospectively. All fees charged shall be based on factors relating to the complexity of permit issuance and compliance and may be based on pollutant loading and toxicity and be designed to encourage recycling and the reduction of the quantity of pollutants. Fees shall be established in amounts to fully recover and not to exceed expenses incurred by the department in processing permit applications and modifications, monitoring and evaluating compliance with permits, conducting inspections, securing laboratory analysis of samples taken during inspections, reviewing plans and documents directly related to operations of permittees, overseeing performance of delegated pretreatment programs, and supporting the overhead expenses that are directly related to these activities.

(2) The annual fee paid by a municipality, as defined in 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1362, for all domestic wastewater facility permits issued under RCW 90.48.162 and 90.48.260 shall not exceed the total of a maximum of five cents per month per residence or residential equivalent contributing to the municipality's wastewater system. The department shall adopt by rule a schedule of credits for any municipality engaging in a comprehensive monitoring program beyond the requirements imposed by the depart-

ment, with the credits available for five years from the effective date of this section and with the total amount of all credits not to exceed fifty thousand dollars in the five-year period.

(3) The department shall ensure that indirect dischargers do not pay twice for the administrative expense of a permit. Accordingly, administrative expenses for permits issued by a municipality under RCW 90.48.165 are not recoverable by the department.

(4) In establishing fees, the department shall consider the economic impact of fees on small dischargers and the economic impact of fees on public entities required to obtain permits for storm water runoff and shall provide appropriate adjustments.

(5) All fees collected under this section shall be deposited in the water quality permit account hereby created in the state treasury. Moneys in the account may be appropriated only for purposes of administering permits under RCW 90.48.160, 90.48.162, and 90.48.260.

(6) The department shall submit an annual report to the legislature showing detailed information on fees collected, actual expenses incurred, and anticipated expenses for the current and following fiscal years.

(7) The legislative budget committee in 1993 shall review the fees established under this section and report its findings to the legislature in January 1994.

Sec. 14. Section 6, chapter 109, Laws of 1987 and RCW 43.21B.-- are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Any order issued by the department or authority pursuant to RCW 70.94.211, 70.94.332, 70.105.095, 43.27A.190, 86.16.020, or 90.48.120(2) or any provision enacted after July 26, 1987, or any permit, certificate, or license issued by the department may be appealed to the pollution control hearings board if the appeal is filed with the board and served on the department or authority within thirty days after receipt of the order. Except as provided under chapter 70.-- RCW (sections 1 through 7 of this 1988 act.) this is the exclusive means of appeal of such an order.

(2) The department or the authority in its discretion may stay the effectiveness of an order during the pendency of such an appeal.

(3) At any time during the pendency of an appeal of such an order to the board, the appellant may apply pursuant to RCW 43.21B.-- (section 7, chapter 109, Laws of 1987) to the hearings board for a stay of the order or for the removal thereof.

(4) Any appeal must contain the following in accordance with the rules of the hearings board:

(a) The appellant's name and address;

(b) The date and docket number of the order, permit, or license appealed;

(c) A description of the substance of the order, permit, or license that is the subject of the appeal;

(d) A clear, separate, and concise statement of every error alleged to have been committed;

(e) A clear and concise statement of facts upon which the requester relies to sustain his or her statements of error; and

(f) A statement setting forth the relief sought.

(5) Upon failure to comply with any final order of the department, the attorney general, on request of the department, may bring an action in the superior court of the county where the violation occurred or the potential violation is about to occur to obtain such relief as necessary, including injunctive relief, to insure compliance with the order. The air authorities may bring similar actions to enforce their orders.

(6) An appealable decision or order shall be identified as such and shall contain a conspicuous notice to the recipient that it may be appealed only by filing an appeal with the hearings board and serving it on the department within thirty days of receipt.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 15. A new section is added to chapter 70.105 RCW to read as follows:

Any person who knowingly transports, treats, stores, handles, disposes of, or exports a hazardous substance in violation of this chapter is guilty of: (1) A class B felony if the person knows at the time that the conduct constituting the violation places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury; or (2) a class C felony if the person knows that the conduct constituting the violation places any property of another person or any natural resources owned by the state of Washington or any of its local governments in imminent danger of harm. As used

in this section, "imminent danger" means that there is a substantial likelihood that harm will be experienced within a reasonable period of time should the danger not be eliminated. As used in this section, "knowingly" refers to an awareness of facts, not awareness of law. Violators shall be punished as provided under RCW 9A.20.021.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 16. A new section is added to chapter 70.105A RCW to read as follows:

The legislature is encouraged to revise the hazardous waste fees prescribed in RCW 70.105A.030 in a manner which provides an incentive for waste reduction and recycling. If prior to the effective date of this section, RCW 70.105A.030 as it existed on August 1, 1987, has not been amended in a manner which specifically provides an incentive for hazardous waste reduction and recycling, then (1) the requirement to pay the fees prescribed in that section is eliminated solely for fees due and payable on June 30, 1989; and (2) the department of ecology shall prepare, and submit to the legislature by January 1, 1990, a proposed revision designed to provide an incentive for hazardous waste reduction and recycling.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 17. REPEALER. Section 4, chapter 249, Laws of 1985 and RCW 90.48.460 are each repealed.

This section shall take effect on the date the rule establishing the initial fee schedule under section 13 of this act takes effect.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 18. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 19. CONSTRUCTION. The provisions of this act are to be liberally construed to effectuate the policies and purposes of this act. In the event of conflict between the provisions of this act and any other act, the provisions of this act shall govern.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 20. EXISTING AGREEMENTS. The consent orders and decrees in effect on the effective date of this section shall remain valid and binding.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 21. CAPTIONS. As used in this act, captions constitute no part of the law.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 22. SHORT TITLE. This act shall be known as "the model toxics control act."

NEW SECTION. Sec. 23. LEGISLATIVE DIRECTIVE. Sections 1 through 7 of this act shall constitute a new chapter in Title 70 RCW. Sections 8 through 12 of this act shall constitute a new chapter in Title 82 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 24. REPEALER. Any bill of the legislature involving hazardous substance cleanup (along with any other subject matter) that is enacted between August 15, 1987, and January 1, 1988, is superseded and repealed.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 25. IMPLEMENTATION. By the effective date of this act, the legislature shall provide for any appropriations and/or transfers of appropriations or funds made or accumulated under the bill repealed under section 24 of this act that are necessary to implement this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 26. EFFECTIVE DATE. (1) Sections 1 through 24 of this act shall take effect March 1, 1989, except that the director of ecology and the director of revenue may take whatever actions may be necessary to ensure that sections 1 through 24 of this act are implemented on their effective date.

(2) This section does not apply and shall have no force or effect if (a) this act is passed by the legislature in the 1988 regular session or (b) no bill is enacted by the legislature involving hazardous substance cleanup (along with any other subject matter) between August 15, 1987, and January 1, 1988.

section to chapter 43.21C RCW; adding a new section to chapter 70.94 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 70.105 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 90.03 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 90.44 RCW; adding new sections to chapter 90.48 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 90.58 RCW; creating a new chapter in Title 70 RCW; creating a new chapter in Title 82 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess. and to chapter 82.22 RCW; creating new sections; repealing RCW 70.105A.010, 70.105A.020, 70.105A.030, 70.105A.040, 70.105A.050, 70.105A.060, 70.105A.070, 70.105A.080, 70.105A.090, 70.105A.900, and 70.105A.905; repealing section 65, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess. (uncodified); prescribing penalties; making appropriations; providing an effective date; providing an expiration date; and declaring an emergency.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. INTENT. The legislature recognizes that the beneficial stewardship of the land, air, and waters of the state is a solemn obligation of the present generation for the benefit of future generations.

A healthful environment is threatened by numerous hazardous waste sites in this state. The legislature finds that private parties should be provided with encouragement to exercise their responsibility to clean up the sites for which they are responsible, but that if they refuse to do so, then the state should conduct cleanup operations and recover the costs thereof from the private parties. The legislature also finds that there are numerous publicly owned sites that were former solid waste landfills and that because the cost of cleaning those sites frequently exceeds the financial resources of refuse rate payers, state financial assistance is appropriate.

The legislature finds that because it is often difficult or impossible to allocate responsibility among persons liable for hazardous waste sites and because it is essential that sites be cleaned up well and expeditiously, each liable person should be liable jointly and severally.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. DEFINITIONS. The definitions set forth in this section apply throughout this chapter.

(1) "Department" means the department of ecology.

(2) "Director" means the director of ecology or the director's designee.

(3) "Disposal" means the discharge, deposit, injection, release, dumping, spilling, leaking, placing, or allowing to seep of any hazardous substance into or on any land or water.

(4) "Facility" means (a) any building, structure, installation, equipment, pipe or pipeline (including any pipe into a sewer or publicly owned treatment works), well, pit, pond, lagoon, impoundment, ditch, landfill, storage container, motor vehicle, rolling stock, vessel, or aircraft, or (b) any site or area where a hazardous substance, other than a consumer product in consumer use, has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise, come to be located.

(5) "Federal cleanup law" means the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 9601 et seq., as amended by Public Law 99-499.

(6) "Hazardous substance" means:

(a) Any dangerous or extremely hazardous waste as defined in RCW 70.105.010(5) and (6), or any dangerous or extremely hazardous waste designated by rule pursuant to chapter 70.105 RCW;

(b) Any hazardous substance as defined in RCW 70.105.010(14) or any hazardous substance as defined by rule pursuant to chapter 70.105 RCW;

(c) Any substance that, on the effective date of this section, is a hazardous substance under section 101(14) of the federal cleanup law;

(d) Any substance or category of substances determined by the director by rule to present a threat to human health or the environment if released into the environment;

(e) Solid waste decomposition products that present a substantial threat to human health or the environment; and

(f) Petroleum and petroleum products.

(7)(a) "Owner or operator" means:

(i) Any person with any ownership interest in the facility or who exercises any control over the facility; or

(ii) In the case of an abandoned facility, any person who had owned,



COMPLETE TEXT OF Alternative Measure 97B

AN ACT Relating to the environment; amending RCW 90.48.460, 90.48.190, and 43.21B.310; adding a new section to chapter 9A.36 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 34.04 RCW; adding a new

operated, or exercised control over the facility any time before its abandonment.

(b) The term "owner or operator" does not include:

(i) An agency of the state or unit of local government that acquired ownership or control involuntarily through bankruptcy, tax delinquency, abandonment, or circumstances in which the government involuntarily acquires title; or

(ii) A person who, without participating in the management of a facility, holds indicia of ownership primarily to protect the person's security interest in the facility; or

(iii) A person who holds a security interest in a facility, or who as a result of the interest acquires ownership or control of a facility, where the security interest was created to secure the repayment of value extended solely for the purpose of remedial action costs, and the value actually has been or will be applied to that purpose; or

(iv) A person, including, but not limited to, a bank, savings and loan association, savings bank, credit union or insurance company, which, while holding a security interest in a facility and pursuant to such interest, exercises or has exercised control consistent with ordinary and customary lending practices, but such control shall not include operation of the facility or assumption of business decisions of the facility.

(c) Paragraph (b) of this subsection does not apply to a person who has caused or contributed to the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance from the facility, nor does it apply to any person whom the department finds uses a security interest as a device to avoid liability under this chapter.

(8) "Person" means an individual, firm, corporation, association, partnership, consortium, joint venture, commercial entity, state government agency, unit of local government, federal government agency, or Indian tribe.

(9) "Potentially liable person" means any person whom the department finds, based on credible evidence, to be liable under section 4 of this act.

(10) "Release" means any intentional or unintentional entry of any hazardous substance into the environment, including but not limited to the abandonment or disposal of containers of hazardous substances. A release of a pesticide for which liability is exempted under section 4(3)(d) of this act shall not be considered hazardous unless, either alone or in conjunction with other releases, the release of the pesticide threatens human health or the environment.

(11) "Remedy" or "remedial action" means any action or expenditure consistent with the purposes of this chapter to identify, eliminate, or minimize any threat or potential threat posed by hazardous substances to human health or the environment including any investigative and monitoring activities with respect to any release or threatened release of a hazardous substance and any health assessments or health effects studies conducted in order to determine the risk or potential risk to human health.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. DEPARTMENT'S POWERS AND DUTIES. (1) The department may exercise the following powers in addition to any other powers granted by law:

(a) The department may conduct, provide for conducting, or require potentially liable persons to conduct remedial actions to remedy a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance. In carrying out such powers, the department's authorized employees, agents, or contractors or the employees, agents, or contractors of a potentially liable person acting under an approved settlement agreement may enter upon property. In conducting such remedial actions, the department may obtain information and access to property pursuant to section 11 of this act. In conducting, providing for, or requiring remedial action, the department shall give preference to permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable and shall provide for or require adequate monitoring to ensure the effectiveness of the remedial action.

(b) The department may carry out all state programs authorized under the federal cleanup law and the Federal Resource, Conservation, and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 6901 et seq., as amended.

(c) The department may classify substances as hazardous substances for purposes of section 2(6) of this act and classify substances and products as hazardous substances for purposes of section 45 of this act.

(d) The department may take any other actions necessary to carry

out the provisions of this chapter, including the adoption of rules under chapter 34.04 RCW. The department may adopt emergency or interim rules where immediate promulgation of rules is necessary to implement this chapter prior to the adoption of final rules.

(e) Prior to adopting rules to carry out this chapter, the department shall facilitate discussions among persons interested in the rule-making and act to mediate differences among such persons in order to achieve to the maximum extent possible consent on the rules.

(2) The department shall immediately implement all provisions of this chapter to the maximum extent practicable, including investigative and remedial actions were appropriate. Within nine months after the effective date of this section, the department shall adopt rules under chapter 34.04 RCW to:

(a) Establish criteria for determining priorities among hazardous substance sites. These criteria shall assure that sites are ranked by a system that objectively and numerically assesses the relative degree of risk at such sites; and

(b) Establish reasonable deadlines not to exceed ninety days for initiating an investigation of a hazardous waste site after the department receives information that the site may pose a threat to human health or the environment and other reasonable deadlines for remedying releases or threatened releases at the site.

(3) Before November 1st of each even-numbered year, the department shall develop, with public notice and hearing, and submit to the ways and means and appropriate standing environmental committees of the senate and house of representatives a ranked list of projects and expenditures recommended for appropriation from both the state and local toxics control accounts. The department shall also provide the legislature and the public each year with an accounting of the department's activities supported by appropriations from the state toxics control account, including a list of known hazardous waste sites and their hazard rankings, actions taken and planned at each site, how the department is meeting its top two management priorities under RCW 70.105.150, and all funds expended under this chapter.

(4) The department shall establish a scientific advisory board to render advice to the department with respect to the hazard ranking system, cleanup standards, remedial actions, deadlines for remedial actions, monitoring, the classification of substances as hazardous substances for purposes of substances or products as hazardous substances for purposes of section 2(6) of this act. The board shall consist of independent members representing varied interests. No members may be employees of the department. Members shall be reimbursed for travel expenses as provided in RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060.

(5) The department shall establish a program to identify potential hazardous waste sites and to encourage persons to provide information about hazardous waste sites.

(6) The department, with the assistance of the department of revenue, shall by December 1, 1991, submit to the legislature a report on the status of the cleanup program authorized by this chapter to include at a minimum the following:

(a) The amount of tax and other revenues generated and anticipated to be generated to fund the program, with a recommendation, if any, for revision of the taxing mechanism;

(b) An accounting of all expenditures made pursuant to this chapter, including a description of remedial actions in progress; each program, activity or remedial action funded and the amount of funding provided; and

(c) Projections of the need for funds for future remedial actions.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. STANDARD OF LIABILITY. (1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, the following persons are liable with respect to a facility:

(a) The owner or operator of the facility;

(b) Any person who owned or operated the facility at the time of disposal or release of the hazardous substance;

(c) Any person who owned or possessed a hazardous substance and who by contract, agreement, or otherwise arranged for disposal or treatment of the hazardous substance at the facility, or arranged with a transporter for transport for disposal or treatment of the hazardous substance at the facility, or otherwise generated hazardous waste disposed of or treated at the facility;

(d) Any person (i) who accepts or accepted any hazardous substance for transport to a disposal, treatment, or other facility selected by the person, from which facility there is a release or a threatened release for which remedial action is required, unless the facility, at the time of disposal or treatment, could legally receive the substance; or (ii) who accepts a hazardous substance for transport to such a facility and has reasonable grounds to believe that the facility is not operated in accordance with chapter 70.105 RCW; and

(e) Any person who both sells a hazardous substance and is responsible for written instructions for its use if (i) the substance is used according to the instructions and (ii) the use constitutes a release for which remedial action is required at the facility.

(2) Each person who is liable under this section is strictly liable, jointly and severally, for all remedial action costs at or associated with the facility and for all natural resource damages resulting from the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances. The attorney general, at the request of the department, may recover all costs and damages from persons liable for them.

(3) The following persons are not liable under this section:

(a) Any person who can establish that the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance for which the person would be otherwise liable was caused solely by:

(i) An act of God;

(ii) An act of war; or

(iii) An act or omission of a third party (including but not limited to a trespasser) other than (A) an employee or agent of the person asserting the defense, or (B) any person whose act or omission occurs in connection with a contractual relationship existing, directly or indirectly, with the person asserting this defense to liability. This defense applies only where the person asserting the defense has exercised the utmost care with respect to the hazardous substance, the foreseeable acts or omissions of the third party, and the foreseeable consequences of those acts or omissions;

(b) Any person who is an owner, past owner, or purchaser of a facility and who can establish by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time the facility was acquired by the person, the person had no knowledge or reason to know that any hazardous substance, the release or threatened release of which has resulted in or contributed to the need for the remedial action, was released or disposed of on, in, or at the facility. This paragraph (b) is limited as follows:

(i) To establish that a person had no reason to know, the person must have undertaken, at the time of acquisition, all appropriate inquiry into the previous ownership and uses of the property, consistent with good commercial or customary practice in an effort to minimize liability. Any court interpreting this paragraph (b) shall take into account any specialized knowledge or experience on the part of the person, the relationship of the purchase price to the value of the property if uncontaminated, commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property, the obviousness of the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property, and the ability to detect such contamination by appropriate inspection;

(ii) The defense contained in this paragraph (b) is not available to any person who had actual knowledge of the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance when the person owned the real property and who subsequently transferred ownership of the property without first disclosing such knowledge to the transferee;

(iii) The defense contained in this paragraph (b) is not available to any persons who, by any act or omission, caused or contributed to the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance at the facility;

(c) Any person who uses a hazardous substance lawfully and without negligence for any personal or domestic purpose in or near a dwelling or accessory structure when that person is: (i) A resident of the dwelling; (ii) a person who assists the resident in the use of the substance; or (iii) a person who is employed or retained by the resident;

(d) Any person who, without negligence and in accordance with all federal and state laws, applies pesticides or fertilizers for any of the following purposes: (i) Producing any crops, farm animals, or any other farm product; (ii) growing Christmas trees; (iii) growing any nursery plant; or (iv) growing trees, including trees for the production of timber. This exemption also extends to any owner of land leased to such person and an

applicator with whom such person enters into a contract for the application of the pesticides or fertilizers, so long as the application is without negligence and is in accordance with all federal and state laws. This exemption does not apply to aquaculture; or

(e) Any person with respect to the release or threatened release of used motor oil collected by the person for recycling, if the oil (i) is not mixed with any other hazardous substance; and (ii) is collected, stored, and maintained by the person in compliance with all federal and state laws and without negligence. Unless the person has reason to believe the contrary, it shall be presumed that used motor oil that has been removed from a vehicle by the owner and delivered to the person for recycling has not been mixed with any other hazardous substance.

(4) Nothing in this chapter affects or modifies in any way any person's right to seek or obtain relief under other statutes or under common law, including but not limited to damages for injury or loss caused by a hazardous substance. No settlement by the department or remedial action ordered by a court or the department affects any person's right to obtain a remedy under common law or other statutes.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. PETROLEUM. (1) Petroleum, including crude oil or any fraction thereof, is covered only by the provisions of subsection (2) of this section and section 11(2) of this act, and by no other provisions of this chapter, unless:

(a) It is an extremely hazardous waste under chapter 70.105 RCW; or

(b) It is a hazardous substance under section 2(6)(c) or (e) of this act.

(2) The department may investigate, respond to, and order or initiate cleanup of spills, leaks, or discharges covered only by this subsection. The department may recover its costs incurred in exercising its powers under this section and any natural resource damages caused by the releases from any person owning or controlling the material released, or from any person otherwise responsible for the releases, and the persons are strictly liable, jointly and severally, for such costs and damages.

(3) This section expires on July 1, 1990, unless before that date legislation is enacted into law providing a comprehensive cleanup program for releases of petroleum (including crude oil or any fraction thereof) from storage tanks and specific funding sources for the program.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. CLEANUP STANDARDS. (1) The department shall select those actions that will attain a degree of cleanup that is protective of human health and the environment.

(2) Each remedial action approved by the department shall attain cleanup levels set by the department. Such levels shall include:

(a) With respect to each hazardous substance, a cleanup that, at a minimum, meets the substantive requirements of all applicable state and federal laws, regulations, and rules;

(b) With respect to hazardous substances for which no applicable state or federal law, regulation, or rule exists, the department shall set the cleanup level on a case-by-case basis in order to prevent potential harm to human health and the environment. In making this determination the department may refer to state and federal laws, regulations, rules, and criteria relevant and appropriate to this determination;

(c) With respect to each hazardous substance, where the proponents of a proposed remedial action demonstrate to the department by clear and convincing evidence that an alternative to cleanup levels established under (a) of this subsection would assure protection of human health and the environment, the department may allow a deviation from those cleanup levels.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. VOLUNTARY CLEANUPS. (1) Whenever the department has reason to believe that a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance will require remedial action, it shall notify potentially liable persons with respect to the release or threatened release, and provide them with a reasonable opportunity to propose a settlement agreement providing for remedial action. Whenever the department considers it to be in the public interest, the department shall expedite such an agreement with parties whose contribution of hazardous substances is insignificant in amount and toxicity.

(2) Within nine months after the effective date of this section, the department shall adopt rules under chapter 34.04 RCW to implement this section. At a minimum the rules shall:

(a) Provide procedures by which potentially liable persons may propose one or more remedial actions;

(b) Provide procedures for public notice and an opportunity to comment on proposed settlements;

(c) Establish reasonable deadlines and time periods for activities under this subsection; and

(d) Ensure that agreements providing for voluntary cleanups attain the cleanup levels required under section 6 of this act.

(3) Where the department and one or more potentially liable persons are unable to reach agreement for remedial action that will provide a final cleanup remedy, the persons may submit a final offer of a proposed settlement agreement, together with any material supporting the proposal. The department shall consider the offer and material submitted, as well as public comments provided on the offer, and shall issue a decision accepting or rejecting the offer. Where the department accepts the offer, it shall be entered as a consent decree pursuant to the procedures of subsection (5) of this section. Where the department rejects the offer, it shall state in writing its reasons for rejection. This review process shall not be considered a contested case for the purpose of the administrative procedure act, chapter 34.04 RCW.

(4) The person or persons proposing an agreement rejected by the department under subsection (3) of this section have a right to review only as provided in section 13 of this act.

(5) Where the department and potentially liable persons reach an agreement providing for voluntary remedial action, it shall be filed with the appropriate superior court as a proposed consent decree. The court shall allow at least thirty days for public comments before the proposed decree is entered, and the department shall file with the court any written comments received on the proposed decree.

(6) A person who has resolved its liability to the state under this section is not liable for claims for contribution regarding matters addressed in the settlement. The settlement does not discharge any of the other potentially liable persons, but it reduces the total potential liability of the others to the state by the amount of the settlement.

(7) The director may enter into a settlement agreement that requires the department to provide a specified amount of money from the state toxics control account to help defray the costs of implementing the plan. These funds may be provided only in circumstances where the director finds it would expedite or enhance cleanup operations or achieve greater fairness with respect to the payment of remedial action costs. In determining whether public funding will achieve greater fairness, the director shall consider the extent to which public funding will prevent or mitigate economic hardship. The director shall adopt rules providing criteria and priorities governing public funding of remedial action costs under this subsection. The amount of public funding in an agreement under this section shall be determined solely in the discretion of the director and is not subject to review. The department may recover the amount of public funding provided under this subsection from a potentially liable person who has not entered into a settlement agreement under this section or fulfilled all obligations under the agreement. For purposes of such a recovery action, the amount shall be considered as remedial action costs paid by the department.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE. (1) As a part of a settlement agreement accepted by the department, the director shall provide a covenant not to sue with respect to any remedial action that is required by the agreement and that will accomplish any of the following:

(a) Treatment of hazardous substances so as to destroy, eliminate, or permanently immobilize the hazardous constituents of the substances so that the substances, or any byproducts of the treatment or destruction process, no longer present any foreseeable future significant risk to human health or welfare or the environment; or

(b) When such destruction, elimination, or permanent immobilization is not practicable, the transportation of the hazardous substances from the site to an approved hazardous waste disposal facility meeting the requirements of the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 6924 and 6925, as amended as of the effective date of this section, and, if the substances are disposed of in this state, the rules of the department adopted pursuant to chapter 70.105 RCW for permanent disposal facilities; or

(c) Cleanup levels that have been set only under section 6(2)(a) of this act.

(2) (a) As a part of a settlement agreement with the department, the

director may provide a covenant not to sue with respect to any remedial action if the cleanup levels have been established under section 6(2)(b) of this act, and if the covenant not to sue is determined by the director to be in the public interest.

(b) In making the determination of public interest the director shall consider the following factors:

(i) Whether the benefits from the expedition of the voluntary remedial action caused by the issuance of a covenant not to sue would exceed the potential future risk to human health and public finances caused by such issuance;

(ii) The nature of the risks that might remain at the facility;

(iii) The extent to which the remedial action is based on attainment of performance standards based on objective criteria for releases of substances to, or the presence of substances in, land, air, or water;

(iv) Whether the state toxics control account or sources of funding other than state general funds would be available for any additional remedial action that might eventually be necessary at the facility;

(v) Whether the monitoring and maintenance required at the site, if any, will protect human health and the environment; and

(vi) The extent to which the technology used in the remedial action is demonstrated to be effective.

(3) As a part of a settlement agreement with the department, the director may provide a covenant not to sue with respect to any remedial action taken if the cleanup level or levels have been established under section 6(2)(c) of this act and if:

(a) The director has determined that issuing the covenant is in the public interest as defined in subsection (2)(b) of this section;

(b) Compliance with the otherwise applicable standards is technically impracticable from an engineering perspective; and

(c) The remedial action provides optimum protection of human health and the environment.

(4) A "covenant not to sue" means a promise by the state of Washington, made with respect to a particular hazardous substance or a particular area, the cleaning up of which has been the purpose of a previous remedial action undertaken by the potentially liable person at the direction of the department and with the approval of the department. A covenant shall be commensurate with and strictly limited to the scope of the previous remedial action. In issuing the covenant, the state promises that, with respect to that substance or area, it will not initiate any future administrative or judicial action to force the potentially liable person to clean up, pay the expenses for cleaning up, conduct any investigations, or pay the expenses for any investigations. As used in this subsection, the word "investigations" does not include any monitoring or maintenance activities required under a covenant.

(5) A covenant may be issued with respect to all remedial actions included under a settlement agreement, or may be issued for one or more particular remedial actions included under a settlement agreement. If the remedial action is for cleaning up a particular hazardous substance, then the covenant does not extend to other hazardous substances. A covenant issued for a remedial action for cleaning up a particular hazardous substance shall contain an express reopener clause for the discovery of the release or threatened release of other hazardous substances.

(6) If the remedial action is for cleaning up a particular area, the covenant does not extend to other areas. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the issuance of a covenant for a particular area (as opposed to a covenant for a particular hazardous substance) is discretionary with the department, and shall only be issued for a remedial action that the department finds will ensure that (a) there will no longer be any foreseeable future risk in the area to human health or the environment and (b) all hazardous substances in the area are destroyed, eliminated, or permanently immobilized. In issuing an area covenant the department shall take special care to ensure that both the planned remedial action and its implementation conform to this chapter. A covenant issued for a particular area shall contain an express reopener clause for the discovery of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances outside such area. As used in this section, the term "particular area" means a precisely described three-dimensional area.

(7) The issuance of a covenant not to sue does not affect the power of the state to take whatever actions are necessary, other than those expressly barred by the covenant, to protect members of the public from

a health hazard, including, but not limited to, actions to prevent entrance upon the property, to prevent the use of the property for any purpose that exposes anyone to a health hazard, or to enter upon the property and take measures to clean up the hazardous substance. The issuance of a covenant does not affect any power of the state to institute or respond to any tort action or any other judicial or administrative action, so long as the state's action or response is not expressly barred by the covenant. With respect to any action filed against the state, a covenant does not bar the state from filing a cross-claim, counterclaim, or third party action against any person who may be liable or from seeking contribution from the person, so long as the damages or relief sought by the state in filing the cross-claim, counterclaim, or third party action is not expressly barred by the covenant.

(8) The director, with the concurrence of the attorney general, shall incorporate any covenant to be issued into the settlement agreement. The director's denial of a covenant meeting the requirements of subsection (1) of this section is reviewable under section 13 of this act. The director's denial of a proposed covenant under subsections (2) or (3) of this section is not subject to review. Any covenant not to sue shall be conditioned upon satisfactory performance of the settlement agreement and issuance of a certificate of completion pursuant to section 9 of this act. A covenant ceases to be conditional and becomes effective on the date of certification of completion of the agreement.

(9) If new information is revealed while implementing a settlement agreement, the potentially liable persons and the department may amend the agreement. If the new information reveals a significant quantity of a hazardous substance or condition not previously identified in the agreement as being present at the site, in an area of the site other than that described in the agreement, or in quantities significantly greater than as described in the agreement, then the agreement shall be amended. If a proposed amendment is to be incorporated into a final consent decree, public notice and opportunity to comment shall be allowed by the court prior to its entry in accordance with section 7(5) of this act. The department shall adopt rules providing a method for amending agreements. The existence of a covenant not to sue having conditional status pursuant to subsection (8) of this section neither bars amendments to settlements nor may be considered in deciding whether or not to amend settlements.

(10) A person receiving a covenant not to sue under this chapter is not relieved of any liability owed to persons, other than the state of Washington, under any federal, state, or local law, including the common law.

(11) Issuance of a covenant not to sue to a potentially liable person does not relieve or decrease any other person's liability to the state.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION. (1) Upon completion of all remedial actions called for in a settlement agreement, the parties to the agreement may apply for a certificate of completion from the department. The department shall provide notice of an application for certification of completion to interested persons and the public. The notice shall include a brief analysis of the application and indicate where additional information may be obtained. Public comment shall be accepted for a minimum of forty-five days from the date of the notice.

(2) The director shall grant or deny an application for certification of completion within ninety days of the application. If the director finds that the remedial action has been fully implemented, the director shall approve an application for certification of completion.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. REMEDIAL ACTION CONTRACTOR LIABILITY. (1) A person who is a remedial action contractor, or a person employed by any public body who provides services relating to remedial action, and who is working within the scope of the person's employment with respect to any release or threatened release of a hazardous substance from a facility, is not liable under this chapter, under any other state or local law, or under common law to any person for injuries, costs, damages, expenses, or other liability, including, but not limited to, claims for indemnification or contribution, and claims by third parties for death, personal injury, illness, or loss of or damage to property or economic loss, that result from the release or threatened release. This subsection does not apply in the case of a release or threatened release that is caused by conduct of the remedial action contractor that is negligent, grossly negligent, or that constitutes intentional misconduct.

(2) Nothing in this section affects the liability of any person under any

warranty under state law, or the liability of an employer who is a remedial action contractor to any employee of such employer under any provision of law.

(3) The director may agree to hold harmless and indemnify any remedial action contractor meeting the requirements of this section against any liability, including the expenses of litigation or settlement, for negligence arising out of the contractor's performance in carrying out remedial action activities under this chapter, unless the liability was caused by conduct of the contractor that was grossly negligent or that constituted intentional misconduct. Indemnification under this subsection applies only to remedial action contractor liability that results from a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance if the release arises out of remedial action activities. An indemnification agreement under this subsection shall include deductibles and shall place limits on the amount of indemnification to be made available.

(4) The exemption provided under subsection (1) of this section and the authority of the director to offer indemnification under subsection (3) of this section do not apply to any person liable under section 4(1) of this act.

(5) A person retained or hired by a potentially liable person is eligible for consideration for indemnification under subsection (3) of this section only if the remedial action is being implemented under an approved settlement agreement.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. INVESTIGATION AND ACCESS. (1)(a) If there is a reasonable basis to believe there may be a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance, the director may require information or documents relevant to that release or threatened release from a person who has or may have information relevant to (i) the identification, nature, and volume of materials generated, treated, stored, transported to, or disposed of at a facility and the dates thereof, (ii) the nature or extent of a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance at or from a facility, (iii) the identity of potentially liable persons, or (iv) information relating to the ability of a person to pay for or perform a remedial action. The department may subpoena witnesses, documents, and other information that the department deems necessary. In case of a refusal to obey such a subpoena, the superior court for any county in which the person is found, resides, or transacts business has jurisdiction to issue an order requiring the person to appear before the department and give testimony or produce documents. Any failure to obey such order of the court may be punished by the court as contempt.

(b) Where there is a reasonable basis to believe there may be a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance, the department, its authorized employees, agents, or contractors, or the employees, agents, or contractors of a potentially liable person acting under an approved settlement agreement, upon reasonable notice may enter upon any real property, public or private, to conduct sampling, inspection, examination, and investigation directed at evaluating the release or threatened release and determining the need, if any, for remedial action. In the event of an emergency, no notice need be provided. In conducting those activities, the department or other person gaining access under this section shall take all feasible precautions to avoid disrupting the ongoing operation on the site. The department or other person gaining access under this section shall provide to the owner, operator, or person in charge of the facility, if requested, a portion of each sample taken equal in volume or weight to the portion retained. If any analysis is made of the samples, a copy of the results of the analysis shall be furnished promptly to the owner, operator, or person in charge as well as to representatives of the public and other interested persons.

(2)(a) If the director determines that: (i) An emergency exists that requires immediate action to protect human health or the environment, and (ii) the owner or operator is unwilling or unable to take such immediate action, the department, its authorized employees, agents, or contractors, or the employees, agents, or contractors of a potentially liable person acting under departmental approval may without court order enter upon property, public or private, or take such remedial action as is necessary to abate the emergency.

(b) If the potentially liable person fails to implement a settlement or if no settlement has been achieved, or for the purpose of carrying out section 5(2) of this act, the director may determine, in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section, that action to respond to a release

or threatened release of hazardous substances is necessary and that entry upon real property, public or private, is necessary to execute remedial action. Such entry may be made by the department, its authorized employees, agents, or contractors, or the employees, agents, or contractors of a potentially liable person acting under an approved settlement agreement. The director's determination shall be based upon inspection, study, or other data as may be available, shall be made in writing, and shall be available for public inspection and copying. The department shall supply the person owning, operating, or in charge of the property concerned, as well as all potentially liable persons with (i) a written document detailing the director's determination and the basis for the determination, (ii) a notice that remedial action and entry upon property shall proceed in no fewer than sixty days, and (iii) a request for a prompt response. The director shall confer with any person responding to receipt of service of the director's determination in order to accommodate that person's legitimate concerns while obtaining prompt and necessary remedial action.

(c) The department, with the assistance of the attorney general's office, may apply to superior court for an order authorizing entry upon real property to execute remedial action. The department's application shall (i) state that the notice procedures required in this section have been carried out, (ii) describe the property concerned, and (iii) describe the remedial action selected by the director and the schedule for remedial action. If, after a hearing, the superior court finds that the department's application and supporting materials establish that the department has made a reasonable attempt to accommodate any responding party's legitimate concerns, the superior court shall enter an order authorizing entry upon real property to execute remedial action.

(d) In such proceedings authorized by this subsection, the court may not review (i) the director's determination that remedial action is necessary, that the entry upon real property is necessary, or the basis for such decisions; or (ii) any response by the director to the potentially liable person's concerns.

(3) The court may not enjoin or otherwise delay any remedial action deemed necessary by the director unless the superior court finds that the person lacks any adequate remedy at law.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. ENFORCEMENT. (1) Whenever, in the opinion of the director, a person (a) is potentially liable for a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance, (b) has been notified of its potential liability, but (c) either (i) has not submitted a proposed settlement or (ii) has submitted a proposed settlement, the department has rejected the proposal, and, if appealed, the denial has been affirmed by the superior court, then the director may seek appropriate injunctive or other judicial relief by filing an action in Thurston county superior court or issue such order as the director deems appropriate and serve the person with the order personally or by certified mail.

(2) Whenever the director determines that there exists an imminent danger that requires immediate remedial action to protect human health or the environment, the director may seek such injunctive relief or issue an order without prior notice or opportunity to submit a proposed settlement agreement.

(3) The director may bring an action in Thurston county superior court (a) against any potentially liable person who, without sufficient cause, fails to comply with an order issued under subsection (1) or (2) of this section to enforce the order, or (b) against any liable person to collect remedial action costs incurred by the department.

(4) In any action brought under subsection (3) of this section, the person, if liable, is responsible for:

(a) If the failure to comply with an order is willful, up to three times the amount of any remedial action costs incurred by the state as a result of the party's refusal to comply; and

(b) A civil penalty of up to ten thousand dollars for each day the party refuses to comply.

(5) The director may bring an action in Thurston county superior court to establish and collect a civil penalty for which a person is liable under section 17 of this act.

(6) Any potentially liable person who receives and complies with the terms of an order issued under this section may, within sixty days after completion of the required action, petition the director for reimbursement for any costs of the action for which the person is not liable. If the director refuses to grant all or part of the reimbursement sought, the petitioner

may, within thirty days of the date of the refusal, file an action against the department in Thurston county superior court seeking reimbursement. The judicial review shall be de novo, and the burden is on the department to establish liability.

(7) Before conducting a remedial action, the department may:

(a) Prepare a proposed scope of work based on any investigation or study conducted by or for the department, the potentially liable persons, or others;

(b) Provide the identified potentially liable persons and members of the public with notice of the proposed remedial action and an opportunity to comment on the scope of work proposed;

(c) Prepare a final scope of work based on the comments received and any other study or investigation conducted by or for the department.

(8) The proposed and final scope of work and the basis for them as well as all comments received by the department constitute the record of decision of the department.

(9) Where the department has developed a record of decision for a remedial action and the department has conducted the remedial action in accordance with the record, in any action brought to recover costs, the scope of work of the department shall be presumed reasonable and necessary unless demonstrated to be arbitrary and capricious.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. REVIEW OF ECOLOGY DECISIONS. (1) The decisions of the department under this chapter are reviewable only as provided in this section or section 14 of this act.

(2) (a) A potentially liable person aggrieved by a department decision to deny a final offer of a proposed settlement may obtain review by filing a petition in the Thurston county superior court within ten days of receipt of that decision and serving a copy of that petition on the department. The review shall be based upon the administrative record which shall consist of the final offer of proposed settlement, the material submitted in support of that offer, all comments provided on the proposed settlement, the department's response, and all material relied on by the department in making its decision. The department's decision shall not be reversed unless it is clearly erroneous. The court shall hold a hearing upon such petition within thirty days after the department certifies the record to the court. Any person potentially aggrieved may intervene in the review proceeding under this subsection.

(b) If the potentially liable person appeals a superior court decision affirming the decision of the department, then, during the pendency of the appeal, no court may stay or otherwise delay any enforcement order issued or remedial action undertaken by the department.

(3) Any investigative or remedial action decision of the department or decision identifying potentially liable persons is reviewable exclusively in superior court as follows:

(a) In a cost recovery action pursuant to section 4 or 12 of this act;

(b) In a judicial action by the department to compel remedial action pursuant to section 12 of this act;

(c) In an action by a potentially liable person for reimbursement pursuant to section 12 of this act; or

(d) In an action by the department to establish and collect a civil penalty under section 12 of this act.

(4) Any person aggrieved by the granting or denial of a certificate of completion pursuant to section 9 of this act may file a petition for review pursuant to the administrative procedure act, chapter 34.04 RCW, of that decision in Thurston county superior court within thirty days of the department's decision.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 14. THIRD PARTY ACTIONS. (1) Any person may commence a civil action to compel the department to perform any nondiscretionary duty under this chapter. At least thirty days before commencing the action, the person must give notice of intent to sue, unless a substantial endangerment to health or the environment exists.

(2) Any person aggrieved by an action or inactions of a potentially liable person that may result in a release of a hazardous substance that presents an imminent and substantial endangerment to health or the environment may commence a civil action to compel the potentially liable person to comply with this chapter. Before any action may be commenced, the person aggrieved shall mail by certified mail a notice of intent to sue to the director. The director shall be allowed thirty days to negotiate or mediate a resolution to the dispute before any action may be filed.

(3) Any person aggrieved by the release or threatened release of a

hazardous substance may commence a civil action against any person who fails to comply with an approved settlement agreement to compel compliance with the agreement.

(4) No action may be commenced under subsection (2) or (3) of this section where:

(a) The department is diligently prosecuting a judicial action or pursuing administrative action under this chapter to force a potentially liable person to respond to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances under this chapter; or

(b) The department is diligently pursuing remedial action against the release of the hazardous substance.

(5) Civil actions under this section may be brought in the superior court of Thurston county or of the county in which the release or threatened release exists.

(6) Nothing in this chapter affects or impairs any person's right under any other statute or under common law to commence a civil action relating to hazardous substances.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 15. LIENS. (1) Any liability to the state under this chapter constitutes a debt to the state. Any such debt constitutes a lien, in favor of the state, on all real property on which the remedial action was conducted.

(2) The lien imposed by this section arises at the time costs are first incurred by the state with respect to a remedial action under this chapter.

(3) The department shall file a statement of claim, describing the property subject to the lien, in the appropriate office as designated by state law. The lien continues until the liability for the costs have been satisfied. Any lien filed pursuant to this section shall be subject to the rights of any purchaser, holder of a security interest, or judgment lien creditor whose interest is perfected in accordance with law before notice of the state lien is filed.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 16. PROPERTY—RECORDS—SALE. (1) The owner of public or private nonresidential real property upon which a release of a significant quantity of a hazardous substance has been found by the department to have occurred shall place a notice in the records of real property kept by the auditor of the county in which the property is located. The notice shall: (a) identify the property; (b) identify the owner of the property and the person causing the notice to appear; (c) state that a release of a hazardous substance occurred on the property; (d) state the date the release occurred; and (e) direct further inquiries to the department. The department shall maintain records that identify the remedial action taken and the hazardous substance or substances released for each remedial action that has been conducted or approved by the department. Any person with an interest in the property, injured by the failure of a property owner to comply with this section, may recover damages for that injury by filing an action in superior court for the county in which the release occurred.

(2) Where the department has discovered the release of a significant quantity of a hazardous substance following an inspection of the facility, the department shall place a notice having the contents of the notice referred to in subsection (1) of this section in the records of real property kept by the auditor of the county in which the property is located.

(3) Any certification of completion issued in accordance with section 9 of this act shall be promptly filed with the records of real property kept by the auditor of the county in which the property is located and shall identify the property, the owner of the property, the date of issuance of the certificate, and the date the release occurred.

(4) Before selling any right, title, or interest in real property, whether public or private, the seller of the property shall provide a written statement to the purchaser describing any release of a significant quantity of a hazardous substance that the seller knows to have occurred during the prior twenty years on the property to be sold. Unless otherwise expressly agreed by seller and purchaser, any purchaser injured by failure of a seller of real property to provide the statement as required in this subsection may recover damages for that injury by filing an action in superior court for the county in which the property is located.

(5) The department shall determine by rule, consistent with the purposes of this chapter, which releases are subject to the reporting and notification requirements under subsections (1), (2), and (4) of this section. This rule shall limit required reporting under this section to those releases

that are of a magnitude that would cause a significant adverse impact to human health or the environment.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 17. FRAUD. If a potentially liable party commits fraud on the department or another potentially liable party in a proposed settlement agreement, in a request for a covenant not to sue, or in an application for a certificate of completion, then any limitation on liability or covenant not to sue otherwise provided is void, and the injured person, including the state of Washington, may recover actual damages sustained and a civil penalty of up to ten thousand dollars.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 18. PESTICIDE WASTE DISPOSAL. The director of the department of agriculture may adopt rules to allow the department of agriculture to take possession and dispose of canceled, suspended, or otherwise unusable pesticides held by persons regulated under chapter 17.21 RCW. For the purposes of this section, the department may become licensed as a hazardous waste generator.

The director of agriculture shall develop the necessary administrative structure to implement a pesticide waste disposal program. Issues to be addressed shall include, but are not limited to: Collection site acquisition, liability and insurance, transportation to the collection site and to ultimate disposal sites, licensure and regulatory compliance, volume of material to be disposed of, education as to legal use as an alternative to disposal, container disposal, and analysis of unknown presumed pesticide. In implementing the provisions of this section, the department of agriculture may charge fees of persons disposing of pesticide wastes to offset wholly or partially the program authorized by this section.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 19. HOUSEHOLD WASTE DISPOSAL. The director of the department of ecology may adopt rules to allow the department to take possession and dispose of household hazardous wastes.

The director of ecology shall assist local governments with implementation of household hazardous waste (moderate risk wastes) collection and disposal plans under RCW 70.105.220. The department shall provide technical assistance to facilitate collection site identification, acquisition of insurance, transportation to the collection site and to ultimate disposal sites, licensure and regulatory compliance, assessment of volume of material to be disposed of, education as to legal use as an alternative to disposal, container disposal, analysis of unknown presumed household hazardous wastes and other assistance the department deems appropriate. The department shall provide grants to local governments to implement household hazardous waste disposal and collection plans required under RCW 70.105.220.

In implementing the provisions of this section, the department or local governments may charge fees of persons disposing of household hazardous waste to offset wholly or partially the programs authorized by this section.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 20. BUSINESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM. The department of ecology shall contract with a nonprofit organization to establish a "pollution prevention pays" program for the purpose of promoting hazardous waste reduction and recycling. The program shall provide technical assistance to businesses that generate hazardous waste, which shall consist of: (1) A library and bibliography of literature detailing methods of waste reduction and recycling, including an in-house data base consisting of case studies, program publications, and contacts; (2) a waste reduction and recycling hotline; (3) onsite consultations for generators of hazardous wastes; and (4) an educational outreach program.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 21. HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES CONFISCATED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES. (1) The director of the department of ecology shall arrange for the collection of hazardous substances confiscated by law enforcement agencies pursuant to chapter 69.50 RCW or may provide financial assistance to law enforcement agencies for the disposal of such substances.

(2) The director of the department of ecology may adopt rules to allow the department to take possession and dispose of hazardous substances confiscated by law enforcement agencies under chapter 69.50 RCW.

(3) Any person convicted of a crime under chapter 69.50 RCW involving hazardous substances confiscated by a law enforcement agency may upon conviction, be assessed by the sentencing court with the costs of the disposal. Any money collected pursuant to this subsection shall not be subject to deposit in the public safety and education account. The department of ecology may seek reimbursement for the department's

contributions to the cost of disposal from the moneys collected from such convicted person.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 22. TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNTS. (1) The state toxics control account and the local toxics control account are created in the state treasury.

(2) The following moneys shall be deposited into the state toxics control account: (a) Forty-seven percent of those revenues that are raised by the tax imposed under section 46 of this act; (b) the costs of remedial actions recovered under this chapter or chapter 70.105A RCW after the effective date of this section; (c) penalties collected or recovered under this chapter; and (d) any other money appropriated or transferred to the account by the legislature.

(3) Moneys in the state toxics control account shall be used only to carry out the purposes of this chapter, including but not limited to the following activities:

(a) The state's responsibility for hazardous waste planning, management, regulation, enforcement, technical assistance, and public education required under chapter 70.105 RCW, including, but not limited to, programs for collection and disposal of household hazardous waste under chapter 70.105 RCW;

(b) The state's responsibility for solid waste planning, management, regulation, enforcement, technical assistance, and public education required under chapter 70.95 RCW;

(c) The hazardous waste cleanup program required under this chapter;

(d) State matching funds required under the federal cleanup law;

(e) Financial assistance for local programs in accordance with RCW 70.95.130, 70.95.220, 70.95.530, 70.105.220, 70.105.225, 70.105.235(1) (a), (b), and (c), and 70.105.260;

(f) State government programs for the safe reduction, recycling, or disposal of hazardous wastes from households, small businesses, and agriculture;

(g) Hazardous materials emergency response training;

(h) Water and environmental health protection and monitoring programs;

(i) Programs authorized under chapter 70.146 RCW;

(j) A public participation program, including a grant program;

(k) Public funding to assist potentially liable persons to pay for the costs of remedial action;

(l) Development and demonstration of alternative management technologies designed to carry out the top two hazardous waste management priorities of RCW 70.105.150,

(m) Disposal of law enforcement agency drug related confiscations as required in section 21 of this act.

(4) Fifty-three percent of those revenues that are raised by the tax imposed under section 46 of this act shall be deposited into the local toxics control account. Moneys deposited in the local toxics control account shall be used by the department for grants or loans to local governments to carry out the following purposes in descending order of priority: (a) Remedial actions for public or private facilities used primarily for the disposal of municipal solid waste that are on the hazard ranking list; (b) hazardous waste plans and programs under RCW 70.105.220, 70.105.225, 70.105.235(1) (a), (b), and (c), and 70.105.260, including, but not limited to, programs for collection and disposal of household hazardous waste under chapter 70.105 RCW; (c) solid waste plans and programs under RCW 70.95.130 and 70.95.220; and (d) solid waste disposal and management facilities, meaning facilities or systems owned or operated by local governments for the purpose of controlling, collecting, storing, treating, disposing, recycling, or recovery of solid wastes and including any equipment, structures, or property incidental to that purpose. However, the term does not include the acquisition of equipment used to collect residential or commercial garbage. In carrying out these priorities, the department shall ensure that moneys are made available to the maximum extent practicable to fund remedial actions.

(5) Except for unanticipated receipts under RCW 43.79.260 through 43.79.282, moneys in the state and local toxics control accounts may be spent only after appropriation by statute. All earnings from investment of balances in the accounts, except as provided in RCW 43.84.090, shall be credited to the accounts.

(6) When making grants or loans to local governments for assistance

under this chapter, the department shall consider the following:

(a) The protection of public health;

(b) The cost to residential ratepayers without state assistance; and

(c) Actions required under federal and state permits, enforcement orders, and consent decrees.

(7) The department shall develop specific matching requirements for assisting local governments in the funding of remedial actions, hazardous and solid waste plans and programs, and solid waste disposal and management facilities. Funds for hazardous and solid waste plans and programs shall be allocated consistently with the priorities established in chapters 70.95 and 70.105 RCW.

(8) One percent of the moneys deposited in the state and local toxics control accounts shall be allocated only for public participation grants. The department may provide public participation grants to groups of fifty or more persons who may be adversely affected by a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance and who petition the department for the grants. Grant moneys may be used only for the purposes of obtaining technical assistance in interpreting information with regard to the nature of the hazard, remedial investigation and feasibility study, record of decision, remedial design, selection and construction of remedial action, operation and maintenance, or removal at such facility. Each grant recipient shall be required, as a condition of the grant, to contribute funds equal to at least twenty percent of the grant amount and to commit such contributed funds toward the purposes for which the grant is made. Grants shall not exceed fifty thousand dollars for any one hazardous waste site, but the grant may be renewed to facilitate public participation at all stages of remedial action. All funds appropriated for public participation grants that remain unspent at the end of the biennium for which the appropriations are made revert to the state toxics control account.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 23. TOXICS CONTROL RESERVE ACCOUNT.

(1) The toxics control reserve account is created in the state treasury. Money in the account shall be used solely for remedying releases or threats of releases of hazardous substances by the state at sites for which a covenant not to sue has been entered into by the state. Money deposited in the account shall be administered by the department and is subject to legislative appropriation. All earnings from investment of balances in the toxics control reserve account, except as provided in RCW 43.84.090, shall be credited to the account.

(2) Beginning on July 1, 1988, and on July 1st of each year thereafter, the state treasurer shall transfer one and one-half million dollars from the state toxics control account and one and one-half million dollars from the local toxics control account to the toxics control reserve account. This subsection applies only if on July 1st the balance in the reserve account is less than twenty million dollars.

(3) After the reserve account balance first reaches twenty million dollars, the treasurer shall on July 1st of each year thereafter transfer equal amounts from the state toxics control account and the local toxics control account sufficient to bring the balance in the reserve account to twenty million dollars, but the contribution from each account shall not exceed one and one-half million dollars in any one year.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 24. EXISTING AGREEMENTS. The consent orders and decrees in effect on the effective date of this section shall remain valid and binding.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 25. EXEMPTION FROM PERMITS. A person conducting remedial action under an approved settlement agreement or the department conducting remedial action is exempt from the procedural and substantive requirements of state and local laws that would otherwise apply to the remedial action, including those requirements imposed by chapters 70.94, 70.105, 90.03, 90.44, and 90.58 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 26. APA EXEMPTION. A new section is added to chapter 34.04 RCW to read as follows:

This chapter shall not apply to review of final settlement offers under section 13 of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 27. SEPA EXEMPTION. A new section is added to chapter 43.21C RCW to read as follows:

The detailed statement and other procedural requirements of this chapter are not applicable to remedial action by the state or authorized or ordered by the state under chapter 70.____ RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act).

NEW SECTION. Sec. 28. EXEMPTION FROM PERMITS. A new section is added to chapter 70.94 RCW to read as follows:

A person conducting a remedial action pursuant to an approved settlement agreement or the department undertaking a remedial action under chapter 70____ RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act) is exempt from the procedural and substantive requirements of this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 29. EXEMPTION FROM PERMITS. A new section is added to chapter 70.105 RCW to read as follows:

A person conducting a remedial action pursuant to an approved settlement agreement or the department conducting a remedial action under chapter 70____ RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act) is exempt from the procedural and substantive requirements of this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 30. EXEMPTION FROM PERMITS. A new section is added to chapter 90.03 RCW to read as follows:

A person conducting a remedial action pursuant to an approved settlement agreement or the department conducting a remedial action under chapter 70____ RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act) is exempt from the procedural and substantive requirements of this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 31. EXEMPTION FROM PERMITS. A new section is added to chapter 90.44 RCW to read as follows:

A person conducting a remedial action pursuant to an approved settlement agreement or the department conducting a remedial action under chapter 70____ RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act) is exempt from the procedural and substantive requirements of this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 32. EXEMPTION FROM PERMITS. A new section is added to chapter 90.48 RCW to read as follows:

A person conducting a remedial action pursuant to an approved settlement agreement or the department conducting a remedial action under chapter 70____ RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act) is exempt from the procedural and substantive requirements of this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 33. EXEMPTION FROM PERMITS. A new section is added to chapter 90.58 RCW to read as follows:

A person conducting a remedial action pursuant to an approved settlement agreement or the department conducting a remedial action under chapter 70____ RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act) is exempt from the procedural and substantive requirements of this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 34. TOXIC ENDANGERMENT. A new section is added to chapter 9A.36 RCW to read as follows:

(1) A person is guilty of toxic endangerment if he or she:

- (a) Knowingly transports, treats, stores, handles, disposes of, or exports a hazardous substance or toxin in violation of state law; and
- (b) Knows that such conduct places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury.

(2) As used in this section, "imminent danger" means that there is a substantial likelihood that harm will be experienced within a reasonable period of time if the danger is not eliminated.

(3) Toxic endangerment is a class B felony.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 35. It is the intent of the legislature in enacting sections 35 through 43 of this act to provide the department of ecology with the necessary resources to adequately administer water quality discharge permits issued by the state. In doing this, the legislature intends to improve water quality state-wide by enhancing the ability of the department of ecology to adequately inspect dischargers into state ground and surface waters and implement water pollution control laws. Further, the legislature intends also to improve water quality through better control of toxicants.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 36. Beginning in fiscal year 1989, the department shall recover its administrative expenses for operating all aspects of its water quality discharge permit system except adjustments specified in section 38 of this act and those expenses that are directly related to enforcement by implementing a system to collect fees from persons holding state and federal waste discharge permits. The total amount of fees collected under this chapter in any fiscal year shall not exceed three million six hundred thousand dollars. Accordingly, for purposes of sections 37 through 41 of this act, "administrative expenses" means the costs incurred by the department in:

- (1) Processing permit applications and modifications;
- (2) Monitoring and evaluating compliance with permits;
- (3) Conducting inspections;
- (4) Securing laboratory analysis of samples taken during inspections;

(5) Reviewing required plans and documents directly related to operations of permittees;

(6) Monitoring compliance with delegated pretreatment programs; and

(7) Supporting the overhead expenses that are directly related to each of the preceding activities.

Administrative expenses shall not include costs related to processing of penalties and notices of violation, inspections that extend beyond routine compliance monitoring, criminal investigations, or the overhead expenses that are directly related to these activities.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 37. (1) The department shall establish an initial fee schedule to be implemented on July 1, 1988.

(2) Except as provided in section 38 of this act, beginning on July 1, 1988, the department shall charge any person or entity holding a permit under RCW 90.48.160, 90.48.162, or 90.48.260, annual fees to recover administrative expenses as defined in section 36 of this act. In no event may the fee for any permit authorizing the discharge of eight hundred gallons or less in any one day exceed one hundred and fifty dollars for any fiscal year. This fee limit shall be periodically adjusted by the department to reflect inflation.

(3) The department shall establish an accounting mechanism to relate administrative expenses incurred in performing activities described in section 36 of this act with fees charged to persons or entities holding permits by January 1, 1989.

(4) The department shall submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature on January 1, 1991, and biennially thereafter describing the actions it has taken over the prior biennium to improve the administrative efficiency of its water quality permit system.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 38. Fees charged pursuant to section 37 of this act shall be subject to the following conditions:

(1) The department shall consider the economic impact of fees on small dischargers and shall provide appropriate adjustments.

(2) The department shall ensure that indirect dischargers do not pay twice for the administrative expenses of a permit. Accordingly, the department shall not assess fees for permits issued by a city, town, or municipal corporation under RCW 90.48.165.

(3) The department shall review applications for credits from any public entity engaging in comprehensive monitoring programs and shall approve or deny such applications, in whole or in part, before assessing permit fees. Credits shall be granted in accordance with a schedule adopted by the department by rule and shall not exceed twenty-five percent of the permit fee assessed over the five-year period of the permit. The total amount of credits granted for the five-year period beginning July 1, 1988, shall not exceed fifty thousand dollars. Permit fee credits granted by the department shall not be recoverable from the water quality permit account.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 39. All fees collected under section 37 of this act shall be deposited in the water quality permit account, which is hereby created in the state treasury, subject to appropriation. Money in the account collected from fees shall be expended exclusively by the department of ecology for the purposes of administering permits issued by the department under RCW 90.48.160, 90.48.162, and 90.48.260. Other funds deposited into this account may be used for the purposes of this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 40. (1) The department of ecology shall submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature no later than January 1, 1989. The report shall include a fee schedule proposed for use in fiscal years 1990 and beyond. The legislature shall evaluate this report in determining whether to change the revenue limit specified in section 36 of this act.

(2) In developing the fee schedule, the department shall consult with and be advised by representatives of dischargers, environmental organizations, other state agencies, and other interested parties. The advice received by the department shall be included in the report. The report shall also include a projection of the level of fees necessary to adequately operate the program.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 41. (1) In determining requirements for monitoring the condition of the waters of the state and of effluent discharged therein to be included in each permit issued by the department under RCW 90.48.160, 90.48.162, and 90.48.260, the department shall ensure

that all such monitoring requirements are reasonably related to: (a) Determining compliance with the permit; (b) attaining all known, available, and reasonable treatment; or (c) determining what effects the discharge from the specific facility may have on the waters of the state or the biota or sediment in the waters of the state.

(2) Monitoring activities required pursuant to subsection (1)(c) of this section shall be structured so that, if monitoring is conducted within the terms of the permit, after an appropriate period of time, the permittee may request that the department reduce the monitoring schedule and/or scope. If in the determination of the department the results of the monitoring identify no measurable adverse effects or potential adverse effects to the waters of the state or biota or sediment in the waters of the state, then a reduced schedule and/or scope shall apply. If monitoring identifies measurable adverse effects or potential adverse effects of the discharge from the specific facility on the waters of the state or biota or sediment of the waters of the state, continued, more frequent, and/or more comprehensive monitoring shall be required by action of the department. The department may allow coordinated monitoring activities where discharges from multiple persons or entities holding permits may be causing cumulative effects and where cost savings will result from such coordination.

(3) A permit may be modified during its term to revise monitoring requirements pursuant to the applicable federal requirements or if monitoring methods or approaches become available that might reasonably be expected to measure adverse effects to the waters of the state or biota or sediment in the waters of the state.

Sec. 42. Section 4, chapter 249, Laws of 1985 and RCW 90.48.460 are each amended to read as follows:

Until June 30, 1988, the department of ecology shall collect administrative expenses from any person or entity requesting action of the department pertaining to the processing of applications for permits provided in RCW 90.48.160, 90.48.162, and 90.48.260. For the purposes of this section, "administrative expenses" shall mean the total actual costs incurred by the department in processing such permit applications.

Sec. 43. Section 4, chapter 71, Laws of 1955 as last amended by section 138, chapter 109, Laws of 1987 and RCW 90.48.190 are each amended to read as follows:

A permit shall be subject to termination upon thirty days' notice in writing if the department finds:

(1) That it was procured by misrepresentation of any material fact or by lack of full disclosure in the application;

(2) That there has been a violation of the conditions thereof;

(3) That a material change in quantity or type of waste disposal exists; or

(4) That an applicant or permittee has failed to pay required fees under RCW 90.48.460 or section 37 of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 44. INTENT OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE TAX. It is the intent of this chapter to impose a tax only once for each hazardous substance possessed in this state and to tax the first possession of all hazardous substances, including substances and products that the department of ecology determines to present a threat to human health or the environment. This chapter is not intended to exempt any person from tax liability under any other law.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 45. DEFINITIONS. Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter.

(1) "Hazardous substance" means:

(a) Any substance that, on the effective date of this section, is a hazardous substance under section 101(14) of the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 9601(14), as amended by Public Law 99-499;

(b) Petroleum products;

(c) Any pesticide product required to be registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act; and

(d) Any other substance, category of substance, and any product or category of product determined by the director of ecology by rule to present a threat to human health or the environment if released into the environment. The director of ecology shall not add or delete substances from this definition more often than twice during each calendar year. For tax purposes, changes in this definition take effect on the first day of the next month that is at least thirty days after the effective date of the rule.

The word "product" or "products" as used in this paragraph (d) means an item or items containing both: (i) One or more substances that are hazardous substances under (a), (b), or (c) of this subsection or that are substances or categories of substances determined under this paragraph (d) to present a threat to human health or the environment if released into the environment; and (ii) one or more substances that are not hazardous substances. Until April 1, 1988, "hazardous substance" does not include substances or products packaged as a household product and distributed for domestic use.

(2) "Petroleum product" means plant condensate, lubricating oil, gasoline, aviation fuel, kerosene, diesel motor fuel, benzol, fuel oil, residual oil, liquefied or liquefiable gases such as butane, ethane, and propane, and every other product derived from the refining of crude oil, but the term does not include crude oil.

(3) "Possession" means the control of a hazardous substance located within this state and includes both actual and constructive possession. "Actual possession" occurs when the person with control has physical possession. "Constructive possession" occurs when the person with control does not have physical possession. "Control" means the power to sell or use a hazardous substance or to authorize the sale or use by another.

(4) "Previously taxed hazardous substance" means a hazardous substance in respect to which a tax has been paid under this chapter and which has not been remanufactured or reprocessed in any manner (other than mere repackaging or recycling for beneficial reuse) since the tax was paid.

(5) "Wholesale value" means the price paid by a wholesaler or retailer to a manufacturer or the price paid by a retailer to a wholesaler when the price represents the value at the time of first possession in Washington state. In cases where no sales transaction has occurred, "wholesale value" means the fair market wholesale value, determined as nearly as possible according to the wholesale selling price at the place of use of similar substances of like quality and character, in accordance with rules of the department.

(6) Except for terms defined in this section, the definitions in chapters 82.04, 82.08, and 82.12 RCW apply to this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 46. HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE TAX. (1) A tax is imposed on the privilege of possession of hazardous substances in this state. The rate of the tax shall be eight-tenths of one percent multiplied by the wholesale value of the substance.

(2) Moneys collected under this chapter shall be deposited in the toxics control accounts under section 22 of this act.

(3) Chapter 82.32 RCW applies to the tax imposed in this chapter. The tax due dates, reporting periods, and return requirements applicable to chapter 82.04 RCW apply equally to the tax imposed in this chapter. The department may adopt rules to ensure that taxes imposed on retailers are imposed equally as a tax imposed on first possessors who are not retailers. The rules may provide that the tax be imposed based on a percentage of sales for any class of retailer.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 47. EXEMPTIONS. The following are exempt from the tax imposed in this chapter:

(1) Any successive possession of a previously taxed hazardous substance. If tax due under this chapter has not been paid with respect to a hazardous substance, the department may collect the tax from any person who has had possession of the hazardous substance. If the tax is paid by any person other than the first person having taxable possession of a hazardous substance, the amount of tax paid constitutes a debt owed by the first person having taxable possession to the person who paid the tax.

(2) Any possession of a hazardous substance by a natural person under circumstances where the substance is used, or is to be used, for a personal or domestic purpose (and not for any business purpose) by that person or a relative of, or person residing in the same dwelling as, that person.

(3) Any possession of (a) alumina, (b) natural gas, (c) petroleum coke, (d) liquid fuel or fuel gas used in petroleum processing, or (e) petroleum products that are exported for use or sale outside this state as fuel.

(4) Persons or activities that the state is prohibited from taxing under the United States Constitution.

(5) Any persons possessing a hazardous substance where the possession first occurred before the effective date of this section.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 48. CREDITS. (1) Credit shall be allowed in accordance with rules of the department of revenue for taxes paid under this chapter with respect to fuel carried from this state in the fuel tank of any airplane, ship, truck, or other vehicle.

(2) Credit shall be allowed, in accordance with rules of the department, against the taxes imposed in this chapter for any hazardous substance tax paid to another state with respect to the same hazardous substance. The amount of the credit shall not exceed the tax liability arising under this chapter with respect to that hazardous substance. For the purpose of this subsection:

(a) "Hazardous substance tax" means a tax:

(i) That is imposed on the act or privilege of possessing hazardous substances, and that is not generally imposed on other activities or privileges; and

(ii) That is measured by the value of the hazardous substance, in terms of wholesale value or other terms, and in the determination of which the deductions allowed would not constitute the tax an income tax or value added tax.

(b) "State" means (i) the state of Washington, (ii) a state of the United States other than Washington, or any political subdivision of such other state, (iii) the District of Columbia, and (iv) any foreign country or political subdivision thereof.

Sec. 49. Section 6, chapter 109, Laws of 1987 and RCW 43.21B. 310 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Any order issued by the department or authority pursuant to RCW 70.94.211, 70.94.332, 70.105.095, 43.27A.190, 86.16.020, or 90.48.120(2) or any provision enacted after July 26, 1987, or any permit, certificate, or license issued by the department may be appealed to the pollution control hearings board if the appeal is filed with the board and served on the department or authority within thirty days after receipt of the order. Except as provided under chapter 70.-- RCW (sections 1 through 25 of this act.) this is the exclusive means of appeal of such an order.

(2) The department or the authority in its discretion may stay the effectiveness of an order during the pendency of such an appeal.

(3) At any time during the pendency of an appeal of such an order to the board, the appellant may apply pursuant to RCW 43.21B.-- (section 7, chapter 109, Laws of 1987) to the hearings board for a stay of the order or for the removal thereof.

(4) Any appeal must contain the following in accordance with the rules of the hearings board:

(a) The appellant's name and address;

(b) The date and docket number of the order, permit, or license appealed;

(c) A description of the substance of the order, permit, or license that is the subject of the appeal;

(d) A clear, separate, and concise statement of every error alleged to have been committed;

(e) A clear and concise statement of facts upon which the requester relies to sustain his or her statements of error; and

(f) A statement setting forth the relief sought.

(5) Upon failure to comply with any final order of the department, the attorney general, on request of the department, may bring an action in the superior court of the county where the violation occurred or the potential violation is about to occur to obtain such relief as necessary, including injunctive relief, to insure compliance with the order. The air authorities may bring similar actions to enforce their orders.

(6) An appealable decision or order shall be identified as such and shall contain a conspicuous notice to the recipient that it may be appealed only by filing an appeal with the hearings board and serving it on the department within thirty days of receipt.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 50. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY—STATE TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNT. The sum of fourteen million six hundred eighty-one thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, from the state toxics account to the department of ecology, of which:

(1) \$10,000,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be expended for the purposes of administering and conducting remedial action;

(2) \$4,030,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be expended for the ongoing implementation of the hazardous waste regulatory program authorized by chapter 70.105 RCW including, but not limited to, activities to permit and inspect hazardous waste facilities;

(3) \$340,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used to provide technical assistance to local governments in accordance with RCW 70.105.170 and 70.105.255, and for local planning grants as provided in RCW 70.105.220 and 70.105.235(1) (a), (b), and (c);

(4) \$311,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used for solid waste management activities including, but not limited to: (a) State and local solid waste enforcement; (b) development and dissemination of technical assistance information for local governments regarding proper management and disposal of solid waste in accordance with RCW 70.95.100 and 70.95.263(2); and (c) local planning grants as provided in RCW 70.95.130.

The appropriation in this section shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 50, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 51. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE—STATE TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNT. The sum of two hundred thirty-four thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, from the state toxics control account to the department of agriculture to administer and carry out the agricultural waste management programs. The appropriation in this section shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 51, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 52. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT—STATE TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNT. The sum of three hundred eighty-four thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, from the state toxics control account to the department of community development to carry out hazardous waste training for fire fighters. This appropriation shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 52, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 53. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE—STATE TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNT. The sum of one hundred six thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, from the state toxics control account to the department of revenue to administer the collection of taxes imposed by this act. This appropriation shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 53, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 54. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES—STATE TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNT. The sum of seven hundred ten thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, from the state toxics control account to the department of social and health services, of which:

(1) \$124,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used to test public drinking water supplies for organic chemicals;

(2) \$313,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used to monitor drinking water supplies potentially affected by hazardous waste releases;

(3) \$273,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used for health risk assessments, health monitoring activities, and health information services for communities near a hazardous waste site.

This appropriation shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 54, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 55. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY—LOCAL TOXICS CONTROL ACCOUNT. The sum of eighteen million six hundred eighty-five thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, from the local toxics account to the department of ecology, of which:

(1) \$936,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be expended for local solid waste enforcement grants.

(2) \$17,749,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used for grants and loans pursuant to section 22(4) of this act.

This appropriation shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 55, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 56. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY—TOXICS CONTROL RESERVE ACCOUNT. Effective July 1, 1988, the sum of three million dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, from the toxics control reserve account to the department of ecology to carry out the purposes of this act. This appropriation shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 56, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 57. APPROPRIATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY—BUSINESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM. The sum of one hundred fifty thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated from the state toxics control account to the department of ecology for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, to carry out the purposes of section 20 of this act. This appropriation shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 57, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 58. The sum of three million six hundred thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated from the water quality permit account to the department of ecology for the biennium ending June 30, 1989, to carry out the purposes of sections 35 through 43 of this act. This appropriation shall be reduced by any amount expended under the appropriation in section 58, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 59. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 60. Section captions used in this act do not constitute any part of the law.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 61. Sections 1 through 25 of this act constitute a new chapter in Title 70 RCW. Sections 36 through 41 of this act are each added to chapter 90.48 RCW. Sections 44 through 48 of this act constitute a new chapter in Title 82 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 62. Sections 44 through 48 of this act shall take effect on January 1, 1988. The department of revenue may immediately take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that the tax imposed under sections 44 through 48 of this act is implemented on its effective date.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 63. REPEALERS. The following acts or parts of acts are each repealed:

(1) Section 1 chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.010;

(2) Section 2, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.020;

(3) Section 3, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess., section 129, chapter 7, Laws of 1985 and RCW 70.105A.030;

(4) Section 4, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.040;

(5) Section 5, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.050;

(6) Section 6, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.060;

(7) Section 7, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.070;

(8) Section 8, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.080;

(9) Section 13, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.090;

(10) Section 9, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.900; and

(11) Section 15, chapter 65, Laws of 1983 1st ex. sess. and RCW 70.105A.905.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 64. (1) The state treasurer shall transfer to the state toxics control account the balance of all funds in the hazardous waste control and elimination account which remain in this account immediately prior to the effective date of this section. Any person who, by the effective date of this section, has not paid the fees and other amounts due under those sections of chapter 70.105A RCW which are repealed by section 63 of this act shall continue to be obligated to pay such fees and

amounts. All payments received after the effective date of this section shall be deposited into the state toxics control account. The provisions of those RCW sections which are repealed in section 63 of this act shall continue to apply to those fees and amounts which are due on the effective date of this section.

(2) The repeal of RCW 70.105A.030 shall be applied retroactively as of January 1, 1987, so that no person, as defined in RCW 70.105A.020, will have to pay any fee for 1987, collectible in 1988.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 65. Sections 1 through 64 of this act shall take effect March 1, 1989.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 66. Sections 1 through 64 of this 1988 act shall constitute the alternative to Initiative 97, which has been proposed to the legislature. The secretary of state is directed to place sections 1 through 64 of this 1988 act on the ballot in conjunction with Initiative 97, pursuant to Article II, section 1(a) of the state Constitution.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 67. Section 65, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess. (uncodified) is hereby repealed.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 68. Chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess. shall expire March 1, 1989: PROVIDED, That if the voters fail to approve Initiative 97 and fail to approve the alternative to the initiative proposed by the legislature, chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess. shall expire on the date the election results are certified.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 69. A new section is added to chapter 2, Laws of 1987 3rd ex. sess. and to chapter 82.22 RCW to read as follows:

Notwithstanding RCW 82.22.020, "hazardous substance" does not include substances or products packaged as a household product and distributed for domestic use until June 1, 1988, and does not include such substances or products in inventory before June 1, 1988.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 70. Section 69 of this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety, the support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and shall take effect immediately.



COMPLETE TEXT OF House Joint Resolution No. 4222

BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION ASSEMBLED:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article VII, section 1 of the Constitution of the State of Washington to read as follows:

Article VII, section 1. The power of taxation shall never be suspended, surrendered or contracted away. All taxes shall be uniform upon the same class of property within the territorial limits of the authority levying the tax and shall be levied and collected for public purposes only. The word "property" as used herein shall mean and include everything, whether tangible or intangible, subject to ownership. All real estate shall constitute one class: PROVIDED, That the legislature may tax mines and mineral resources and lands devoted to reforestation by either a yield tax or an ad valorem tax at such rate as it may fix, or by both. Such property as the legislature may by general laws provide shall be exempt from taxation. Property of the United States and of the state, counties, school districts and other municipal corporations, and credits secured by property actually taxed in this state, not exceeding in value the value of such property, shall be exempt from taxation. The legislature shall have power, by appropriate legislation, to exempt personal property to the amount of three (~~hundred (\$300.00))~~ thousand (\$3,000.00) dollars for each head of a family liable to assessment and taxation under the provisions of the laws of this state of which the individual is the actual bona fide owner.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.



COMPLETE TEXT OF House Joint Resolution No. 4223

BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION ASSEMBLED:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article VIII, section 10 of the Constitution of the state of Washington to read as follows:

Article VIII, section 10. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 7 of this Article, ~~((until January 1, 1990))~~ any county, city, town, quasi municipal corporation, municipal corporation, or political subdivision of the state which is engaged in the sale or distribution of energy may, as authorized by the legislature, use public moneys or credit derived from operating revenues from the sale of energy to assist the owners of ~~((residential))~~ structures or equipment in financing the acquisition and installation of materials and equipment for the conservation or more efficient use of energy in such structures or equipment. Except as provided in section 7 of this Article, an appropriate charge back shall be made for such extension of public moneys or credit and the same shall be a lien against the ~~((residential))~~ structure benefited or a security interest in the equipment benefited. Any financing authorized by this article shall only be used for conservation purposes in existing structures and shall not be used for any purpose which results in a conversion from one energy source to another. ~~((Except as to contracts entered into prior thereto, this amendment to the state Constitution shall be null and void as of January 1, 1990 and shall have no further force or effect after that date:))~~

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the foregoing amendment shall be construed as a single amendment within the meaning of Article XXIII, section 1 of this Constitution.

The legislature finds that the changes contained in the foregoing amendment constitute a single integrated plan for updating terminology. If the foregoing amendment is held to be separate amendments, this joint resolution shall be void in its entirety and shall be of no further force and effect.



COMPLETE TEXT OF House Joint Resolution No. 4231

BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION ASSEMBLED:


THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article VI, section 3 and an amendment to Article XIII, section 1 of the the state Constitution to read as follows:

Article VI, section 3. All ~~((idiots, insane persons, and))~~ persons convicted of infamous crime unless restored to their civil rights and all persons while they are judicially declared mentally incompetent are excluded from the elective franchise.

Article XIII, section 1. Educational, reformatory, and penal institutions; those for the benefit of ~~((blind, deaf, dumb, or otherwise defective youth; for the insane or idiotic))~~ youth who are blind or deaf or otherwise disabled; for persons who are mentally ill or developmentally disabled; and such other institutions as the public good may require, shall be fostered and supported by the state, subject to such regulations as may be provided by law. The regents, trustees, or commissioners of all such institutions existing at the time of the adoption of this Constitution, and of such as shall thereafter be established by law, shall be appointed by the governor, by and with the advice and consent of the senate; and upon all nominations made by the governor, the question shall be taken by ayes and noes, and entered upon the journal.



President and Vice President



**George
BUSH**
Republican

Campaign Address:
Bush-Quayle '88
Dan Cummings, Exec. Dir.
215 W. Harrison St.
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 284-4221



**Dan
QUAYLE**
Republican

George Bush, Vice President of the United States, on the issues:
Now I turn to the American people to share my hopes and intentions, and why and where I wish to lead.

Every one of our children deserves a first rate school. I will increase the power of parents. I will encourage merit schools, give more kids a head start, and make it easier to save for college.

I want a drug-free America. I challenge the young people of our country to shut down the drug dealers around the world. Fighting crime will be a high priority for the next four years. I intend to appoint judges who will crack down on criminals and we've got to continue to strengthen sentences to deter criminal acts.

In foreign affairs I will continue our policy of peace through strength. I will move towards further cuts in the nuclear arsenals of both the U.S. and the Soviet Union, modernize and preserve our technological edge, and ban chemical and biological weapons from the face of the earth.

I will fight to protect the environment by taking action against acid rain, enforcing and funding toxic waste programs and addressing contamination of groundwater. I will conserve and wisely manage our parks, forests and wetlands.

I will confront the problems of global warming, ozone depletion, tropical deforestation, species extinction and the contamination of our oceans — I will make it safe to go to the shore again.

Dan Quayle, United States Senator from Indiana, on the issues:
We want to build on the progress we have made since 1980 and, with a strong economy and strengthened national defense, lead this country into the 1990's.

We are once again a respected nation throughout the world and, with our renewed sense of national purpose and the strengthening of our strategic and conventional forces, we have made great strides in the area of arms control. We want to continue this progress, never losing sight of the fact that a strong defense and the willingness to take action when necessary are necessary precursors to arms control.

We want to create more jobs. Our goal is to create more opportunity for all citizens and, even though we have created 17 million new jobs in the past 7½ years, our aim is to exceed this total in the next 8 years.

We want to continue the progress we have made in building a sound economy. We pledge to continue the fight to curb federal spending and eliminate unnecessary and wasteful federal programs. We must remember that only a strong private sector, together with low, fair tax rates, can provide economic health.

We want to enhance environmental quality. This will be done by taking action against contaminants to our environment and additional research into needed areas. In addition to protecting our environment from harmful influences, we pledge to protect our national parks, forests, and wetlands.

President and Vice President



We are the party of the American dream.

A dream so powerful that no distance of ground, no expanse of ocean, no barrier of language, no distinction of race or creed or color can weaken its hold on the human heart.

I know because I'm a product of that dream. And I'm proud of it.

And to those who say the American dream belongs to the privileged few and not to all of us, we say that the old era is over and a new era is about to begin.

It's time to raise our sights—to look beyond the cramped ideals and ambitions of the past eight years, and to recapture the spirit of energy, confidence and idealism that John F. Kennedy and Lyndon Johnson inspired a generation ago.

It's time to meet the challenge of the next American frontier—the challenge of building an economic future for our country that will create good jobs at good wages for every citizen in this land, no matter who they are or where they come from or what the color of their skin.

It's time to rekindle the American spirit of invention and daring; to exchange voodoo economics for can-do economics; and to build the best America by bringing out the best in every American.

It's time to wake up to the new challenges that face the American family. Time to see that young families in this country are never again forced to choose between the jobs they need and the children they love; time to be sure that parents are never again told that no matter how long they work or how hard their child tries, a college education is a right they can't afford.


It's time to ask why it is that we have run up more debt in this country in the last eight years than we did in the previous 200—and to make sure it never happens again.

Maintaining the status quo—running in place or standing still—isn't good enough for the state of Washington or for America. Opportunity for some isn't good enough for Washington or America.

As President, I'm going to be setting goals for our country. Not goals for the government working alone, but goals for our people working together.

That means businesses retraining their workers and retooling their factories. It means students and office workers alike sharing the precious gift of literacy. It means working together to build decent and affordable housing to end the shame of homelessness in America. It means young scientists dedicating their gifts not to the destruction of life, but to its preservation.

We are in this together. And regardless of who we are or where we come from or how much money we have, each of us counts. And by working together to create opportunity and a good life for all, all of us are enriched—not just in economic terms, but as citizens, and as human beings.



**Michael S.
DUKAKIS**
Democrat



**Lloyd M.
BENTSEN**
Democrat

Campaign Address:
Dukakis-Bentsen/
Campaign '88
307 Broad Street
Seattle WA 98121
Telephone: (206) 441-4333



President and Vice President



**Larry
HOLMES**
Workers World



**Gloria
LA RIVA**
Workers World

Campaign Address:
Workers World Party
1988 Presidential Campaign
Committee
46 West 21 Street
New York NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 255-0352

Larry Holmes and Gloria La Riva, Workers World Party's candidates for President and Vice President in 1988, provide an alternative to the two big business parties. Workers World Party is calling for a "New Bill of Rights" for poor and working people: the right to a job — ban plant closings and layoffs; decent affordable housing for all — stop evictions; free health care, education and childcare; raise the minimum wage to \$10 an hour; outlaw racism; end all forms of lesbian and gay oppression; money for AIDS research, not Star Wars; equal pay and reproductive rights for women; protect the rights of youth, seniors and the disabled; make corporate polluters pay to clean up the environment; ban farm foreclosures; honor Native treaty rights; and no U.S. military intervention abroad.

Workers World Party believes that people's needs must come before corporate greed. Only an independent movement of working and oppressed people can organize and fight to change this society and win these rights.

Working and poor people need their own party to build a fight-back movement independent of the Republican and Democratic party leaders, a party that understands the problem is not just Reagan or one politician but is the whole capitalist system.

Workers World is such a party. It has many years of experience and leadership in the struggle for the rights of poor and working people, of women and lesbians and gays, for unions, against racism, against wars-for-profit in Central America and Vietnam and against apartheid in South Africa.

WWP's Presidential and Vice Presidential candidates reflect those years of experience. Larry Holmes became active in the anti-war movement after being drafted during the Vietnam war. He has since organized against the KKK and police brutality, and has campaigned to stop plant closings and layoffs. He is active in the movements to free South Africa and to stop U.S. intervention in Central America.

Gloria La Riva, a Chicana trade unionist active in the Bay Area Typographical Union (CWA), Local 21, organized mass protests in Rochester, N.Y., and California against Reagan's anti-worker, racist policies. La Riva ran for mayor of San Francisco in 1983, coming in third.

Workers World Party's candidates are not for mere band-aids on an unjust and outmoded system. There's really only one answer — a new social, political and economic system in which production is planned to meet people's needs. This socialist reorganization of society, in which the workers own and control the economy, can insure that oppression and inequality are eliminated. It's not only possible, it's absolutely necessary. Building a new society is the next big step that humanity is going to take into the future. Be part of that struggle.

President and Vice President



Ron Paul, Libertarian for President

Elected four times to the U.S. House of Representatives, 1976-1984. For his uncompromising advocacy of liberty, he won awards from the National Taxpayers Union, the Council for a Competitive Economy and the American Economic Council. In February 1987, he resigned from the Republican Party in disgust, saying, "I want to totally disassociate myself from the policies that have given us unprecedented deficits, massive monetary inflation, indiscriminate military spending, an irrational and unconstitutional foreign policy, zooming foreign aid, the exaltation of international banking and the attack on our personal liberties and privacy."

Ron Paul on the issues

Foreign policy: The job of the U.S. government is to defend the people, property and liberty of the United States. Period. It is not to run the world. It is not to fund wealthy clients like Germany and Japan. It is not to install and overthrow dictators in Central America. It is not to intervene on the side of totalitarian socialist Iraq and big oil in the Persian Gulf. It is not to subsidize the Soviet Union through bank loans backed up by American taxpayers. Paul wants peace and commerce with all who want them, no entangling alliances, no foreign meddling and a strong defense for our shores.

Balancing the budget: Democrats and Republicans are all for balancing the budget when the other party is in power. But not when they can direct the cash to their interest groups. Paul will balance the budget by eliminating \$500 billion in corporate welfare, social welfare and foreign military welfare.


Income Tax: The latest tax reform complexity, more anxiety and more taxes. 10,000 armed IRS agents have the license to confiscate your wealth, seize your bank accounts and force you to incriminate yourself without due process of law. The income tax extracts about 40% of the government's revenues, at the cost of our liberty. If we cut the budget 40%, we would be able to abolish the IRS.

Restore the gold standard and abolish the Fed: When bankers and politicians took control of our dollar and established the Federal Reserve and abolished the gold standard, they set the stage for tremendous profits for themselves and untold suffering for the rest of us. Since the Fed was created in 1913, the dollar has lost 96% of its purchasing power. The Fed should be abolished and a gold standard established.

Protect our civil liberties: Why should politicians be able to tell us how we can behave on our own property, or what we can consume? In the 1920's, the unbelievable violation of our liberties called Prohibition strengthened public and private criminals at the people's expense. The same is true of the war on drugs. Government tells cancer patients what medications they can take, parents how to raise and educate their children, and churches and church schools how to operate. Ron Paul puts the people before the government, and upholds our privacy and other civil liberties as absolute rights.



**Ron
PAUL**
Libertarian



**Andre
MARROU**
Libertarian

Campaign Address:
Ron Paul for
President Committee
P.O. Box 23108
Seattle WA 98102
Telephone: (206) 329-5669



President and Vice President



**James
WARREN**

Socialist Workers Party



**Kathleen
MICKELLS**

Socialist Workers Party

Campaign Address:
Socialist Workers 1988
Campaign Committee
c/o Pathfinder Bookstore
5517 Rainier Ave. S.
Seattle WA 98118
Telephone: (206) 723-5330

On October 19, 1987 the New York Stock Exchange plunged more than at any time in this century; more steeply than the October 1929 Wall Street crash that signaled the Great Depression of the 1930s. Every working person feels more uneasy today as a result. And with good reason.

The crash revealed the explosive pressures that have been accumulating in the world capitalist economy for 20 years.

Their consequences can already be seen in the devastation faced by the most impoverished layers of working people and the poorest regions of the world: Poverty, homelessness, crumbling schools, hospitals, roads, disintegrating public health, growing unemployment, especially among youth and blacks, and moves at restricting democratic rights.

This creeping social crisis points to the coming reality that a vast majority of working people around the world are going to face — the inevitability of a world depression that will usher in an economic and social crisis engulfing workers and farmers in every part of the world that the capitalist market reaches.

To confront this crisis and the inevitable unemployment and social dislocations that will result, the Socialist Workers Party puts forth a three point action program that is described in detail in the Pathfinder Press pamphlet "Action program to confront the coming economic crisis." The three points are:

1. *To provide jobs for all by spreading the available work among all workers, reduce the workweek to 30 hours with no reduction in take-home pay.*
2. *Expand and enforce affirmative action to guarantee jobs, promotions, equal housing and education to blacks, other victims of racial or national discrimination and women.*
3. *Cancel the huge foreign debt that the third world countries owe to banks in the imperialist countries.*

The most fundamental division among workers is between the employed and the unemployed. Even today, before mass unemployment, we are divided in a hundred ways by capitalist politicians and the media who try to present unemployed workers as "lazy", "welfare cheats", "an underclass", "homeless" or other terms which obscure our real status — unemployed workers.

The action program that my running mate, Kathleen Mickells, and I are presenting provides the basis to unite workers in an international political movement to defend ourselves from the devastating effects of the coming crisis. Through this kind of fighting campaign, each one of us has the potential to recognize not only our strength as part of a united social movement, but also our individual worth as human beings with the capacity to think and act.

The current struggle of the farmworkers union in the Yakima Valley for an increase in the minimum wage and for unemployment relief for farmworkers is an important example in this regard. Their efforts to unify employed and unemployed, U.S. born and foreign born, points towards the necessary unity needed for workers to defend their class interests.

For a copy of the action program and a 12-week subscription to the socialist newsweekly *The Militant*, send \$5 to our campaign office.

President and Vice President



**Lenora
FULANI**
New Alliance

Campaign Address:
Lenora B. Fulani's Committee
for Fair Elections
475 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1500
New York NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 685-8360



**Wynonia
BURKE**
New Alliance

Lenora B. Fulani is America's third candidate. In November Dr. Fulani will become the first woman and the first African-American in the history of our country to be on the ballot in all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

She began her independent campaign with the slogan "Two Roads Are Better Than One." It meant supporting Reverend Jesse Jackson's bid for the Democratic Party nomination while at the same time preparing her independent candidacy so that in the event that he was not nominated, there would still be a Black candidate on the ballot in every state standing for the inclusive social vision of the Rainbow.

Dr. Fulani is running for President as the candidate of the "people-instead-of-profits" New Alliance Party. As such, she stands for the restoration of all land, water and treaty rights to the American Indian people; for a national health service that would guarantee comprehensive quality health care to all Americans, including the elderly, the poor, the disabled, women and people with AIDS; the enactment of a federal AIDS bill of rights and a federal lesbian and gay rights law; an amendment to the Constitution that would guarantee every American the human and civil right to a home; the slashing of the military budget and the reinvestment of billions of dollars in jobs and job training, education, housing construction, public transportation and social services; a moratorium on plant closings; women's right to choose an abortion; Constitutional guarantees for the protection of the environment; full sanctions against apartheid South Africa and the withdrawal of all aid to the Mobutu dictatorship in Zaire until there is an improvement in the human rights situation there; an end to U.S. intervention in Central and Latin America; the withdrawal of military aid to Israel, the right of the Palestinian people to self-determination and statehood, and recognition of the Palestine Liberation Organization as the legitimate representative of the Palestinian people; and passage of the Fair Elections Bill, H.R. 1582, that would establish fair and uniform ballot access standards for independent parties and candidates in federal elections.

Dr. Fulani has made her campaign "a crusade for fair elections," to open up the national political dialogue so as to include the full range of alternatives available to American voters this year—a dialogue that she says is "the cornerstone of real democracy."

Lenora Fulani was born and brought up in Chester, Pennsylvania. She holds an earned doctorate in developmental psychology from the City University of New York and is the Director of Community Clinics of New Alliance Community Services, a network of community-based, independently funded mental health, medical and legal service programs in New York City where she lives with her two children. In 1986 Dr. Fulani ran for governor of New York as an independent and received more votes than any other left of center independent candidate in a generation.

Ms. Burke, a Coharie Indian born and brought up in Sampson County, North Carolina, is a long-time civil rights activist who is using the Fulani campaign to continue building a progressive alliance between African-Americans and Native Americans and among Indians of different tribes.



President and Vice President



**Lyndon H.
LaROUCHE, Jr.**
Independent



**Debra H.
FREEMAN**
Independent

Lyndon LaRouche is a highly controversial international figure, hated, feared, and denounced by the Soviet dictatorship; feared by international bankers who created the current financial mess; but praised and admired by leading personalities and others in many parts of the world.

History will say that Lyndon LaRouche was the greatest economist of the twentieth century; an individual uniquely qualified to recognize the successes and failures of Franklin Roosevelt's recovery program; an individual competent to conquer the worst financial and economic crisis of the century.

The crises that the next President will face include a financial crash worse than Roosevelt faced in 1933; the effects of 20 years of 'post-industrial' erosion of the physical economy of the United States; the toughest strategic crisis in history; a wildfire spread of the species-threatening disease AIDS; and a near breakdown in the foreign policy of the United States.

LaRouche's economic philosophy is what President George Washington's administration named the "American System." It was also the economic policy of Lincoln, and the policy that built our nation into a great agro-industrial power.

In contrast, the administrations from Lyndon Johnson through President Reagan have applied monetary and fiscal policies that have destroyed, rather than enhanced, industrial, agricultural, and technological development. Twenty years of post-industrial drift has brought us to the mess we see today — in our cities, our farms, and family life itself.

LaRouche will restore the American system of political-economy by declaring a national economic emergency and transforming the Federal Reserve System into a Hamiltonian National Bank, like the Bank of the United States under President George Washington.

He will weather the immediate financial crisis by using the regulatory powers of government to protect deposits and keep the doors of local banks open. Regulatory powers will be used to protect the value of the U.S. dollar and defend the value of U.S. bonds at par value.

Congress, by emergency request, will issue \$1 trillion of U.S. Treasury, gold-reserve, U.S. currency notes, to be loaned at 1-2% interest rates to farms and industries, for infrastructure improvements, and for export loans. Because these loans will be used to create millions of new jobs, they will not be inflationary.

LaRouche will launch a super-NASA program with the long range goal of the permanent colonization of Mars by the year 2027. He will launch a youth-employment program to give presently unemployable youth training, work, and a future.

LaRouche will devote \$3 billion a year to biological research into discovering a cure for AIDS. For agriculture, LaRouche will restore parity, end farm foreclosures, and work for large scale development of water resources.

Using science and technology, in large-scale development and research projects, LaRouche will restore a sense of optimism amongst our citizenry, especially our youth.

Debra Freeman, a Baltimore doctor of Public Health, leads the LaRouche AIDS program. She chaired his campaign for the 1988 Democratic Party presidential nomination.



The past exists to build upon, and building requires a vision. Ours is an Evergreen Vision.

Over the past eight years, we rebuilt our foundations of prosperity and security. We lowered taxes, inflation and interest rates, and put people back to work. We built up our defenses and then negotiated the world's first nuclear arms reduction agreement. As your Senator for six of those years, I worked hard for these successes.


Now we must turn to those whom prosperity has not yet reached. We must build a caring American home and community on a foundation of growth and peace. And what is our vision of that home and community?

In our Evergreen Vision, we can save our families from drugs and crime by placing stiff economic penalties on drug users—who sustain the dealers' crimes. We can address homelessness and child care using local ideas, local energy and a mix of public and private resources. We can improve American education by supporting higher standards and local innovation. Social Security must be treated as a separate and sacred trust fund.

But we can realize these goals only by maintaining our growth and strength—and changing our course wisely, not radically. Wise change means cutting the budget deficit through spending limits and economic growth—not through higher taxes. Wise change means maintaining our investments in national security—not reversing them.

You know me well already. I served for 10 years as a State Representative. As Attorney General for 12 years, I helped this state become a leader in preventing crime and protecting consumers. As Senator, I helped protect the environment, house the homeless, and rebuild our foundation for growth and peace.

I am ready to take our Evergreen Vision to the other Washington—to fight for you—the people of Washington State.



**Slade
GORTON
Republican**

Campaign Address:
215 W. Harrison, Suite G
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 282-1988



United States Senator



Mike LOWRY Democrat

Campaign Address:
Mike Lowry for
U.S. Senate
717 Virginia
Seattle WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 587-2347

For ten years, Mike Lowry has been a hard-working, effective member of Congress. Mike grew up in the farm country of Eastern Washington, graduated from Washington State University, and served on the King County Council. Elected to Congress in 1978, he has built an impressive record of achievement.

Mike has worked hard for Washington's economy by securing Export-Import Bank funding so we can compete internationally, blocking administration attempts to raise BPA electric rates, and strengthening our fishing industry.

On the Budget Committee, Mike has worked to reduce the deficit and support education, children, and seniors. He led the fight for homeless assistance. To cut spending, he's had the courage to take on wasteful pork-barrel projects—even in his own state. Mike believes that excessive deficits cause high interest rates—threatening jobs, businesses, and families.

As Oceanography Subcommittee Chairman, Mike has worked for marine sanctuaries in the San Juans and off the Washington coast. He's fighting offshore oil drilling and toxic dumping in Puget Sound. Mike worked with our delegation to pass the Washington Wilderness Bill, protecting old-growth forests and salmon and steelhead runs.


Mike's colleagues elected him to chair the influential Democratic Study Group. As Chairman, he's worked for peace in Central America by blocking military aid to the Nicaraguan Contras and supporting diplomatic solutions.

Mike believes that national defense begins with a strong economy. He supports good military pay and benefits, improved conventional defenses, a survivable deterrent, and arms control to enhance our security. He opposes wasteful and dangerous weapons and short-sighted cuts in maintenance and readiness.

With broad Congressional experience, Mike is ready to be an effective senator and strong advocate for Washington jobs, our environment, our children, peace, individual rights, seniors, health care, and strengthening the economy—the things that make America strong.

United States Representative

First Congressional District



John MILLER Republican

Campaign Address:
Committee to Re-elect
Congressman John Miller
540-C Northgate Way #602
Seattle WA 98125
Telephone: (206) 368-9051

Demonstrating the commitment to serve your interests in the U.S. Congress and the experience to do it well, I've fought hard for the issues that First District voters care about: the environment, a healthy economy and a competitive and educated America.

Here at home, after talking personally with more than 1,500 people tangled up in red tape, I've helped solve their problems with the federal bureaucracy.

My work in Congress is helping to protect Puget Sound and clean up the mess at Hanford; preserve school construction funds and help keep kids in school—and drugs out.

Bills I've helped write will make our fishing industry safer and stronger, and increase exports and jobs in our high technology firms. And federal transportation grants I've helped secure will get First District residents to these new jobs quicker and more efficiently.

But some people are not sharing in this record economic expansion. And there are other challenges confronting us as well, such as affordable and available child care, and long-term medical care for seniors.

After years of two decades of public policy experience, I know these challenges can't be met just by spending; we've got to do more. We've got to spend smart. The taxpayer can't afford to foot the bill for useless federal programs and the people in need can't afford programs that create jobs in the bureaucracy—and not much else.

That's why I support a constitutional amendment to balance the federal budget and a line item veto to control needless federal spending.

The First District deserves experienced leadership, hands-on problem solving, and skilled representation in Congress. I've worked hard for four years to be the kind Congressman our district needs and deserves. I hope you agree, and that I can count on your support in November.



Reese LINDQUIST Democrat

Campaign Address:
Citizens for Reese Lindquist
10742 - 5th Ave. N.E.
Seattle WA 98125
Telephone: (206) 367-2713

Reese Lindquist is the candidate from the First Congressional District who stands for change.

He believes it is time for this nation to reinvest in our schools and universities to prepare our young people for the 21st century. He

believes it is time we free our neighborhoods and schools from drugs. It is time that every senior citizen knows that he or she has a secure retirement and quality health care. And it is time to turn away from Iran-Contra, Pentagon procurement, and Wedtech scandals, and government officials under investigation and indictment.

Reese disagrees with his opponent who, on these critical issues, has stood with the Republican Administration rather than with the people of the First District. Rather than voting against Aid to the Contras in Nicaragua, Reese's opponent voted against helping local law enforcement agencies fight the war on drugs. Rather than cutting wasteful and expensive programs like Star Wars, Reese's opponent cut money for our schools and for student loans. And rather than cutting funds for the MX missile, he has voted to freeze Cost of Living Adjustments for senior citizens living on Social Security.

Reese believes that people in the First District have had enough of these wrong priorities. Voters in Kirkland, Lynnwood, Silverdale and Seattle have told Reese that it is time for a change.

Reese and his wife Cecile were both born and raised in the Puget Sound area and attended the University of Washington where Reese played Husky football. They have two sons; Mark and Brian. Cecile is an advocate for children and adults with disabilities. Together, they have given countless hours to our communities, and want to do more.

A teacher at Roosevelt High and former President of the Washington Education Association, Reese is a retired Commander from the Naval Reserves.



United States Representative Second Congressional District

Republican — No candidate filed.



Al SWIFT Democrat

Campaign Address:
Al Swift for Congress
P.O. Box 914
Everett WA 98206
Telephone: (206) 252-2753

As the only Northwesterner on a vital House/Senate conference committee, Congressman Al Swift was able to stop cold an effort by the Department of Energy to put a nuclear waste dump in our state.

Al is currently leading a group of House colleagues in efforts to break the deadlock in strengthening the Nation's Clean Air Act to make sure we have healthy air to breathe.

Al Swift has become a leader in communications policy—he recently fought for bipartisan passage in the House of a law that reinstates responsible guidelines for our kids' TV programming. He also spearheaded efforts to cap federal access charges on phone bills—saving dollars on our bills every month.

As the Chairman of the Elections subcommittee Al passed a bill through the House that creates a uniform poll closing time. This bill, along with a pledge from all the networks to not call an election before the polls are closed in a given state, will help eliminate the problem of voters in the West being told who won the election before having a chance to cast our ballots.

As a member of the powerful Energy and Commerce Committee, Al has been able to help our district on energy and health issues, on communications and trade matters.

Now completing his tenth year representing the sprawling Second District, Congressman Al Swift has developed a reputation both at home and in Washington, D.C. for intelligence, hard work, and openness.

Whether he is working with seniors or farmers, small business owners or local officials, Al listens to the people he represents and he works hard to get results. Let's keep our Washington resource, Al Swift, in Congress where he works for all of us.

United States Representative

Eighth Congressional District



Rod CHANDLER Republican

Campaign Address:
Volunteers for Chandler
P.O. Box 5755
Bellevue WA 98006
Telephone: (206) 562-0752

Congressman Rod Chandler has worked the last six years representing the people of Washington's Eighth District. Chandler has proven himself a hard working and effective representative for his constituents.

Drawing on his previous eight years of state legislative experience, Chandler has earned respect in Congress as an articulate spokesman for fiscal responsibility. His peers selected Chandler in 1986 for a seat on the influential House Ways and Means Committee, proving

that his intelligent leadership is appreciated by his Congressional colleagues.

Chandler, as the first Washington representative in nearly 30 years to serve on the powerful Ways and Means Committee, is in a unique position to effect tax policy, trade law and health care. With this prestigious assignment, Chandler is now able to put his expertise on health benefits and pension policies to work developing legislation that will promote financial security for all Americans as they approach retirement age.

Chandler's growing seniority on Ways and Means also gives him an enviable position for fighting the big spenders in Congress. Chandler consistently votes for sensible plans to reduce the budget deficit and bring government spending into line with income. Chandler will continue to fight to protect taxpayers from those who believe that raising taxes should always be the first option.

Chandler also recognizes that Congress needs to work harder to help American families. He's worked diligently for years to reduce the tragic problems resulting from drug and alcohol abuse. In fact, Chandler wrote Washington's landmark drunk driving laws when he was a State Representative. Chandler recently co-sponsored legislation that would increase the number of child care options available to *all* parents, and let families—not the federal government—choose what is best for their children.

A vote to re-elect Congressman Rod Chandler will keep this *experience working for you*.



Jim KEAN Democrat

Campaign Address:
Jim Kean Congressional
Campaign Committee
8835 S.E. 72nd Place
Mercer Island WA 98040
Telephone: (206) 232-4849

I am running for Congress because I am concerned about our nation's future.

As a former Marine Lieutenant Colonel and Vietnam combat veteran, I am troubled by the current administration's mismanagement of our national defense at home and reckless adventurism abroad. We

cannot afford to throw money away, whether to pay for fraudulent weapons contracts or to hire mercenaries and dictators in the Third World.

We have too many pressing needs at home. Roads and bridges must be repaired. The environment must be protected. Education needs to be funded. Millions of people are without adequate health care coverage. The Puget Sound area needs a light-rail system *before* we are faced with total gridlock.

Most importantly, we must attack America's massive federal debt. As a businessman, I see the destructive effects of the budget and trade deficits on America's competitiveness every day. We are nearing the point when we will be held hostage to the foreign investors who have paid for this irresponsibility.

As a father, I am worried about what will happen when the bills come due, when our children have to face the consequences of this poor stewardship. There is no excuse for the politicians who have dug us into this hole. They spent \$1.5 trillion they did not have, and you and I will be paying the consequences for the rest of our lives.

The incumbent has had six years in Congress to correct our budget priorities. He is not getting the job done.

We *can* control the deficit and redirect our spending to urgent human needs, *if* we have leaders who are willing to be honest with the American people and make the tough choices. That is why I want to represent the 8th District in the House of Representatives.



Governor

Office Description: The Governor is elected for a four-year term and is not restricted as to the number of times he/she can run for office. The Governor appoints the heads of all departments with the exception of the other eight statewide elected officials for whom information is contained in this voters pamphlet. Superior and Supreme Court Judges are also subject to election by the people. The Governor appoints all Supreme and Superior Court Judges in the event of vacancies.



Bob WILLIAMS Republican

Campaign Address:
Bob Williams for Governor
P.O. Box 7704
Olympia WA 98507
Telephone: (206) 352-1842



Bob Williams, a ten-year veteran of the Legislature, has a deserved reputation for his experience and effective leadership. He is a common sense, hard working leader and knows a good governor must provide initiative and strong direction for all 39 counties. Bob has the proven ability to get results by working solutions through the legislative process and because of this his colleagues chose Bob as their House Issues Leader. In that position, Bob defeated the current governor's four attempts to raise your taxes. Bob believes no government should ask its citizens for more money until it demonstrates good management of the resources it already has.

Statewide, Bob Williams has been called the budget and tax expert. Serving on the powerful Ways & Means Committee has given Bob hands-on experience in managing Washington's 10 billion dollar budget. As your next governor, Bob will bring common sense spending control to state government while at the same time insisting on maintaining education as the top funding priority. Bob will continue to work to improve and fund our education system.

Bob Williams believes our children are the greatest resource we have and that we are perilously close to losing much of a whole generation to drug and substance abuse. As your governor, Bob will provide leadership in fighting the growing drug battle by providing anti-drug education, rehabilitation and penalties for dealers. Bob will also provide help for families in crisis including quality, affordable child care.

Bob Williams and job development go hand-in-hand. He has received a national business award for his work in developing new jobs through small business which is the backbone of our economy.

Bob Williams, a C.P.A., has been married to Jane for twenty-two years and they have three sons, Rob (20), Kevin (17) and Mike (10).



**Booth
GARDNER
Democrat**

Campaign Address:
Booth Gardner Committee
83 S. King St., Rm. 516
Seattle WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 622-6684

State government must be lean, so it can afford to be compassionate. That requires sound, common sense management — working together and dealing decisively with problems affecting our future.

Here's what we have achieved in the last four years.

In 1985 unemployment was over 10 percent. Today it's near six percent and still declining. More Washingtonians are working than ever — with 400,000 new jobs created, a 22 percent employment increase in under four years, the fastest growth in the nation.

Our industrial insurance system had a huge deficit and soaring rates. Now there's a large reserve, rates are stable, and benefits and services have been improved.

I visited schools to learn their needs. Smaller classes, higher standards and less red tape are among the results.

Welfare should help people find work, not keep them from it. So we fought the federal bureaucracy to begin the Family Independence Plan, which provides training, child care and job search assistance instead of handouts.

Safeguarding our future means protecting our environment. We increased penalties and toughened enforcement of anti-pollution laws, and took action to repair past environmental damage and reduce the flow of nuclear waste into Washington.


State government achieved \$250 million of efficiencies in my first term. The state budget reserve is \$287 million.

There is still much to do.

Improving access to higher education and meeting transportation needs, achieving better waste reduction and recycling, and ensuring long-term health care for seniors are vital objectives.

For our children, we have to finish what we've started — improving schools, stopping child abuse and combatting drugs.

Working with business and labor, and with people from all walks of life, I'm proud of what we have done. I want your support so we can preserve and improve the quality of life of all Washington citizens. Thank you.



Lieutenant Governor

Office Description: The Lieutenant Governor fills the office of Governor when the latter is absent from the state and succeeds to the Governorship upon the death or resignation of the Governor. During the legislative sessions, the Lieutenant Governor serves as President of the Senate. The Lieutenant Governor currently serves on the State Finance & State Capitol Committees; Legislative Committee on Economic Development (Chairman); Health Care Facilities Authority; Higher Education Facilities Authority; State Medal of Merit Committee and Business License Center Board of Review.



Joel PRITCHARD Republican

Campaign Address:
Committee for
Joel Pritchard
215 W. Harrison
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 282-3204

Joel Pritchard cares about Washington's future. Improving education from preschool through college, unsnarling our transportation system, providing necessary care for the elderly, fighting the drug problem, diversifying our economy and preserving our environment are just some of the important challenges facing Washington as it begins its second century. Successfully meeting these challenges will require commitment, perseverance, and, most of all, effective leadership.

Joel Pritchard is a proven leader with over two decades of experience as a State Legislator and Congressman. He has a well-deserved reputation for fairness, integrity, and results — qualities we need in our next Lieutenant Governor.

Joel Pritchard has the ability to bring people of different views together to get things done. As Lieutenant Governor Joel will work to make the Legislature more productive and less partisan. His skills as a statesman will help bring jobs, investment, and tourism to Washington.

Washington's future can be even better than its proud past. We need leaders who listen — leaders who bring people together. Joel Pritchard embraces the high standards that we expect in our elected officials. As Lieutenant Governor, Joel Pritchard will use his energy and dedication to help improve the quality of life for all of us.



George FLEMING Democrat

Campaign Address:
George Fleming for
Lt. Governor
221-1st Ave. W, Suite 105
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 285-5743

Senator George Fleming will make an outstanding Lieutenant Governor for Washington State. The 20-year veteran of the state Legislature represents the 37th District.

George Fleming is a proven leader. The powerful Chairman of the Senate Democratic Caucus since 1980, this past President of the Economic Development Executives of Washington (EDEW), is a noted expert on economic development and assisting small businesses. He's led the fight for quality education, affordable housing, child care, women's and minority's rights, and eliminating drugs from our state.

George will be a Lt. Governor with impact, advocating on the tough issues, and serving as an ombudsman to cut government red tape. Fleming's partnership with the Governor and the Legislature assures effective teamwork. Travelling across Washington, he's worked diligently to break down the imaginary wall between Eastern and Western Washington, "there is only one Washington."

George, his wife, and their two (2) daughters live in King County. This Community Development Manager for U.S. West Communications was elected to the University of Washington's Husky Hall of Fame in 1980. His glorious football career includes being named Outstanding Player in the 1960 Rose Bowl.

For 20 years George Fleming has always been there when we've needed him.

Secretary of State



Office Description: The Secretary of State supervises state and local elections, verifies the validity of signatures for initiatives and referenda and produces the official voters' pamphlet. The Secretary of State also registers corporations doing business in Washington, registers organizations involved in charitable fundraising, collects and preserves historical records of the state, and files or attests to official acts of the Legislature and the Governor.



**Ralph
MUNRO**
Republican

Campaign Address:
Citizens for Ralph Munro
200 West 20th Avenue
Olympia WA 98501
Telephone: (206) 352-6500

During two terms as Secretary of State, Ralph Munro has worked tirelessly to improve elections, to streamline government and make it more responsive. Ralph Munro has lived up to his promise to "help make our state as good for our children as it has been for us."

As the state's chief elections officer, Ralph Munro has been in the forefront of efforts to make every polling place accessible to elderly and disabled voters and to reduce barriers to voter registration. Ralph Munro continues to work to assure that ballot titles are understandable and that voters receive a balanced, objective Voters' Pamphlet before each general election.

Ralph Munro is recognized as one of the driving forces behind the state's "one-stop" business license system. Ralph Munro also heads the Productivity Board, which rewards employees for ideas that cut the cost of government and save millions of tax dollars.

Active and accessible, Ralph Munro keeps in touch with citizens across the state. Whether helping untangle a bureaucratic snarl or promoting Washington's heritage and promise for the future, Ralph Munro knows how to make things and people work together for a better tomorrow.

Ralph Munro's record merits your vote for his re-election.



**John O.
McKEE**
Democrat


Campaign Address:
John O. McKee for
Secretary of State
800 First Ave N., No. 8
Seattle WA 98109
Telephone: (206) 284-5849

Does your vote count? Yes it does.

- (1) In 1845, one vote brought Texas into the union.
- (2) One vote decided the District Court Judge race in East King County in 1986.
- (3) In 1868, one vote saved President Andrew Johnson from impeachment.
- (4) Local taxing district's elections have been decided by one vote or a flip of a coin.
- (5) In 1876, one vote gave Rutherford B. Hayes the Presidency of the U.S.
- (6) In 1941, one vote saved selective service just weeks before Pearl Harbor was attacked.


Thank you for exercising your privilege of being able to vote.

Some of the above information is credited to the Veterans of Foreign Wars July-August *Veterans News*.



State Treasurer

Office Description: The Treasurer is custodian of state funds, both those on deposit and those invested, and custodian of securities. He keeps account of funds collected, disburses state monies and services bonded indebtedness. As Chairman of the State Finance Committee, the Treasurer oversees and directs issuance and sale of bonds to finance state buildings and facilities and provides grants to local governments.



**Andy
McLAUCHLAN**
Republican

Campaign Address:
215 W. Harrison
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 283-0953

Senator Dan Evans says, "As the Governor of Washington for 12 years, I know what we need in a State Treasurer. Andy McLauchlan is our best choice. He has exceptional qualifications and character. Andy has the hands-on experience in shaping responsible budgets in both Olympia and our Nation's Capitol. Andy will protect our tax dollars and make secure investments while seeking the highest return."

Andy McLauchlan pledges, "As State Treasurer, I will help families save for their children's future and assist small businesses to create jobs. But above all, I will work towards the best possible return on our investments."

Additionally, McLauchlan proposes:

Education trust fund—helping parents and grandparents save for their children's college education.

Small business partnership—pursuing investments that help our small businesses retain or create jobs.

Taxpayer advocate—working to stop mortgaging our children's future by reducing the \$4.7 billion deficit in the state's pension system.

Experience: Chief Budget & Finance Advisor, Senator Dan Evans; Budget & Management Advisor, Governor's Budget Office; Business Degree in Finance from UW; and Masters in Management from USC.

Endorsements: Business & Professional Women's Association, Women's Political Caucus, New Leadership Fund & thousands of Washingtonians.



**Dan
GRIMM**
Democrat

Campaign Address:
201 Elliott Ave. W., #350
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 282-9200

Dan Grimm is the only State Treasurer candidate with a 12-year record of managing state finances and a clear vision of how the State Treasurer can strengthen Washington's economic future.

Dan Grimm's proven leadership and his *invest in Washington* agenda are two reasons why Gov. Booth Gardner and retiring State Treasurer Robert S. O'Brien are supporting Dan Grimm.


As a member of the State Investment Board, Dan Grimm helped the state earn more than \$1.15 billion from its investments in 1987 alone. As chairman of the House budget committee, Dan Grimm balanced Washington's budget six straight years during difficult economic times.

For our future, Dan Grimm's *invest in Washington* agenda will: invest more at home, helping our economy; modernize facilities at our colleges and universities; expand our college tuition savings plan; fully fund our public pension systems.

Dan Grimm's *invest in Washington* agenda will put our tax dollars to work in Washington, creating jobs and new opportunities while providing solid returns on sound state investments.

A 1972 graduate of Columbia University, Dan Grimm has served 12 years as a State Representative from Puyallup, where he lives with his wife, Kathy, and their daughter, Whitney K.

State Auditor



Office Description: The Auditor audits all state agencies and local governments and publishes reports on the accuracy and reliability of financial records and reporting, safeguarding of the public's assets, and legal compliance; prescribes uniform budgeting, accounting and reporting systems for local governments; investigates "Whistleblower" complaints by state employees; makes reports and recommendations to the legislature; and provides training to local governments.



Sam REED Republican

Campaign Address:
Citizens for Sam Reed
P.O. Box 522
Olympia WA 98507
Telephone: (206) 352-3932

Sam Reed will be your visible, aggressive public watchdog!

Sam has served for ten years as the elected Thurston County Auditor. Sam is nationally recognized: four Awards for Excellence—Governmental Financial Officers Association; and, six National Achievement Awards—National Association of Counties.

Sam holds a Masters degree from Washington State University and is a Certified Professional Finance Officer.

As your watchdog, Sam Reed will provide aggressive, effective leadership against fraud and abuse. Many people talk about abuses in government spending. Sam Reed will act and act decisively.

It's time for a change!

Fact: The incumbent has been in the Auditor's Office since 1948.

Fact: He told the Seattle Times and The Olympian that he chose to run again to increase his pension from \$63,400 to \$80,000.

Fact: Although he was the state officer with direct responsibility to oversee WPPSS's fiscal operation, he failed to blow the whistle on that financial disaster. That failure is costing taxpayers, ratepayers, and bondholders billions of dollars.

Fact: HUD, a federal agency, has rejected state audit reports saying they are unsatisfactory.

Fact: It's time for a change!

Vote Sam Reed, your watchdog as State Auditor, for tough, progressive, fiscal leadership for a change.



Robert V. (Bob) GRAHAM Democrat

Campaign Address:
Committee to Re-elect
Bob Graham
State Auditor
P.O. Box 164
Olympia WA 98507
Telephone: (206) 943-1824


State Auditor Bob Graham, called the people's "watchdog" and "window into government," has a record of outstanding service and even-handed reporting. He has maintained the highest standards of honesty, professionalism, and public service.

Governor Gardner said: "Bob Graham is an effective fighter for our taxpayers. He calls the shots as he sees them and lets the chips fall accordingly."

Graham knows that leadership and aggressive action are vital for the State Auditor's job. Declaring the state's accounting system "out-of-control," he took a leadership role resulting in Washington being one of eight states to receive the prestigious "Certificate of Excellence in Financial Reporting" in 1988. *Graham gets results.*

He saved taxpayers millions of dollars by aggressive computer use, a fraud prevention program, trimming "fat" from federal and state audit programs, and taking court action that annually brings millions of dollars in increased investment earnings.

"It has been an honor serving you the last four years as your state auditor. The State Auditor's Office is *your* watchdog on the budget and *your* voice for fiscal responsibility. With your vote, I will continue to see that your tax dollars are spent efficiently and wisely. I appreciate your continued support."



Attorney General

Office Description: The Attorney General as the state's chief legal officer conducts the state's legal affairs and represents the people's interests in matters covering the wide diversity of state government functions. He advises state officials so they act in accordance with the law, handles litigation, issues legal opinions, and enforces the Consumer Protection laws and other matters affecting public interests.



**Ken
EIKENBERRY
Republican**

Campaign Address:
Eikenberry 88 Committee
215 West Harrison
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 282-2904

Attorney General Ken Eikenberry offers a record of vigorous enforcement of consumer protection and environmental laws, new initiatives in the fight against drugs and expansion of the Crime Victims Bill of Rights.

Since 1981, his office has handled over 900,000 consumer protection complaints and secured over \$20,000,000 in awards and penalties.

Eikenberry helped lead the effort to close toxic waste sites, and his office is known for its unblemished record in prosecuting medicaid fraud, political corruption and murder cases. The Attorney General's office has never lost a criminal prosecution case during Eikenberry's eight years as Attorney General.

Eikenberry spearheaded the expansion of our present-day Crime Victims Bill of Rights. He has announced efforts to further strengthen the act during the next session of the legislature, including provisions guaranteeing victims the right to take part in judicial proceedings and giving crime victims a means to enforce restitution from criminals. He secured passage of new laws which make it a felony to defraud senior citizens of their home equity.

Eikenberry strongly supports drug testing for those arrested for violent crimes, and enforcement of laws that would force drug traffickers to forfeit property acquired through illegal drug sales.



**Bill
ERXLEBEN
Democrat**

Campaign Address:
Erxleben for Attorney General
201 Elliott Ave. W.
Suite 505
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 285-3839

"Our State Attorney General is 'Washington's lawyer'. We should expect strong, visible, active leadership. Leadership that makes a difference.

I believe in government with courage—the courage to fight corruption, to lead unpopular causes, to tackle tough problems where no easy answers exist, and to excel in the delivery of services to the public.

The last eight years under Ken Eikenberry have been disappointing. We have not focused resources on fighting drugs; we have not had strong consumer protection programs; we have not prosecuted hazardous waste polluters.


The public interest has not been well served.

With your vote, we can make a change. You deserve excellence, and that's my commitment as 'Washington's lawyer'." Bill Erxleben

Bill Erxleben has a record of accomplishment that will make a difference. Twenty years legal experience as a Federal Prosecutor, Regional Director of the Federal Trade Commission, Assistant State Attorney General, faculty member at the University of Washington and now as a partner in one of the largest law firms in the Northwest make Bill Erxleben Washington's best choice.

Bill and his wife Gayle reside in Bellevue. They have two children: David, a U.W. sophomore, and Jennifer, a senior at Newport High School.

Superintendent of Public Instruction



Office Description: "The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall have supervision over all matters pertaining to the public schools and shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by law." (Art. III state Constitution.) As President of the State Board of Education and head of the state educational agency, the Superintendent is responsible for administration of the K-12 state education program. Elected every four years, this position is the only non-partisan office among the nine statewide elected officials. The Superintendent is a member of the Board of Natural Resources; Chair, Washington State Library Commission; member, Commission for Vocational Education and Traffic Safety Commission.



**Denny
HECK
Nonpartisan**

Campaign Address:
Heck for S.P.I.
1516—2nd #103
Seattle WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 583-0702

Denny Heck is the only SPI candidate who has worked both in Washington schools and in the legislature, where education policies and funding are determined. Heck was the author of the state's Basic Education Act, and served with distinction as Co-Chair of the House Education Committee. He is also the author of a critically acclaimed book on Washington's schools. He has been endorsed by an impressive range of people and organizations in education, business, labor, politics and parent groups.

Denny has already demonstrated that he can get all of these groups working together to transform our schools.

Denny's wife is a middle school vice principal. Their son will graduate from high school in the year 2003. Denny shares the concerns of all parents who want schools that are drug free, up-to-date in their curriculum and technology, and staffed by decently paid and highly motivated teachers.

Denny Heck is determined to cut the education bureaucracy, return control and accountability to parents and to teachers and principals in school buildings, reduce the dropout rate, strengthen vocational programs, and expand pre-school programs for low-income children.



**Judith
BILLINGS
Nonpartisan**

Campaign Address:
P.O. Box 21786
Seattle WA 98111-3786
Telephone: (206) 281-8244

Experience counts when it comes to our children and their education. Judith Billings has 24 years of educational experience at the local, state and federal levels. She has been a classroom teacher, local administrator, state director of the federal program for disadvantaged youths and a key education policy advisor to the U.S. Congress. She knows the problems of our schools and has successfully worked to build bipartisan solutions that are already helping our children.

Like you, Judi has seen our political leaders allow our schools to slip from nationally recognized excellence to mediocrity over the past 10 years.

Judith knows we cannot afford endless political debates or bureaucratic studies of our schools — that we must act *now*. Her broad experience tells her what has to be done. Judi will form a bipartisan coalition of parents, teachers, politicians, business leaders and concerned citizens *to build the best education system in America*.

Judith Billings knows the next Superintendent of Public Instruction must speak out on the problems of education. She will be a fearless and outspoken advocate for our children. Judi has the energy, the commitment and the leadership skills to bring quality back to our children's schools.



Commissioner of Public Lands

Office Description: As administrator of the Department of Natural Resources, the Commissioner of Public Lands is responsible for managing five million acres of state-owned forest, agriculture, urban and aquatic lands to provide trust income, habitat and recreation. The Commissioner also oversees fire protection and forest practices on state and private forests, and chairs the Board of Natural Resources and Forest Practices Board.



Brian BOYLE Republican

Campaign Address:
**Committee to Re-elect
Brian Boyle**
215 West Harrison
Seattle WA 98119
Telephone: (206) 284-5640

Since 1981, Commissioner of Public Lands Brian Boyle has managed our state's precious natural resources by carefully balancing the need to fund *education*, protect our *environment* and build our *economy*.

Education—Under Boyle's management, Washington's five million acres of trust lands have earned over \$1 billion for our public schools,

universities, counties and other institutions, including a record \$195 million last year . . . money taxes didn't have to raise!

Environment—Boyle established conservation areas to protect outstanding scenic, ecological and recreation areas; transferred 8,000 acres for new state parks; and created the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account providing \$3 million annually for public shoreline access and environmental protection projects.

Economy—Boyle stabilized state timber sales volumes, helped negotiate the historic Timber-Fish-Wildlife agreement, and founded the Evergreen Partnership to market Washington's finished wood products worldwide.

Boyle has worked for all Washington's citizens. Your vote will keep him working . . . leading the fight against deadly plastics polluting our waters . . . exploring alternatives for managing our old growth forests . . . providing jobs in our forest products industry . . . and ensuring the needs of our most precious natural resource—our children—are met by re-establishing a permanent fund to provide adequate and stable funding for our public schools.



Mike MURPHY Democrat

Campaign Address:
People for Mike Murphy
822 Governor Stevens
Olympia WA 98501
Telephone: (206) 754-4638

Mike Murphy was born and raised in timber country and knows the importance of a thriving wood products industry and the need for a clean environment. A Grays Harbor County Commissioner for the

past twelve years, he has worked to attract new industry and create jobs while supporting Bowerman Basin as a wildlife refuge, preserving agricultural land and preventing the filling in of wetlands.

Murphy, 46, is a Navy veteran, past president of the Washington State Association of Counties (named Outstanding Commissioner, 1984) and past state President of the JayCees. He received the Environmental "Good Guy" Award from Governor Dan Evans for his beach cleanup program. Murphy instituted a unique program that allows Grays Harbor County to manage its own tax title timber land and that program has accumulated a cash reserve. He believes he could do the same managing our state public lands, making more money available for school construction.

We are at a crossroads in the management of our public lands; the future of our schools depends on our choosing the right course. It will require courage and leadership to make the tough decisions. Murphy has proven he has what it takes.

Candidate did not
submit a photograph
for publication.

Bill (Choctaw) SIMMONS New Alliance Party

Campaign Address:
New Alliance Party
1900 E. Madison, 2nd Floor
Seattle WA 98122
Telephone: (206) 328-9456


Native American leader Bill Simmons is a registered member of the Choctaw Tribe of Oklahoma. Raised in Oklahoma, he has been active throughout his life in promoting the social, cultural, spiritual and

traditional values of the Indian people. Before becoming a resident of the state of Washington in 1983 he worked in various Indian community and public service projects throughout the state of California.

Indian tradition teaches the importance of all life and the importance of land as the basic resource. Simmons is in a unique position to address the negligence and abuse of the natural resources of the state of Washington.

Simmons is running on the ticket headed by Dr. Lenora B. Fulani, the African-American independent candidate for President of the United States who has made environmental protections and the development of non-nuclear safe energy resources a priority, and has militantly stood for the rights of Native Americans. Bill Simmons' campaign is strengthening the alliance between native Americans and African-Americans, which is based not only on their common oppressions but on a commitment to social and economic justice for all people, and to peace.

Insurance Commissioner



Office Description: The Insurance Commissioner has responsibility for: ensuring that insurance companies doing business in Washington comply with state laws, including maintaining financial solvency and ability to pay claims, and treat policyholders fairly; overseeing testing, licensing, continuing education and professional conduct of 28,000 insurance licensees; collecting all taxes and fees assessed insurance companies; and educating, informing and assisting the public in complaints against, or dealings with, insurance companies.



**Richard G. (Dick)
MARQUARDT**
Republican

Dick Marquardt has earned a national reputation as a fighter for consumer rights. A Seattle resident, Dick served one term in the State Senate before being elected Insurance Commissioner in 1976.

Dick stopped the "junk mail" marketing schemes and blew the whistle on misleading TV pitchmen. His Consumer Watchdog Committee is looking into age-rating in health insurance, insurance problems of disabled people, adult day-care facilities and non-profit groups. His volunteer advisors help thousands of senior citizens make the right choices in health insurance.

Dick believes people have a right to insurance at an affordable price and that motorists have the right to expect all drivers to be insured. Dick points out that Washington ranks 9th among 13 western states in average auto insurance costs and says he'll work with legislators to make auto insurance mandatory.

"My job is to make sure companies figure expenses and rates fairly, so you're not paying too much compared to what they pay out," Dick says. "Promises are easy, especially in an election year, but delivering on those promises is something else entirely. I've been on the front line, fighting for the best interests of consumers — not taking cheap shots from the sidelines — and there at the front, standing up for your rights, is where I want to stay."



**Al
RAINWATER**
Democrat

Campaign Address:
Citizens for Al Rainwater
P.O. Box 111913
Tacoma WA 98411
Telephone; (206) 475-4971

Al Rainwater has been endorsed by U.S. Senator Brock Adams, Congressman Norm Dicks, Governor Booth Gardner, Speaker of the House Joe King, the Washington State Labor Council, the Washington State Democratic Party and numerous others.

Why?

First, Al Rainwater, unlike his opponent, *has not* accepted and *will not* accept contributions from insurance companies through their executives. Al Rainwater believes it is a conflict of interest to accept such contributions. Rainwater agrees with the *Seattle Times* who urged the incumbent to solicit contributions from "more individuals whose only connection to the insurance industry are the premiums they pay on their policies."

Second, Al Rainwater has a program for change in the Insurance Commissioner's office: mandatory auto insurance, higher standards to protect seniors from confusing health policy presentations, timely reimbursements to policy holders, and company accountability for cancellations and non-renewals — for daycare centers and other small businesses as well as for individuals.

A former teacher and football coach, Al Rainwater is a past member of the Insurance Commissioner's Advisory Board. "As an insurance agent and broker for 27 years I represented consumers. As Insurance Commissioner I will return fairness, independence, and policy holder rights to this office — for a change."



Superior Court King County, Position 28



**Carol
SCHAPIRA**
Nonpartisan

Campaign Address:
Schapira for Judge Committee
1516 Second Ave., Suite 300
Seattle WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 624-0750

Following graduation from Harvard Law School, Carol Schapira has spent sixteen years as a litigator in Washington State and federal courts. Her judicial qualifications include years of service as a *pro tem* judge, arbitrator, and hearing officer. She has an extensive record of public service, as Trustee and Secretary of the Young Lawyers Section of the Seattle-King County Bar Association; chair and board member of Metrocenter YMCA; board member, Center for Addiction Services; and member of the Mayor's Task Force on Citizen Participation. She has lectured as adjunct professor of law at the graduate Business School of Seattle University, National Institute of Trial Advocacy and the Northwest Women's Law Center.

She has diverse trial experience in civil, criminal, juvenile and administrative law including years in private practice and government service. Carol Schapira will work on the problems in the courts which concern all of us: • Reducing court congestion by streamlining case processing, increased emphasis on early settlement, alternate dispute resolution, and increased district court filings; • Resolving problems in juvenile justice; • Effective response to drug and alcohol problems.

Her abilities are highly regarded by attorneys and community leaders. She cares about our courts and equal justice for all.




**William J. (Bill)
MURPHY**
Nonpartisan

As the son of Irish immigrants, I learned about the sins of political and economic injustice, as well as the virtues of free religious expression, education and hard work. My achievements have shown that I learned my lessons well. I came to know many police and many judges during my successful years as a prosecutor, judge and defense attorney. I am known to be a tough opponent in court, and have achieved great success while still keeping my reputation as a fair and decent man whose work as a courtroom lawyer is honest and ethical.

I am prohibited from using political party identification because this is a nonpartisan judicial election. I have limited my use of many endorsements to only two. I am recommended by the *Seattle Police Guild* — this means a lot to me and my family because police know me. The other endorsement is that of Frank J. Eberharter, the judge who is retiring from position 28. Judge Eberharter encouraged me to run, and has provided personal assistance to help me. I am honored by his trust and confidence in me. Please vote for Bill Murphy, position 28.

WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS





State Senator First Legislative District



Bill KISKADDON Republican

Campaign Address:
Committee to Re-elect
Senator Bill Kiskaddon
P.O. Box 60211
Richmond Beach WA 98160
Telephone: (206) 776-9740

Senator Bill Kiskaddon is dedicated to ensuring that children and families are our state's number one priorities.

That's why he fought to establish the Senate's first Children and Family Services Committee and why the Senate chose him as the Committee's first chairman.


Senator Kiskaddon knows that most state spending goes to children through our schools or through social service agencies. And Bill is working to ensure our tax dollars go directly to the people they are meant to serve.

After three terms in the House of Representatives and two terms as our State Senator, Bill Kiskaddon has been working successfully to hold taxes down, making sure the taxes we do pay are well spent.

Bill and his wife, Donna, have made their home here for 31 years and raised their family here. Bill has been a Boeing engineer, university professor, director of a senior outreach program and is now a family counselor. Bill was a Marine in the Korean War.

Senator Bill Kiskaddon is working to make our State a better place to live for our children, for our future, and he has a solid record of accomplishment to back him up.

Let's keep Senator Bill Kiskaddon working for us.



Patty MURRAY Democrat

We need to put Patty Murray's energy and expertise to work for us on critical issues in the State Senate: excellence in education for our children, a safe and healthy environment to live in, economic opportunities for our families, and dignity and independence as we grow older.

Patty's broad background — two-term President of Shoreline School Board, Instructor for Shoreline Community College, member of statewide boards and committees for family and children's issues — shows she gets things done by hard work and leadership, bringing people together to support community interests.

Patty has down-to-earth experience in facing today's challenges. We can count on her to make tough-minded decisions for our present and future needs.

Patty is known and respected by many community and state leaders. They see her election as a welcome change, bringing a vigorous, effective and knowledgeable 1st District Senator to work for us.

Patty Murray has a record of doing the job we elect her to do. She'll work hard for us in the Senate, and she'll be there to make a difference when issues are debated and votes are counted.

Patty received the highest Municipal League rating of any candidate for this position!

State Representative

First Legislative District



P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
1



Kay FOX Republican

Campaign Address:
Citizens for Kay Fox
P.O. Box 7336
Seattle WA 98133
Telephone: (206) 365-0141

Throughout Kay's career in business and public service, she has been recognized for her ability, dedication and unquestionable record of integrity and caring leadership.

Kay pledges to make education her top priority, to fight the battle against illegal drugs, to oppose general tax increases and support legislation which encourages business growth.

As our State Representative, Kay Fox will put her personal experience to work for all of us—providing us with the kind of vigorous, productive leadership needed to get things done right in Olympia.



Nancy RUST Democrat

Campaign Address:
Committee to elect
Nancy Rust
18747 Ridgfield Rd. NW
Seattle WA 98177
Telephone: (206) 542-4329

Nancy Rust will go ahead with the good work: providing quality education, promoting responsible tax and budget planning, protecting our environment.

Nancy's first concern is giving the First District the excellent representation it deserves. She chairs the Environmental Affairs Committee, and serves on the Education, Ways and Means Committees, and the Nuclear Waste Board. She knows how to get people working together for better solutions to complex problems.

Nancy and Dick Rust have lived and worked in the district for 34 years. Their six grown children were graduated from Shoreline schools. They know how important the district is to you.

P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
2



John VASKO Republican

Campaign Address:
Citizens for John Vasko
P.O. Box 55031
Seattle WA 98155
Telephone: (206) 542-9259

John Vasko, physician, husband and father, has been a leader in community, school, political and church activities. He believes the family and family values are the foundation of society. He has worked to promote quality education with parental involvement, wants cost-effective government programs with sound management, and believes in spending control and effective tax policies rather than increased taxes which hurt those on fixed incomes. Vasko's science education and business knowledge will be an invaluable resource for complex environmental and health-care legislation. Vasko favors tougher laws, enforcement and penalties for drug-related crimes, child pornography and child abuse.



Grace COLE Democrat

Campaign Address:
Committee to Re-elect
3026 NE 163rd
Seattle WA 98155
Telephone: (206) 362-7409

Grace Cole has built a reputation as a hard-working, knowledgeable legislator. Her experience and no-nonsense approach are highly respected.

Grace is a dynamic force in Olympia. She works to improve schools, the environment and the quality of life for senior citizens as well as young people.

Grace and her family have lived in the First District for 30 years. She served as a member of the Shoreline School Board, Shoreline Youth Services, Center for Human Services, and the Shoreline Chamber of Commerce.

Grace Cole's dedication is indisputable. "I am committed to actively serving the citizens of the First District."



State Representative

Forty-Fourth Legislative District

P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
1



**Robert
GRUPE**
Republican

Campaign Address:
Grupe for Legislator
11400 NE 132nd, S-202
Kirkland WA 98034
Telephone: (206) 820-1031

Robert Grupe is a leader with the background and vision necessary to effectively represent our district.

Robert feels we need to get state spending under control, increase the quality of our state services, and provide employment and career opportunities for our citizens.

Robert knows, first hand, how hard it is to raise a family and is determined to work with law enforcement and prosecutors to make our communities safer places to live. He is committed to improving educational standards for our children.

Elect Robert Grupe for more effective state government.



**Maria
CANTWELL**
Democrat

In just one term, Maria Cantwell has provided effective leadership for her district.

A new *nursing home* ombudsman program to protect the quality of care for seniors in nursing homes; tougher *child abuse* legislation and *improved transportation* for suburban areas, are three bills Cantwell passed.

Government efficiency also ranked high on the freshman's list of accomplishments as she passed two bills streamlining government and save taxpayers thousands of dollars.

Cantwell believes *children* are our greatest resource. We must work to ensure they have the same opportunities we had for a good education, safe upbringing and a place in the workforce.

P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
2



**Dave
WINECOFF**
Republican

Campaign Address:
Citizens to Elect
Dave Winecoff
9425 Valhalla Way
Bothell WA 98011
Telephone: (206) 488-8512

Dave Winecoff served with honor for 21 years as an officer in the U.S. Marine Corps. Now an educator, Dave is married and has four children. He currently serves on the City Council of Mill Creek and has a deep sense of service to his community. Dave sees family issues as top priority with emphasis on improving our K-12 education system and controlling drugs and crime. He is acutely aware that, especially in the 44th District, we must grapple with an all too outdated transportation system or our business growth will soon wither.



**Paul
KING**
Democrat

Paul King is seeking re-election as our representative. As an attorney he understands the legislative process. He has a varied background as a community law instructor, Mountlake Terrace City Councilman, Judge Pro-Tem, businessman and a volunteer arbitrator for the Better Business Bureau.


He has fought to put sex offenders behind bars and to lengthen sentences for habitual criminals. Whether it's curbing child abuse, health care cost containment, funding educational excellence or improving transportation services in the 44th District, Paul King is there.

Paul received Northshore's Education Association 1986 Award and the Washington Environmental Council's 1987 Dedication to the Environment Award.

State Representative Forty-Fifth Legislative District



P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
1



**John
BETROZOFF**
Republican

Campaign Address:
John Betrozoff Committee
P.O. Box 8
Redmond WA 98073
Telephone: (206) 881-0143

John Betrozoff is completing his third term. He brought successful business, education and community service experiences to state government. John is ranking Republican on Education and serves on Transportation, Financial Institutions and Insurance Committees. He is a Washington Centennial Commissioner. He serves on several special education committees – funding, construction, tests/measurements, small schools.

Three times John Betrozoff received “outstanding” ratings from the Seattle-King County Municipal League. He is rated high in integrity, effectiveness and knowledge of the Legislature. John will continue to support quality education, transportation issues, advocate government efficiency and promote private sector development. John cares about people.



**Jim
TOUHEY**
Democrat

Jim Touhey believes population growth pressures are eroding the 45th District’s greatness. A visionary change of direction is needed.

For 27 years, Jim’s community involvement has centered on soccer, church, Eastside literacy tutoring and other activities.

Jim would genuinely listen to people’s concerns. He is eager to transfer his management, accounting, budgeting and business planning skills to the governmental arena. Jim wants to help make government less cumbersome and more responsible to community needs.

Jim would use innovative teamwork to build on our sound economic base and achieve a more cost effective utilization of our limited resources.

P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
2



**Louise
MILLER**
Republican

A third-term legislator, seven-year member Woodinville Water Board of Commissioners and 20-year volunteer in community organizations, Louise is highly rated as an effective, smart, energetic, ethical Representative.

Louise was elected Republican Whip in 1987. Committee assignments include: Energy and Utilities, Higher Education, and Rules. She is a six-year member of the Nuclear Waste Board and Arts Commission.

Nationally, Louise was just elected to serve as vice chair for Women’s Network of the National Conference of State Legislators and will be chair in 1990. Her Seattle-King Co. Muni-League rating has consistently been “outstanding”.

Democrat – No candidate filed.



State Representative

Forty-Seventh Legislative District

P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
1



Bruce HOLLAND Republican

Campaign Address:
Citizens for Holland
P.O. Box 58153
Renton WA 98058
Telephone: (206) 255-4524

Bruce Holland is completing his third term as your State Representative. A sixteen-year district resident, Bruce is an involved, concerned citizen. Having served his community in many capacities, Bruce is now working for all the people of our district.

Bruce is an effective, qualified problem solver who is working for the responsible and prudent management of our tax dollar; the sound education of our children; the safety of our citizens; greater job opportunities; and the preservation of our clean environment.

With Bachelor's and Master's degrees in Economics, specializing in public finance, Bruce has twenty-one years of financial management experience.



David HALES Democrat

Campaign Address:
16505 132 Pl. S.E.
Renton WA 98058
Telephone: (206) 235-7113

Active in church, community, politics and small business, David Hales has always been a leader.

As our next State Representative, David realizes that our state minimum wage of \$2.30 an hour is simply not enough to make a decent living. He feels that programs as "workfare" are vital in fighting poverty, training people for jobs, rather than merely giving them money. Most importantly, David feels that America must regain its prominence through well-backed schools.

David Hales will not accept money from or become a servant of lobbyists or special interest groups. David Hales truly is the *people's* choice.

P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
2



Michael PATRICK Republican

Campaign Address:
Citizens for
Mike Patrick
18809—134th SE
Renton WA 98058
Telephone: (206) 631-6036

Mike Patrick is an experienced and effective leader in Olympia. He is an acknowledged leader in transportation, law enforcement, labor and family issues. Mike's leadership capabilities afforded him the opportunity to be chosen as the House Republican Organizational Leader, the Ranking Minority of the House Commerce and Labor Committee, the Co-Vice Chairman of the Select Committee on Labor/Management Relations and a member of the Select Legislative Transportation Committee.

Mike will continue to be a leader in the passage of legislation designed to serve the citizens of the 47th Legislative District. Mike is a caring, concerned and compassionate legislator.



Donald HAMMOND Democrat

Campaign Address:
Committee to Elect
Hammond
13236 S.E. 161st Place
Renton WA 98058
Telephone: (206) 255-4070

Are you tired of gridlocked traffic, overstrained schools, underpaid teachers, skyrocketing health costs and scarce senior citizen services? Are you tired of being overlooked when the legislature divvies up the budget? Then vote for Don Hammond.

As pastor of Renton's United Christian Church, Don knows firsthand how the legislature's neglect of our area affects people's lives. Better yet, Don is honest, hard working, and a trained communicator. He'll work with legislative leaders to win much-needed improvements for our district.

Don Hammond has *integrity*. He *cares* about people. We can *trust* him to represent us and get things done in Olympia.

State Representative Forty-Eighth Legislative District



P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
1



**Roy
FERGUSON**
Republican

Roy's leadership and experience in his first term led to the passage of five prime sponsored bills and the rare appointment of Ranking Republican on the Local Government Committee. He is a legislator with an extensive community service background including: Mayor and Councilman, Bellevue, Sammamish Community Council, Bellevue Community College Foundation, Eastside Human Services Board and is known to work diligently on issues. Roy is known as an excellent communicator who is open and accessible. His priorities start with jobs and economic development, educational improvements including vocational training, an improved transportation system, plus acceleration of the war on drugs.



**Dorothy Hill
KING**

Democrat
Campaign Address:
Campaign to Elect
Dorothy Hill King
P.O. Box 964
Issaquah, WA 98027
Telephone: (206) 747-9970

The King County Democratic Central Committee, 48th District Democrats, Women's Political Caucus, and others endorse me. I support King County and Washington State Democratic platforms. To fund education and programs to benefit the environment and people of this state I support state corporate and individual income taxes, reduction in sales tax, and elimination of the business and occupation tax. *There is a choice in the 48th District.* Retired teacher, B.A. in Education, sociology major, liberal, political activist, I offer an alternative to the "old boy network", and will work to bring responsible change in the legislature and Washington State.



**Steve
VAN LUVEN**
Republican

Steve Van Luven former member of the House of Representatives from 1983-86 was Chairman of the Ethics Committee and Republican Vice Chairman of Transportation. He believes we need sound fiscal planning, a state budget providing for educational excellence, social needs and efficient delivery of government services. As a business owner on the Eastside for 13 years he understands the concerns of small business. Steve, a Washington native, has work experience in Guatemala, El Salvador, New York City and Mexico. He belongs to Rotary and is a Board Member of Youth Eastside Services. He has a B.A. and a Masters Degree.



**Marian
HATCH**
Democrat

Marian Hatch, a former school teacher, founded and operated an oil refinery, an insurance agency, and a sand and gravel company. Marian believes that economic growth is dependent on promoting technological development, and our educational system must reflect this commitment. Marian wants AIDS treated like any other communicable disease. She wants a real war on drugs, including recriminalizing marijuana and stiff penalties for money laundering. Marian, unlike the typical liberal Democrat, stands for traditional American values. She is pro-growth, pro-defense and pro-life. With Marian in the legislature, we can reverse 25 years of economic and moral decay.



**Tom
ISENBERG**
Libertarian

Campaign Address:
Committee to Elect
Tom Isenberg
Telephone: (206) 867-0208

If you are concerned with how your governmental "representatives" seek to regulate your personal decisions, the Libertarian party offers you an alternative. We believe government should do nothing but defend your right to live as you see fit, so long as you don't use force or fraud. Let's send this message to Olympia: "Stop interfering with our peaceful activities and replace coercion and government monopolies with choice and freemarkets in everything." If you really want your principles to be heard, please don't waste your vote on the old parties, their special-interest politics, and their destructive "solutions."

P
O
S
I
T
I
O
N
2

THE OFFICE OF PRECINCT COMMITTEE OFFICER

In addition to the various state and county offices which will appear upon the general election ballot, most voters will have the opportunity to vote for the office of "precinct committee officer".

WHO IS ELIGIBLE

State law (RCW 29.42.040) provides that any person who is a registered voter and a member of a major political party may become a candidate for the office of precinct committee officer by filing a declaration of candidacy and paying a \$1 filing fee to the county auditor. Since voters do not register by political party in Washington, a candidate declares himself or herself to be a Democrat or a Republican at the time he or she files for the office. The filing period for the office of precinct committee officer begins at the same time as the filing period for other partisan offices (the last Monday in July in even-numbered years), and lasts for three weeks, ending on the third Friday following that date.

ELECTION OF PRECINCT COMMITTEE OFFICER

Candidates for precinct committee officer do not appear on the primary ballot but rather are placed directly on the general election ballot, and the candidate receiving the most votes in his or her precinct for each political party is declared elected. State law (RCW 29.42.050) does provide, however, that to be declared elected, a candidate must receive at least 10% of the number of votes cast for the candidate of his or her party receiving the greatest number of votes in that precinct.

TERM OF OFFICE AND VACANCIES

The term of office for anyone elected to the office of precinct committee officer is two years, and commences upon the official canvass of election returns by the county canvassing board. Should a vacancy occur in the office (caused by death, disqualification, resignation, or failure to elect), the usual process is for the chairman of the party central committee to fill the vacancy by appointment. Appointments to fill vacancies cannot be made between the state general election and the organization meeting of the county central committee, which must be held prior to the second Saturday in January following the election of precinct committee officer.

DUTIES OF PRECINCT COMMITTEE OFFICER AS MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY AND STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEES

1. Each precinct committee officer is a member of the county central committee. The county central committee has the authority to fill vacancies on the party ticket for partisan county offices and for legislative offices in districts entirely within that county when no candidate files for such a position or when a candidate or nominee dies or is disqualified leaving no candidate of that party for such an office; they may also nominate

persons for appointment to these offices if an incumbent of that party resigns; and finally, elect members to the state central committee.

2. The state central committee has the authority under state law (RCW 29.42.020) to:
 - Call caucuses and conventions.
 - Provide for the election of delegates to national nominating conventions.
 - Fill vacancies on the party ticket for any federal, state or legislative office which encompasses more than one county.
 - Nominate persons to fill vacancies caused by resignation or death of an incumbent of that party in state offices and legislative offices in districts which encompasses more than one county.
 - Provide for the nomination of presidential electors.
 - Perform other functions inherent in such an organization.

NON-STATUTORY DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PRECINCT COMMITTEE OFFICER

Specific duties and responsibilities of a precinct committee officer are usually determined by either the county or state central committees. The following duties are commonly assigned to precinct committee officer by their party organization:

- Keep informed on current issues and candidates, study the party platform.
- Attend meetings of county committees and actively participate in fund-raising activities.
- Obtain lists of registered voters from the County Auditor's office.
- Canvass the precinct and become acquainted with the voters residing therein.
- Establish a record of eligible voters and party members within the precinct.
- Encourage voter registration within the precinct.
- Distribute party election materials during election campaigns.
- Recommend party members to work as precinct election officers.
- Encourage voters to get out and vote on election day.
- Encourage the use of absentee ballots.
- Hold precinct caucuses at certain selected times for the purpose of adopting resolutions and selecting delegates to the county conventions.

Individuals who are interested in serving as precinct committee officer should contact the chairman of the county central committee of their party or the state committee office of that party. Their addresses are as follows:

Washington State
Republican Party
Nine Lake Bellevue Drive,
Suite 203
Bellevue WA 98005

Washington State
Democratic Central
Committee
1701 Smith Tower
Seattle WA 98104

INTRODUCTION TO THE 1988 LOCAL VOTERS' PAMPHLET

Dear King County Residents:

The 1988 Voters' Pamphlet you are now reading is dedicated to Washington State's Centennial. This celebration of our 100 years of statehood is an opportunity to reflect on our heritage. It is a heritage of basic freedoms, of grass-roots participation, of accountable and accessible government.

Throughout our first hundred years, King County and Washington State have encouraged and benefited from active and informed voters. I encourage you to continue the traditions of our founding fathers by using your voters pamphlet to study this year's candidates and issues. In addition to candidates and issues, this edition also contains a sample ballot you may take with you to the polls. Also included is an application for an absentee ballot if you are unable to vote at your polling place.

Our pioneer founders over 100 years ago had a vision of a state dedicated to the rights and freedoms of all individuals and a dream that those individuals would actively participate in their government and shape our destiny. Join me in continuing to chart our future by voting on Tuesday, November 8.



A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Tim Hill". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Tim" being more prominent than the last name "Hill".

Tim Hill
King County Executive



King County - Proposed Charter Amendment No. 1

LOCAL FOCUS: King is the state's most populous county with an estimated 1,361,700 residents. The county has a home rule charter which call for election of a nine member Council, County Executive, Prosecuting Attorney, and Assessor. King County has sixteen legislative districts with 48 elected officials serving in the state legislature.

BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1

Shall King County Charter Section 250 regarding the responsibilities of the county auditor be amended to require the auditor to be responsible to the county council for performing independent audits within guidelines established by the council, to require the organization and administration of the auditor's office to be independent, and to require that the auditor be provided a discrete budget and staff allocation, all as provided for in Ordinance No. 8649?

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, proposed Charter Amendment No. 1 would amend King County Charter Section 250 regarding the responsibilities of the county auditor to accomplish the following:

First, the amendment would require the auditor to be responsible to the county council for conducting various independent post audits of county agencies within guidelines established by the council by ordinance. These audits would include financial and compliance audits to supplement those performed by the state, economy and efficiency audits, and program result audits.

Second, the amendment would require the auditor to report the results of each county agency audit to the council.

Third, the amendment would require the organization and administration of the auditor's office to be sufficiently independent to assure no interference or influence external to the organization would adversely affect the auditor's independent and objective judgment.

Finally, the amendment would require the auditor to be provided a discrete budget and staff allocation.

Statement for

WHAT THE CHARTER SAYS

The County Auditor was established by the Charter as an office within the legislative branch of County government to serve as a means by which the Council may exercise its oversight responsibility for the effectiveness and efficiency of the programs and operations of County government.

WHAT THE PROBLEM IS

The County Auditor function has proven to be effective, however, ambiguities in the Charter have resulted in some confusion over how the Auditor function is to be carried out and questions about the extent to which the County Auditor's Office has been able to operate independently and objectively.

WHAT THE AMENDMENT WOULD DO

The proposed Charter amendment corrects these ambiguities by clarifying the roles, the specific responsibilities of the County Auditor, and the relationship between the Auditor and the Council. It also provides that the Auditor's office shall be organized, funded, and staffed so as to assure independent and objective audits. These changes will ensure that the County Auditor position will continue to function effectively in assisting the Council in its oversight role and not be subject to interference or misuse by the Council.

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Vera Ing, Chair, Structural Committee, Charter Review Commission, David Boerner, Chair, Charter Review Commission

King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 2



BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 2

Shall King County Charter Section 350.20.20 regarding the department of judicial administration be amended to require the superior court clerk to be appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, a majority of the superior court judges in the county, as provided for in Ordinance No. 8648?

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, proposed Charter Amendment No. 2 would amend King County Charter Section 350.20.20 regarding the department of judicial administration to require the superior court clerk to be appointed by and serve at the pleasure of the majority of the superior court judges in the county.

Under the existing charter section, the department of judicial administration is administered by a superior court clerk who is appointed by the county executive from a list of three or more nominees submitted by a majority of the superior court judges in the county.

Statement for

WHAT THE CHARTER SAYS

The charter puts the Department of Judicial Administration and its administrator (the Superior Court Clerk) under the authority of the County Executive who appoints the Clerk from a list of nominees submitted by the King County Judges. The primary function of the Clerk and the Clerk's staff is to provide administrative support for the operation of the superior court.

WHAT THE PROBLEM IS

Although the Superior Court Judges are responsible for the administration of the court system, the administrative staff for the court is divided. About half of the staff reports to the court administrator hired by and acting under the authority of the judges; the other half reports to the Clerk hired by and acting under the authority of the County Executive.

WHAT THE AMENDMENT WOULD DO

The proposed amendment would reassign the Clerk by providing that the Clerk shall be appointed by the Superior Court Judges. This change would improve court management by giving the court direct control over the department that handles the record keeping and much of the other administrative duties of the court. The change would give the judges more flexibility in assigning court staff and in combining functions. The change would increase the accountability of the judges for the administration of the courts. The financial controls of the County Council would not be affected; the Council would continue to have the right to audit the Clerk's staff and through the budget process would continue to have complete control of how many employees serve on the court staff. The Clerk's staff would continue to be hired through the County personnel system.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Dale B. Ramerman, Chair, Regional Committee, Charter Review Commission

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.



King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 3

Explanatory Statement:

BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 3

Shall King County Charter Section 550 regarding career service positions be amended to require, effective January 1, 1989, all part-time county employees, other than those employees otherwise exempted by the charter, employed at least half-time to be members of the career service, as provided for in Ordinance No. 8651?

If approved by the voters, proposed Charter Amendment No. 3 would amend King County Charter Section 550 regarding career service positions to require, beginning on January 1, 1989, all part-time county employees (other than those employees who are otherwise exempted by the charter) employed at least half-time to be members of the career service personnel system. Under the existing charter section, all part-time county employees are exempted from membership in the career service personnel system.

Statement for

WHAT THE CHARTER SAYS

When the Charter was adopted in 1968, the County's personnel system changed from a patronage system to one in which hiring, promotions, and dismissal are accomplished through a competitive, merit-based process—the Career Service System. The Charter specifically excludes certain categories of employees from participation in the Career Service System, including part-time employees.

WHAT THE PROBLEM IS

The Charter Review Commission found that since 1968, part-time employees have become an important factor in the County's work force and that, in many instances, there is no inherent difference between the work performed by full-time Career Service employees and part-time employees. Further, the operational requirements of certain County agencies can best be met by a combination of both. The exclusion of part-time employees from the Career Service System makes it difficult for the County to accommodate regular part-time positions, job-sharing, and other less than full-time position needs and opportunities. The Commission also found that, since part-time employees can be hired without competitive selection and since they have no personnel rights, there can be abuses of this category of employees.

WHAT THE AMENDMENT WOULD DO

The proposed Charter amendment would allow those part-time positions which are, in effect, regular positions within the County's work force, to be brought under the Career Service System with all the accompanying benefits and rights. This would reduce potential for abuse in the use of part-time employees and allow the County greater flexibility and productivity in its work force.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Susan Johnson, Chair, Technical Committee, Charter Review Commission, Dave Boerner, Chair, Charter Review Commission

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.

King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 4



BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 4

Shall King County Charter Section 800 regarding charter review and amendments be amended to require the county executive to appoint a citizen commission of not less than fifteen members, consisting of at least one representative from each of the county council districts, to review the charter and recommend changes to the council at least once every ten years, as provided for in Ordinance No. 8647?

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, proposed Charter Amendment No. 4 would amend King County Charter Section 800 regarding charter review and amendments to require the county executive to appoint, at least once every ten years, a citizen commission of not less than fifteen members whose mandate would be to review the charter and to present to the county council a written report recommending those amendments, if any, which it believes should be made to the charter.

The proposed charter amendment would require the citizen commission to be composed of at least one representative from each of the county council districts, which at present number nine.

Under the existing charter section, the county executive must review, or cause to be reviewed, the charter at least once every ten years and and present, or cause to be presented, to the council a written report recommending those amendments, if any, which the executive believes should be made to the charter.

Statement for

WHAT THE CHARTER SAYS NOW

Presently, the Charter simply provides that the Executive shall initiate a review of the Charter at least once every ten years. It is possible that an Executive, acting alone, could look through the Charter and report to the Council that no changes are needed. Traditionally, the Executive has appointed a citizens' group to conduct the review and make recommendations for any changes. Since its adoption in 1968, the Charter has been reviewed in 1971, 1977 and 1987 using this approach.

WHAT THE PROBLEM IS

The Charter Review Commission found that the lack of Charter direction for the conduct of the review has led to discord between the Executive and the Council which has resulted in delays and confusion in the Charter review process. The Charter Review Commission also found that the appointed citizens' review group has proven to be an efficient and effective approach to reviewing the Charter.

WHAT THE AMENDMENT WOULD DO

The proposed Charter amendment would require that the Executive appoint a citizens' commission for the purpose of conducting a review of the Charter. This commission is to be comprised of at least 15 members with at least one member from each council district to provide for balanced geographic representation. The proposed amendment would ensure that, in the future, this important Charter function is not impeded by confusion over the manner in which the review is to be conducted.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Vera Ing, Chair, Structural Committee, Charter Review Commission, David Boerner, Chair, Charter Review Commission

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.



King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 5

BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 5

Shall King County Charter Section 815 regarding contracts and competitive bidding be amended to permit county road projects having a value of less than twenty-five thousand dollars to be performed by county employees and to permit, if allowable under state law, the county to award contracts under one hundred thousand dollars to licensed contractors from a small works roster, as provided for in Ordinance No. 8650?

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, proposed Charter Amendment No. 5 would amend King County Charter Section 815 regarding contracts and competitive bidding in two principals ways.

First, the amendment would permit county road projects having a value of less than twenty-five thousand dollars to be performed by county employees. Under the present charter section, county road projects having a value of less than fifteen thousand dollars may be performed by county employees.

Second, the amendment would create an exception to the competitive bidding of county contracts by permitting, if allowable under state law, licensed contractors who have requested to be placed on a small works roster to perform contracts worth one hundred thousand dollars or less, in accordance with procedures that would be established by ordinance.

Statement for

WHAT THE CHARTER SAYS

The Charter limits to \$15,000 the value of public works projects that the County can carry out with its own road crews. All other projects must be competitively bid.

WHAT THE PROBLEM IS

The \$15,000 limit restricts the County's ability to respond to road needs in unincorporated areas. That limit, adopted in 1968, is equivalent to about \$50,000 today. A vast majority of County road projects are contracted out, but for those less than \$100,000 the cost of competitive bidding makes this approach impractical. Consequently, the County must often wait for small project needs to become larger project needs before they can be addressed.

Use of a roster of prequalified firms from which to select contractors for projects costing \$100,000 or less would allow the County to be more responsive to small public works needs in the community. It would also increase opportunities for small construction firms to secure County contracts. However, unlike cities, counties presently do not have legislative authority to use a small works roster.

WHAT THE AMENDMENT WOULD DO

The proposed Charter amendment would increase from \$15,000 to \$25,000 the value of public works projects which the County can do itself. It would also allow the County to implement a small works roster program as soon as the State Legislature grants the necessary authority. Both changes would allow the County to be more responsive to unincorporated area road needs. Small construction firms would also have increased opportunities to secure County contracts.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Susan Johnson, Chair, Technical Committee, Charter Review Commission, David Boerner, Chair, Charter Review Commission

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.

King County Proposition No. 1



Explanatory Statement:

BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 1 YOUTH DETENTION/TREATMENT FACILITIES BONDS - \$14,238,000

Shall King County, for the purpose of paying all or part of the costs of acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping youth detention and treatment facilities, and for other capital purposes, issue \$14,238,000 of its general obligation bonds, maturing within twenty years, and levy excess property taxes to pay and retire the bonds, all as provided in Ordinance No. 8634?

If approved by the voters, Proposition No. 1 would authorize King County to issue up to \$14,238,000 worth of general obligation bonds to pay for all or part of the costs of acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping youth detention and treatment facilities, and for other capital purposes, all as described in Ordinance No. 8634.

The bonds, which would be required to mature within twenty years of their issuance, would be paid for through annual tax levies to be made upon all of the taxable property within the county and in excess of the regular non-voted property tax levy without limitation as to rate or amount, and through any other funds which may become available and which may be used for such purposes.

Statement for

The King County Youth Services Center is a security risk to the kids detained in it, the staff and, ultimately, the community at large. Built 30 years ago to house runaways and children whose families could not care for them, the Center does not permit adequate surveillance of any kids, much less the maximum security needed for today's serious and often violent juvenile offenders.

Corrections experts lambast the Center's physical layout and conditions as prohibitive to rehabilitation. Since 70% of the 12 to 18 year olds detained at the Center have not been convicted of the offense with which they are charged, an opportunity exists to help them change in positive ways. But at the current Center, the kids are housed in overcrowded, asbestos-filled rooms without toilets, wash basins, adequate ventilation and heating systems or outside light. The rooms even flood in the rainy season due to outmoded plumbing. With this Center, it is impossible to rehabilitate wayward youngsters and keep juvenile delinquency from menacing our property and our lives.

At the rate of \$2.20 per \$100,000 of assessed property value, this is one of the most cost-effective bond issues submitted to the voters in years. For the cost of a Big Mac, the average tax payer can help build a center that securely detains and rehabilitates juvenile offenders. Then our whole community will be safer.

We urge you to vote yes on Proposition One.

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Bernie Bickerstaff, Coach, Seattle Supersonics
Judith Runstad, Attorney/Partner, FOSTER, PEPPER & SHEFELMAN
John Spellman, Attorney/Partner, CARNEY, STEPHENSON, BADLEY, SMITH, MUELLER & SPELLMAN PS



King County Proposition No. 2

BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 2

ADVISORY BALLOT

Should public funding and development of a rail transit system to serve the residents of King County be accelerated so that service in King County can begin before the year 2000?

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, Proposition No. 2 would advise the government of King County that it is the opinion of the voters that public funding and development of a rail transit system to serve the residents of King County should be accelerated in order to permit service in King County to begin before the year 2000.

Statement for

Should public funding and development of a rail transit system be accelerated so that service can begin before the year 2000?

We urge voters to respond with an overwhelming YES vote when that question appears as an advisory ballot this general election.

Most people agree that rail transit is an important part of our transportation future: the question is whether it will be sooner or later.

Our region has a clear choice to make. We can continue to allow the degradation of our quality of life and environment by devoting more land to freeways and parking lots to accommodate more and more cars carrying a single occupant. Or, we can balance our reliance on the automobile with a sensible rail and bus system to move large numbers of people safely, conveniently, and inexpensively. We must step up to the challenge now! Current rail policy is based on an assumption that a rail transit system need not be completed until the year 2020.

That policy is beginning to change as public officials and frustrated freeway drivers recognize that rail transit is an idea whose time has come. An estimated 300,000 new people will move to King County by the year 2000 and with each person will come more vehicles to our already congested roadways.

Now is your turn to speak out: Should we act now to carefully develop and construct a rail transit system?

We urge you to join us and answer YES when you vote November 8.

Rebuttal of statement against

Trains operating on exclusive right-of-way will speed past freeway congestion while express buses and automobiles sit in traffic and idle.

Rail has been extremely successful in many cities including Atlanta, San Diego, Portland and Vancouver.

Rail development is an investment in our local transportation system. This region cannot afford traffic gridlock that causes 3 hour daily commutes and threatens our economic prosperity. Next time you're stuck in traffic consider the alternative.

Vote YES for rail.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: Greg Nickels, King County Councilmember, Cynthia Sullivan, King County Councilmember, Dan Kelleher, Mayor, City of Kent

Statement against

Does the public have enough information to vote on this issue? Clearly, the answer is no! Consider these facts:

The public has been bombarded with statements that a rail system will solve the congestion problem — however, a recent \$1 million comprehensive regional study stated that traffic and congestion results will be virtually identical whether we choose rail or bus.

The preamble to the ordinance states that the rail system costs would be \$1.7 billion greater than an extension of our existing bus system, but it fails to provide information on what improved services this additional money would provide.

The proposed rail corridors would cost billions of dollars to serve areas that already have excellent express bus service.

Rail projects in other cities have demonstrated that rail investments are risky because of massive cost overruns and unfulfilled promises about ridership.

The State Rail Commission has recommended that local, not state, funds be used, with possible taxes on "...cigarettes, fuel, liquor, lodging, motor vehicle excise, parking and/or up to a one cent sales tax."

The voters are being asked to encourage the accelerated expenditure of public funds to provide a rail system that would cost billions of local dollars, yet would have no more effect on traffic congestion than our current system. Wouldn't it be smarter to delay this rail decision until we discover how well the existing system works with our \$600 million investment in the bus tunnel and high occupancy lanes?

Rebuttal of statement for

The currently planned rail transit system would attract 30,000 additional daily riders at a capital cost of nearly \$57,000 per daily rider - inexpensive indeed!

It's also important to note that most of the additional 300,000 people who will move to King County by 2000 will live and work in the suburbs. The rail system will not serve this growth.

Let's not rush into something that can't be undone; rail is clearly not the only alternative.

STATEMENT SUBMITTED BY: Scott Rutherford, Washington State Transportation, University of Washington, Dick Nelson, State Representative, 32nd District

LOCAL FOCUS: Redmond is a municipality of 32,000, encompassing 14 square miles. Over 24,000 people are employed within Redmond, giving the City a daytime population of about 55,000. The City provides all traditional municipal services and has 270 full-time employees. The 1988 General Fund Budget is \$17.5 million.

City of Redmond Proposition No. 1



BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 1

Shall the City of Redmond be authorized to increase the regular property tax levy rate from \$1.7874 per \$1,000 of assessed value in 1988 to \$2.54 per \$1,000 of assessed value commencing with taxes payable in 1989, an increase in excess of the maximum rate permitted by the one hundred six percent limitation established by RCW 84.55.010, to be used for public safety and to maintain City assets? (This shall not be construed to authorize an excess levy and shall be subject to otherwise applicable statutory limits).

Explanatory Statement:

The City of Redmond proposes to increase police and fire services and to provide for a portion of the cost in maintaining City assets such as streets, parks, buildings, and equipment by raising the limit on real property tax levies. On September 13, 1988 the City Council adopted Resolution No. 778 which proposed to increase the property tax levy from \$1.79 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation to \$2.54 per \$1,000.00, an increase of 75¢.

The City Council took these steps before concluding that additional revenues are necessary:

Analyze staffing, workload, service demand and growth indicators, as well as revenue projections.

Requested Chamber of Commerce analysis of City budgets and operations.

Adjusted user fees so that the direct beneficiaries of services pay their proportionate share.

Agreed to conduct independent audits of each department to foster efficiency, and eliminate unnecessary programs.

Revenue shortfalls have been forecasted since 1982 and a citizens committee recommended that additional revenue sources be developed. The City has sought to avoid raising taxes and, instead, focussed on improving operations. Budget forecasts now show that critical reductions in service will become necessary if additional revenue is not available.

Copies of all documents used by the City in reaching this conclusion and developing this proposition are available through contact with the Redmond City Clerk.

Statement for

People have chosen to live in Redmond because of the high quality of public services available. Safe neighborhoods, rapid and competent emergency response, clean parks, quality recreation programs, well maintained streets, cooperation with schools and community organizations are important in adding to the quality of our life. Without additional revenues the City government will have to reduce the quality and availability of services.

City governments' revenue sources are defined and limited by state law. For most of its general governmental services Redmond depends upon sales taxes and property taxes. Most of the sales taxes paid by Redmond residents are spent in other regions. Redmond is reliant upon the property tax to support increasing demands for service.

Redmond's current general property tax levy is \$1.79/\$1,000 of assessed valuation, compared to an average of \$2.99 per \$1,000 in the State's largest 23 cities. None has a rate lower than Redmond.

Cities must have balanced budgets. Redmond's budget has not grown at the same rate as demands for service. Without additional revenues the levels and quality of services will be reduced. Response time to emergencies will increase. Neighborhood patrols will be reduced. Maintenance in the parks and streets will be decreased or eliminated. Street lighting will be reduced. Programs about drugs and safety will be reduced or cancelled.

You must support the City's request for revenue if you expect emergency services and the maintenance of City facilities to continue. A YES vote is a vote for a future of quality and safety.

Rebuttal of statement against

Redmond has made a strong case of need. The City Council has, since 1982 when a citizen's committee recommended that the City seek additional revenues, studied alternatives and have concluded that the choices are limited and clear: either cut already insufficient services or do the one thing no politician ever wants to do, ask their constituents to increase their taxes. Mr. Grubb's arguments against, support doing nothing. Action now is essential to maintain needed services.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Frank Gill, Citizens Committee for Levy Increase
Deborah Hudson, Citizens Committee for Levy Increase
Ken Roll, Citizens Committee for Levy Increase

Statement against

Civic Action on Redmond Environment (CARE) opposes this lift of the levy lid because we think the city has not made a strong case of need. The proposed action is based in large part on a five-year financial forecast prepared by the city. Within months of that forecast the city revised its revenue projections for 1988 upward by \$588,000. We think other revenue assumptions may be flawed as well. Since its quarterly forecast in June, the state has revised upward its real personal income figures (the basis for the city's growth projections) but Redmond has not taken this revision into account.

CARE agrees with the minority Council position that it is premature to go to the citizens for a tax increase before all the evidence has been thoroughly examined. The City Council voted for this tax increase without seeing a Chamber of Commerce report on the budget, assented to by both mayor and Council, and without seeing a 1989 city budget which is not due out until late October. How can the City Council know whether certain budgetary economies or restraints cannot be realized without first examining a line item budget?

With Redmond's present excess levy rate, its property tax is currently higher than Kirkland's or Bellevue's.

CARE, therefore, cannot support a property tax increase until the city has demonstrated that it is taking steps to evaluate all potential revenues and savings.

Rebuttal of statement for

The Committee for this increase raises the tiresome spectre of reduced services. This is a fear tactic we've heard before from those who have no sound argument.

In 1988, Redmond realized \$5,000,000 in sales tax revenue, contrary to the statement that most sales tax went elsewhere. Once again Redmond's property tax, without any increase, includes an excess levy rate, bringing it to \$3.21/\$1,000 of assessed valuation, not the \$1.79 cited by the "for committee".

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Richard L. Grubb, President, CARE
Paul Beeson, Board member, CARE
Lorin Love, Board member, CARE



City of Redmond

Proposition No. 2

BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 2

PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE BONDS

Shall the City of Redmond issue not more than \$4,000,000 of unlimited tax general obligation bonds with a maximum term of 20 years, on which principal and interest shall be payable from annual property tax levies upon all taxable property within the City in excess of regular property tax levies, to finance acquisition of land for the City for parks, recreation and open space purposes, as provided in Resolution No. 779?

Explanatory Statement:

Residents of the City of Redmond will be asked to vote on a \$4,000,000 general obligation bond for the purpose of acquiring new park lands, open spaces, waterfront, and multi-use trails. The City Council declared this emergency measure as a result of development growth in the City. Property will be purchased in the Overlake, Avondale, Lake Sammamish and Bear Creek neighborhoods. The Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Plan identifies parks, open spaces, trails, and special purpose facilities to meet current and future recreation demands. Sites are located to protect natural features such as greenbelts, open spaces, and waterfront which will allow for active and passive forms of public recreation. Once acquired, citizen participation will aid in the design and development of these recreation properties.

If approved, this \$4,000,000 park bond would cost the average homeowner \$21 a year for 20 years. The increase in property taxes would be approximately \$0.21 per \$1,000 of assessed value. This rate would decrease annually to reflect the larger municipal tax base anticipated. The funds collected from the sale of bonds would be deposited in a Park Acquisition Fund. The Mayor and the City Council would be responsible for authorizing expenditures of any proceeds in this Fund.

Statement for

A vibrant, diverse park system reflects how people feel about their city! Parks and open spaces bring life to the city for all ages and aid in boosting its economy. Because of Redmond's natural setting many people move here to raise their families.

Redmond's population has grown over 40% in the last decade. During that time no new open space has been purchased and community recreation activities jumped nearly 75%. The popularity of Redmond's park system attracted over 425,000 visitors last year and parks such as Grass Lawn, Hartman, and Anderson are now overused. Redmond's population is expected to reach 50,000 by the year 2000, but new parks are needed now to meet today's demand.

Now is the time to do something about saving our precious open space! If we act now we can prevent our park system from rapid deterioration and preserve valuable lands before they disappear. Without new facilities thousands of residents will be unable to enjoy a variety of open spaces and recreation opportunities.

Now is the time to ensure the future integrity of our city's identity and quality of life. With the purchase of land in areas of rapid growth and development, we can increase park availability for all residents.

Developed land is not a renewable resource. Once it's gone, it's an opportunity lost forever. As citizens, we must recognize the need and act now. Please join us and cast a vote for park acquisition on November 8th.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Dave Garland, Member, Citizens Committee for Redmond Park Bond

Chandler Pickering, Member, Citizens Committee for Redmond Park Bond

Peggy Roberts, Member, Citizens Committee for Redmond Park Bond

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.

Fire Protection District No. 34



BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 1

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS - \$2,960,000

Shall Fire District No. 34 borrow \$2,960,000 to acquire a fire station site, construct two new fire stations, remodel one existing station, acquire one pumper, one aid car and equipment for vehicles and stations and carry out other capital purposes by selling general obligation bonds therefor maturing within twenty years and levy annual excess property taxes necessary to pay bond principal and interest as provided in Resolution No. 129/88?

Explanatory Statement:

King County Fire District No. 34 proposes a bond issue to finance land acquisition, construction, and new apparatus for two new fire stations and one remodeled fire station.

Following the recommendations of the District's 1986 Fire Station Location Plan, Fire District No. 34 Commissioners approved for this bond issue the following projects:

FIRE STATION 13 - Additions and renovations to existing Union Hill Station 13 to allow full-time staffing.

FIRE STATION 14 - A new 8,500 square foot, three apparatus bay station to be located in the Ames Lake area. Land acquisition for this station.

FIRE STATION 15 - A new 8,500 square foot, three apparatus bay station to be located on the Northeast corner of 228th and Redmond-Fall City Road. New aid car and fire engine for this station.

(Fire stations 14 and 15 will be identical designs to save costs.)

The King County Fire District No. 34 Commissioners adopted a resolution which authorized a total bond issue of 2.96 million dollars. This bond issue would increase property taxes at a rate of 39 cents per \$1,000 of assessed property valuation. If approved, taxes on a \$100,000 home would increase \$39 per year.

Copies of the 1986 Fire Station Location Plan, individual station plans and studies and cost projections used by the commissioners to establish this bond issue are available for review at the main Redmond Headquarters Station, 8450 161st Avenue NE, Redmond, Washington.

Statement for

A Yes vote for the Fire District No. 34 bond issue is a vote for continued quality emergency medical care and fire protection services.

With the dynamic growth of the District, it is essential to establish new and improved bases of operations to serve the growing needs for emergency medical care and fire protection.

The 1986 Fire Station Location Plan prepared for the district commissioners clearly indicated the need for the new and improved stations proposed under this bond issue. To wait to build these stations will only add to their cost. It is in the best interests of the residents of the District to approve this bond issue now.

The increase of 39 cents per one thousand dollars of assessed valuation of property will be partially offset by future reductions in fire insurance premiums.

Fire District No. 34 covers a large and diverse area that will continue to grow. The new and improved stations proposed in this bond issue will assure the residents of Fire District No. 34 that their emergency medical and fire protection needs will be met into the next century.

Statement against

NO STATEMENT SUBMITTED.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:

Jerry Cichanski, Citizens for Fire Bond Issue.



Public Hospital District No. 2

LOCAL FOCUS: In 1968 the citizens of Kirkland, Juanita, Redmond, Woodinville, Bothell, and Kenmore established King County Public Hospital District No. 2 to provide needed community health care services. Today the District operates several important services including Evergreen Hospital Medical Center, the Evergreen Surgery Center, Evergreen Home Health, and Evergreen Medic 1.

Explanatory Statement:

BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 1 EVERGREEN HOSPICE CENTER BONDS \$4,395,000

Shall Public Hospital District No. 2, King County, Washington, borrow \$4,395,000 to construct and equip a comprehensive hospice center to provide compassionate care for terminally ill patients, including 15 inpatient beds, day treatment and respite care rooms, and provide utilities and traffic access, by selling general obligation bonds therefore maturing within 20 years and levy annual excess property taxes necessary to pay and retire the bonds, as provided in Resolution No. 381?

If approved by the voters, Proposition No. 1 would authorize Public Hospital District No. 2, King County, Washington, to issue up to \$4,395,000 of unlimited tax general obligation bonds, maturing within 20 years.

Proceeds of the bonds, together with investment earnings on those proceeds, would be used to construct and equip a comprehensive hospice center to provide compassionate care for terminally ill patients, including 15 inpatient beds, day treatment and respite care rooms, space for hospice homecare nurses, family education and hospice volunteers, and provide for road, street, parking and other traffic improvements for convenient access, together with related utilities. The new freestanding facility would be located on land adjacent to Evergreen Hospital Medical Center in Kirkland, Washington.

Unless paid from other sources, both principal of and interest on the bonds would be paid from annual excess property taxes levied upon all the taxable property within the public hospital district, without limitation as to rate or amount.

To be approved, this proposition must receive "yes" votes from at least 60% of those voting on it, and the total number of persons voting on this proposition must equal at least 40% of those in the public hospital district who voted at the last general state election.

Statement for

Hospice: death with dignity. This is an issue which touches us all. A comprehensive hospice to assist the terminally ill and their families does not exist in our community. For this reason we strongly support the Evergreen Hospice Center Bond.

The Evergreen Hospice Center will provide terminally ill persons with the dignity of being pain free, alert and comfortable in the final stage of life. Hospice staff and volunteers will support the emotional needs of patient, family and friends.

Studies demonstrate that care in a hospice is significantly less expensive than hospital care.

The 16,500 square foot Evergreen Hospice Center will provide a coordinated and caring approach for meeting the medical, social and emotional needs of the terminally ill, their family and friends. The Center will have 15 beds for controlling pain and other symptoms in a home like environment. Your hospice will have respite and day care services to support patients and relieve caregivers. It will also house home health care nurses, education programs, volunteers, and grief counseling.

The \$4.395 million bond issue will construct the facility and related site development, parking and utilities. Operations will be supported by hospice patient revenues, grants and donations.

For the owner of a \$100,000 home this bond issue will cost approximately \$5.00 per year. Qualified seniors may be exempt from this assessment.

This is a small price to pay for the dignity, support, and cost savings of the Evergreen Hospice Center.

VOTE YES. HOSPICE DESERVES OUR SUPPORT.

Rebuttal of statement against

Evergreen Hospice's avowed goal is to enhance the quality of life's final stage.

Evergreen Hospice, a public institution, is accountable to: each citizen, five elected Commissioners, and numerous county, state, and federal agencies.

The physical and social needs of patients and their families will be met within the guidelines of Medicare and health insurance regulations, National Hospice Organization, judicial rulings, Hippocratic Oath, and numerous community religious organizations.

These bodies do not advocate hastening life's end.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: Louise Miller, State Representative, 45th District, Bob Otteson, Lake Washington School District Board, Dianne Campbell, North Shore School District Board

Statement against

We strongly oppose the Evergreen Hospital HOSPICE bond issue for the following reasons:

1. Too costly.
2. Who decides a patient is terminally ill?
3. A nurse explains THE BROMPTON MIXTURE used on cancer patients. She states "THE HOSPICE MOVEMENT IS AN ABOMINATION, THE BROMPTON MIXTURE IS A DOSE OF STRONG NARCOTICS USED BY HOSPICE WHICH KEEPS THE PATIENT SO DRUGGED THAT THEY JUST DIE. . . PERIOD. IT'S A HAPPY DEATH BUT SUCH METHODS LEAD TO PNEUMONIA, RENAL SHUTDOWN, ELECTROLITE IMBALANCE, ETC. WE MUST FIGHT AGAINST THE MISUSE OF KNOWLEDGE. TO OVERDOSE THE VERY ILL AND ELDERLY, AND IN SO DOING HASTEN THEIR DEATH, IS SOMETHING ONLY WELL INTENTIONED IDIOTS WOULD HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH. A LITTLE KNOWLEDGE IS A DANGEROUS THING!"
4. Surgeon General Koop intends to handle the AIDS epidemic by herding patients into HOSPICES where they will die with no treatment!
5. IN BRITAIN, HEROIN HAS BEEN USED FOR DECADES TO TREAT TERMINALLY ILL PATIENTS. . . AND OTHERS SUFFERING FROM PAIN. In U. S. a bill was introduced in California to use HEROIN. It did not pass but they intend to keep fighting for it.
6. IN AN ARTICLE TITLED WORLD DEATH LOBBY MEETS IN CALIFORNIA "The impact of the AIDS Epidemic on Voluntary EUTHENASIA." A psychiatrist states, "He wants special clinics where doctors can commit euthanasia against AIDS victims, and "DEATH PILLS" FOR USE IN HOSPICES.
7. Another article THE EASE OF KILLING tells us that persons who are sick and handicapped, considered useless to society indeed, economic burdens, ought to be killed!

VOTE NO ON THE EVERGREEN HOSPITAL BONDS.

Rebuttal of statement for

REBUTTAL NOT SUBMITTED.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY:
Anne F. Lorenz, Secretary, Northwest Educational Research

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 1

ORDINANCE NO. 8649 AN ORDINANCE relating to a proposed amendment of Article 2, Section 250, King County Charter, concerning the responsibilities of the county auditor, submitting the same to the voters of the county and establishing a date of election.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. There shall be submitted to the qualified voters of King County for their approval and ratification or rejection, at the next general election to be held in this county, an amendment to Article 2, section 250 of the King County Charter to read as follows:

County Auditor.

The county auditor shall be appointed by a majority of the county council, and shall ~~((conduct))~~ be responsible to the council for conducting, or caus~~(e)~~ing to be conducted, ~~((a current))~~ independent post audits of county agencies for the purpose of reporting to the council regarding the integrity of the function of the financial ~~((operations of the county government, shall review and))~~ management system, the quality and efficiency of agency management, and the effectiveness of programs. In carrying out this purpose, the auditor shall perform the following audits within guidelines established by the county council by ordinance: financial and compliance audits to supplement those performed by the state pursuant to general law, economy and efficiency audits, and program results audits. In addition, the auditor shall perform

such special studies as may be requested by the Council. The auditor shall report the results of each agency audit to the county council ((concerning the effectiveness and efficiency of the programs and operations of the county and shall consult with the county executive concerning the accounting procedures to be used by the executive branch)). Annual audits shall continue to be performed by the state in accordance with general law.

The organization and administration of the auditor's office shall be sufficiently independent to assure no interference or influence external to the organization shall adversely affect an independent and objective judgment by the auditor and the auditor shall be provided a discrete budget and staff allocation.

SECTION 2. The manager of the division of records and elections shall cause notice of the proposed amendment of the King County charter to be published in accordance with the State Constitution and general law and placed upon the ballot at the next general election on November 8, 1988.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 22nd day of August, 1988.

PASSED this 6th day of September, 1988.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Gary Grant (signed) Chairman

ATTEST: Dorothy M. Owens (signed)

Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 16th day of September, 1988.

Rollin Fatland (signed) for King County Executive

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 2

ORDINANCE NO. 8648 AN ORDINANCE relating to a proposed amendment of Article 3, Section 350.20.20, King County Charter, concerning the administration of the department of judicial administration by the superior court clerk, submitting the same to the voters of the county and establishing a date of election.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. There shall be submitted to the qualified voters of King County for their approval and ratification or rejection, at the next general election to be held in this county, an amendment to Article 3, Section 350.20.20 of the King County Charter to read as follows:

Department of Judicial Administration.

The department of judicial administration shall be administered by the superior court clerk who shall be appointed by ~~((the county executive from a list of three or more nominees submitted by))~~ and serve at the pleasure of a majority of the superior court judges in the county. The department of judicial administration shall maintain the official court files, records and indexes necessary for the efficient administration of justice and the court system and

shall perform such other duties assigned to it by a majority of the superior court judges in the county.

The department of judicial administration shall be an executive department subject to the personnel system and shall utilize the services of the administrative offices and the executive departments, but is shall not be abolished by the county council.

SECTION 2. The manager of the division of records and elections shall cause notice of the proposed amendment of the King County charter to be published in accordance with the State Constitution and general law and placed upon the ballot at the next general election on November 8, 1988.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 22nd day of August, 1988.

PASSED this 6th day of September, 1988.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Gary Grant (signed) Chairman

ATTEST: Dorothy M. Owens (signed)

Clerk of the Council

APPROVED 16th day of September, 1988.

Rollin Fatland (signed) for King County Executive

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 3

ORDINANCE NO. 8651 AN ORDINANCE relating to a proposed amendment of Article 5, Section 550, King

County Charter, concerning career service and exempt positions, submitting same to the voters of the county and

establishing a date of election.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. There shall be submitted to the qualified voters of King County for their approval and ratification or rejection, at the next general election to be held in this county, an amendment to Article 5, section 550 of the King County Charter to read as follows:

Career Service Positions. All county employees and officers shall be members of the career service except those in the following positions;

all elected officers; the county auditor; the clerk and all other employees of the county council; the county administrative officer; the chief officer of each executive department and administrative office; the members of all boards and commissions; administrative assistants for the county executive and one administrative assistant each for the county administrative officer, the county assessor, the county auditor, the chief officer of each executive department and administrative office, and for each board and commission; a chief deputy for the county assessor; one confidential secretary each for the county executive, the chief officer of each executive department and administrative office, and for each administrative assistant specified herein; all employees of those officers who are exempted from the provisions of this charter by the state constitution; persons employed in a professional or scientific capacity to conduct a special inquiry, investigation or examination; ~~((part time and))~~ temporary employees; administrative interns; election precinct officials; all persons serving the county without compensation; physicians; surgeons; dentists; medical

interns; and student nurses and inmates employed by county hospitals, tuberculosis sanitariums and health departments of the county.

Part-time Employees. All part-time employees shall be exempted from career service membership except, effective January 1, 1989, all part-time employees employed at least half-time or more, as defined by ordinance, shall be members of the career service.

SECTION 2. The manager of the division of records and elections shall cause notice of the proposed amendment of the King County charter to be published in accordance with the State Constitution and general law and placed upon the ballot at the next general election on November 8, 1988.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 22nd day of August, 1988. PASSED this 12th day of September, 1988.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Gary Grant (signed) Chairman

ATTEST: Dorothy M. Owens (signed)

Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 16th day of September, 1988.

(signed) King County Executive

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 4

ORDINANCE NO. 8647 AN ORDINANCE relating to a proposed amendment of Article 8, Section 800, King County Charter, concerning charter review and amendments, submitting same to the voters of the county and establishing a date of election.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. There shall be submitted to the qualified voters of King County for their approval and ratification or rejection, at the next general election to be held in this county, an amendment to Article 8, Section 800 of the King County Charter to read as follows:

Charter Review and Amendments.

At least every ten years after the adoption of this charter, the county executive shall ~~((review, or shall cause to be reviewed.))~~ appoint a citizen commission of not less than fifteen members whose mandate shall be to review the charter and ((shall) present, or cause to be presented, to the county council a written report recommending those amendments, if any, which should be made to the charter. This citizen commission shall be composed of at least one representative from each of the county councils districts.

The county council may propose amendments to this charter by enacting an ordinance to submit a proposed amendment to the voters of the county at the next general election occurring more than

forty-five days after the enactment of the ordinance. An ordinance proposing an amendment to the charter shall not be subject to the veto power of the county executive. Publication of a proposed amendment and notice of its submission to the voters of the county shall be made in accordance with the state constitution and general law. If the proposed amendment is approved by a majority of the voters voting on the issue, it shall become effective ten days after the results of the election are certified unless a later date is specified in the amendment.

SECTION 2. The manager of the division of records and elections shall cause notice of this proposed amendment of the King County charter to be published in accordance with the State Constitution and general law and placed upon the ballot at the general election on November 8, 1988.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 22nd day of August, 1988.

PASSED this 6th day of September, 1988.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Gary Grant (signed)

Chairman

ATTEST: Dorothy M. Owens (signed)

Clerk of the Council APPROVED 16th day of September, 1988.

Rollin Fatland (signed) for King County Executive

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Proposed Charter Amendment No. 5

ORDINANCE NO. 8650 AN ORDINANCE relating to a proposed amendment of Article 8, Section 815, King County Charter, concerning the contracts and competitive bidding, submitting same to the voters of the county and establishing a date of election.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. There shall be submitted to the qualified voters of King County for their approval and ratification or rejection, at the next general election to be held in this county, an amendment to Article 8, section 815 of the King County Charter to read as follows:

Contracts and Competitive Bidding.

The construction of all public buildings and works shall be performed by independent contractors, except that county road projects having a value of less than ~~((fifteen))~~ twenty-five thousand dollars shall be performed by county employees. Whenever the county would have been required to do so by general law if it had not adopted this charter, it shall purchase all property and award all contracts by competitive bidding in accordance with procedures established by ordinance.

Provided that, when permitted by law, there shall be established a small works roster composed of all contractors who have requested to be placed on it and who are properly licensed to perform such work in this state. The county may award contracts using this roster for all contracts of one hundred thousand dollars or less, in accordance with procedures to be established by ordinance.

SECTION 2. The manager of the division of records and elections shall cause notice of the proposed amendment of the King County charter to be published in accordance with the State Constitution and general law and placed upon the ballot at the next general election on November 8, 1988.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 22nd day of August, 1988.

PASSED this 12th day of September, 1988.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Gary Grant (signed)

Chairman

ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Owens (signed)

Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 16th day of September, 1988. (signed)

King County Executive

pal amount not to exceed \$14,238,000, to provide funds for the acquisition, construction, improving and equipping of youth detention and treatment facilities, and for other capital purposes.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. FINDINGS. The council finds and declares as follows:

A. Enactment of this ordinance is necessary for the health, welfare, benefit and safety of the residents within King County and is strictly a county purpose.

B. The existing youth detention and treatment facilities operated by the department of youth services are not adequate to provide for the public safety and require extensive and costly repair and maintenance.

C. To enable the department of youth services to institute program changes and properly fulfill its mission, new and improved facilities for admission, detention and treatment of juveniles accused of offenses or sentenced to detention are needed.

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. Unless the context clearly indicates otherwise, as used in this ordinance the following words will have the meanings set forth in this section:

A. "Bond Proceeds" means the principal proceeds received from the sale of the Bonds and any interest earned by the county of such funds thereafter, but shall not mean accrued interest on the Bonds paid by the original purchaser of the Bonds.

B. "Bonds" means the Youth Facilities Bonds described and authorized by this ordinance.

C. "Chief Financial Officer" means the person serving as the chief financial officer of the office of financial management of King County

or the county officer who succeeds to the duties now delegated to that office.

D. "Project" means the acquisition, construction, improving and equipping of youth detention and treatment facilities and related capital purposes.

SECTION 3. BONDS AUTHORIZED.

A. Subject to approval by the qualified electors of the county, for the purpose of providing funds for capital purposes only, other than the replacement of equipment, namely, to carry out the Project and for other capital purposes consistent with this ordinance, and paying necessary design, engineering and administration expenses, paying interest on any interim financing pending the receipt of Bond Proceeds and paying costs and expenses incurred issuing the Bonds, the county shall issue the Bonds in not to exceed the principal amount of \$14,238,000 or so much thereof as may be required for those purposes. The Bonds shall be named "Unlimited Tax General Obligation Youth Facilities Bonds"; shall be sold at public sale in the manner required by law, or, if the council finds that it is in the best interest of the county to do so, by negotiated sale; shall bear interest which, except for the first interest payment, shall be payable semiannually; and shall mature within twenty years after their date of issue, but may mature within a lesser time as fixed by the council. The Bonds shall

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Prop. No. 1

ORDINANCE NO. 8634 An Ordinance relating to department of youth services facilities; calling a special election for the purpose of submit-

ting to the voters of King County on November 8, 1988, a proposition to authorize the county to issue its general obligation bonds in the princi-

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Prop. No. 1 (continued)

be issued over a period not exceeding seven years after their approval by the qualified electors, may be issued in more than one series, and may be combined for purpose of issuance with other authorized county bonds, and shall be issued in such amounts and in such denominations and shall contain such redemption provisions and other terms and conditions as shall be provided later by ordinance of the council.

B. Both the principal of and interest on the Bonds shall be payable out of the annual tax levies to be made upon all of the taxable property within the county in excess of the regular non-voted property tax levy without limitation as to rate or amount and from any other money which may become available and may be used for such purposes.

SECTION 4. DISTRIBUTION AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

A. The principal proceeds of sale of the Bonds shall be deposited in a fund to be designated in the county treasury (for convenience of this ordinance referred to as the Youth Facilities Fund). Any premium and accrued interest on the Bonds received at the time of their delivery and payment therefor shall be paid into a fund of the county to be used for redemption of the Bonds. Money in the Youth Facilities fund may be temporarily advanced to the bond redemption fund for the Youth Facilities Bonds to pay interest on the Bonds pending receipt of taxes levied therefor.

B. In the event of unanticipated Bond Proceeds

including, but not limited to, excess interest earnings, Project completion at less than estimated cost or abandonment of a part of the Project, the council may reallocate funds within the existing Project or for additional department of youth services capital purposes or deposit any such funds in the bond redemption fund for the Bonds.

SECTION 5. SHORT-TERM OBLIGATIONS. Pending the issuance of any series of the Bonds and the receipt of Bonds Proceeds, the county may incur short-term obligations in anticipation of the receipt of the Bond Proceeds for which those Bond Proceeds may be spent. The payment of interest on those short-term obligations shall be a proper purpose for the expenditure of Bond Proceeds.

SECTION 6. BOND ELECTION. It is found and declared that an emergency exists requiring the submission to the qualified electors of the county at a special election to be held therein on November 8, 1988, in conjunction with the State general election, of a proposition authorizing the issuance of the Bonds for the purposes provided in this ordinance.

The manager of the King County records and elections division, as ex-officio supervisor of all elections held within King County, is authorized and requested also to find the existence of such emergency and to assume jurisdiction of and to call and conduct such special election to be held within the county on that date and to submit to the qualified electors of the county at such

special election the proposition set forth below.

The clerk of the council is authorized and directed to certify propositions to the manager of the King County records and elections division in substantially the following form:

K I N G
C O U N T Y, W A S H I N G -
T O N

P R O P O -
S I T I O N N O. 1

Y O U T H D E T E N T I O N /
T R E A T M E N T F A C I L I -
T I E S B O N D S -

\$ 1 4 , 2 3 8 , 0 0 0

Shall King County, for the purpose of paying all or part of the costs of acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping youth detention and treatment facilities, and for other capital purposes, issue \$14,238,000 of its general obligation bonds, maturing within twenty years, and levy excess property taxes to pay and retire the bonds, all as provided in Ordinance

No. 8634?

BONDS, YES

BONDS, NO

SECTION 7. SEVERABILITY. Should any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, that determination shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 13th day of June, 1988.

PASSED this 22nd day of August, 1988.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Gary Grant (signed)

Chairman

ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Owens (signed)

Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 2nd day of September, 1988.

Tim Hill (signed)

King County Executive

the adopted policy of the Puget Sound Council of Governments to have a rail system implemented and operating by 2020 is an inadequate response to the public's demand for more immediate action.

The council acknowledges that the Multi-Corridor Study, completed in 1986, estimated that a light rail transit system with an enhanced bus-feeder system would be slightly less expensive to maintain and operate than a baseline bus service, but that higher capital costs would make light rail \$1.7 billion more expensive than a baseline bus system for the thirty-five year period 1985-2020. This will require a substantial public financial investment from local, state and federal sources, and the Council recognizes that King County citizens will be called upon to pay a proportionate share of the system costs.

ice in King County can begin before the year 2000?

Yes

No

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 16th day of May, 1988. PASSED this 5th day of July, 1988.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Signed Gary Grant

Chair

ATTEST: Gerald A. Peterson

(Signed)

Deputy Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 15th day of July, 1988

Signed Tim Hill

King County Executive

COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Prop. No. 2

ORDINANCE NO. 8588

AN ORDINANCE referring the question of accelerating the timing of development of rail transit to the voters of King County through an advisory ballot at the general election, November 8, 1988.

PREAMBLE:

The King County council recognizes that the transportation needs of its citizens must be addressed responsively. The council believes that patterns of growth in the region must be coordinated to support the implementation of a cost-effective phased, rail transit system. The council also believes that current transportation problems are im-

pacting the area's mobility and economy. The council further believes that existing regional and local comprehensive and transportation planning efforts document the value of an aggressive rail action plan.

The council acknowledges that development and implementation of a regional rail system could take ten to fifteen years. Delaying the decision to proceed until 1995 or later, as called for in the Regional Transportation Plan would therefore not provide any rail transit service in the region until 2010.

The council finds that

In order to allow King County residents to voice their wishes more directly, the council believes that a county-wide advisory ballot on the timing of rail development is in the public interest.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. The question of whether development of rail transit in King County should be undertaken or be delayed, shall be submitted to the voters of King County through an advisory ballot at the general election on November 8, 1988. The wording as it shall appear on the ballot shall be:

Proposition No. 1

Should public funding and development of a rail transit system to serve the residents of King County be accelerated so that serv-

COMPLETE TEXT OF City of Redmond Proposition No. 1

RESOLUTION NO. 778
A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, DIRECTING THAT AUTHORIZATION FROM REDMOND VOTERS BE SOUGHT FOR LEVYING REGULAR PROPERTY TAXES PAYABLE IN 1989 IN EXCESS OF THE LIMITATION IMPOSED BY RCW 84.55.010.

WHEREAS, after analyzing projected revenues and expenses for 1989, the City Council has concluded that it is necessary to seek voter approval for levying regular property taxes in an amount which will exceed the one hundred six percent limitation imposed by RCW 84.55.010 and that a ballot proposition should be submitted to the voters at the general election to be conducted in November of this year, now, therefore,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, HEREBY RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. A ballot proposition shall be submitted to the voters of the City of Redmond at the general election to be conducted on November 8, 1988, for the purpose of seeking voter approval to levy regular property taxes payable in 1989 at a rate of 75¢ per \$1,000 of assessed value in excess of that rate required to assess taxes in the amount equalling that allowed by the one hundred six percent

limitation imposed by RCW 84.55.010.

Section 2. Pursuant to RCW 29.13.020, the King County Supervisor of Elections is hereby requested to place before the voters of the City of Redmond a ballot proposition as described above at the election to be held November 8, 1988. The language of the proposition shall be substantially as follows:

Shall the city of Redmond be authorized to assess regular property taxes at a rate of 75¢ per \$1,000 of assessed value in excess of the maximum rate permitted by the one hundred six percent limitation established by RCW 84.55.010, commencing with taxes payable in 1989, to be used for public safety and to maintain City assets?

Section 3. The City Clerk is directed to deliver a certified copy of this resolution to the King County Supervisor of Elections no later than forty-five (45) days prior to November 8, 1988.

RESOLVED this 20th day of September, 1988.

APPROVED:

Doreen Marchione (signed)
Mayor

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:

Doris A. Schaible (signed)
FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK: September 15, 1988
PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL: September 20, 1988

RESOLUTION NO. 778

WITHIN A MAXIMUM OF 20 YEARS, TO FINANCE ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PURPOSES FOR THE CITY.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it advisable to acquire land in order to preserve existing open spaces within the City, and to provide additional community parks and recreational facilities to serve the City's expanding single family and multifamily population centers; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide all or part of the funds necessary to make such acquisitions, the City Council finds it necessary, proper and advisable that the City incur indebtedness and issue unlimited tax general obligation bonds or notes therefore, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$4,000,000; and

WHEREAS, by law the proposition of whether the City may incur such indebtedness and issue such bonds for such capital purposes must be submitted to the qualified electors of the City for their ratification or rejection, NOW, THEREFORE,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The City proposes to acquire land for parks, recreation facilities and open spaces for the City, such acquisition to be referred to hereinafter as the "Parks, Recreation and Open Space Project."

SECTION 2. Subject to the approval of the qualified electors of the City, the City hereby authorizes the issuance of unlimited tax general obligation bonds (the "Bonds") in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 for the purpose of providing all or part of the money necessary to pay the capital costs of the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Project. The term "capital costs," as used in the foregoing sentence, shall be construed consistently with the term "capital purposes" in Article VII, Section 2(b) of the Washington Constitution and R.C.W. 84.52.056, but, subject thereto, may include the costs of (i) property acquisition, site preparation and demolition; and (ii) planning, financial, legal, relocation and other services lawfully incurred incident to the development of the compo-

nents of the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Project and their financing, including the incidental costs and costs related to the sale and issuance of the Bonds; however, the term "capital costs" shall not include maintenance, operation or costs for replacement of equipment.

The Bonds shall bear such date or dates; shall mature at such time or times not to exceed 20 years from the date of issuance thereof; shall be issued in such denominations; shall bear such terms, conditions and covenants; shall be in such form; shall bear interest at such fixed or variable rate or rates; shall bear such redemption and registration privileges; and shall be sold in such manner, at such time or times, in such amounts and at such price or prices as the City shall hereafter determine by ordinance. The Bonds may be issued in one or more series, either separately or in combination with other authorized general obligation bonds of the City.

The Bonds shall be general obligations of the City and, unless paid from other sources, both the principal thereof and the interest thereon shall be payable from annual property tax levies, if authorized by the qualified electors as provided in Section 4 of this resolution, upon all taxable property within the City in excess of the regular property tax levies without limitation as to rate or amount.

SECTION 3. Subject to the approval by the qualified electors of the City of the issuance of the Bonds for the purposes described in Section 2 of the resolution, the City may, by resolution, provide for the issuance of short-term obligations in anticipation of the issuance of the Bonds in accordance with the provisions of R.C.W. 39.50. The aggregate principal amount of all such short-term obligations and Bonds outstanding at any time shall not exceed \$4,000,000.

SECTION 4. The City finds that an urgent need exists for the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Project and declares that an emergency exists requiring submission to the qualified electors of the City of a proposition authorizing the issuance of the Bonds for the purposes described in Section 2 of this resolution at a special election to be held in conjunction with the general municip-

pal election to be held on November 8, 1988.

The King County manager of records and elections as ex officio supervisor of elections is hereby requested to find the existence of such emergency pursuant to R.C.W. 29.13, and is requested to assume jurisdiction of and to call and conduct a special election and to submit to the qualified electors of the City the proposition set forth below. The City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to certify said proposition to the King County manager of records and elections in substantially the following form, with such additions, deletions or modifications as may be required by the City Attorney:

CITY OF
REDMOND

PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE BONDS
Shall the City of Redmond issue not more than \$4,000,000 of unlimited tax general obligation bonds with a maximum term of 20 years, on which principal and interest shall be payable from annual property tax levies upon all taxable property within the City in excess of regular property tax levies, to finance acquisition of land for the City for parks, recreation and open space purposes, as provided in Resolution No. 779?
BONDS, YES
BONDS, NO

Certification of such proposition by the City Clerk to the King County manager of records and elections, in accordance with the law prior to the date of such election on November 8, 1988, and any other act consistent with the authority of and prior to the effective date of this resolution are hereby ratified and confirmed.

SECTION 5. If any one or more of the provisions of this resolution shall be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of this resolution, the Bonds or any short-term obligations issued in anticipation thereof, and this resolution, the Bonds and any short-term obligations issued in anticipation thereof shall be construed and enforced as if such unconstitutional or invalid provision had not been contained herein.

RESOLVED this 22nd day of September, 1988.

CITY OF REDMOND,
WASHINGTON
S. M. Church (signed)
V.P. City Council
for Mayor, Doreen
Marchione
ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:
Sandra L. Marion
(signed)
Deputy City Clerk
FILED WITH CITY
CLERK: 9-22-88
PASSED BY THE CITY
COUNCIL: 9-22-88
RESOLUTION NO. 779

COMPLETE TEXT OF City of Redmond Proposition No. 2

RESOLUTION NO. 779
A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON PROVIDING FOR THE SUBMISSION TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AT A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE GENERAL ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 8,

1988, OF THE PROPOSITION WHETHER THE CITY SHOULD ISSUE MORE THAN \$4,000,000 OF UNLIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, PAYABLE FROM ANNUAL PROPERTY TAX LEVIES IN EXCESS OF THE REGULAR PROPERTY TAX LEVIES, MATURING

COMPLETE TEXT OF Fire Prot. Dist. No. 34 Proposition No. 1

FIRE PROTECTION
DISTRICT NO. 34
KING COUNTY,
WASHINGTON
RESOLUTION NO.
129/88

A RESOLUTION of the Board of Fire Commissioners of Fire Protection District No. 34, King County, Washington providing for the submission to the qualified electors of the District at a special election to be held therein on November 8, 1988, in conjunction with the State general election to be held on the same date, of a proposition authorizing the incurring of general indebtedness in the sum of not to exceed \$2,960,000 for capital purposes only, other than the replacement of equipment, and authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds evidencing such indebtedness, the principal of and interest thereon to be payable from annual property tax levies to be made in excess of regular property tax levies.

WHEREAS, in the judgment of the Board of Fire Commissioners of Fire Protection District No. 34, King County, Washington (the "District"), it is essential and necessary for the protection of the public health, life and property that the District acquire a site for one fire station, construct two new fire stations, remodel one

existing station, acquire one pumper, one aid car and equipment for vehicles and stations, and carry out such other capital purposes as the Board shall determine, the cost of which is estimated, as nearly as may be, to be the sum of \$2,960,000; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS OF FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 34, KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, as follows:

Section 1.
The District shall acquire a site for one fire station, construct two new fire stations, remodel one existing station, acquire one pumper, one aid car and equipment for vehicles and stations, and carry out such other capital purposes as determined by the Board of Fire Commissioners of the District, conditioned upon the ratification by the qualified electors of the District of the proposition described in Section 3 of this resolution.

Section 2.
For the purpose of providing the funds for the purposes specified in Section 1 hereof, and conditioned as provided in Section 1, the District shall incur a general indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$2,960,000 and in no event to exceed an

amount, together with any outstanding general obligation indebtedness, equal to three-fourths of one percent of the value of the taxable property within the District for strictly capital purposes other than the replacement of equipment. Costs of engineering, planning, financial, legal and other services lawfully incurred incident to the purposes specified in Section 1 of this resolution shall be appropriate capital costs to be paid from the proceeds of the bonds provided for by this resolution. The bonds authorized shall be issued as a single issue, as a part of a combined issue with other authorized bonds, or in more than one series to be issued within a period of five years. The bonds shall be fully registered bonds; shall mature within twenty years from the date of issue, but may be for a shorter period (the life of the improvements and equipment to be constructed or acquired by the issuance of bonds being at least as long as the term of the bonds); shall be paid by annual property tax levies sufficient in amount to pay both principal and interest when due, which annual property tax levies shall be made in excess of regular property tax levies without limitation as to rate or amount but only in amounts sufficient to meet such payments of principal and interest as they come due; and shall be issued and sold in such man-

ner, at such times and in such amounts as shall be required for the purpose for which such bonds are to be issued. The exact date, form, terms, option of prior redemption, price, interest rate or rates and maturities of the bonds shall be fixed hereafter by resolution of the Board of Fire Commissioners. Pending the issuance of the bonds, the District may issue short-term obligations pursuant to Chapter 39.50 RCW.

Section 3.
There shall be submitted to the qualified electors of the District for their ratification or rejection at a special election to be held therein on November 8, in conjunction with the State general election to be held on the same date, the question of whether or not such indebtedness shall be incurred and such general obligation bonds issued and such excess property taxes levied. The Board of Fire Commissioners declares that an emergency exists and the Director of Records and Elections of King County, Washington, is requested to find and declare the existence of an emergency and further is requested to call and conduct a special election and to submit such proposition to the qualified electors of the District at such special election, as aforesaid, in the form of a ballot title substantially as follows:

PROPOSITION NO. 1
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS - \$2,960,000

Shall Fire District No. 34 borrow \$2,960,000 to acquire a fire station site, construct two new fire stations, remodel one existing station, acquire one pumper, one aid car and equipment for vehicles and stations and carry out other capital purposes by selling general obligation bonds therefor maturing within twenty years and levy annual excess property taxes necessary to pay bond principal and interest as provided in Resolution No. 129/88?

BONDS, YES
BONDS, NO

Section 4.
The Secretary of the Board of Fire Commissioners of the District is directed (a) to certify to the King County Director of Records and Elections a copy of this resolution showing its adoption by this Board of Fire Commissioners at least 45 days prior to the date of such special election, and (b) to perform such other duties as are necessary or required by law to the end that the question of whether or not bonds shall be issued and excess taxes levied necessary to redeem the bonds as herein provided for shall be submitted to the voters of the District at the aforesaid special election.

ADOPTED by the Board of Fire Commissioners of Fire Protection District No. 34, King County, Washington, at a special open public meeting thereof this 15th day of September, 1988, the following Commissioners being

present and voting:
R.B. Churchill
(signed)
Chairman and Commissioner
H. A. Olsen
Commissioner
ATTEST:
Carl Hartig
Secretary of the Board of Fire Commissioners

COMPLETE TEXT OF Public Hospital Dist. 2 Proposition No. 1

PUBLIC HOSPITAL DISTRICT NO. 2
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
RESOLUTION NO. 381

A RESOLUTION of the Commission of Public Hospital District No. 2, King County, Washington, specifying and adopting a plan providing for the construction and equipping a comprehensive hospice center to provide a compassionate care for terminally ill patients, including 15 inpatient beds, day treatment and respite care rooms, and providing utilities and traffic access; declaring the estimated cost of that plan as near as may be; providing for the issuance of general obligation bonds in the principal amount of \$4,395,000 to pay the cost of carrying out that plan, the principal of and interest on those bonds to be payable from annual property tax levies to be made in excess of regular tax levies; providing for the submission of the proposed plan and the proposition of incurring that indebtedness to the qualified voters of the District for their ratification or rejection at a special election to be held therein on November 8, 1988, in conjunction with the State general election to be held on the same date; repealing Resolution No. 377; and declaring an emergency.

WHEREAS, Public Hospital District No. 2, King County, Washington (the "District"), is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington; and

WHEREAS, the District presently owns and operates Evergreen Hospital Medical Center (the "Hospital") located in Kirkland, Washington; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide better and more compassionate health care services for the residents of the District who are terminally ill, it is necessary for the District to construct and equip a hospice care center, including 15 inpatient beds,

day treatment and respite care rooms, space for hospice home care nurses, family education and hospice volunteers, together with road, street, parking and other traffic improvements for convenient access, and related utilities, all on land adjacent to the Hospital; and

WHEREAS, it is deemed to be in the best interest of the District and the public that a plan be specified and adopted providing for the construction and equipping of a comprehensive hospice care center, including 15 inpatient beds, day treatment and respite care rooms, space for hospice home care nurses, family education and hospice volunteers, and provide for road, street, parking and other traffic improvements for convenient access, together with related utilities, all on land adjacent to the Hospital, and that such plan, along with the proposition of incurring an indebtedness and issuing general obligation bonds in the amount of \$4,395,000 to pay the costs thereof, be submitted to the qualified voters of the District for their ratification or rejection at a special election to be held therein on November 8, 1988, in conjunction with the State general election to be held on the same date; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSION OF PUBLIC HOSPITAL DISTRICT NO. 2, KING COUNTY WASHINGTON, as follows:

Section 1. The following plan (the "Project Plan"), the estimated cost of which is \$4,730,000 including the costs of issuance and sale of the bonds authorized herein, providing for the construction and equipping of a hospice care center and making road, street, parking and other traffic improvements for convenient access, together with related utilities, is specified and adopted: (a) The District shall carry out the following projects: (1) Establish a

comprehensive hospice service in cooperation with existing providers to provide compassionate care for the terminally ill, including the construction and equipping of a freestanding hospice care center, including 15 inpatient beds, day treatment and respite care rooms, space for hospice home care nurses, family education and hospice volunteers, on land adjacent to the Hospital; (2) Construct road, street, parking and other traffic improvements to provide convenient access to the hospice care center, together with related utilities; all in accordance with plans and specifications to be prepared by the District's architects or engineers. (b) The Commissions of the District may modify details of the foregoing Project Plan where necessary or advisable in the judgment of the Commission and where not substantially altering the purposes herein specified.

Section 2. The District shall borrow not to exceed \$4,395,000 on the credit of the District and issue and sell its general obligation bonds in that par amount for capital purposes, other than the replacement of equipment, to provide the funds, including investment earnings thereon, required to carry out the Project Plan. Costs of architectural, engineering, planning, financial, legal and other services lawfully incurred incident to the Project Plan shall be appropriate capital costs to be paid from the proceeds of the bonds authorized by this resolution.

Section 3. The bonds shall be fully registered bonds; shall bear interest payable as permitted by law; shall mature within twenty years from the date of issue, but may be such lesser time as fixed by the Commission of the District; shall be paid by annual property tax levies sufficient in amount, together with other revenues of the District available for that purpose, to pay both principal and interest each year when due, which annual property tax levies shall be made in excess of regular property tax levies without limitation as to rate or amount but only in amounts sufficient, together with other revenues of the District available for that purpose, to

meet the payments of principal and interest as they come due, or to repay temporary loans made for the purpose of making the payments, which tax shall be due and collectible as any other tax and which shall run for a period of time not to exceed the life of the improvements to be acquired from the proceeds of the bonds. The bonds, if issued, shall be sold in one or more series, in such manner, at such times and in such amounts as shall be required for the purpose for which they are authorized. The exact date, form, terms, option or options of prior redemption, if any, price, interest rate or rates, other features and maturities of the bonds shall be fixed hereafter by resolution of the Commission of the District. Pending the issuance of the bonds, the District may issue short-term obligations pursuant to Chapter 39.50 RCW.

Section 4. The necessity for the immediate construction and equipping of a comprehensive hospice care center, including 15 inpatient beds, day treatment and respite care rooms, space for hospice home care nurses, family education and hospice volunteers, together with road, street, parking and other traffic improvements to provide convenient access, together with related utilities, to provide for the expanded needs of the District and the health care needs of the population served has created an emergency, and an emergency is declared to exist and a special election is called to be held in the District on November 8, 1988, in conjunction with the State general election to be held on the same date, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified voters of the District for their ratification or rejection the proposed Project Plan specified and adopted in Section 1 of this resolution and the proposition of incurring that indebtedness, issuing those bonds, and levying such taxes as provided in Sections 2 and 3 of this resolution. The Secretary of the Commission is authorized and directed to certify to the Director of Records and Elections of King County a copy of this resolution and the adoption thereof by this

Commission at least 45 days prior to the date of that special election and the request of the Commission that such proposition be submitted to the qualified voters of the District at that special election, and the Director of Records and Elections is requested to find and declare the existence of an emergency and to conduct that special election and give notice thereof as provided by law and the returns of that special election shall be canvassed by the County Canvassing Board. The Secretary further shall certify promptly to the King County Director of Records and Elections the following form of "ballot title" to be used in submitting such proposition:

PROPOSITION

NO. 1
EVERGREEN HOSPICE CENTER BONDS - \$4,395,000

Shall Public Hospital District No. 2, King County, Washington, borrow \$4,395,000 to construct and equip a comprehensive hospice center to provide compassionate care for terminally ill patients, including 15 inpatient beds, day treatment and respite care rooms, and provide utilities and traffic access, by selling general obligation bonds therefore maturing within 20 years and levy annual excess property taxes necessary to pay and retire the bonds, as provided in Resolution No. 381?

BONDS, YES
BONDS, NO

The polls of such election shall be open as required by law and the polling places shall be established and the election shall be conducted by the King County Director of Records and Elections.

Section 5. Resolution No. 377, adopted by the Commission on February 23, 1988, is repealed.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED by the Commission of Public Hospital District No. 2, King County, Washington, at a regular open public meeting thereof, held this 15th day of September, 1988, the following Commissioners being present and voting.
Margaret Rickard (signed)
President and Commissioner
Rebecca D. Hirt (signed)
Commissioner
Russell McClintick (signed)

Commissioner
Al DeYoung (signed)
Commissioner
John B. Plovie (signed)
Secretary and Commissioner

WASHINGTON STATE VOTER INFORMATION

VOTER REGISTRATION

Qualifications for registering:

1. You are a U.S. citizen by birth or naturalization.
2. You will be 18 or older on the day of the primary or general election.
3. You are a legal resident of the State of Washington.

When to register:

Anytime, though you must register for 30 days before the election to be qualified to vote. The voter registration deadline for the 1988 State General Election is October 8, 1988.

Where to register:

You must register in person at the **King County Division of Records and Elections** or before a city or town clerk, or deputy voter registrar. Deputy registrars are located in most public schools, some fire stations and state offices. Contact the **Division of Records and Elections at 296-VOTE** for the location of the registration facility nearest to you.

When it is necessary to re-register:

You must re-register only if:

1. You did not vote in the previous 24-month period, or
2. You Did not vote in the 1984 presidential election, or
3. You have moved from one county to another, or
4. You have legally changed your name, or
5. If you have moved more than 6 months ago and the office has mailed you a card which the post office has returned as undeliverable, your registration would be cancelled after 60 days.

To be eligible to vote, you must re-register 30 days before the election. Keep your registration current. Your registration remains valid as long as you exercise your right to vote!

If you move, you must transfer your registration:

If you move within a county, you should also change your voter registration. This can be done before a deputy voter registrar or by mail. If you mail the information, include both your old and new addresses and your signature and forward to the **Division of Records and Elections, 553 King County Administration Building, Seattle, WA 98104**. To be eligible to vote in your new precinct, you must transfer your registration 30 days before the election.

ELECTION DAY AND VOTING

Where to vote:

At your precinct's polling place. The name or number of your precinct and polling place are on your registration card. Polling place locations are also published in the newspaper the Friday before the election. You may also call the **Division of Records and Elections at 296-VOTE** for information.

When to vote:

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Be prepared for lines. Vote early to avoid long lines.

How to vote:

Three methods of voting are used in Washington State: punchcard, lever machines and paper ballots. King County uses punchcard voting. If you need assistance, you may ask an election official, before you vote, to explain how to use the voting device. You may also request assistance from two election officials of opposite political parties or a person of your choice in recording your vote. If you make an error on your ballot, you may request a replacement.

Absentee Voting:

1. **Regular Absentee Ballot:** If you cannot vote in person, you may vote by absentee ballot. You may request an absentee ballot, either in person or by mail, as early as 45 days before the election, but no later than the day before the election.

Exception: If you are confined to the hospital and were admitted no earlier than five days before the election, you may apply for an absentee ballot up to and including the day of the election.

2. **Service Absentee Ballot:** Members of the military service may apply for an absentee ballot at any time. Such service voters will be mailed an absentee ballot for the next primary or general election, or special election.

3. **Special Absentee Ballot:** A voter who is working outside the continental United States and will be unable to return a regular absentee ballot by normal mail delivery may apply for a special absentee ballot 90 days before the primary or general election. The special absentee ballot will contain the offices and measures, if known, scheduled to appear on the ballot. The **Division of Records and Elections** will include a list of candidates who have filed and a list of any issues that have been referred to the ballot before the application was filed.

The voter may use the special absentee ballot to write in the name of any eligible candidate for each office and vote on any measure.

4. **Ongoing Absentee Ballot:** If you are disabled person or a person over the age of 65, you may apply for status as an ongoing absentee voter. This will entitle you to automatically receive an absentee ballot for each subsequent election through January of the next odd-numbered year. At that time, the **Division of Records and Elections** will notify you and permit you to renew your ongoing absentee voter status.

SAMPLE BALLOT

Below is a sample ballot listing the six statewide ballot measures, seven county wide issues and major federal and state offices appearing at the General Election on November 8, 1988. This list has been prepared to help you prepare to go to the polls or cast an absentee ballot. (Under state law, you are permitted and encouraged to bring a list or sample ballot to the polling place to make voting easier.) Please note the special format for Initiative Measure 97 and Alternative Measure 97B; the state Constitution establishes a two-step process to express your preference on initiatives and alternatives. If you have questions about this special format, call the state Voter Information Hotline at 1-800-448-4881.

STATE MEASURES		CANDIDATES	COUNTY & LOCAL	
INITIATIVE MEASURE 518	YES → NO →	PRESIDENT/VICE PRESIDENT	PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT 1	YES NO
INITIATIVE MEASURE 97		U. S. SENATE	PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT 2	YES NO
ALTERNATIVE MEASURE 97B		U.S. REPRESENTATIVE	PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT 3	YES NO
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 5px 0;"> VOTERS PLEASE NOTE: The state Constitution establishes a TWO-STEP process to express your preference on these issues. </div>		GOVERNOR	PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT 4	YES NO
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 5px 0;"> STEP 1 VOTE "FOR EITHER" OR "AGAINST BOTH" FOR EITHER Initiative 97 or Alternative 97B.....→ AGAINST BOTH Initiative 97 and Alternative 97B.....→ </div>		LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR	PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT 5	YES NO
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 5px 0;"> STEP 2 VOTE FOR ONE MEASURE FOR Initiative No. 97.....→ FOR Alternative Measure 97B.....→ </div>		SECRETARY OF STATE	KING COUNTY PROP. NO. 1	YES NO
		STATE TREASURER	KING COUNTY PROP. NO 2	YES NO
		STATE AUDITOR	CITY (If applicable)	
		ATTORNEY GENERAL		
		COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS	FIRE DISTRICT (If applicable)	
		SUPT. OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION		
		INSURANCE COMMISSIONER	HOSPITAL DISTRICT (If applicable)	
		STATE SENATOR (If applicable)		
		STATE REPRESENTATIVE	OTHER	
HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4222	YES → NO →	Position 1:		
HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4223	YES → NO →	Position 2:		
HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4231	YES → NO →			

Absentee Ballot Application Certification

Mail To: ABSENTEE BALLOT Room 553, King County Administration
Bldg, 500 4th Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104

TO BE FILLED OUT BY APPLICANT
I HEREBY DECLARE THAT I AM A REGISTERED VOTER
PLEASE PRINT IN INK

Registered Name _____ # _____
Street Address _____
City _____ Zip _____
Telephone: (Day) _____ (Evening) _____
For identification purposes only: (Optional)
Birth Date _____ Social Security No. _____

TO BE VALID, YOUR SIGNATURE MUST BE INCLUDED

Date _____

Signature _____

SEND MY BALLOT TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS:

#

Street Address _____
City _____
State _____ Zip _____
Country _____ New Registration: Yes ☐ No ☐

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR THE FOLLOWING:

General Election,
November 8, 1988
ONLY



IF KNOWN:

Registration No. KI _____
Precinct _____
Legislative Dist. _____ Cong. Dist. _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

Precinct Code _____

Levy Code _____

Ballot Code- G _____

Ballot Mailed _____

By issuance of a ballot this dept. certifies that the applicant's signature has been compared against the applicant's registration form, and that the applicant is qualified to receive a ballot.

Absentee Ballot Application Certification

Mail To: ABSENTEE BALLOT Room 553, King County Administration
Bldg, 500 4th Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104

TO BE FILLED OUT BY APPLICANT
I HEREBY DECLARE THAT I AM A REGISTERED VOTER
PLEASE PRINT IN INK

Registered Name _____ # _____
Street Address _____
City _____ Zip _____
Telephone: (Day) _____ (Evening) _____
For identification purposes only: (Optional)
Birth Date _____ Social Security No. _____

TO BE VALID, YOUR SIGNATURE MUST BE INCLUDED

Date _____

Signature _____

SEND MY BALLOT TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS:

#

Street Address _____
City _____
State _____ Zip _____
Country _____ New Registration: Yes ☐ No ☐

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR THE FOLLOWING:

General Election,
November 8, 1988
ONLY



IF KNOWN:

Registration No. KI _____
Precinct _____
Legislative Dist. _____ Cong. Dist. _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

Precinct Code _____

Levy Code _____

Ballot Code- G _____

Ballot Mailed _____

By issuance of a ballot this dept. certifies that the applicant's signature has been compared against the applicant's registration form, and that the applicant is qualified to receive a ballot.

1988 VOTERS & CANDIDATES P A M P H L E T & LOCAL VOTERS PAMPHLET

EDITION



NUMBER 7



Published jointly by :



Office of the Secretary of State



King County Division of Records & Elections

State General Election • November 8, 1988

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Seattle, Washington
Permit No. 1216

Car. Rt. Presort

RESIDENTIAL PATRON, LOCAL

Zip Codes Within Edition Number 7

98011	98014	98019	98028	98033	98034
98052	98053	98072	98224	98288	

EDITION NUMBER 7