

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

WASHINGTON STATE REPUBLICAN  
PARTY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

and

WASHINGTON STATE DEMOCRATIC  
CENTRAL COMMITTEE, et al.,

Plaintiff Intervenors,

and

LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF  
WASHINGTON STATE, et al.,

Plaintiff Intervenors,

v.

DEAN LOGAN, King County Records &  
Elections Division Manager, et al.,

Defendants,

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Defendant Intervenors,

and

WASHINGTON STATE GRANGE,

Defendant Intervenors.

No. C05-927Z

PERMANENT INJUNCTION


1 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Proposed Permanent Injunction,  
2 docket no. 88, submitted in response to this Court's Order and Preliminary Injunction, docket  
3 no. 87, filed on July 15, 2005.

4 The Court hereby incorporates by reference its Order, docket no. 87, and enters the  
5 following Permanent Injunction:

- 6 1. The Court enjoins the State of Washington, or any political subdivision of the  
7 State, from enforcing, implementing, or conducting any election pursuant to the  
8 provisions of Initiative 872, as codified in Title 29A, Wash. Rev. Code.
- 9 2. The Court enjoins the State of Washington, or any political subdivision of the  
10 State, from enforcing or implementing the filing statute under Initiative 872,  
11 Wash. Rev. Code § 29A.24.030, as part of any primary or general election.
- 12 3. The Court enjoins the State of Washington, or any political subdivision of the  
13 State, from refusing to recognize the validity of any minor party or independent  
14 candidate nominating convention held on or before August 27, 2005, on the  
15 grounds that the convention did not comply with the dates set forth in Wash.  
16 Rev. Code § 29A.20.121, provided that the notice provisions of Wash. Rev.  
17 Code § 29A.20.131 have been complied with and the convention otherwise  
18 complies with Title 29A.20, Wash. Rev. Code.
- 19 4. The Court retains jurisdiction in this action to enforce the terms of this  
20 injunction.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED this 29th of July, 2005.

23  
24   
25 Thomas S. Zilly  
26 United States District Judge