Welcome to your 2018 General Election Voters’ Pamphlet!

This important election will decide local, state, and national races and issues. All 10 of Washington’s congressional seats and a statewide race for the U.S. Senate are on the ballot in this election, as are all 98 seats in the state House of Representatives and 25 of 49 seats in the state Senate. City and county elections will select judges, council members, and other officials who administer day-to-day government functions locally.

Several statewide initiatives are on the ballot this year as well, with the potential to significantly affect public policy and Washingtonians’ lives. For more than a century, citizens have used petitions to place issues directly before the state’s voters, and the Voters’ Pamphlet has provided valuable information about what each proposal would do. Inside this edition of the Pamphlet, you’ll find explanations of each initiative, the impact each would have on state government finances, and arguments for and against.

To participate in this election, you must be registered to vote in Washington. You may check your registration status anytime online at MyVote.wa.gov. If you are not yet registered to vote in this year’s General Election, you have until October 29th to register at your county’s elections office.

This year, you and voters throughout the state will be able to return ballots by mail without using a stamp. This new convenience provides greater access to elections. Whether you use a mailbox or drop box, you can cast your vote postage-free.

Voting is your opportunity to make your voice heard at the ballot box and make a difference in your community. Please take time to read through this Voters’ Pamphlet to learn about the important issues and political offices being decided this year, and then fill out your ballot and return it by November 6th by mail or in one of your county’s drop boxes.

Thank you for your time and your participation in the political process. Make an impact in your community and our state by voting this fall!

Kim Wyman
Secretary of State

@secstatewa /WASecretaryofState /WashingtonStateElections
Local Candidates and Measures

This pamphlet contains information for state candidates and measures.

You will receive a Local Voters’ Pamphlet from your county elections department with information on local candidates and issues.

If you do not receive a Local Voters’ Pamphlet by the time you receive your ballot, please call the King County Elections Department at (206) 296-8683.

You can also visit MyVote.wa.gov to view your online voters’ guide.

Political parties

Washington State Democrats
PO Box 4027
Seattle, WA 98194
(206) 583-0664
info@wa-democrats.org
www.wa-democrats.org

Washington State Republican Party
11811 NE 1st St, Ste A306
Bellevue, WA 98005
(425) 460-0570
caleb@wsrp.org
www.wsrp.org

Who donates to campaigns?

View financial contributors for candidates and measures:

Public Disclosure Commission
www.pdc.wa.gov
Toll Free (877) 601-2828
Voting in Washington State

Qualifications

You must be at least 18 years old, a U.S. citizen, a resident of Washington State, and not under Department of Corrections supervision for a Washington State felony conviction.

Register to vote & update your address

The deadline to update your voting address has passed. Contact your former county elections department to request a ballot at your new address.

New voters may register in person until October 29 at your county elections department.

Military voters are exempt from voter registration deadlines.

Cast Your Ballot

1. Your ballot will be mailed to the address you provide in your voter registration.
2. Vote your ballot and sign your return envelope.
3. Return it by mail or to an official ballot drop box by 8 p.m. on November 6. No stamp needed for this election!

Where is my ballot?

Your ballot will be mailed by October 19.
If you need a replacement ballot, contact your county elections department listed at the end of this pamphlet.

View

Election Results

VOTE.WA.GOV

or get the mobile app

WA State Election Results
Audio and plain text voters’ pamphlets available at vote.wa.gov.

No Internet access?
To receive a copy on CD or USB drive, call (800) 448-4881.
Language assistance

The federal Voting Rights Act requires translated elections materials.

Se habla español
Todos los votantes del estado de Washington tienen acceso al folleto electoral y a los formularios de inscripción en español por internet en www.vote.wa.gov.
Adicionalmente, los votantes de los condados de Yakima, Franklin y Adams recibirán su boleta y folleto electoral de forma bilingüe antes de cada elección.
Si usted o alguien que conoce necesitan asistencia en español llame al (800) 448-4881.

中國口語
所有華盛頓州的選民都可在網站 www.vote.wa.gov 查看中文選民手冊和選民登記表格。
此外，金郡選民也可登記在每次選舉前自動獲取中文選票和選民手冊。
如果您或您認識的人需要語言協助，請致電 (800) 448-4881。

Việt Nam được nói
Ngoài ra, cử tri ở Quận King có thể đăng ký để tự động nhận lá phiếu và sách danh cho cử tri bằng tiếng Việt trước mỗi cuộc bầu cử.
Nếu quý vị hoặc người nào quí vị biết cần trợ giúp ngôn ngữ, xin vui lòng gọi (800) 448-4881.
The Ballot Measure Process

The Initiative
Any voter may propose an initiative to create a new state law or change an existing law.

Initiatives to the People
are proposed laws submitted directly to voters.

Initiatives to the Legislature
are proposed laws submitted to the Legislature.

The Referendum
Any voter may demand that a law proposed by the Legislature be referred to voters before taking effect.

Referendum Bills
are proposed laws the Legislature has referred to voters.

Referendum Measures
are laws recently passed by the Legislature that voters have demanded be referred to the ballot.

Laws by the People

Before an Initiative to the People or an Initiative to the Legislature can appear on the ballot, the sponsor must collect...

129,811 VOTERS' SIGNATURES
4% of all votes in the last Governor's race

259,622 VOTERS' SIGNATURES
8% of all votes in the last Governor's race

129,811
VOTERS' SIGNATURES

Initiatives & Referenda
BECOME LAW
with a simple MAJORITY VOTE
Initiative Measure No. 1631 concerns pollution.

This measure would charge pollution fees on sources of greenhouse gas pollutants and use the revenue to reduce pollution, promote clean energy, and address climate impacts, under oversight of a public board.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

[ ] Yes

[ ] No
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Explanatory Statement
Written by the Office of the Attorney General

The Law as it Presently Exists
Under existing law, Washington has set goals to reduce greenhouse gases emitted in Washington. Those gases include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and other gases designated by the Department of Ecology. The goals are to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the state to 1990 levels by 2020 and to continue reducing greenhouse gas emissions to achieve fifty percent of 1990 levels by 2050. The Department of Commerce is responsible for developing a plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and reporting progress toward meeting the state’s goals. State agencies are required to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by certain specified levels.

Various laws and state agency rules relate to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. These include emission standards for certain power plants, renewable fuel standards, building codes, requirements for utilities to use renewable resources, converting state vehicles to clean fuels, motor vehicle emission standards, and land use laws such as the Growth Management Act, which encourage efficient transportation systems.

Under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), state and local government must engage in a variety of public processes to review, avoid, or minimize environmental impacts. These processes include analyzing greenhouse gases and considering input from individuals and Indian tribes concerning environmental impacts of state permitting or other action.

The Effect of the Proposed Measure if Approved
This measure would impose a pollution fee on large emitters of greenhouse gases. Money raised by the fee would be used for certain environmental programs and projects. The measure would create a public oversight board to implement the measure and approve funding for programs and projects. It also sets forth procedures for proposing and approving the programs and projects that could be funded by money generated from the new fee.

The pollution fee imposed by the measure would apply to fossil fuels sold or used within this state and electricity generated within or imported into this state. Fossil fuels include motor vehicle fuel and other petroleum products intended for combustion, natural gas, coal, coke, and any form of fuel created from these products. The pollution fee would be collected only one time on any particular unit of fossil fuels or energy. This means that the fee would not have to be paid again by subsequent sellers or users of the same fuel or energy.

The fee imposed on fossil fuels would be collected from various persons or companies. For motor vehicle fuel and “special fuel” (diesel and certain other fuels), the fee would be collected from fuel licensees who currently pay the motor vehicle fuel taxes on those fuels. For natural gas, the fee would be collected from natural gas public utilities or entities that pay the state’s natural gas use tax. For refinery facilities, the fee would be collected from the refinery for fossil fuels consumed or used by the refinery. The fee may also be collected from a seller of fossil fuels to end users or consumers, a seller of fuel used for certain combined heat and power, or from other persons designated by the Department of Revenue.

The fee imposed on electricity would be collected from importers of electricity generated using fossil fuels, importers of electricity generated from an unspecified source, or a power plant located in Washington that generates electricity using fossil fuels.

The fee charged would be based on the amount of carbon content in the fossil fuels. In the case of electricity, the fee would be based on the carbon content of the fossil fuels used to generate the electricity. “Carbon content” means the carbon dioxide equivalent released from burning or oxidation of fossil fuels. Carbon dioxide equivalent is a measure used to compare emissions from various greenhouse gases based on their global warming potential. So the carbon content of a fossil fuel is a measure of the carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases that are released when the fossil fuel is burned or otherwise consumed. For purposes of calculating the fee, the Department of Ecology is responsible for determining the carbon content of fossil fuels or inherent in electricity.

Beginning January 1, 2020, the pollution fee is set at fifteen dollars per metric ton of carbon content. The fee increases by two dollars per metric ton each year and is also adjusted for inflation each year. The two-dollar annual increases continue until the state’s existing greenhouse gas reduction goal for 2035 is met and the state is on pace and likely to meet the 2050 greenhouse gas reduction goal. At that time, the pollution fee will be fixed, except for the annual inflation adjustments.

The measure would not impose the fee in certain circumstances. For example, the fee would not apply to fossil fuels brought into Washington in the fuel supply tank of a motor vehicle, vessel, locomotive, or aircraft. It would not apply to fossil fuels exported or sold for export outside Washington. It would not apply to fossil fuels supplied to a light and power business for purposes of generating electricity. It would not apply to fossil fuels and electricity sold to and used by certain facilities designated by the Department of Commerce as within energy-intensive and trade-exposed industries. It would not apply to aircraft fuels, certain fuel used for agricultural purposes, and
motor vehicle fuel or special fuel currently exempt from
taxation. It would not apply to Indian tribes and Indians in
circumstances where they are exempt from state taxation.
The fee would not apply to facilities that generate electricity
by burning coal, if those facilities are legally bound to close
by 2025 or to comply with certain emission standards by
2025.

The measure also allows for credits in certain circumstances.
For example, a fee-payer may receive a credit if the fossil
fuel or electricity is subject to a similar fee on carbon
content in another jurisdiction and the fee-payer receives
approval from the Department of Commerce. A light and
power business or gas distribution business, also known
as a utility, may receive a credit up to the full amount of
the fee for investments in programs, activities, or projects
consistent with a clean energy investment plan. But to
receive that credit, the utility’s clean energy investment plan
must be approved by the state Utilities and Transportation
Commission (for investor-owned utilities) or the Department
of Commerce (for consumer-owned utilities).

The measure would establish a public oversight board
to implement the new law. The board would have fifteen
voting members: the chair; the Commissioner of Public
Lands; the directors of the Department of Commerce,
the Department of Ecology, and the Recreation and
Conservation Office; four at-large positions; and six co-
chairs of three investment panels. The three investment
panels would be created by the measure and would
provide advice and recommendations to the board and
assist in developing criteria for approving spending on
certain projects. There would be certain requirements for
the at-large positions and the six co-chairs.

The board would have numerous powers and duties. It
would make decisions about which projects and programs
to fund with the moneys raised by the pollution fee. It would
review and approve rules developed by other agencies that
set guidelines for the various programs required or funded
by the measure. The board would consult with other
agencies and government bodies, Indian tribes, and others
in developing projects. It would report to the Governor and
Legislature regarding progress and challenges in
implementing the measure.

The measure would require consultation with Indian tribes
by any state agency implementing the law, or receiving
funding for projects, on decisions that may directly affect
Indian tribes and tribal lands. The board could not approve
spending on projects that directly affect an Indian tribe’s
lands or usual and accustomed fishing areas without
first engaging in this formal consultation and following a
mutually agreed timeline for the consultation. If a project is
funded without this consultation and directly affects lands
owned or controlled by an Indian tribe or affects lands
where a tribe has a significant interest, action on the project
must cease upon request by an affected Indian tribe.

The measure would place all pollution fees collected in the
state treasury in an account called the “clean up pollution
fund.” Expenditures from the fund would be limited to
certain investments defined in the measure. The measure
includes certain criteria that must be considered when
approving funding.

The measure would allow money from the clean up pollution
fund to be used for reasonable administrative costs. After
administrative costs, the clean up pollution fund must be
used for certain categories of investments: seventy percent
of the clean up pollution fund must be spent on clean air
and clean energy investments, twenty-five percent for clean
water and healthy forest investments, and five percent for
healthy communities investments. The board may allow
different percentages in certain circumstances.

The measure defines clean air and clean energy investments
as programs, activities, or projects that reduce pollution
or that assist affected workers or people with lower
incomes. As noted above, seventy percent of the fund
would be spent in this category. The measure identifies
some programs that fit this spending category, including
those that promote renewable energy such as solar and
wind power; that increase energy efficiency; that reduce
transportation-related carbon emissions through use of
electric vehicles or public transportation; and that promote
the capturing and storing of carbon in water, soil, forests,
or other natural areas. At least fifteen percent of the clean
air and clean energy investments must be used to reduce
the energy burden of people with lower incomes through
programs such as assistance with paying energy bills,
promoting public or shared transportation, and reducing
energy consumption. In addition, within four years, a
minimum of $50 million would be set aside for a program
to support fossil-fuel workers who are affected by the
transition away from fossil fuels. The program may include
wage replacement, health benefits, pension contributions,
retraining costs, and other services.

The Department of Commerce, in consultation with others,
must propose rules and criteria for disbursing funds for
clean air and clean energy investments. The proposed rules
and criteria must be approved by the board. The measure
includes certain requirements for the rules and criteria for
disbursing funds and includes certain goals for reducing
carbon emissions and global temperature increases.

The second spending category for the clean up pollution
fund is to address the impacts of climate change on the
state’s waters and forests. Twenty-five percent of the fund
will be spent in this category. Examples for this category
include spending to restore and protect state waters,
to address ocean acidification, to reduce flood risk, to
reduce risk of wildfires, and to address other impacts of
climate change. Various state agencies are responsible for proposing rules and criteria for eligible programs. The rules and criteria for these programs must be approved by the board.

Finally, the third spending category for the clean up pollution fund is to prepare communities for the impacts of climate change and to help certain populations who are particularly affected by climate change. Five percent of the fund will be spent in this category. In this category, funds can be used for wildfire prevention and preparedness, relocation of communities on tribal lands affected by sea level rise and floods, and public school education about the impacts of climate change and ways to reduce pollution. A portion of this fund must be used to help communities participate in carrying out the measure, such as help in preparing proposals for projects.

In addition to the spending requirements for these three categories, the measure imposes other requirements on spending. At least thirty-five percent of spending from the clean up pollution fund must provide direct and meaningful benefits to what the measure calls “pollution and health action areas.” The Department of Health designates those areas based on University of Washington analyses of vulnerable populations and environmental burdens. A particular area partially or fully within Indian reservations or other Indian lands would also qualify as a pollution and health action area. At least ten percent of funds must be spent for projects formally supported by a resolution of an Indian tribe, and ten percent must be spent for projects located in and benefiting a pollution and health action area.

Fiscal Impact Statement
Written by the Office of Financial Management
For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY
Initiative 1631 imposes a pollution fee on large emitters of greenhouse gases. The fee will raise $2,295,785,000 during the first five fiscal years. The additional Utilities and Transportation Commission regulatory fee will raise $9,685,072 during the first five fiscal years. A public oversight board is established to supervise revenue expenditures to reduce carbon pollution, promote clean energy and address climate impacts to the environment and communities. Twelve state agencies and two higher education institutions are estimated to expend $27,178,592. The remaining expenditures cannot be estimated until the public board approves investment plans. Local government expenditures are estimated to be $158,623,072.

GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS
• The effective date of the initiative is Dec. 6, 2018.
• The provisions of the initiative apply prospectively, not retroactively.

• Because the pollution fee will not be collected until Jan. 1, 2020, it is assumed that all costs for state agencies, except the Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), to implement the initiative before this date will be paid from the State General Fund. UTC costs are paid from the Public Service Revolving Account.
• Estimates use the state’s fiscal year of July 1 through June 30. Fiscal year 2019 is July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019.

REVENUE
Local Revenue
The initiative will not impact local revenue.

State Revenue
The initiative would generate an estimated $2,305,470,073 over five fiscal years from the state pollution fee and UTC regulatory fees.

State Pollution Fee
The initiative would impose a pollution fee on large emitters of fossil fuels based upon the carbon content of fossil fuels sold or used within the state, electricity generated within the state (including out-of-state sales) and electricity imported for consumption in the state. Beginning Jan. 1, 2020, the pollution fee is set at $15 per metric ton of carbon content. The fee would increase by $2 per metric ton each year and is also adjusted for inflation each year. The $2 annual increases would continue until the state’s existing greenhouse gas reduction goal for 2035 is met and the state is on pace and likely to meet the 2050 greenhouse gas reduction goal. At that time, the pollution fee would be fixed, except for annual inflation adjustments. The initiative would provide exemptions from the fee for certain fossil fuels and facilities.

The initiative would allow qualifying light and power businesses or gas distribution businesses to claim credits up to 100 percent of the pollution fee for investments made through clean energy investment plans that are approved by the UTC for investor-owned utilities and by the Department of Commerce for consumer-owned utilities.

All revenues from the pollution fee are deposited into the Clean Up Pollution Fund.

STATE REVENUE ASSUMPTIONS
Revenue estimates are based on: 1) the U.S. Energy Information Agency (EIA) 2018 Annual Energy Outlook; 2) the IHS Markit June 2018 forecast of the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U); and 3) the Washington State Department of Commerce, State Energy Office, Carbon Tax Assessment Model (CTAM) – version 3.5. The Department of Commerce periodically updates data in the CTAM. Any data updates to the CTAM made
between preparation and publication of this fiscal impact statement are not reflected in the estimates displayed here. Although the initiative specifies that the US Bureau of Labor Statistic price index for all urban wage earners and clerical workers (CPI-W) is used to calculate the inflationary increase in the carbon fee, the Department of Revenue does not have access to a forecast for CPI-W so the CPI-U is used instead.

The following assumptions are made in the CTAM for modeling purposes:

- Year one is set to calendar year 2020 to most closely correspond to the Jan. 1, 2020, effective date of the proposed pollution fee.
- The baseline reference energy forecast (option A) is specified, which corresponds to the EIA Annual Energy Outlook 2018 reference case.
- Marine fuels are exempted.
- Aircraft fuels are exempted.
- “Transition coal,” i.e., power generated from coal plants scheduled to close by 2025, is exempted.
- Power generated from Colstrip plants 1 and 2 are exempted since they are legally bound to cease operations by Dec. 31, 2025.

The following have been factored into the modeling to the extent possible:
- An exemption for aircraft fuels.
- An exemption for maritime fuels.
- An exemption for pollution emissions from coal closure facilities.
- An exemption for the fossil fuels and electricity sold to or used onsite by facilities with a primary activity that falls into an Energy Intensive Trade Exposed (EITE) sector. (Note that due to lack of available data, no attempt has been made to model the impact of this exemption for qualifying support facilities.)
- Facility-specific emissions data has been drawn from the Washington State Department of Ecology’s Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program, which requires facilities that emit at least 10,000 metric tons of CO2 per year in Washington to report. Note that facilities that emit fewer than 10,000 metric tons of CO2 per year in Washington are not included in the data set used for estimating the EITE exemption.
- Emissions estimates have been adjusted to the extent possible to remove biogenic fuel emissions, non-CO2 emissions and industrial process emissions.
- Zero growth is assumed for EITE facility emissions into the future.
- The initiative defines “carbon content” to include both CO2 emissions and other CO2 equivalents (methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, nitrogen trifluoride) released through the combustion or oxidation of fossil fuels. The revenue from this proposal could be approximately 1 percent higher than modeled because the CTAM does not apply a tax or fee to CO2 equivalents.
- Five months of cash collections are reflected in fiscal year 2020 due to the Jan. 1, 2020, effective date for the pollution fee.
- No credits are granted for payment of a similar fee in other jurisdictions.
- Qualifying light and power businesses or gas distribution businesses are assumed to claim credit for 100 percent of the pollution fees for which they are liable.

**State Revenue Impacts**

(See Table 1 on page 15)

**Pollution Fee Revenues Distribution Assumptions and Descriptions**

Following deductions for administrative costs, 70 percent of the balance in the Clean Up Pollution Fund will be deposited into the Clean Air and Clean Energy Account, 25 percent will be deposited into the Clean Water and Healthy Forests Investments Account and 5 percent will be deposited into the Healthy Communities Account.

In addition, the initiative defines investor-owned utility-retained credits in the utilities’ Clean Energy Investment Account as gross operating revenue subject to UTC regulatory fees. This fee is equal to one-tenth of 1 percent of the first $50,000 of gross operating revenue, plus two-tenths of 1 percent of any gross operating revenue in excess of $50,000. In addition, each investor-owned utility must pay an annual fee of up to 1 percent of credited fees deposited into the Clean Energy Investment Account for UTC administrative costs to implement the initiative. It is assumed that the fee is set annually at 1 percent and excludes any amounts retained by consumer-owned utilities. These revenues would be deposited into the Public Service Revolving Account.

The initiative specifies that the Clean Up Pollution Fund may be used to pay for reasonable administrative costs. It is assumed that “administrative costs” include tax administration and other tasks necessary to implement the initiative unless a state agency has a usual fund source for the work required by the initiative.

(See Table 2 on page 15)

**STATE GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES**

**State Agency Implementation Cost Assumptions**

Because the pollution fee will not be collected until Jan. 1, 2020, it is assumed that all costs for state agencies, except UTC, to implement the initiative before this date will be paid from the State General Fund. UTC costs are paid from the Public Service Revolving Account.
The initiative would establish a public oversight board (POB) to implement the new law. The POB adopts all programmatic policies, procedures and rules per the State Administrative Procedures Act for programs funded through the Clean Air and Clean Energy Account, the Clean Water and Healthy Forests Investments Account and the Healthy Communities Account. Utility investment plans are approved by the Department of Commerce and UTC by Dec. 31, 2020, to allow utilities to obtain pollution fee credits.

POB activity is phased as follows: 1) formation and organization; 2) programmatic rule makings and review and approval of investment plans; 3) project approvals and updates to rules, policies and procedures; 4) appropriation recommendations to the Legislature; and 5) tribal consultations throughout.

The POB would meet bimonthly in Olympia beginning March 1, 2019. From March 2019 through January 2020, the POB would hold one-day meetings; from February 2020 through January 2021, each meeting would last two days, with one-day meetings thereafter.

For each of the three Investment Advisory Panels, meeting length, location and frequency would mirror that of the POB, except that panel meetings would start in July 2019.

The Department of Health would begin work on Jan. 1, 2019, to designate pollution and health action areas and would complete this task by July 31, 2019.

To meet the requirement that state agencies submit all policies, procedures and rules related to expenditures from the Clean Air and Clean Energy Account, the Clean Water and Healthy Forests Investments Account and the Healthy Communities Account to the POB by Jan. 1, 2020, state agency work would begin on Jan. 1, 2019. State agencies would also begin work on Jan. 1, 2019, to develop the initial pollution reduction investment plans and rules that describe the processes and criteria to disburse funds from the Clean Air and Clean Energy Account, with review and approval by the POB by Jan. 1, 2020. A permanent pollution reduction investment plan and rule would be submitted to the POB by Jan. 1, 2022.

The Department of Ecology would begin work on Jan. 1, 2019, and would adopt emergency rules by Nov. 1, 2019, that specify the carbon content inherent in or associated with covered fossil fuels and electricity.

**STATE AGENCY EXPENDITURES**

State agency costs are estimated to be $27,178,592 over five fiscal years to implement the initiative. Costs by agency are:

- The Department of Revenue would incur costs estimated at $4,170,500 to administer pollution fee collection activities.

- The Office of the Governor would incur costs estimated at $8,326,874 for the staffing, operation, per diem and compensation of the POB and three investment panels that would review and adopt through the rule-making process, as needed, plans, procedures, criteria and rules for the programs as well as conduct effectiveness reviews.

- The Department of Commerce would incur costs estimated at $10,668,899 to draft the initial and final pollution reduction investment plans as well as the proposed rules for process and criteria to disburse funds from the Clean Air and Clean Energy Account. In consultation with the Environmental and Economic Justice Panel, the department would incur costs to develop a plan for investments that directly reduce the energy burden of people with lower incomes; design and implement comprehensive enrollment campaigns to inform and enroll people with lower incomes in energy assistance programs; create a program and provide assistance and support to workers in fossil fuel industries affected by the transition to a cleaner energy economy; and develop draft procedures and rules to provide community capacity grants to participate in implementing the initiative. The agency would participate in development of carbon emission standards, validate a facility’s EITE designation and review petitions by fee payers for credits for similar pollution fees imposed by other states. It would also conduct effectiveness reviews of programs in achieving carbon reduction goals and implementing pollution reduction plans.

- The Department of Health would incur estimated costs of $631,000 to designate and update pollution and health action areas, participate on the POB and help support the Environmental and Economic Justice Panel and other investment panels.

- The Department of Ecology would incur both estimated costs and savings. Estimated costs of $3,325,787 would be incurred to develop procedures, criteria and rules for grant programs for increasing the ability to remediate and adapt to the impacts of ocean acidification, reducing flood risk and restoring natural floodplain ecological function, increasing the sustainable supply of water and improving storm water infrastructure from previously developed areas within an urban growth boundary. These costs would also enable Ecology to contribute to development of procedures, criteria and rules on restoring and protecting estuaries, fisheries and marine shoreline habitats, and preparing for sea level rise. The agency would also adopt emergency rules specifying the basis for the carbon content of covered fossil fuels and electricity, work in consultation with the Department of Commerce to select a default emission
factor for light and power businesses, and publish a
default emissions factor for U.S. Bonneville Power
Administration sales of electricity in Washington
state. Ecology would also serve as a voting member
of the POB, engage investment advisory panels and
participate in conducting effectiveness reviews of
programs in achieving carbon reduction goals and
implementing pollution reduction plans. Ecology
would incur estimated savings of $10,436,000 in
the State General Fund and the State Toxics Control
Account from adopting rules to eliminate the program
supporting the Clean Air Rule (Chapter 173-442
Washington Administrative Code) and associated
greenhouse gas emissions reporting (Chapter 173-
441 Washington Administrative Code), for a net
estimated savings of $7,110,213 over the five-year
period.

• The Washington State Recreation and Conservation
Office would incur estimated costs of $534,272 to
develop proposed procedures, criteria and rules
for a grant program to prevent the conversion and
fragmentation of working forests, farmland and
natural habitat that sequester carbon and provide
additional ecological benefits and to participate in
the development of proposed procedures, criteria
and rules for clean water investments that improve
resilience from climate impacts. The agency would
also participate as a voting member of the POB.

• The Department of Fish and Wildlife would incur
estimated costs of $423,600 to participate in
development of proposed procedures, criteria and
rules for clean water investments that improve
resilience from climate impacts.

• The Puget Sound Partnership would incur estimated
costs of $272,772 to participate in the development
of proposed procedures, criteria and rules for clean
water investments that improve resilience from climate impacts, review programs and projects for
consistency with the Puget Sound Action Agenda,
and participate in conducting effectiveness reviews of
programs in achieving carbon reduction goals and
implementing pollution reduction plans.

• The Department of Natural Resources would incur
estimated costs of $2,573,400 to develop proposed
procedures, criteria and rules to sequester carbon
through blue carbon projects, invest in healthy
forests and enhance community preparedness and
awareness of wildfires. Costs would also support tribal
communities to suppress, prevent and recover from
wildfires, and relocate tribal communities impacted
by flooding and sea level rise. The agency would also
participate in development of proposed procedures,
criteria and rules for clean water investments that
improve resilience from climate impacts.

• The Washington State Department of Agriculture
would incur estimated costs of $485,000 to develop
proposed procedures, criteria and rules for a program
to increase soil sequestration and reduce emissions
from the loss and disturbance of soils.

• The UTC would incur estimated costs of $4,800,418
to review and approve private utilities’ clean energy
investment plans, review utilities’ annual reports on
implementing their clean energy investment plans,
conduct necessary rule making, support the POB and
the investment panels, undertake tribal consultation
on clean energy investments and participate in
development of an effectiveness report.

• The University of Washington would incur estimated
costs of $797,070 for its Department of Environmental
and Occupational Health Sciences to assist the
Department of Health in designating and updating
pollution and health action areas, and for the Climate
Impacts Group to provide technical assistance to
the Department of Natural Resources in developing
programs and allocating funds for the clean water and
healthy forest investments that increase resilience
from climate impacts on wildlife and forest health and
for investments to prepare communities for challenges
caused by climate change.

• The Washington State University Energy Program
would incur estimated costs of $525,000 to participate
in drafting the initial and final pollution reduction
investment plans.

• The Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
would incur estimated costs of $80,000 for developing
and implementing education programs and teacher
development programs to expand awareness of
and increase preparedness for the environmental,
social and economic impacts of climate change and
strategies to reduce pollution.

(See Table 3 on page 16)

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
EXPENDITURES

(See Table 4 on page 16)

Cities, public utility districts, port districts and other local
governments that provide electricity and natural gas
services would potentially be required to pay the pollution
fee. It is estimated that 43 local governments would likely
be impacted by the initiative. Publicly owned utilities could
either pay the pollution fee or claim a credit for state-
approved clean-energy investments. It is assumed that
publicly owned utilities operated by local governments
would incur costs of $158,623,072 over four years, primarily
for state-approved clean-energy investments made in lieu
of pollution fees for which they would be liable.
Key assumptions used to generate these estimates are:

- Pollution fee estimates are based upon the Department of Commerce’s 2016 Washington State Electric Utility Fuel Mix Disclosure Report and the EIA 2016 data on natural gas utility deliveries.
- All consumer-owned utilities will withhold 100 percent of pollution-fee liability as pollution-fee credits equal to the value of clean-energy investments; however, the specific types of programmatic investments are unknown at this time. Jurisdictions choosing to participate in credit-eligible activities will incur indeterminate costs related to developing clean energy investment plans, applying for credits and reporting on funding usage.
- Neither the mix of fuels associated with electricity sources nor the demand for carbon-based fuels changes from 2016 reported levels. Local governments generally do not have the ability to modify their fuel mixes in the near term, and the impact of utility clean-energy investments on fuel mix and electricity demand are unknown at this time.

The Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction estimates that there are approximately 30 school districts that operate their own fueling distribution facilities that service their school bus fleets. To the extent these districts purchase fuel from out-of-state suppliers, they would be liable for the pollution fee. The source of fuel for these facilities is unknown, so no estimate is included of any potential costs to school districts. Similarly, the pollution fee liability incurred by local governments operating their own fuel-distribution facilities supplied with fuel imported directly from out of state is not known at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 – Pollution fee revenues deposited into the Clean Up Pollution Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Revenue Impact</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Up Pollution Fund</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 – State revenues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Revenue Impact by Fund</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Up Pollution Fund (Administration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Air and Clean Energy Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Water and Healthy Forest Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Communities Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service Revolving Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3 – State Expenditures from the State General Fund, the Clean Up Pollution Fund, the Public Service Revolving Account and the State Toxics Control Account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governor’s Office</td>
<td>$174,180</td>
<td>$2,109,440</td>
<td>$2,031,220</td>
<td>$1,930,146</td>
<td>$2,081,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Revenue</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,764,400</td>
<td>$819,700</td>
<td>$810,700</td>
<td>$775,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Commerce</td>
<td>$2,452,979</td>
<td>$2,542,708</td>
<td>$1,657,286</td>
<td>$2,649,444</td>
<td>$1,366,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health</td>
<td>$315,000</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
<td>$162,000</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Ecology</td>
<td>$(467,705)</td>
<td>$(701,365)</td>
<td>$(1,943,750)</td>
<td>$(1,905,164)</td>
<td>$(2,092,229)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation and Conservation Office</td>
<td>$118,846</td>
<td>$261,226</td>
<td>$139,846</td>
<td>$7,177</td>
<td>$7,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
<td>$62,800</td>
<td>$191,000</td>
<td>$169,800</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puget Sound Partnership</td>
<td>$33,419</td>
<td>$33,420</td>
<td>$33,104</td>
<td>$93,098</td>
<td>$79,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Natural Resources</td>
<td>$650,700</td>
<td>$1,241,100</td>
<td>$648,800</td>
<td>$16,400</td>
<td>$16,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>$118,000</td>
<td>$224,000</td>
<td>$143,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities and Transportation Commission</td>
<td>$253,294</td>
<td>$843,092</td>
<td>$1,111,404</td>
<td>$1,479,395</td>
<td>$1,113,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Washington</td>
<td>$208,518</td>
<td>$160,161</td>
<td>$142,797</td>
<td>$142,797</td>
<td>$142,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington State University</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,995,031</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,970,182</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,240,207</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,385,993</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,587,179</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 – Total local government expenditure impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publicly Owned Utilities</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$18,811,545</td>
<td>$40,579,011</td>
<td>$46,552,927</td>
<td>$52,679,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Government Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,811,545</strong></td>
<td><strong>$40,579,011</strong></td>
<td><strong>$46,552,927</strong></td>
<td><strong>$52,679,589</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Argument for

Building a Cleaner Healthier Future for Our Kids
We have a responsibility to future generations to pass on a healthier place to live. Initiative 1631 is a sensible step that puts a fee on large polluters like big oil companies, making them pay when they pollute our air and water and invests in affordable clean energy and healthier communities.

Holding Big Polluters Accountable to Protect Our Air and Water
When big oil companies pollute they leave the rest of us to pay the price with our health and environment. Initiative 1631 will make clean energy like wind and solar more affordable for more people, reduce over 25 million tons of pollution annually, and build new clean energy projects creating 41,000 good paying jobs across the state.

Public Accountability and Transparency
All investments are overseen by a public board of experts in science, business, health, and trusted community leaders so that big oil companies and their lobbyists aren’t making decisions about our future. Regular audits will ensure we’re reducing pollution and expanding clean energy.

Washington vs. Big Oil
Initiative 1631 is backed by the largest initiative coalition in state history, including over 200 organizations and businesses like The Nature Conservancy, American Lung Association, Union of Concerned Scientists, REI, Children’s Alliance, Sierra Club, MomsRising, Physicians for Social Responsibility, Tulalip Tribes, Washington Conservation Voters, OneAmerica, UFCW 21, and Latino Community Fund.

By voting Yes we will build clean energy, create thousands of jobs, and pass on a healthier future for our kids.

Rebuttal of argument against

Five out-of-state oil companies are funding 99.9% of the opposition campaign. They will say anything to protect their billion-dollar profits. 1631 is a sensible step to reduce pollution today and leave a better future for our kids, by making big oil companies pay for the pollution they create. It makes clean energy more affordable, creating over 41,000 good paying jobs here in Washington. Let’s build our future on our terms.

Written by
Carrie Nyssen, American Lung Association, Vancouver; Leonard Forsman, President, Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians, Suquamish; Ann Murphy, President, League of Women Voters of Washington, Spokane; Tony Lee, Co-Chair, Asian Pacific Islander Coalition, Seattle; Bonnie Frye Hemphill, Solar Installers of Washington, Seattle; Cenitra Pickens, Registered Nurse, union member SEIU Healthcare 1199NW, Tacoma
Contact: (206) 535-6617; info@yeson1631.org; yeson1631.org

Argument against

I-1631’s deeply flawed, unfair energy tax would force Washington families, small businesses and consumers to pay billions in higher costs for gasoline, electricity, heating and natural gas – while exempting the state’s largest polluters, and providing little accountability for spending.

$2.3 Billion Energy Tax, Increases Every Year
The state’s analysis shows 1631 would cost consumers over $2.3 billion in the first five years alone. Higher electricity and natural gas bills would add hundreds of millions more in consumer costs, and 1631’s escalating taxes would automatically increase every year – with no cap.

Largest Polluters Exempt
1631 would exempt many of the state’s largest polluters, including a coal-fired power plant, pulp and paper mills, aircraft manufacturers and other large corporate emitters. Six of the state’s top 10 carbon emitters would be exempt from 1631, while consumers and small businesses would pay billions.

Gasoline, Energy Prices Increase Annually With No Cap
Independent estimates show 1631 would increase gasoline prices by up to fourteen cents per gallon at first, increasing annually, and quadrupling within 15 years, with no cap. Families, small businesses and farmers would also pay higher costs for natural gas, heating fuel, electricity and transportation, costing households hundreds more per year, especially hurting those who could least afford it.

Lack of Accountability, No Guarantee
1631’s unelected board would have broad authority to disperse billions with little accountability and no specific plan, no requirements to spend funds specifically to reduce greenhouse gases, and no guarantee of effectiveness. 1631 deserves a no vote.

Rebuttal of argument for

I-1631’s deeply flawed approach to climate policy exempts Washington’s largest polluters, imposes a permanently escalating tax on Washington families, and disproportionately burdens those who can least afford it. I-1631 has no clear guidelines for how its unelected board of political appointees would spend billions in taxpayer dollars, and no real accountability or likelihood of significantly reducing greenhouse gases. Cliff Mass, Ph.D., atmospheric sciences expert, represents his own opinions – not those of the University of Washington.

Written by
Dean Maxwell, Mayor of Anacortes 1993 – 2013; Anne Lawrence, Board Member, Washington Farm Bureau, Family Farmer, Vancouver; Brian Sonntag, Washington State Auditor 1993 – 2013; Sabrina Jones, Small Business Owner, Spokane; Mark Riker, Executive Secretary, Washington State Building Trades; Cliff Mass, Professor of Atmospheric Sciences, Seattle, Washington
Contact: (877) 539-4443; info@VoteNOon1631.com; VoteNOon1631.com
Initiative Measure No. 1634 concerns taxation of certain items intended for human consumption.

This measure would prohibit new or increased local taxes, fees, or assessments on raw or processed foods or beverages (with exceptions), or ingredients thereof, unless effective by January 15, 2018, or generally applicable.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

[ ] Yes
[ ] No
Explanatory Statement
Written by the Office of the Attorney General

The Law as it Presently Exists
All local taxation must be authorized by state law. Current state law gives broad taxing authority to counties, cities, and towns. The Washington Supreme Court has recognized that cities’ and towns’ taxing authority includes the authority to tax retailers for the privilege of conducting a specific type of retail business within the city. Counties and cities also have authority to impose sales and use taxes within certain limits that the Legislature has set. For example, local sales or use taxes can be imposed only when the state sales or use tax is also due on a sale or item.

Local governments like cities and counties have relied on this broad local taxing authority to impose taxes related to specific products. For example, in 2017 the City of Seattle adopted an ordinance imposing a privilege tax on the distribution of sweetened beverages like soda within the city limits. The City of Seattle’s tax is calculated based on the volume of sweetened beverages or concentrate distributed in the city.

The State has imposed state sales and use taxes on the retail sale of most items, but food and food ingredients are generally exempt from these state taxes. Nevertheless, state sales and use taxes are imposed on prepared food, alcoholic beverages, bottled water, and soft drinks. There are also additional state taxes on alcoholic beverages, cigarettes, tobacco products, and marijuana products.

The Effect of the Proposed Measure if Approved
If adopted, Initiative 1634 would prevent local governments from imposing or collecting any new tax, fee, or other assessment on certain grocery items after January 15, 2018. This restriction would prohibit any new local tax, fee, or assessment of any kind on the manufacture, distribution, sale, possession, ownership, transfer, transportation, container, use, or consumption of certain groceries. Initiative 1634 would also prohibit any increase of existing local taxes, fees, or assessments on these grocery items after January 15, 2018.

Local governments covered by this initiative are counties, cities, and towns, as well as other municipal corporations and local taxing districts. Covered grocery items would include any raw or processed food or beverage, or any ingredient, intended for human consumption. This would include, for example, meat, produce, grains, dairy products, nonalcoholic beverages, spices, and condiments, among other things. Covered groceries do not include alcoholic beverages, marijuana products, or tobacco.

Initiative 1634 would not prevent the State from imposing new taxes on groceries. It would not prevent local governments from imposing or collecting a new tax, fee, or assessment that is generally applicable to a broad range of businesses and business activity, so long as it does not impose a higher tax rate on groceries or impose a higher tax rate based on a classification related to groceries. Initiative 1634 would not prohibit a local tax, fee, or assessment on alcoholic beverages, marijuana products, or tobacco. Initiative 1634 would not restrict counties’ and cities’ existing authority to impose local sales and use taxes. Initiative 1634 would not restrict local governments’ existing authority to impose other taxes on transactions involving non-grocery items.

Fiscal Impact Statement
Written by the Office of Financial Management
For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY
Initiative 1634 prohibits new or increased local taxes, fees or assessments on raw or processed foods, beverages or their ingredients, intended for human consumption except alcoholic beverages, marijuana products and tobacco, unless they are generally applicable and meet specified requirements. The initiative allows local government to continue to collect revenue if the ordinance was in effect by Jan.15, 2018. The revenue and expenditure impacts cannot be determined because the potential lost revenue is based on volume of product sold within the jurisdiction.

GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS
- The effective date of the initiative is Dec. 6, 2018.
- The provisions of the initiative apply to taxes, fees or other assessments on groceries applied after Jan. 15, 2018.
- Estimates use the state’s fiscal year of July 1 through June 30. Fiscal year 2019 is July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019.

REVENUE
Local revenue impacts
The initiative has an indeterminate impact on local revenue. It would prohibit imposing or collecting any new tax or fee, or making an inflationary adjustment on taxes or fees on certain grocery items after Jan. 15, 2018.

The city of Seattle enacted a sweetened beverage privilege tax prior to the effective date of the initiative. Seattle estimates the tax will generate $23.378 million per year. Since the imposition of the tax was started before Jan. 15, 2018, the tax will remain in effect. However, the city of Seattle would not be able to adjust the tax by inflation.

State revenue impacts assumptions and description
The initiative would not have a state revenue impact because it does not apply to state taxes, fees or other assessments.

EXPENDITURES
Local government expenditures
The initiative would not have an expenditure impact on local governments because it prevents the future imposition of local taxes or fees on groceries after Jan. 15, 2018.

State government expenditures
The initiative would not have an expenditure impact on state government because it does not apply to state taxes, fees or other assessments.
Argument for
Yes on I-1634 protects working families, farmers, and local businesses.
I-1634 would ensure that our groceries – foods and beverages that we consume every day – are protected from any new or increased local tax, fee, or assessment.
Help keep groceries affordable.
The rising cost of living makes it harder for families to afford the basics. Special interest groups across the country, and here in Washington, are proposing taxes on groceries like meats, dairy and juices – basic necessities for all families. I-1634 would prevent local governments from enacting new taxes on groceries. Higher grocery prices don’t hurt the wealthy elites but crush the middle class and those on fixed incomes, including the elderly.
Take a stand for fairness.
Washington has the most regressive tax system in the country and places a larger tax burden on the backs of middle and fixed-income families than the wealthy. Taxes on groceries make our current tax structure even more unfair for those struggling to make ends meet.
Bipartisan and diverse support for I-1634 from citizens, farmers, local businesses, and community organizations.
Organizations that represent Washington farmers (Washington Farm Bureau, Tree Fruit Association, State Dairy Federation), labor (Joint Council of Teamsters, International Association of Machinists, Seattle Building Trades), and business (Washington Beverage Association, Washington Food Industry Association, Washington Retail Association, Korean American Grocers Association) are united in supporting I-1634 to keep our groceries affordable.
By voting yes on I-1634, you can take a stand for affordability and fairness for Washington’s working families.

Rebuttal of argument against
I-1634 prohibits new, local taxes on groceries, period. It does not prevent voters from raising taxes on anything else to meet local needs. This is necessary to close a loophole allowing municipalities to tax groceries, even though the state does not. That’s why thousands of Washington workers, farmers, small businesses, and consumers support I-1634. It protects us from taxation of everyday foods and beverages which raises prices, costs jobs and hurts working families.

Argument against
Initiative 1634 takes away local control and gives it to the state
This confusing measure imposes a one-size-fits-all state law that takes power away from voters and hands it to the state, silencing our voice in local decision-making. Different communities have unique needs and local voters deserve a say in how revenue decisions are made. This initiative is a slippery slope toward greater state control at the expense of our cities, towns, and local communities.
Corporate special interests are spending millions to strip away voter choices and protect profits
I-1634 has nothing to do with keeping our food affordable. In fact, tax prohibitions on everyday food items — from fruits and vegetables to milk and bread—are already reflected in voter approved state law. Instead, this measure is funded almost exclusively by the multi-billion-dollar soda industry. They are only concerned with their profits and are spending millions on this initiative—and misleading advertisements—that would undermine local control.
Reject Initiative 1634 to prevent future erosion of local powers by special interests
I-1634 sets a dangerous precedent -- any special interest could spend millions on a misleading initiative to limit our rights as voters and our local autonomy. Voting no sends a clear message that we value local control and will not be fooled by the political agenda of wealthy industries or outside groups.

Rebuttal of argument for
State law already precludes taxes on groceries. Initiative 1634 is funded by the soda industry to take away local choices from our cities and towns. This confusing measure reduces local options while increasing state control at a time when we are struggling to fund important community programs. Stand with doctors, teachers and community advocates in saying no to this blatant corporate power grab.

Written by
Mary Ann Bauman, MD, American Heart Association; Kate Burke, Spokane City Council; Jill Mangaliman, Got Green; Jim Krieger, MD, MPH Healthy Food America; Val Thomas-Matson, Healthy King County Coalition; Carolyn Conner, Nutrition First

Contact: (360) 878-2543; vic@wahealthykidscoalition.org; www.wahealthykidscoalition.org

Written by
Jeff Philipps, Spokane civic leader, President of Rosauers Supermarkets; April Clayton, Farmer, Chelan/Douglas County Farm Bureau Vice President; Haddia Abbas Nazer, Yakima small businesswoman, Central Washington Hispanic Chamber President; Carl Livingston, Seattle community activist, lawyer, professor, and Pastor; Heidi Piper Schultz, Vancouver small businesswoman, Corwin Beverage Company Board President; Larry Brown, Auburn City Councilman, Aerospace Machinists 751 Legislative Director

Contact: (425) 214-2030; info@yestoaffordablegroceries.com; yestoaffordablegroceries.com
Initiative Measure No. 1639 concerns firearms.

This measure would require increased background checks, training, age limitations, and waiting periods for sales or delivery of semiautomatic assault rifles; criminalize noncompliant storage upon unauthorized use; allow fees; and enact other provisions.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

[ ] Yes
[ ] No
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The Secretary of State is not responsible for the content of statements or arguments (WAC 434-381-180).
The Law as it Presently Exists

Washington law requires background checks for the sale or transfer of firearms, with exceptions. This background check requirement applies to sales and transfers of firearms through firearms dealers, at gun shows, online, and between unlicensed private individuals. This requirement applies to most sales of firearms, as well as gifts or loans of firearms. The background check includes checking with federal and state agencies for criminal convictions, pending criminal charges or warrants, and certain mental health records.

A sale or transfer of a firearm cannot take place if the background check shows that the buyer or recipient is legally ineligible to possess it. The sale or transfer of a firearm may be completed if the result of a background check is not received within 10 business days. That 10 day period is extended to 60 days if the buyer or recipient does not have a valid permanent Washington driver's license or state identification card, or has not lived in Washington for at least 90 days. It is a felony to deliver a firearm to any person reasonably believed to be prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm.

The delivery of a pistol may be restricted based on an outstanding warrant for a buyer's arrest or certain other charges or proceedings that might be pending against the buyer. Certain recordkeeping requirements apply to the sale of a pistol that do not apply to other types of firearms. A licensed firearm dealer must report to the state the buyer's name, address, and other information. The state maintains records of the sales of pistols. The state does not maintain records of other transfers or a registry of firearms. State law requires that an application for the purchase of a pistol contain a warning about the possibility of criminal prosecution for the illegal possession of firearms, and that state and federal laws regarding possession of firearms differ.

State law makes it illegal to possess some kinds of firearms. These include machine guns, short-barreled shotguns, and short-barreled rifles. Machine guns include firearms that do not require a separate trigger pull for each shot, and can store ammunition in a separable device such as a clip that can fire at the rate of five or more shots per second. There are exceptions to this prohibition.

State law prohibits certain people from possessing firearms. A person convicted of certain crimes or found not guilty by reason of insanity is ineligible to possess a firearm. The entry of a civil commitment order based on mental health also makes a person ineligible to possess a firearm.

The entry of restraining orders for harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner or child may make a person ineligible to possess a firearm under some circumstances. Firearm rights can be restored under some circumstances.

People between the ages of 18 and 21 are generally allowed to possess a pistol only in their residence, their place of business, or property under their control. A person under age 18 is generally prohibited from possessing a firearm. State law allows a person under age 18 to possess a firearm only under limited circumstances. These exceptions include, among others: while attending a firearms safety course, while practicing or target shooting at an approved range, while competing in an organized competition, while hunting with a valid hunting license, or in certain instances with parental permission.

Residents of other states may purchase rifles and shotguns in Washington if they are eligible to possess such weapons under federal law and the laws of both Washington and the state in which they reside. Nonresidents are subject to the same background check requirements that apply to Washington residents.

State law does not currently require firearms safety training to possess a firearm. Hunter safety training may be required to obtain a hunting license. State law does not specifically regulate firearms storage.

The Effect of the Proposed Measure if Approved

This measure would change state laws regarding firearms. Some of these changes would relate only to semiautomatic assault rifles, as defined. Other changes would apply to other types of firearms as well.

The initiative defines a “semiautomatic assault rifle” to mean:

- any rifle which utilizes a portion of the energy of a firing cartridge to extract the fired cartridge case and chamber the next round, and which requires a separate pull of the trigger to fire each cartridge.

The initiative defines semiautomatic assault rifles not to include antique firearms, permanently inoperable firearms, or any firearm that is manually operated by bolt, pump, lever, or slide action.

This initiative would add new requirements for the purchase of a semiautomatic assault rifle. Buyers would be required to provide proof that they have completed a recognized firearm safety training program within the past five years. That training program must include instruction on:

- Basic firearms safety rules;
- Firearms and children, including secure gun storage and talking to children about gun safety;
• Firearms and suicide prevention;
• Secure gun storage to prevent unauthorized access and use;
• Safe handling of firearms; and
• State and federal firearms laws, including prohibited firearms transfers.

This initiative would make it illegal for a person under 21 years of age to buy a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle. It would make it illegal for any person to sell or transfer a semiautomatic assault rifle to a person under age 21. The initiative would prohibit a person between the ages of 18 and 21 from possessing a semiautomatic assault rifle except in the person's residence, fixed place of business, on real property under his or her control, or for other specified purposes.

The initiative would require a dealer to wait at least 10 days before delivering a semiautomatic assault rifle to a buyer. It would also prohibit anyone who is not a resident of Washington from buying a semiautomatic assault rifle in Washington.

The initiative would change some laws that currently apply only to pistols and apply them to both pistols and semiautomatic assault rifles. These include restrictions on delivery when a buyer has an outstanding warrant for his or her arrest. This would also be true for situations in which certain charges or proceedings are pending. Background check and record keeping requirements that currently apply only to the purchase of pistols would also apply to the purchase of semiautomatic assault rifles. The same requirements for collecting and maintaining information on purchases of pistols would apply to purchases of semiautomatic assault rifles.

The initiative would require a new warning on application forms for the purchase of a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle. This new warning would read:

CAUTION: The presence of a firearm in the home has been associated with an increased risk of suicide, death during domestic violence incidents, and unintentional deaths to children and others.

The initiative would allow the state to impose a fee of up to $25 on each purchaser of a semiautomatic assault rifle. This fee would be used to offset certain costs of implementing the initiative. The fee would be adjusted for inflation.

The initiative would create new criminal offenses for the unsafe storage of a firearm if a person who cannot legally possess a firearm gets it and uses it in specified ways. These crimes would apply to a person who stores or leaves a firearm in a place where the person knows, or reasonably should know, that a prohibited person may gain access to the firearm. Failure to securely store a firearm would only be a crime if certain other events happen. A person who fails to securely store a firearm would be guilty of a felony if a person who is legally ineligible to possess a firearm uses it to cause personal injury or death. A person who fails to securely store a firearm would be guilty of a gross misdemeanor if a person who is legally ineligible to possess a firearm discharges it, uses it in a way that shows intent to intimidate someone or that warrants alarm for the safety of others, or uses the firearm in the commission of a crime.

The initiative would not mandate how or where a firearm must be stored. But it would provide that the crimes regarding unsafe storage would sometimes not apply. Those crimes would not apply if the firearm was in secure gun storage, meaning a locked box, gun safe, or other locked storage space that is designed to prevent unauthorized use or discharge of a firearm. The crimes also would not apply if the firearm was secured with a trigger lock or similar device that is designed to prevent the unauthorized use or discharge of the firearm. The crimes would not apply if the person who gets the firearm is ineligible to possess it because of age but the access is with parental permission and under adult supervision. The crimes would not apply in cases of self-defense. Finally, the crimes would not apply if the person who is ineligible to possess a firearm obtains it through unlawful entry, if the unauthorized access or theft is reported to law enforcement within five days of the time the victim knew or should have known that the firearm had been taken.

The initiative would require every firearm dealer to offer to sell or give the purchaser or transferee of any firearm a secure gun storage device or trigger lock. It would also require every store, shop, or sales outlet where firearms are sold to post a warning sign advising buyers that they may face criminal prosecution if they store or leave an unsecured firearm where a person prohibited from possessing the firearm can get it. A similar written warning must be delivered to firearm buyers and transferees. Violation of these requirements would be a civil infraction.

Finally, the initiative would require the development of a cost-effective and efficient process to verify that people who have acquired pistols or semiautomatic assault rifles remain eligible to possess a firearm under state and federal law. This process would provide for notice to local chiefs of police and sheriffs to take steps to ensure that persons legally ineligible to possess firearms are not illegally in possession of firearms.
Fiscal Impact Statement
Written by the Office of Financial Management
For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY
Initiative 1639 changes state laws regarding firearms. It allows the state to collect a fee up to $25 for certain rifle sales and transfers; however, the number of these rifle sales and transfers isn’t available. The initiative creates new criminal offenses for unsafe storage of a firearm. The state and local costs related to these criminal offenses cannot be determined as there is no data to estimate the number of cases filed or persons convicted each year. The cost for the annual verification cannot be determined as the process has not been developed. Therefore, the fiscal impacts cannot be determined.

General Assumptions
- The effective date of the initiative is July 1, 2019, except Section 13, which takes effect Jan. 1, 2019.
- The provisions of the initiative apply prospectively, not retroactively.
- No data is available on the number of semiautomatic rifles bought or transferred each year in Washington. Federal law prohibits the tracking of gun purchases (U.S.C. Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 44, Sec. 926).
- Fiscal estimates use the state’s fiscal year of July 1 through June 30. Fiscal year 2019 is July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019.

REVENUE
State Revenue
The Department of Licensing (DOL) would be authorized to charge a fee of up to $25 for each semiautomatic assault rifle (SAR) sale or transfer. (The initiative includes a new definition for SAR.) The fee would be adjusted for inflation. The initiative specifies the distribution of this revenue to state agencies and local law enforcement agencies for record keeping and other related costs they incur. Because data is not available to provide an estimate on the number of SARs purchased, no estimate of state revenue is available. However, the state does have data on the number of background checks conducted for concealed pistol licenses (CPLs) and sales of handguns and long guns (which would include SARs and other long guns). An average of 560,000 such background checks were conducted each year between 2013 and 2017.

Subtracting the number of mental health background checks for CPLs and handguns from the number of criminal checks for CPLs, hand guns and long guns yields an average estimate of 260,000 long gun criminal checks per year. The state does not have data to determine what percentage of the total long gun checks would meet the definition of SAR under the initiative.

EXPENDITURES
State Government Expenditures
Annual verification of eligibility to possess a firearm
The initiative would allow, but would not require, DOL, Washington State Patrol and other state and local law enforcement agencies to form a temporary group to advise on how to set up an efficient, cost-effective process for annual verification of eligibility to possess a firearm. Whether such a group is formed, and what expenses it may incur, are unknown and indeterminate. However, DOL has conducted similar work group activities that cost $15,000.

The initiative does not define the verification process, and DOL has not yet identified a likely option or set of options for annual verifications. Therefore potential costs to state and local governments are indeterminate.

Mental health background checks
The initiative would require mental health background checks for someone to purchase a SAR. Although data is not available to estimate the number of additional mental health background checks that would need to be performed, more work is likely for the Health Care Authority. One or more additional background check specialists could be hired at an annual cost of $83,000 each.

Unsafe storage of a firearm crime
The initiative would create a new class C felony of Community Endangerment Due to Unsafe Storage of a Firearm in the First Degree. It would be punishable by 0–12 months in county jail (see local expenditure impacts). The number of potential prosecutions and convictions of this new crime is unknown.

If an aggravated exceptional sentence were imposed, a sentence exceeding 12 months would result and be served at a state prison. The average cost of a state prison bed is $101 per day.

There would be an indeterminate fiscal impact due to additional filings or trial court proceedings to the Administrative Office of the Courts as a result of any new misdemeanor and/or felony charges.

Dealers registered with DOL would be required to post
warning signs and provide a written warning to a purchaser about secure gun storage. DOL would incur minimal costs to print and mail the warning signs to dealers.

**Record keeping**
The initiative would require the Department of Licensing to keep records of CPL and SAR applications and transfers. The department already tracks CPL applications and transfers. The addition of SARs to record keeping, as required by the initiative, would increase the data input workload to its firearms database. (While online submission is available, DOL receives 60 percent of applications by mail, in paper form, from dealers and private gun sales.) The department would also incur costs to update forms and upgrade computer systems to add SAR records to its firearms database. DOL would experience rule-making, information services and administrative costs to implement this initiative. One-time costs would be at least $1.1 million and $500,000 annually thereafter. Additional staffing costs could be incurred, depending on the number of SAR records the agency processes.

**Local Government Expenditures**
**Annual verification of eligibility to possess a firearm**
If a person is found ineligible to possess a pistol or SAR, the Department of Licensing is required to notify a chief of police or sheriff, who then takes steps to ensure that the person does not illegally possess one. Associated costs are indeterminate.

**Unsafe storage of a firearm crime**
The initiative would create a new class C felony (Community Endangerment Due to Unsafe Storage of a Firearm in the First Degree). As an unranked Class C felony offense, it is punishable by a standard range term of confinement of 0–12 months in jail.

It also would create a new gross misdemeanor (Community Endangerment Due to Unsafe Storage of a Firearm in the Second Degree). As a gross misdemeanor offense, it is punishable by a standard range term of confinement of 0–364 days in jail.

Average costs to prosecute and defend a comparable felony are $2,260 and, for a comparable misdemeanor, approximately $1,700.

Sentences of less than one year in length are typically served in county jails. The average cost of a county jail bed is $106 per day.

According to local governments, it is unknown how many people may be charged, tried or convicted. Costs are indeterminate for city and county law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, indigent defense attorneys and county jails.
Argument for
Yes on I-1639: For Safer Schools and Communities
Five of the last six school shooters used an assault weapon; 80% of school shooters obtained guns from their own home or that of a relative or friend. Over 187,000 students have experienced school gun violence since 1999. Deadly shootings, including Parkland, Las Vegas, Orlando, and even Mukilteo, involved assault weapons. Enough is enough. We need to get serious about keeping firearms, especially assault weapons, out of the wrong hands.

Assault Weapons are Made to Kill
Assault weapons are not designed for hunting or protecting families from danger; they are military-grade weapons designed to kill large numbers of people. These weapons belong in the hands of trained experts, not people who might harm others.

Commonsense Reforms
In the U.S. military, soldiers are not allowed to handle firearms without training. Yet, anyone in Washington can buy military-grade weapons without training or additional screening. This measure prevents anyone under the age of 21 from purchasing a semi-automatic assault rifle. It requires additional background checks and mandatory training so people who buy these weapons use them safely. I-1639 requires securing these and other deadly weapons, reducing how easily kids and prohibited users can access them.

We Must Act to Reduce Gun Violence
No law will stop every person intent on committing violence, but we must do something. Reducing access to assault weapons and ensuring those who do own assault weapons have safety training is a commonsense reform we urgently need.

Rebuttal of argument against
The gun lobby has a long track record of trying to convince Washingtonians there’s nothing we can do to stop the plague of gun violence. They are wrong. This common sense measure requires the same standards for purchasing semi-automatic assault rifles that are already required for handguns. It will not affect law-abiding, responsible gun owners, rather, it will establish common sense safeguards to help prevent dangerous, unlawful access to firearms.

Written by
Paul Kramer, Survivor, Mukilteo shooting; Ola Jackson, Student, Rainier Beach High School; Chris Reykdal, Washington Superintendent of Public Instruction; Regina Malveaux, Member, Washington State Women’s Commission, CEO YWCA Spokane; Mitzi Johanknecht, King County Sheriff; Matt Vadnal, Mill Creek resident, Colonel United States Army Reserve
Contact: (206) 718-3529; info@yeson1639.org; yeson1639.org

Argument against
I-1639 Removes Rights from Law-Abiding Adults
Washington’s law-abiding adults aged 18-20 are responsible enough to vote, purchase a home, and serve in our military. Yet I-1639’s proponents want you to believe these same adults cannot be trusted to defend themselves or their families and are attempting to use the crimes of a few as a justification to curtail the rights of hundreds of thousands of Washingtonians.

I-1639 Makes Firearms Unavailable for Self-Defense
I-1639 would require gun owners to lock up their firearms or face criminal charges. This strict mandate renders firearms useless in self-defense situations by requiring them to be locked up. The United States Supreme Court invalidated a similar law as a violation of the Second Amendment, but I-1639’s proponents are nonetheless seeking to create this unconstitutional requirement in Washington.

I-1639’s Misguided Approach Will Not Impact Crime
Handguns- not rifles- are used in the majority of crimes committed with a firearm in Washington. Targeting rifle ownership will only restrict law-abiding adults from accessing them for self-defense, home protection, and hunting.

I-1639 is Another Extreme Seattle Agenda that Fails to Improve Safety
I-1639 is bankrolled by a handful of Seattle billionaires that are more concerned with pushing failed California-style gun control than finding real solutions to make our schools and communities safe. This 33-page initiative requires firearm registration, waiting periods, mandatory government training, firearm storage requirements, purchase tax, and more- none of which will stop criminals or protect our Washington schools.

Rebuttal of argument for
I-1639 is not about “assault weapons”. I-1639 targets all semi-automatic rifles, including hunting rifles and target shooting rifles. These are not fully automatic military grade weapons- these are commonly owned rifles used for self-defense, home protection and hunting. I-1639 places Washingtonians at risk by restricting access to firearms for lawful self-defense, while doing nothing to increase security in schools or target violent criminals. Don’t let I-1639 leave Washingtonians defenseless. Vote No.

Written by
Brad Klippert, Deputy Sheriff, State Representative, Public Safety Committee; Jane Milhans, Home Invasion Survivor, Women’s Self-Defense Trainer; Keely Hopkins, State Director, National Rifle Association; Alan Gottlieb, Founder, Second Amendment Foundation; Robin Ball, “Refuse to Be a Victim” Instructor, Spokane Region; Brian Blake, State Representative, Democrat, 19th Legislative District
Contact: www.VoteNo1639.org
Initiative Measure No. 940 concerns law enforcement. This measure would require law enforcement to receive violence de-escalation, mental-health, and first-aid training, and provide first-aid; and change standards for use of deadly force, adding a "good faith" standard and independent investigation.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

[  ] Yes
[  ] No
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The Secretary of State is not responsible for the content of statements or arguments (WAC 434-381-180).
**Explanatory Statement**
Written by the Office of the Attorney General

**The Law as it Presently Exists**
State law sets forth when peace officers may use deadly force in carrying out their duties. Peace officers include active police officers, Washington State Patrol officers, and Department of Fish and Wildlife officers with enforcement powers. Under existing law, a peace officer is not criminally liable for using deadly force if the officer acts without malice and with a good faith belief that deadly force is justifiable. The law recognizes certain circumstances where deadly force could be justifiable. For example, it might be justifiable if the force is necessary to overcome resistance. In addition, it might be justifiable if the peace officer believes deadly force is necessary to arrest a suspect who the officer reasonably believes has committed a felony; to prevent escape or recapture an escapee from prison or jail; or to suppress a riot involving a deadly weapon. In the situation where a peace officer uses deadly force to arrest a suspect who may have committed a felony, the officer must have probable cause to believe the suspect poses a threat of serious physical harm if not arrested. Evidence that the suspect poses such a threat could include that the suspect has threatened an officer with a weapon, or that there is probable cause to believe the suspect has committed a crime involving threatened or actual serious physical harm. In such cases, deadly force may also be used if necessary to prevent the suspect's escape after a warning has been issued, if possible.

State law also provides for establishment of a Criminal Justice Training Commission (the Commission) to provide programs and set standards for training law enforcement personnel. Every new full-time law enforcement officer must take eight hours of crisis intervention training during their six months at the basic training academy, but there is no requirement that the Commission provide or that officers take any training specifically dealing with violence de-escalation. And while the Commission must develop and make mental health trainings available to law enforcement officers, state law does not require that officers take these trainings.

Existing state law does not contain any provision regarding a law enforcement officer's duty to render or facilitate first aid.

**The Effect of the Proposed Measure if Approved**
This measure addresses three aspects of law enforcement. First, it addresses when law enforcement officers may use deadly force. Second, it requires de-escalation and mental health training for officers. Third, it requires officers to provide first aid in certain circumstances.

In general, the new measure applies to “law enforcement officers,” which includes “law enforcement personnel” and “peace officers.” So, like existing law, it applies to active police officers, Washington State Patrol officers, and Department of Fish and Wildlife officers with enforcement powers. But it also applies to reserve officers and volunteers, or any other public employees whose primary function is enforcement of criminal laws.

The measure would change the standard for when a law enforcement officer may justifiably use deadly force. It would adopt a “good faith” standard that permits a law enforcement officer to use deadly force only if: (1) a reasonable law enforcement officer, in light of all the facts and circumstances known to the officer at the time, would have believed that deadly force was necessary to prevent death or serious physical harm to the officer or another person; and (2) the particular officer intended to use deadly force for a lawful purpose and sincerely and in good faith believed that the use of deadly force was warranted under the circumstances. In other words, to determine if the officer acted in “good faith,” the new law would examine not only what a particular officer's intentions were, but also what a reasonable officer would have done under the circumstances. The “good faith” test would apply in the specific situations listed under existing law as justifiable uses of deadly force (such as to prevent escape from a prison), but also would determine whether an officer's use of deadly force is justifiable in any other potential situation that might arise. An officer who uses deadly force would not be criminally liable only if he or she meets the good faith test.

To help determine whether the good faith test is met, the measure would require an independent investigation any time an officer's use of deadly force results in death or substantial or great bodily harm. The investigation would be done by someone other than the agency whose officer was involved in the use of deadly force. If deadly force is used on a tribal member, the investigation must include consultation with the member's tribe and any appropriate information sharing.

The second change is that beginning in 2019, the measure would require all law enforcement officers in the state to take violence de-escalation and mental health trainings developed by the Criminal Justice Training Commission. All existing law enforcement officers would be required to take both trainings by a date to be set by the Commission, and all new officers would need to take both trainings within fifteen months of starting employment. The initial violence de-escalation training must educate officers on the good faith standard for use of deadly force. In addition to the initial trainings, all law enforcement officers would be required to periodically take continuing violence de-escalation and mental health trainings to practice their
skills, update their knowledge and training, and learn about new legal requirements.

The Commission would be required to consult with law enforcement agencies and community stakeholders to come up with a curriculum for the violence de-escalation and mental health trainings, and to set specific training requirements—for example, how many hours the trainings will be and how officers will receive the trainings. In addition, the Commission would set a requirement that officers take the trainings to maintain their certification. The Commission would be required to consider a number of specific subjects to include in the curriculum, including: patrol tactics to avoid escalating situations that lead to violence; alternatives to jail booking, arrests, or citations; implicit and explicit bias, cultural competency, and the historical intersection of race and policing; de-escalation techniques for dealing with people with disabilities and/or behavioral health issues; "shoot/don’t shoot" scenario training; alternatives to the use of physical or deadly force so that such force is only used as a last resort; mental health and policing; and using public service, including rendering first aid, to provide more opportunities for positive interactions with the community. For the mental health trainings, the Commission would be allowed to use the existing curriculum it currently offers on mental health and crisis intervention.

The third change is that the measure would require law enforcement personnel to provide first-aid to save lives, and require the Commission to consult with law enforcement agencies to adopt guidelines for implementing this duty. The guidelines must establish first aid training requirements; assist agencies and law enforcement officers in balancing competing public health and safety duties; and establish that law enforcement officers have a paramount duty to preserve the life of persons they come into contact with, including providing or facilitating first aid as early as possible.

The Commission may adopt any rules required to carry out the objectives of the measure, and if it does adopt rules it must seek input from the Attorney General, law enforcement agencies, tribes, and community stakeholders.

Fiscal Impact Statement
Written by the Office of Financial Management
For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

FISCAL IMPACT
Initiative 940 requires all law enforcement officers in the state to receive violence de-escalation and mental health training, as developed by the Criminal Justice Training Commission. There will be costs for the state to develop the training and costs for state and local government certified peace officers to take the training. The fiscal impacts cannot be determined because the training has not been developed at this time.

GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS
• The effective date of the initiative is Dec. 6, 2018.
• The provisions of the initiative apply prospectively, not retroactively.
• Estimates use the state’s fiscal year of July 1 through June 30. Fiscal year 2019 is July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019.

REVENUE
State revenue impacts
This initiative will have an indeterminate state revenue impact. While the entity providing the training may charge a reasonable fee, the initiative does not specify whether local governments or the state should pay for the training. Although the Criminal Justice Training Commission may charge a fee if it provides the training, the fee has not been determined.

Local revenue impacts
Local governments may charge a fee for providing the training, which cannot be estimated at this time.

EXPENDITURES
State government expenditures
The initiative would have an indeterminate state expenditure impact. The Criminal Justice Training Commission would consult with law enforcement agencies and community stakeholders to adopt rules for carrying out the initiative’s training requirements. The Commission estimates each law enforcement officer would require at least 40 hours of additional training to meet the requirements. The stakeholder advisory group may recommend more hours of training, but for the purposes of this analysis, 40 hours of initial training and two hours of refresher training each year thereafter are assumed. According to the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs’ publication 2017 Full Time Law Enforcement Employees Data, the Commission may have to train more than 10,000 law enforcement officers. This number includes state and local certified peace officers, but excludes tribal police officers.
The initiative allows the Commission, private parties or law enforcement agencies to provide training. The cost of the training is indeterminate because it is unknown who would provide the training; however, the expenditure impacts above assume the Commission would provide the initial training and refresher training spread out over multiple years to all current certified peace officers.

To meet training requirements, the Commission would need to hire a curriculum developer for the initial training and the refresher training. It would also require a program manager, administrative support staff, special skills instructors, firearm simulators, facility costs and other equipment. Ongoing annual costs for the initial training and the two-hour refresher training would be the same as the first year, but would include online training. The Commission assumes providing initial training to more than 1,300 officers a year. The Commission estimates the first-year costs at $1.26 million and ongoing annual costs at $900,000.

Costs for taking training

The initiative would have an indeterminate state expenditure impact for those agencies with state certified peace officers taking the training. However, if the Commission were to require an additional 40 hours of training for each state certified peace officer, the expenditure amount could be $2 million. Annual impacts for the two-hour refresher training could impact state agencies that employ commissioned certified peace officers, up to $107,000. The expenditure impacts are based on the following assumptions:

- The costs above reflect the backfill or overtime pay to officers who attend training; they don’t account for the actual cost of training.
- The state employed 1,585 certified peace officers in 2017.
- The average hourly salary for certified peace officers is $33.61.
- The subsequent fiscal year assumptions don’t include training costs for new hires because it is unknown how many state certified peace officers will be hired by the affected state agencies and when they may start training.

All certified peace officers, as required in the Washington Administrative Code 139-05-300, must receive continuing education and training that includes crisis intervention training. The current training may partially meet the Commission’s requirements, which could reduce the expenditure impacts to local governments. If the Commission conducts the estimated 40 hours of initial and the two-hour refresher training, the annual costs for training could be $900,000 a fiscal year. These costs are already reflected in the Commission’s expenditure impact above.

**Local government expenditures**

The initiative would have an indeterminate local expenditure impact. If, for example, the Commission were to require an additional 40 hours of training for each certified peace officer, the cost for training could have an expenditure impact of more than $12 million. Refresher training, as required by the Commission, may take two hours and could cost local governments $605,000 per year. This expenditure impact assumes all certified peace officers would be trained in one year. Depending on who conducts the training and how long it takes to complete the training, the $12 million could be spread over multiple years.

The local government expenditure impact is also based on the following:

- The cost assumptions above reflect the backfill or overtime pay to officers who attend training; they don’t account for the cost of training.
- Local police departments employed more than 9,000 certified peace officers in 2017.
- The average hourly salary for certified peace officers is $33.61.
- The subsequent fiscal year assumptions don’t include training costs for any new hires because it is unknown how many peace officers would be hired by local law enforcement agencies and when they may start training.

All certified peace officers, as required in the Washington Administrative Code 139-05-300, must receive continuing education and training that includes crisis intervention training. The current training may partially meet the Commission’s requirements, which could reduce the expenditure impacts to local governments. If the Commission conducts the estimated 40 hours of initial and the two-hour refresher training, the annual costs for training could be $900,000 a fiscal year. These costs are already reflected in the Commission’s expenditure impact above.
Argument for
Washington ranks fifth in the nation in number of deaths from police use of force. The loss of life is devastating for families and officers. Our state law makes it virtually impossible to prosecute an officer. I-940 creates a fair process to determine if an officer acted reasonably, uses a good faith standard in place in twenty-seven states, and requires independent investigations so police do not investigate themselves, which will build trust.

I-940 will save lives.
940 mandates de-escalation and mental health training and requires first aid at the scene. This is common sense. The focus on prevention will help save lives.

I-940 protects people experiencing mental health crises.
Up to a third of those killed by police in Washington State have signs of mental illness. I-940 improves mental health training so officers can handle difficult situations and keep people with mental illness safe.

I-940 acknowledges the tensions driven by racial and economic differences.
People with disabilities, people of color, youth, Native Americans, LGBTQ+, and people in poverty are sometimes misunderstood in a crisis. I-940 provides modern training to help officers communicate with people from all walks of life, to better understand the people they serve, making everyone safer.

I-940 is supported by both community organizations and law enforcement leaders.
The training in I-940 is effective in police departments across the country, and is why local law enforcement leaders as well as OneAmerica, Children’s Alliance, Equal Rights Washington, Moms Rising, ACLU, and the League of Women Voters support I-940.

Rebuttal of argument against
Since 1986, state law has shielded officers who unnecessarily kill people by requiring proof of “malice,” or evil intent, a subjective standard virtually impossible to prove. Washington is the only state with this standard. Since 2005, police have killed over 300 Washingtonians, up to a third showing signs of mental illness. Only one officer was charged, and acquitted. Washington’s families deserve an objective standard, independent investigations, and better training—improvements that will increase community safety.

Written by
Lisa Earl, mother of Jackie Salyers, Puyallup Tribe member; Katrina Johnson, cousin of Charleena Lyles; Mitzi Johanns, King County Sheriff; Larry Sanchez, Retired Grant County Deputy Sheriff; Lauren Simonds, Washington National Alliance on Mental Illness; Mark Stroh, Executive Director Disability Rights Washington
Contact: (360) 453-7898; info@de-escalatewa.org; https://www.deescalatewa.org/

Argument against
Public Safety Opposes I-940
Vote no
I-940 is a complex proposal that will create confusion and could compromise public safety.
Washington’s first responders fundamentally believe that portions of I-940 are bad public policy, costly to implement, fail to provide funding or resources to improve training, will erode public safety, and will not reduce violent interactions between members of the public and law enforcement. I-940 pits the public against law enforcement. I-940 divides rather than unites.
Washington’s peace officers are well trained and sensitive to the needs of the community. During the 2018 Legislative session an historic collaboration between the authors and supporters of I-940 and law enforcement resulted in a comprehensive effort to review and reform some areas addressed in the initiative. A continuation of that effort needs to occur.
Initiative 940, as written, would force police officers to hesitate in performing their responsibilities putting the public and officers’ lives at risk. Please vote no on I-940 now and allow the 2019 Legislature to pass the comprehensive changes that address every component of the necessary reforms. These reforms must include adequate financial funding, community input, and legislative review to insure all concerns are fully addressed. I-940 falls far short in achieving these goals.
Please join all law enforcement in voting “no” on I-940.

Rebuttal of argument for
Law enforcement is unified in its belief that I-940 is bad public policy that will be costly to implement, will fail to provide funding or resources to improve training, will erode public safety, and will not reduce violent interactions between the public and law enforcement. I-940 divides more than it unites. For these reasons, law enforcement stands in opposition to I-940. We ask you to join us and vote no.

Written by
Mike Solan, Council of Metropolitan Police and Sheriffs; Teresa Taylor, Washington Council of Police & Sheriffs; James Schrimpsher, Washington Fraternal Order of Police;; Jeff Merrill, Washington State Patrol Troopers Association; Mike Padden, State Senator (R), Spokane Valley
Contact: 206-247-8889; http://coalitionforasaferwashington.com/
What’s an advisory vote?
Advisory votes are non-binding. The results will not change the law.

Repeal or maintain?
You are advising the Legislature to repeal or maintain a tax increase.
Repeal - you don’t favor the tax increase.
Maintain - you favor the tax increase.

Want more info?
Contact your legislator. Their contact information is on the following pages.

View the complete text of the bill at www.vote.wa.gov/completetext.
View additional cost information at www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot.

Advisory votes are the result of Initiative 960, approved by voters in 2007.
Advisory Vote No.

19

Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill 6269

The legislature expanded, without a vote of the people, the oil spill response and administration taxes to crude oil or petroleum products received by pipeline, costing $13,000,000 over ten years for government spending.

This tax increase should be:

[ ] Repealed
[ ] Maintained

Ten-Year Projection

Provided by the Office of Financial Management
For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Oil Spill Administration Tax</th>
<th>Oil Spill Response Tax</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$224,000</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>$1,372,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,344,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>$1,372,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td>308,000</td>
<td>$1,652,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td>336,000</td>
<td>$1,680,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>$1,372,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,344,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,344,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>1,344,000</td>
<td>308,000</td>
<td>$1,652,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: $12,320,000 $1,064,000 $13,384,000

Final Votes Cast by the Legislature

Senate: Yeas, 42; Nays, 7; Absent, 0; Excused, 0
House: Yeas, 62; Nays, 35; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Initiative 960, approved by voters in 2007, requires a list of every Legislator, their party preference, hometown, contact information, and how they voted on each bill resulting in an Advisory Vote.
Advisory Votes

District 9
Sen. Mark Schoesler
(R, Ritzville), (360) 786-7620
mark.schoesler@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Mary Dye
(R, Pomeroy), (360) 786-7942
mary.dye@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Joe Schmick
(R, Colfax), (360) 786-7844
joe.schmick@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

District 10
Sen. Barbara Bailey
(R, Oak Harbor), (360) 786-7618
barbara.bailey@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Norma Smith
(R, Clinton), (360) 786-7884
norma.smith@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Dave Hayes
(R, Camano Island), (360) 786-7914
dave.hayes@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 11
Sen. Bob Hasegawa
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7616
bob.hasegawa@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Zack Hudgins
(D, Tukwila), (360) 786-7956
zack.hudgins@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Steve Bergquist
(D, Renton), (360) 786-7862
steve.bergquist@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 12
Sen. Brad Hawkins
(R, East Wenatchee), (360) 786-7622
brad.hawkins@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Cary Condonetta
(R, Wenatchee), (360) 786-7954
cary.condonetta@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Mike Steele
(R, Chelan), (360) 786-7832
mike.steele@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

District 13
Sen. Judy Warnick
(R, Moses Lake), (360) 786-7624
judy.warnick@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Tom Dent
(R, Moses Lake), (360) 786-7932
tom.dent@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Matt Manweller
(R, Ellensburg), (360) 786-7808
matt.manweller@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Excused

District 14
Sen. Curtis King
(R, Yakima), (360) 786-7626
curtis.king@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Norm Johnson
(R, Yakima), (360) 786-7810
norm.johnson@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Gina Mosbrucker
(R, Goldendale), (360) 786-7856
GINA.MOSBRUCKER@LEG.WA.GOV
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 15
Sen. Jim Honeyford
(R, Sunnyside), (360) 786-7684
jim.honeyford@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Bruce Chandler
(R, Granger), (360) 786-7960
bruce.chandler@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. David Taylor
(R, Moses), (360) 786-7874
david.taylor@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

District 16
Sen. Maureen Walsh
(R, College Place), (360) 786-7630
maureen.walsh@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Bill Jenkin
(R, Prosser), (360) 786-7836
bill.jenkin@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Terry Nealey
(R, Dayton), (360) 786-7828
terry.nealey@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

District 17
Sen. Lynda Wilson
(R, Vancouver), (360) 786-7832
lynda.wilson@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Vicki Kraft
(R, Vancouver), (360) 786-7994
vicki.kraft@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Paul Harris
(R, Vancouver), (360) 786-7976
paul.harris@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 18
Sen. Ann Rivers
(R, La Center), (360) 786-7634
ann.rivers@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Brandon Vick
(R, Vancouver), (360) 786-7850
brandon.vick@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Liz Pike
(R, Camas), (360) 786-7812
liz.pike@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 19
Sen. Dean Takko
(D, Longview), (360) 786-7636
dean.takko@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Jim Walsh
(R, Aberdeen), (360) 786-7806
jim.walsh@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Brian Blake
(D, Aberdeen), (360) 786-7870
brian.blake@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 20
Sen. John Braun
(R, Centralia), (360) 786-7638
john.braun@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Richard DeBolt
(R, Chehalis), (360) 786-7906
richard.debolt@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Ed Orcutt
(R, Kalama), (360) 786-7990
ed.orcutt@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

District 21
Sen. Marko Liias
(D, Everett), (360) 786-7640
marko.liias@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Strom Peterson
(D, Edmonds), (360) 786-7950
strom.peterson@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Lillian Ortiz-Self
(D, Mukilteo), (360) 786-7972
lillian.ortiz-self@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 22
Sen. Sam Hunt
(D, Olympia), (360) 786-7642
sam.hunt@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Laurie Dolan
(D, Olympia), (360) 786-7940
laurie.dolan@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Beth Doglio
(D, Olympia), (360) 786-7992
beth.doglio@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 23
Sen. Christine Rolfes
(D, Bainbridge Island), (360) 786-7644
christine.rolfes@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Sherry Appleton
(D, Poulsbo), (360) 786-7934
sherry.appleton@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Drew Hansen
(D, Bainbridge Island), (360) 786-7842
drew.hansen@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 24
Sen. Kevin Van De Wege
(D, Sequim), (360) 786-7646
kevin.vandevege@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Mike Chapman
(D, Port Angeles), (360) 786-7916
mike.chapman@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Steve Tharinger
(D, Sequim), (360) 786-7904
steve.tharinger@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Don’t know which legislative district you live in?
Call the legislative hotline at (800) 562-6000 or visit www.leg.wa.gov.
### Advisory Votes

| District | Sen. Hans Zeiger  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R, Puyallup), (360) 786-7648 <a href="mailto:hans.zeiger@leg.wa.gov">hans.zeiger@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Melanie Stambaugh**  
(R, Puyallup), (360) 786-7948 melanie.stambaugh@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

**Rep. Joyce McDonald**  
(R, Puyallup), (360) 786-7968 joyce.mcdonald@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

| District | Sen. Steve Conway  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7656 <a href="mailto:steve.conway@leg.wa.gov">steve.conway@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. David Sawyer**  
(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7906 david.sawyer@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

**Rep. Steve Kirby**  
(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7996 steve.kirby@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

| District | Sen. Karen Keiser  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D, Des Moines), (360) 786-7664 <a href="mailto:karen.keiser@leg.wa.gov">karen.keiser@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Tina Orwall**  
(D, Des Moines), (360) 786-7834 tina.orwall@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

**Rep. Mia Gregerson**  
(D, SeaTac), (360) 786-7868 mia.gregerson@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

| District | Sen. Rebecca Saldaña  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7688 <a href="mailto:rebecca.saldana@leg.wa.gov">rebecca.saldana@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Sharon Tomiko Santos**  
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7944 sharon.tomiko.santos@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

**Rep. Eric Pettigrew**  
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7838 eric.pettigrew@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

| District | Sen. Jan Angel  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R, Port Orchard), (360) 786-7650 <a href="mailto:jan.angell@leg.wa.gov">jan.angell@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Jesse Young**  
(R, Gig Harbor), (360) 786-7964 jesse.young@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

**Rep. Michelle Calder**  
(R, Port Orchard), (360) 786-7802 michelle.calder@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

| District | Sen. Mark Miloscia  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R, Federal Way), (360) 786-7658 <a href="mailto:mark.miloscia@leg.wa.gov">mark.miloscia@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Mike Pellicciotti**  
(D, Federal Way), (360) 786-7988 mike.pellicciotti@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

**Rep. Kristine Reeves**  
(D, Federal Way), (360) 786-7830 kristine.reeves@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

| District | Sen. Sharon Nelson  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D, Vashon), (360) 786-7667 <a href="mailto:sharon.nelson@leg.wa.gov">sharon.nelson@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Eileen Cody**  
(D, West Seattle), (360) 786-7978 eileen.cody@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

**Rep. Joe Fitzgibbon**  
(D, West Seattle), (360) 786-7952 joe.fitzgibbon@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

| District | Sen. Jeff Morris  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D, Mount Vernon), (360) 786-7970 <a href="mailto:jeff.morris@leg.wa.gov">jeff.morris@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Dan Griffey**  
(R, Allyn), (360) 786-7966 dan.griffey@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

**Rep. Dan Kristiansen**  
(R, Snohomish), (360) 786-7967 dan.kristiansen@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

**Rep. Carolyn Eslick**  
(R, Sultan), (360) 786-7816 carolyn.eslick@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

| District | Sen. Keith Wagoner  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(R, Sedro-Woolley), (360) 786-7676 <a href="mailto:keith.wagoner@leg.wa.gov">keith.wagoner@leg.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Dan Skilsvold**  
(R, Glacier), (360) 786-7967 dan.skilsvold@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

**Rep. Ronakar Wash**  
(R, Sedro-Woolley), (360) 786-7676 ronakar.wash@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

**Rep. Dan Robison**  
(R, Hoquiam), (360) 786-7968 dan.robison@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay  

**Rep. Jeff Morris**  
(D, Mount Vernon), (360) 786-7970 jeff.morris@leg.wa.gov  
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea  

Initiative 960, approved by voters in 2007, requires a list of every Legislator, their party preference, hometown, contact information, and how they voted on each bill resulting in an Advisory Vote.
Advisory Votes

District 41
Sen. Lisa Wellman
(D, Mercer Island), (360) 786-7641
lisa.wellman@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Tana Senn
(D, Mercer Island), (360) 786-7894
tana.senn@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Judy Clibborn
(D, Mercer Island), (360) 786-7926
judy.clibborn@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 42
Sen. Doug Ericksen
(R, Ferndale), (360) 786-7682
doug.ericksen@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Luanne Van Werven
(R, Lynden), (360) 786-7980
luanne.vanwerven@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Vincent Buys
(R, Lynden), (360) 786-7854
vincent.buys@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 43
Sen. Jamie Pedersen
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7628
jamie.pedersen@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Nicole Macri
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7826
nicole.macri@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Frank Chopp
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7920
frank.chopp@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 44
Sen. Steve Hobbs
(D, Lake Stevens), (360) 786-7686
steve.hobbs@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. John Lovick
(D, Mill Creek), (360) 786-7804
john.lovick@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Mark Harmsworth
(R, Mill Creek), (360) 786-7892
mark.harmsworth@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

District 45
Sen. Manka Dhingra
(D, Redmond), (360) 786-7672
manka.dhingra@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Roger Goodman
(D, Kirkland), (360) 786-7878
roger.goodman@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Larry Springer
(D, Kirkland), (360) 786-7822
larry.springer@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 46
Sen. David Frockt
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7690
david.frockt@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Gerry Pollet
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7886
gerry.pollet@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Javier Valdez
(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7818
javier.valdez@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 47
Sen. Joe Fain
(R, Auburn), (360) 786-7692
joe.fain@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Mark Hargrove
(R, Covington), (360) 786-7918
mark.hargrove@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Nay

Rep. Pat Sullivan
(D, Covington), (360) 786-7858
pat.sullivan@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 48
Sen. Patty Kuderer
(D, Bellevue), (360) 786-7694
patty.kuderer@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Vandana Slatter
(D, Bellevue), (360) 786-7936
vandana.slatter@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Joan McBride
(D, Kirkland), (360) 786-7848
joan.mcbride@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

District 49
Sen. Annette Cleveland
(D, Vancouver), (360) 786-7966
annette.cleveland@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Sharon Wylie
(D, Vancouver), (360) 786-7924
sharon.wylie@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Rep. Monica Jurado Stonier
(D, Vancouver), (360) 786-7872
monica.stonier@leg.wa.gov
E2SSB 6269 (AV19): Yea

Address confidentiality
for crime survivors

Keep your voting address confidential
The Address Confidentiality Program can register participants to vote without creating a public record.

To be eligible:
• you must be a survivor of domestic violence, sexual assault, trafficking or stalking, or be employed in criminal justice and a target of felony harassment on the job
• you must meet with a victim advocate who can assist with threat assessment, safety planning, and the program application
• you should have recently moved to a new location that is unknown to the offender and undocumented in public records

Call (800) 822-1065 or visit www.sos.wa.gov/acp.
Federal Qualifications & Responsibilities

Except for the President and Vice President, all federal officials elected in Washington must be registered voters of the state. Only federal offices have age requirements above and beyond being a registered voter.

Congress

The U.S. Senate and House of Representatives have equal responsibility for declaring war, maintaining the armed forces, assessing taxes, borrowing money, minting currency, regulating commerce, and making all laws and budgets necessary for the operation of government.

U.S. Senator

Senators must be at least 30 years old and citizens of the U.S. for at least nine years. Senators serve six-year terms. The Senate has 100 members; two from each state.

The Senate has several exclusive powers, including consenting to treaties, confirming federal appointments made by the President, and trying federal officials impeached by the House of Representatives.

U.S. Representative

Representatives must be at least 25 years old and citizens of the U.S. for at least seven years. Representatives are not required to be registered voters of their district, but must be registered voters of the state. Representatives serve two-year terms.

The House of Representatives has 435 members, all of whom are up for election in even-numbered years. Each state has a different number of members based on population. After the 2010 Census, Washington was given a 10th Congressional District.

Who donates to campaigns?

View financial contributors for federal candidates:

Federal Election Commission
www.fec.gov
Toll Free (800) 424-9530

Candidate statements are printed exactly as submitted. The Office of the Secretary of State does not make corrections of any kind or verify statements for truth or fact.
Maria Cantwell
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience

Other Professional Experience
Real Networks, Vice President of Marketing & Senior Vice President of the Consumer Products Division 1995-2000.

Education
First in her family to graduate college with the help of financial aid. Received B.A. in Public Administration from Miami University.

Community Service
Maria is an avid hiker and outdoorswoman who has summited Mt. Rainier, Mt. Adams, Grand Teton, Kilimanjaro, and hopes to tackle more of our nation’s highest peaks.

Statement
Maria fights to do what’s right for Washingtonians. She knows too many Washington families struggle to get by. Maria has worked to help create family-wage jobs and prepare America’s workforce for 21st century innovation. She has passed laws to help our agriculture, aviation, maritime, fishing, and bustling port economies.

Housing costs are skyrocketing. That’s why Maria successfully worked across the aisle to increase federal incentives to build more affordable housing. She fought to save the Affordable Care Act. Maria kept her promise to protect Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid. She supported new laws to ensure veterans receive the healthcare they deserve. Maria believes prescription drugs should be affordable and pharmaceutical companies should be held accountable for flooding communities with addictive painkillers and she helped secure increased funding for law enforcement and treatment.

Making the dream of college education more affordable, Maria supports increasing Pell grants, allowing students to refinance loans, and she helped pass a 21st Century GI Bill to expand educational opportunities for veterans.

Washington leads in aerospace and manufacturing trades. That’s why Maria’s bipartisan legislation creates the first federal tax incentive for apprenticeships - retraining veterans and laid-off workers at community colleges.

Maria helps grow Washington’s tech industry by fighting for Net Neutrality and cybersecurity.

First responders are heroes who need support. Maria fought for wildfire funding focusing on prevention, protecting lives, and growing rural jobs. A vibrant outdoor economy supports rural communities. Maria led the charge to stop fee hikes in our National Parks and prevented oil exploration off Washington’s coasts. She believes in state tax deductibility. Congress, like small business, needs to live within its means. Maria believes PAYGO measures fight our deficit.

Our American values are being challenged. We need to keep Maria in the other Washington fighting for our Washington values.

Contact
(206) 682-7328; maria@cantwell.com; www.cantwell.com

continue
United States Senator | 6-year term

Susan Hutchison
(Prefers Republican Party)

**Elected Experience**
Chairman, Washington State Republican Party 2013-18; Winner, 2009 County Executive Primary, Seattle Times endorsement

**Other Professional Experience**
20 years TV News Journalist KIRO(CBS)-Five Emmys; 10 years Executive Director, Simonyi Fund for Arts and Sciences

**Education**
Bachelor of Science, University of Florida; Certificate, National Security Forum, USAF Air University

**Community Service**
Seattle Colleges Advisory Board; Mayor Nickels Good Neighbor Award; Seattle Children's Hospital Foundation; Seattle Symphony Chair; King County Elections Task Force-Ron Sims appointee; Salvation Army NW Board; Governor's A+ Education Commission-Gary Locke appointee; Young Life Chair; Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars-President appointee; Smithsonian Air and Space Museum Trustee

**Statement**
Our people deserve better than an ineffective Senator seeking an undeserved 4th term. We need a Senator who truly cares about the concerns of this Washington, not the other. Unlike her, I've been in every county and corner of the state these last 5 years--and I've heard you! You are fed up with Seattle’s harmful policies which she accepts and supports--policies that jeopardize our future. You want a Senator who votes your pocketbook, not hers. You want big change now and so do I. In this election, I'm fighting for you. And I need your vote.

My ties here actually began before I was born--when my German and Norwegian immigrant grandparents settled in Tacoma. While I moved a lot as a military daughter and wife, my husband and I returned to the Puget Sound as soon as we finished Marine Corps active duty. We raised our two boys, investing time in things that matter: our kids’ teachers, schools, and teams; our work (my husband at Boeing); our church--serving UW students; and many significant community needs. We also enjoyed hiking mountains, whale watching and helping visitors pronounce Puyallup.

But all the while I was fighting for you. Against a state income tax, against reckless spending of your taxes. For children’s health, for public schools, for fair elections in King County. Unlike my opponent, I would have voted for working-family tax cuts, for our military, for the first woman to head the CIA. I will champion Washington’s farms that feed the world. I can bring home vital infrastructure dollars, which she cannot. And be assured, when President Trump is good for Washington State, I'll support him. When he’s not, I can talk to him.

I’ll be your voice. I’ll fight for you. Let’s win this together!

**Contact**
(206) 880-1820; info@susan4senate.com; www.susan4senate.com
Pramila Jayapal
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
United States Representative, 2017-current; Washington State Senator, 2015-2016

Other Professional Experience
Founder/Executive Director, OneAmerica; Director, PATH Fund for Technology Transfer; Financial Analyst; Author

Education
BA in English & Economics, Georgetown University; MBA, Northwestern University

Community Service
2013 White House Champion of Change Awardee; First Vice-Chair, Congressional Progressive Caucus; Vice-Chair, Democracy Reform Task Force; Co-Chair, United for Climate and Environmental Justice Task Force; Co-Chair, Women’s Working Group on Immigration Reform; Former Board Chair, API Chaya; Member, City of Seattle Income Inequality Advisory Committee – enacting path to $15 minimum wage

Statement
I am so proud to represent Washington’s 7th District in the United States Congress. I came to United States when I was 16 years old by myself, and I have been a resident of Seattle for nearly thirty years. As your U.S. Representative, I am focused on ensuring everyone has access to quality healthcare, making college debt-free, protecting our environment, welcoming immigrants, ensuring pay equity and reproductive rights, and ensuring everyone can retire with dignity.

During my first term, I have held more than a dozen town halls to hear from you and fight for your priorities, including more affordable housing as well as robust public transit and infrastructure. I secured the passage of $2.5 million in funding to address our opioid crisis and cosponsored legislation to create tuition-free college nationwide, Medicare for All, and transition to a 100% renewable energy future. Through diligent casework, I have helped constituents – including veterans and seniors – get back over $800,000 in federal benefits.

As Vice Ranking Member of the Budget Committee and Member of the Judiciary Committee, I will continue to stand up against attempts to divide and scapegoat, to ensure that we get money out of politics, and to build an economy of shared responsibility that takes care of the most vulnerable among us, instead of giving more tax giveaways to those who don’t need them.

Our district is rich in innovation, diversity, natural resources and compassion. I’m proud to represent you and I would be honored to have your vote.

Endorsements: King and Snohomish County Democrats, Washington State Young Democrats, Alliance for Gun Responsibility, Planned Parenthood Northwest, Washington State Labor Council, IAF 27 Seattle Firefighters, Sierra Club, 11th, 21st, 36th, 37th, 46th District Democrats and the Congressional Progressive Caucus

Contact
(541) 993-9185; pramila@pramilaforcongress.com; www.pramilaforcongress.com
Craig Keller
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
Precinct committee officer, 24 years. With the voters’ trust I hope to serve them in Congress after having interned there long ago (1984).

Other Professional Experience
I represent manufacturers of earthquake protection devices proudly made in the U.S.A. I manage investment portfolios for retirees. I helped save Seattle Sorbets and even picked apples one fall.

Education
B.A. Hillsdale College, Economics; Chartered Financial Analyst

Community Service

Statement
Time to Drain the Swamp in D.C. and Seattle! Time to dump Marxist attempts at wealth redistribution and racial division that are degrading our cities. Yes, it is time to resist the job-killing “head tax” advocated by the incumbent and others who conjure “class” warfare.

Also unlike that opposite ballot choice, I will vote mandatory E-verify to halt SSN theft committed by illegal aliens. E-verify already is the taxpayer’s most effective remedy against unlawful employment and used by our most responsible local employers such as Boeing, Starbucks and Costco. Once employers take 15 minutes to enroll they become equipped to detect fraudulent name-SSN combinations. Illegal aliens will migrate back to their country of work authorization and may reenter with valid visa.

Not only do drug cartels profit from sale of fake ID, but they prostitute children. Twice now the incumbent has voted against bills (HR1761, HR1865) that strengthen prosecution of human traffickers and pornographers. HR1865 was supported by both U.S. Senators, The Seattle Times and signed by President Trump. With your vote I will protect children.

You can also depend upon me to support maximum prosecution of assassination attempts upon our police officers. On May 16th the incumbent voted against HR5698 which President Trump will soon sign to empower federal prosecution of assassins.

If you hire me to Congress I will never betray our seniors by voting amnesty for 10-20 million illegals. The incumbent, however, would vote illegal aliens an amnesty “pathway” to your Social Security and thereby blow up an already insolvent Old-Age and Survivors and Disability Insurance program. That’s insurance fraud! Help me help you block such theft.

I ask for your vote. Also, please join me in voting for Seattle police captain and U.S. Senate candidate Keith Swank.

Contact
(206) 932-2243; info@Keller4America.us; www.Keller4America.us
Dino Rossi
(Preferences GOP Party)

Elected Experience
State Senator representing East King County from 1996 to 2003. Reappointed to the State Senate unanimously by the King County Council in 2012 and 2016.

Other Professional Experience
As a successful businessman and State Senator, I built coalitions of people from all walks of life and worked across party lines to solve problems. In the State Senate, I wiped out a multibillion dollar budget deficit while maintaining strong protections for the most vulnerable.

Education
Bachelor of Arts in Business Management, Seattle University

Community Service
Board Chair, Special Olympics for Washington; Board Member, Mountains-to-Sound Greenway Trust; Deans Advisory Board Member, Seattle University School of Business

Statement
Congress has too many people yelling, and too few people solving problems. As the son of a public schoolteacher and beautician, I want every family to live the American Dream like my family did. I worked my way through college with jobs in construction and as a janitor. Later, I became successful in commercial real estate, where I learned to work constructively with others to fix problems and help everyone succeed.

As a State Senator, I stayed true to those principles and built coalitions of people from all walks of life, writing award-winning bipartisan balanced budgets. I closed deficits while protecting funding for seniors, people with developmental disabilities or mental illnesses, and increasing school funding, all without a general tax increase. I passed laws to keep child molesters in prison for life and to stop chronic DUI offenders from driving.

Every life has value, and I have always worked to honor the dignity of all people. The developmental disabilities community has given me eight awards for my success in defending them in Olympia. I also had the honor of chairing the Special Olympics of Washington. I am a fiscal conservative with a social conscience and will always defend the most vulnerable.

My wife Terry and I have four wonderful children, and we want to leave this country better than we found it. But most of D.C. is absorbed in scoring political points rather than meeting our challenges. As your Congressman, my door will always be open to anyone who will work in good faith. I am asking for your vote to help our country solve problems again.

Endorsements: Washington State Troopers Association, Washington Fraternal Order of Police, Washington Farm Bureau, over 150 Republican, Democratic, and nonpartisan local elected officials, and hundreds of community leaders across the 8th District

Contact
(425) 651-6333; info@dinorossi.com; www.dinorossi.com
Kim Schrier
(Prefers Democratic Party)

**Elected Experience**
I am not a career politician and will bring a new voice to Congress.

**Other Professional Experience**
Pediatrician in Issaquah for 16 years; Voted Best Pediatrician by parents in the greater Seattle area (Parent Map Magazine, 2013)

**Education**
Pediatric residency at Lucile Packard Children's Hospital, Stanford University, 2000; University of California, Davis School of Medicine, 1997; University of California, Berkeley, B.A. in Astrophysics, Phi Beta Kappa, 1991

**Community Service**
Volunteer at Snoqualmie Springs School; volunteer at Bellevue College Early Learning Center; volunteer instructor at Virginia Mason; advocate to protect critical wetlands and endangered salmon in Sammamish.

**Statement**
I've spent my career listening to and solving problems with thousands of patients and families across the 8th District. I will bring that same dedication and understanding to my work as your representative in Congress.

Healthcare has always been my passion. I was diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes as a teenager, inspiring me to become a pediatrician to treat children and their families. I know firsthand what it means to worry about health insurance and rising costs. I decided to run for Congress after Trump and House Republicans tried to strip away protections for preexisting conditions like my own and deny affordable healthcare to 32,500 8th District residents.

Healthcare is a right. I will make healthcare more affordable by strengthening the Affordable Care Act and reversing damage done by Trump and the GOP Congress; letting everyone buy into Medicare as an affordable option; and allowing Medicare to negotiate lower drug prices.

There are no women doctors in Congress. I will provide a vital missing voice as Congress debates women’s healthcare, reproductive rights, and children’s health.

I’m the daughter of an elementary school teacher and an aerospace engineer, and the product of public education. Our son attends public school. I will improve our schools so every student graduates with the skills they need to succeed. I will work to build a middle-class centered economy so everyone who works hard benefits, and I will strengthen Social Security and Medicare. My first job was at the EPA, so I will keep our air and water clean and transition to a clean energy economy to combat climate change.

Endorsements: EMILY’s List, Washington State Labor Council, IAM, AFSCME, AFT Washington, LiUNA, IUPAT, Young Democrats of Washington, King County Young Democrats, National Women’s Political Caucus, Win With Women, elected officials across the district.

**Contact**
(425) 395-4775; info@drkimschrier.com; www.drkimschrier.com
Adam Smith
(Prefers Democratic Party)

**Elected Experience**

**Other Professional Experience**
Prosecutor, City of Seattle, 1993-1995; Attorney, Cromwell, Mendoza and Belur

**Education**
J.D., University of Washington, 1990; B.A., Fordham University, 1987, Tyee High School, 1983

**Community Service**
UW Evans School Honorary Advisory Board member; PTA member Issaquah High School and Issaquah Middle School; Issaquah High School Booster Member; Borgen Project Board of Directors member; former volunteer coach, Issaquah Soccer Club; former member, Northeast Tacoma Elementary PTA where my wife, Sara, served as PTA President for 2 years; former member, Federal Way Kiwanis Club; former member, Tom Lantos Human Rights Commission

**Statement**
I grew up in the city of SeaTac, and have lived my entire life in the 9th District. My wife Sara and I raise our two children here, where they both attend public schools. This district is a tremendously diverse community that values hard work, and I am very proud to represent it. As a Prosecutor, State Senator, Congressman, and member of our community, I have lived the values my parents taught me—integrity, hard work, and respect for your community.

I am focused on strengthening the middle class, equity for all, and investing in our future. We must provide a progressive path forward where everyone can thrive. Working class families, like the one I grew up in, do not have the same opportunities as previous generations. This must change.

We must grow from the middle out, increase economic opportunities by raising the minimum wage, making college more affordable and accessible and providing good paying jobs, and ensure that all people have healthcare no matter their background. As the top-ranked Democrat on the House Armed Services Committee, I have also prioritized making sure that our servicemembers and their families, many of whom live in the 9th District, receive the support they need and deserve.

I work hard every day to make sure every person in our district has access to the best this country has to offer; not only because it’s the right thing to do, but also because this is my home—it’s personal to me, just like the prosperity of our community is personal to you.

I am passionate about serving our community and I ask for your vote.

**Contact**
(253) 572-6125; info@electadamsmith.com; www.electadamsmith.com
Elected Experience
Precinct Committee Officer, 37th Legislative District

Other Professional Experience
Liability and Injury Negotiator, Paralegal, Executive Assistant

Education
B.A. Classical Studies, University of Arizona

Community Service
Organizer - ChickTech - Women in STEM conference, Community Organizer for previous employers, support volunteer for Iskashitaa Refugee Network, Animal Foster for FAIR Animal Rescue,

Statement
I am a candidate exclusively funded by people, supported by people, and running to represent the regular working people of Washington’s 9th District. I will never take corporate money and believe that we must eliminate the corrupting influence of money in politics. I am a working class woman who budgets for groceries, who pays nearly half of her housing costs in student loans every month, and who has had to have a job throughout our campaign to survive. I believe that in the wealthiest country in the history of the world, we can achieve universal single-payer healthcare, public childcare, and free public education, pre-K to graduate school. With a Green New Deal, we can train millions of skilled workers while building the transportation and energy infrastructure of the future and taking urgent steps to combat climate change. We can have transparent, publicly-financed elections. We can establish community policing with citizen oversight, end interventionist wars, and protect the rights of women, the LGBTQ+ community, and racial and religious communities.

We are at a crossroads as a nation and we must act now. Greed and corruption have siezed control of our government. People are dying without healthcare and find themselves bankrupt because of an illness, corporations receive billions of dollars in tax cuts while working class Americans find themselves unable to buy homes, payrent, or feed their children. Students are saddled with debt that they cannot afford and will never be able to pay back. Yet we find billions of dollars available for our military to wage needless wars, bomb innocent civilians, and steal from the mouths of our countrymen. We can win this fight against corruption together and have a country that works for everyday Americans.

Contact
(206) 452-5037; contact@votesarahsmith.com; www.votesarahsmith.com
Legislative Qualifications & Responsibilities

Legislators must be registered voters of their district.

Legislature
Legislators propose and enact public policy, set a budget, and provide for the collection of taxes to support state and local government.

State Senator
The Senate has 49 members; one from each legislative district in the state. Senators are elected to four-year terms, and approximately one-half the membership of the Senate is up for election each even-numbered year. The Senate’s only exclusive duty is to confirm appointments made by the governor.

State Representative
The House of Representatives has 98 members; two from each legislative district in the state. Representatives are elected to two-year terms, so the total membership of the House is up for election each even-numbered year.

Candidate statements are printed exactly as submitted. The Office of the Secretary of State does not make corrections of any kind or verify statements for truth or fact.
State Representative | District 5 Position 1 | 2-year term

Bill Ramos
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
Issaquah City Councilmember, 2015-present

Other Professional Experience
Owns and operates an Issaquah-based small business, The Common Good, specializing in developing transportation and public transit projects. Previously, worked for the US Department of Transportation’s Federal Transit Administration as a Community Planner, as well as for the US Forest Service in North Bend as Director of Forest Management, Recreation and Public Services, and Ecosystem Management. Owned small dance business in North Bend for nearly 20 years.

Education
BS, Humboldt University. Certificates in Forest Engineering and Recreation Management.

Community Service

Statement
There is more that unites us than not. By working together, we can tackle our traffic problems and get people home with their families sooner. We can support and improve public schools, giving all our children the opportunity to succeed. We can keep our kids safe, inside and outside of schools, with common sense gun safety. We can make government work for us, strengthening Olympia’s accountability and transparency, ending partisan games.

I would be honored to have your vote. Endorsed by Eastside Fire & Rescue, Maple Valley Firefighters, Washington Conservation Voters, our teachers, school board members, unions and more.

Contact
(425) 208-5882; bill@voteramos.org; voteramos.org

Chad Magendanz
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
Elected to WA House of Representatives in 2012 & 2014; Served on Education (Ranking Member), Higher Education, Technology & Economic Development, Rules, and Appropriations committees; Appointed to five bipartisan school funding and quality task forces; Former Issaquah School Board President

Other Professional Experience
Software developer for Microsoft, Nike, Panasonic and other high tech companies; U.S. Navy submarine officer (12 years); Microsoft manager (10 years) with over 20 patent awards

Education
Electrical & Computer Engineering, Cornell University

Community Service
TEALS computer science teacher; PTA Outstanding Advocate; Kiwanis; American Legion; Tiger Mountain resident with wife Galen (29 years); Father of two CS majors at MIT and Utah

Statement
In a world of increasingly partisan politics, Chad has earned a reputation for building bridges. An education champion in Olympia, he led negotiations to double school funding while reducing property tax rates in 44% of districts. He formed bipartisan coalitions to pass landmark legislation on computer science education, innovative schools, electric vehicles, and cybercrime.

When endorsed for his previous House races, The Seattle Times called Chad “one of the clearest thinkers in the Legislature” who “brings much-needed moderation and intellectual rigor to Olympia”. Having received only “Outstanding” ratings in past Municipal League candidate evaluations, he’s earned our vote.

Contact
(425) 395-4895; info@magendanz.com; www.Vote4Chad.com
Elected Experience
Currently a school board director with the Issaquah School District, previously serving as its board president.

Other Professional Experience
Former lead engineer and project manager for Boeing. Former consultant managing large scale software development programs for businesses.

Education
Bachelor of Science in mathematics with an emphasis in computer science from Northern Arizona University.

Community Service
Board member with the Eastside Human Services Forum and Influence the Choice Drug Prevention Alliance for Youth. Active leader and volunteer with the PTSA, Kiwanis, and Issaquah Chamber of Commerce. Former loaned executive to the United Way of King County.

Statement
As a mom and school board director, our public schools are my top priority. With new investments headed our way, we need elected leaders with firsthand experience working in our schools to ensure students and teachers have the resources they need to be safe and successful. I’ll fight for our community in Olympia, working across the aisle to find traffic solutions that move us around quickly and safely and reduce the property tax burden for you and your family.

Endorsed by Senator Patty Murray, State Superintendent Chris Reykdal, State Senator Mark Mullet, Washington Conservation Voters, our teachers, and many more.

Contact
(425) 260-4878; lisa@lisacallan.org; lisacallan.org

---

Elected Experience
State Representative, 2016-present.

Other Professional Experience
General Counsel at Oak Harbor Freight Lines. Attorney at Perkins Coie. Law Clerk for Washington Supreme Court Justice James Johnson.

Education
Law Degree, Duke University; M.A. Philosophy, Duke University; B.A. Western Washington University.

Community Service
King County Court-Appointed Special Advocate program: pro bono attorney (named pro bono attorney of the year). Hopelink: board member. Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust: board of advisors member. Snoqualmie Valley Rotary: active member. Excel Public Charter School: founding board member. Andy Hill public service award recipient.

Statement
As your Representative, I have worked in a bipartisan, thoughtful manner for excellent schools; traffic relief; low, stable taxes; and open government. Through hard work we prioritized school funding and accelerated the 18/90 interchange fix by six years. I was the only Eastside legislator to vote against a bill that would shield legislative records from public review. Based on my votes, I was named the most independent Representative. I will always fight for our community.

Endorsements: Congressman Dave Reichert; Councilmembers Kathy Lambert and Reagan Dunn; Mayors of Sammamish, North Bend, Maple Valley, and Black Diamond; many others.

Contact
(206) 818-5607; info@paulgraveswa.com; www.paulgraveswa.com
Elected Experience
House of Representatives 2002-2018

Other Professional Experience
Worked at Amazon.com, Microsoft, and United Way of King County.

Education
BA - University of Notre Dame

Community Service
Founding board member, and board President - VA Puget Sound Fisher House that helps veteran's families. Former board member for Domestic Abuse Women’s Network. Former instructor for Highline Community College’s Global Leadership Development Program. South Seattle President’s Advisory Committee. Renton Chamber of Commerce. Former member of Legislative Veterans and Military Affairs Committee, Committee on Job Outsourcing, and the Washington State Arts Commission. State Information Technology Services Board, Legislative IT Projects and Oversight Committee, NCSL CyberSecurity Task Force.

Statement
My wife and I want all the kids in our son’s first grade class to have a bright future, where a good education and a decent living aren't out of reach. I spent my career helping families by building stronger communities. I’ve fought for educational funding for our schools, environmental protections, an inclusive voting system, consumer credit protection, better health care, more efficient government, and support for our children, veterans, immigrants, and elders.

I’ve been endorsed by: State Labor Council, Conservation Voters, King/Young/11th Democrats, Firefighters, and voters like you. Thank you for your vote.

Contact
zack@zackhudgins.com; www.zackhudgins.com
Steve Bergquist  
(Prefers Democratic Party)  

**Elected Experience**  
State Representative  

**Other Professional Experience**  
History/Social Studies Teacher in the Renton School District; Also, until recently, Small Business Owner of Aces Tennis, running the City of Renton Parks and Recreation Tennis Programs  

**Education**  
Hazen High School, Renton; Bachelors Degree, Political Science and History double major, University of Washington; Masters Degree in Teaching, Western Washington University  

**Community Service**  
Volunteer Tennis Tournament Director for Renton River Days. Led a successful community effort to save all of the Renton School District high school golf teams and many volunteering experiences at Habitat for Humanity, Salvation Army Renton Food Bank, Ronald McDonald House, and Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust.  

**Statement**  
As a father of two young children, and one of the few active teachers serving in the legislature, I provide a very important perspective to the legislature helping our students and families have ample opportunities to learn and grow. I will continue to be a hard worker and strong leader for our community thanks to your support!  

I am proud to be endorsed by teachers, your firefighters, the 11th District Democrats, and many more.  

**Contact**  
(425) 390-4527; steve4house@gmail.com; www.steve4house.com
Mark Miloscia
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
State Senator 2014-present; State Representative, 99-13; Commissioner, Lakehaven Utility District, 96-99

Other Professional Experience
Substitute Teacher with Auburn, FW Public, and Catholic Schools; Volunteer Examiner (auditor) for the Baldrige National Quality Program; USAF B-52 Pilot; Tacoma Goodwill Director.

Education
BS, Engineering, USAF Academy; MBA, University ND; MS, Clinical Psychology, Chapman University

Community Service
FW Boys and Girls Club Board; Lake Dolloff PTA VP; FW Community Caregiving Network Board President; Recipient of Auburn Chamber’s Legislator of the Year Award, The Performance Excellence Award; Coalition for Open Government’s Ballard-Thompson Award; King County Family Coalition/Arc of King County Award; Housing Hero Award

Statement
I am honored to serve you as your State Senator. I will continue to work across party lines to improve our schools, cut government waste, and increase accountability. We must fight for real improvement and results in the dysfunctional homeless and drug abuse programs that currently threaten our community’s safety. We can be both compassionate and competent helping those in need, while cutting high property taxes and car tabs that hurt seniors, families, and small businesses.

As Senator, I helped pass ground-breaking legislation that cut college tuition and local property taxes, passed family sick leave, fully funded public schools, and insured equal pay for women. This year, I loudly opposed both party’s leaders and helped defeat efforts to hide legislative records from the public.

Meschell and I raised our three children and helped raise our grandchildren here in south King County. Our community, and your family’s future prosperity and safety are my top priorities. We need effective, bipartisan solutions that join labor and businesses together and unite communities, rather than the dangerous and ineffective policies and higher taxes promoted by some in Seattle.

I would appreciate your vote. Please vote for Experienced, Compassionate, Common Sense Leadership.

Contact
(253) 839-7087; miloscia@comcast.net; markmiloscia.com

Claire Wilson
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
Federal Way School Board 2011 - present; Vice President and Legislative Liaison 2015 - 2017; President 2017 - present

Other Professional Experience
35 year educator in classrooms working on family engagement, early learning administration, and governance; WIC Project Director; small business owner. It's been my life's work to help children, young parents, and immigrant families learn and succeed.

Education
Washington State University; University of Northern Colorado

Community Service
Extensive volunteer experience in South King County including PTA, Mentor and Me Program, Sidekick Collective, Washington State School Directors Association, Citizens for Federal Way Schools, Building Better Futures Board, Washington Family Engagement Trust.

Statement
I have spent my career helping children and families access education and opportunity. As a classroom educator, family engagement manager, early learning administrator, and School Board Director, I’ve helped Federal Way and other regional schools improve graduation rates and expand career training and college preparedness.

We need legislators with that same commitment to helping people - whether children and parents seeking quality education and affordable housing, or seniors in need of safe neighborhoods and tax relief. Instead, we’ve got partisanship and finger pointing. We need change in the State Senate to get better results for our families and communities.

I’ve never run for partisan office; I’m focused on our families and priorities, not special interests. With your vote, I’ll work to fully fund education, expand early learning programs, fight for health care access and affordability, pass gun laws that keep our schools and neighborhoods safe, prioritize South King County transportation and transit projects, and invest in real solutions for the complex crises of addiction and homelessness - without the harmful new taxes on local families and businesses.

I’m proudly endorsed by Washington Conservation Voters, Planned Parenthood Votes, Federal Way Mayor Jim Ferrell and Councilmember Jesse Johnson, and Representatives Reeves and Pellicciotti.

Contact
(253) 237-4799; info@claireforsenate.com; www.claireforsenate.com
Mike Pellicciotti
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
Your State Representative since 2016. Not a career politician.

Other Professional Experience
Fighting to keep our community safe as a state prosecutor and former King County prosecutor, Mike led cases against sex trafficking and domestic violence in South King County. As Assistant Attorney General, Mike combated elder abuse and corporate healthcare fraud, returning $30 million to taxpayers.

Education
Law Degree (Gonzaga); Master’s (Fulbright Scholar, economic development); Bachelor’s (Business)

Community Service

Statement
I refuse corporate campaign contributions because I work for you, not special interests. I’m leading the fight to lower your car tabs by $780 million. I voted against all property tax increases and voted for property tax cuts.

We must reform government. I was one of only 14 representatives who voted against the Legislature’s attempt to hide public records. I passed a law to get “dark money” out of politics, and I’m fighting to close the lobbyist “revolving door.” The son of educators and endorsed by the State Labor Council, I’ll always put our working families’ needs first.

Contact
(253) 237-2838; Mike@electmikep.com; www.electmikep.com

Linda Kochmar
(Prefers GOP Party)

Elected Experience

Other Professional Experience
Nationally Certified Risk Manager (ARM); Association of Washington Cities Certified Municipal Leader;

Education
B.A. Degree Marylhurst University 1968; Coursework toward Masters in Public Administration, Seattle University

Community Service
Kiwanis Foundation Board, Multi Service Center Board, Communities in Schools Advisory Board, Federal Way Incorporation Committee. National Catholic Education Association Distinguished Graduate, Soroptomists Women of Distinction, 2016 Outstanding Legislator of the Year-Sewer/Water Association

Statement
As your former State Representative, Federal Way City Council Member, and Federal Way Mayor, I have served you, the citizens of the 30th District, with integrity and dedication for almost two decades.

Politics has become too divisive. I am running because the problems facing our district and state cry out for action and common-sense solutions. I am deeply concerned about higher taxes, crime prevention, job creation, improving our schools, affordable housing, and traffic congestion. When I am elected, my heart and soul, my experience and determination will represent you our hard working families! I ask for your vote.

Contact
(253) 839-7419; lkochmar1@aol.com; LindaKochmar.com
Elected Experience
Proudly serving my first term as your State Representative.

Other Professional Experience
Currently serving as the Director of Economic Development for the Military and Defense sector for the State of Washington’s Department of Commerce. Kristine also owns a small, Federal Way-based business.

Education
High School Diploma, Moses Lake High School; Bachelor’s degree, Washington State University (Pullman); Master’s degree, Gonzaga University (Spokane).

Community Service
Served as Statewide Veterans Constituency Coordinator and Kitsap, Olympic & South Sound Regional Director in Senator Patty Murray’s office. Member, Zeta Phi Beta Sorority; Volunteer, March of Dimes.

Statement
As a working mom and economic developer, Kristine knows how to get the job done. As our state Representative, she works tirelessly to expand access to affordable childcare, improve our public schools, and build a local economy that works for our families. In her day-job, she helps small businesses across the state create and maintain over 60,000 jobs for veterans and military families. Whether it's cutting property taxes, creating jobs or funding our schools, Kristine puts problem-solving and people over partisanship.

Endorsed by Senator Patty Murray, Congressman Adam Smith, City Councilmember Jesse Johnson, and many more.

Contact
(253) 347-6198; Kristine@ElectKristineReeves.com; ElectKristineReeves.com

Elected Experience
I've been active in politics, intermittently, for 42 years; I’m a politician, but have no elected experience.

Other Professional Experience
U.S. Marine Corps (Veteran), 1972 - 1974; Legal Assistant for two decades; have priorly worked for law firms or independently.

Education
Inver Hills College, Minnesota, AAS Degree

Community Service
Have run the Commoner Local Affairs Committee, under that or affiliated names, since 2004: helped thousands of citizens in Washington with voter registration, government outreach & political affairs. Additionally, organized the Party of Commons shortly thereafter (name’s similar to the House of Commons) -- working politically on behalf of common citizens, as name would indicate.

Statement
The incumbent said she’s not a politician (2016 pamphlet), but I’ve never seen such an orchestrated, grand “puffing up” of a non-politician’s political acts, like her reported statement, circa 2014, “We’re not necessarily interested in whether nuclear weapons are good or bad,” while trying to keep the Sound chock full of them. If anything crystallizes differences between us, philosophically, it’s that quote.

Reeves has sponsored multiple “selective morality” bills which rational people may disagree on (like her $4 fee-per-attendance for adult erotic dance clubs bill). Contrarily, I’ll defend liberty - Constitution - natural rights, which government must respect.

Contact
(253) 838-1838; mark@partyofcommons.com; www.brandnewelections.us
Phil Fortunato
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience

Other Professional Experience

Education
Rutgers University, Cook College of Agriculture; Landscape Architecture.

Community Service
Phil has been married for 42 years with 5 children, three of whom are decorated US Marines. Knights of Columbus - 4th Degree, Sons of Italy, Eagles. Supports community issues like; Sweats for Vets, Coats for Kids, Special Olympics, Project Rachel.

Statement
As your Senator, I am proud to have introduced Constitutional Amendments to Ban an Income Tax (SJR 8204) and Protect our Right to Hunt and Fish (SJR 8206) and to have sponsored legislation to reduce or eliminate property taxes for seniors on fixed incomes. I will fight against more taxes on your income and the out-of-control property taxes and will fight a mileage tax with my dying breath. I am leading the efforts to rein in Sound Transit gouging people on our car tabs and will work to give us an elected Sound Transit Board, to allow our communities to opt out of ST3, and to force Sound Transit to go back to the people when projects are over budget.

I am a member of the Veterans and Military Affairs Committee, and the father of three US Marines, and I know the importance of supporting veterans.

I will fight against the constant efforts to limit our gun rights and to fight to make sure your tax dollars are spent wisely.

I ask for your continued support to fight for your rights and prosperity, and against more taxes and endless government growth.

Contact
(253) 680-9545; Phil@VoteFortunato.org; www.VoteFortunato.org

Immaculate Ferreria
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
After years of community service, this is my first run for State Office. I will bring a fresh perspective and bipartisan spirit to the State Legislature.

Other Professional Experience
Tacoma Urban League; Puget Sound Educational Service District; Family-owned and operated businesses; Vinum Coffee and Wine Lounge, and Soul Restaurant

Education
Renton Technical College, A.A.S. Office Technology; Russell Family Foundation-Jane’s Fellowship Program, Community At Work-Facilitation Training

Community Service
Community Health Worker Collaboration Coalition –Co-Chairperson; Family Support Partnership Advisory Board; Pierce County Project Access Executive Board of Directors; Communities for Families Coalition, Project Child Success, Children’s Alliance, Washington State Parent Ambassador

Statement
Born and raised on a small family farm in the Sumner Valley, I appreciate the challenges and opportunities in our rural communities. As an advocate for equity, I’ve worked in Olympia to make a difference and understand the legislature and public policy from the ground up.

As your State Senator, I will bring a style of leadership that is inclusive, compassionate, and collaborative. I will ensure that your voice is heard at the State Capitol. I am prepared to reach across party lines to enact practical solutions that will improve the quality of life for all residents of the 31st Legislative District.

As a small business owner, I recognize when our small businesses succeed our entire community benefits. I understand the value of your hard-earned tax dollars, and have a clear set of priorities for our district. I will focus on public safety, protection of our environment, and ensuring that residents have access to equal educational opportunities. I will work diligently for residents of the 31st District to ensure state government meets its responsibility to help our citizens lead healthy and productive lives.

Please cast your vote for positive change. I humbly ask for your support. Thank you.

Contact
(253) 391-6138; votersforimmaculate@gmail.com; www.votersforimmaculate.com
**Drew Stokesbary**  
(Prefers Republican Party)

**Elected Experience**  
State Representative, 2015-present (Minority Floor Leader; Assistant Ranking Member on House Appropriations Committee; member of House Finance and Education Committees)

**Other Professional Experience**  
Managing Attorney at Stokesbary PLLC (advising startups and small businesses on corporate and securities law matters)

**Education**  
J.D., cum laude, University of Notre Dame; B.S. in Economics, Duke University

**Community Service**  
Member of Auburn Valley YMCA Board of Directors, Office of Civil Legal Aide Oversight Committee, and Financial Education Public-Private Partnership; United Way VITA volunteer tax preparer; provider of pro bono legal counsel to local nonprofits

**Statement**  
I’m honored to represent you in Olympia and am running for reelection to keep the Legislature’s focus on issues that matter to our community: a strong economy, excellent education, and safe neighborhoods.

I’ve helped defeat billions of dollars in new taxes so families can keep more of their money. Instead of higher taxes, we should better prioritize spending, which is why I’ve consistently advocated to send public schools our first dollar, not our last dime. Lastly, I’ve led the fight to keep heroin injection sites out of our community and won’t stop until they are as illegal as heroin itself.

**Contact**  
(253) 501-1756; drew@drewstokesbary.com; drewstokesbary.com

---

**Victoria Mena**  
(Prefers Democratic Party)

**Elected Experience**  
I am a first time candidate

**Other Professional Experience**  
Policy Director and Development Strategist for Colectiva Legal del Pueblo. Policy lead with the Washington Immigrant Solidarity Network. Policy researcher and teaching assistant at the University of Washington, and research assistant at Harvard University.

**Education**  
Master of Public Policy and Governance, Evans School at the University of Washington; BA in Political Science, minor in Family, Youth and Community Science, University of Florida.

**Community Service**  

**Statement**  
During the past ten years of working in the non-profit and private sectors, I have witnessed working people and the poor ignored and left behind by government time and time again. Every person deserves a living wage, good schools, quality healthcare, and safe communities.

As your representative in Olympia, I will fight for you, your family and our communities, as I have fought for our immigrant, homeless and LGBTQI communities. As your State Representative, I am determined to strengthen public education, fight for affordable healthcare and housing, ensure we have a strong economy and address climate change.

**Contact**  
(253) 332-1659; electvictoriamena@gmail.com; www.victoriamena.org
Morgan Irwin
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience

Other Professional Experience
Northwest Farm Credit Services-Capital Markets Division; The Foundation Group- Commercial Broker; Columbia Bank- Commercial Loan Officer; Seattle Police Department-Patrol Officer

Education
Bachelor’s of Science in Agricultural Business from Washington State University

Community Service
Farm Bureau, FFA Alumni Association, Cascade Foothills Soccer Coach, Knights of Columbus. Married 10 years with 3 children under the age of 8.

Statement
As your Representative, I have expanded protections for victims of sexual assault, voted to expand access to mental health services, fought to lower our out-of-control car tabs costs, and sponsored a bill to exempt hardworking parents from paying sales tax on school supplies.

But we still face many hurdles in state government. I will continue prioritizing a world-class education for our children, efficient transportation systems that give families more time at home, keeping our communities safe by supporting our first responders, and fighting crippling and unnecessary tax increases. I would be honored to have your vote!

Contact
(509) 339-3363; morgancougwsu@hotmail.com; www.MorganForWashington.com

Mark Boswell
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
First time running for State Representative.

Other Professional Experience
Active duty as officer in US Navy for 6+ years and retired from US Naval Reserve. 30 years as an engineer (SPEEA) and project manager

Education
BS Mechanical Engineering, US Naval Academy (Annapolis); Variety of post graduate courses in systems engineering, project management and data resource management

Community Service
Assisted in educational activities, Home Owner Association Officer, Grange member and officer and active in Democratic Party for 31st LD since 2000 in numerous positions

Statement
I have lived and raised a family in the 31st LD over the last 30 years. I am running for State Representative because I feel a deep commitment to the people of this district. Our children and grandchildren deserve opportunities to create their future both within our district, but also anywhere their vision and effort takes them. This requires quality infrastructure, a healthy environment, good public education, and many solid small and medium size businesses. The state government should help provide these, including a leveling of the tax and regulation playing field between small/medium firms and large corporations.

Contact
(253) 545-1297; vote.markboswell2018@gmail.com; www.votemarkboswell.com
Karen Keiser
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
Current State Senator, 33rd Legislative District

Other Professional Experience
Communications Director, Washington State Labor Council, Journalist and news producer at KMGH-TV, KSTW-TV KGW-TV, Trustee Highline College

Education
Graduate of Rancho Alamitos High School, Garden Grove, CA., Earned BA from University of California, Berkeley with Bachelor’s in Political Science and Master’s in Journalism. Phi Beta Kappa Attended John F. Kennedy Schoo, Harvard University, Executive Education Program.

Community Service
I serve on the Judson Park Retirement Community Advisory Board, the Board of the Economic Opportunity Institute, as a member of the Highline AAUW branch and of Grace Lutheran Church in Des Moines.

Statement
I ask for your support to re-elect me to the state Senate because I work hard for you and always put the concerns of my constituents first. As Chair of the Senate Labor and Commerce committee, I focus on ways to improve the lives of working families in our communities. It was a privilege to negotiate and adopt the Equal Pay Act this year, to pass the best paid Family and Medical Leave Insurance program in the country last year, and to pass bills expanding apprenticeship opportunities to provide good jobs for our youth.

As a member of the Senate budget committee, it’s an honor to help find funding for the Des Moines marina, the Kent YMCA, the new Glacier middle school in SeaTac and new classrooms at Highline College.

I also have real concern for our seniors, including long term care issues. Washington state is rated first in the nation because we have created and funded a high-quality system of home care and services for seniors. I will continue to fight for senior services and security.

With your vote I will continue to help our communities strive for good jobs, excellent education, health care and housing.

Contact
(206) 399-0801; karenkeiser@comcast.net;
www.karenkeiser.org

Kun Wang
(Prefers Ind. Republican Party)

Elected Experience
No information submitted

Other Professional Experience
Local Business Owner; Owned and Managed Restaurants; Import/Export Manager

Education
No information submitted

Community Service
No information submitted

Statement
Kun Wang (known as Kwan to his friends and family) was born in Sing Tao, China and became a US Citizen in 2011. Understanding business at a young age, Kwan opened and managed his first restaurant at 23. Kwan is self-employed and operates a family business. Kwan lives in Des Moines is married and has a little boy.

Kwan’s story is an American story—he believes you’ll be successful if you’re willing to take the risks, do the work, and keep smiling. It is the American Dream, and despite rumors of its demise, it’s alive and well.

Kwan is running because he feels the American dream is threatened and is slipping away for so many. Not because they aren’t working hard, but because government is passing policies that put communities at risk. High property taxes, job taxes, inflated car tabs and tolls are driving folks to the point they can’t afford to live in our community.

Kwan will fight against higher taxes and he will get tough on the homelessness crisis that’s devastating so many. Kwan will not treat businesses as a public enemy but as job creators that must be nurtured to help put more people to work.

Contact
(209) 237-9127; kunw84@gmail.com
Tina L. Orwall
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Unopposed

**Elected Experience**
State Representative, Speaker/Deputy Speaker Pro-Tempore, serving on Public Safety, Higher Education, Veterans’ and Military Affairs, Judiciary and Rules Committees.

**Other Professional Experience**
Adjunct Instructor, University of Washington; Consultant/grant writer; 20 years experience working with local, state, and federal agencies and foundations. Focus on promoting use of innovative and best practices to help government be more responsive and effective addressing the needs of our community.

**Education**
Master’s Degree, Social Work Administration, University of Washington; Bachelor’s of Psychology, University of Washington

**Community Service**
NCSL Task Force on Military & Veterans Affairs Co-chair, Kent Chamber, Judson Retirement Community Board, United Way Impact Council

**Statement**
Orwall’s intellectual curiosity and hard work make her one of the strongest lawmakers in Olympia - Seattle Times, 9/15/14. Tina works collaboratively to find solutions to complex issues including suicide prevention, child trafficking, foreclosure, and student loan debt. Her legislation requiring rape kit testing and tracking has been nationally recognized. She has secured resources to address airport impacts including air quality and noise. In addition, she is currently advocating for property tax relief.

*I am honored to serve you in Olympia. With your help I will continue supporting our veterans, those most in need, and opportunity for all.*

**Contact**
(206) 409-3038; tina.orwall@gmail.com; www.electtinaorwall.com
State Representative | District 33 Position 2 | 2-year term

Mia Su-Ling Gregerson
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
Mia served on the SeaTac City Council and as SeaTac Mayor. She has served two terms in the State House of Representatives.

Other Professional Experience
Over 20 years of experience as a mid-level care provider and a business manager in the dental field.

Education

Community Service
She spends much of her time visiting constituents, community based groups and business partners to learn about regional and local issues.

Statement
I believe my job is focusing on the basics: championing public schools, making our economy work for families, improving transportation and ensuring Olympia is accountable with your tax dollars. That’s why I helped pass “Breakfast after the Bell” so kids aren’t hungry at school, voted for new transportation investments including finishing 509, increased civic participation by sponsoring the Voting Rights Act, passed a bill to give families more child care options, and secured funding for the new Kent YMCA, Crime Victim Compensation Program.

Endorsed: State Labor Council, Congressmember Adam Smith, Councilmember Dave Upthegrove and more...

Contact
(206) 795-1950; peopleformia@gmail.com; www.peopleformia.org

Anthony L. Lamb
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
After many years of living here, I’m running for office as a first time candidate because I’m concerned about the growing problems in our district and state.

Other Professional Experience
Employed Full-Time providing technical assistance in the aerospace industry. I’ve learned to work as a team and get things done. Previously, I was an independent contractor, so I understand what business owners need.

Education
Pacific Lutheran University, B.S. in Biology

Community Service
Currently, I’m the PCO for my precinct in Kent. I’m also an active member at my church, River of Life Fellowship.

Statement
Are you fed up with higher property taxes and car tab fees? I am too and will also vote against the job-killing Head Tax and state income tax.

It would be nice to add a state holiday on Good Friday. I support School Choice for parents wanting a better education for their children. This can be done at a lower cost to taxpayers. We need family friendly policies. More needs to be done about the drug addiction, homelessness, and violent crime in our communities. I’m asking for your vote, because you deserve better results.

Contact
AnthonyLLamb2018@gmail.com; www.AnthonyLamb2018.com
Rebecca Saldaña
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
37th LD State Senator since 2016. Majority Whip and Vice-Chair, Transportation Committee. Ranking Member, Labor & Commerce and State Government, Tribal Relations & Elections.

Other Professional Experience
Former Executive Director, Puget Sound Sage; Community Liaison, Congressman Jim McDermott; and Union Organizer, SEIU Local 6

Education
BA, Theology and Humanities, Seattle University

Community Service
Board member with the Rainier Beach Action Coalition and the Fair Work Center. Formerly served as a board member of the Alliance for Jobs and Clean Energy and the Latino Community Fund of Washington.

Statement
I am honored to represent our diverse and vibrant community in Olympia. I grew up in Delridge and raise my family in Rainier Beach – I am deeply connected to our community and committed to ensuring everyone has a strong voice.

As your State Senator, I have advocated for economic, social and racial justice. This session, with our Democratic majority, I led the fight to increase voting rights, address sexual harassment in the workplace and improve gun safety.

I am proud of the work we have accomplished, but there is so much more to do. My priorities are to focus on affordable housing, a transportation system that works for all of us, and a fair economy that holds the wealthiest among us accountable.

I look forward to working with you to move our community - and our state - forward. Thank you for your support. I am proudly endorsed by: Lt. Governor Cyrus Habib, Senator Manka Dhingra, Seattle City Attorney Pete Holmes, the 37th Legislative District Democrats, King County Young Democrats, the Washington State Labor Council, the Alliance for Gun Responsibility, Washington Conservation Voters, Planned Parenthood Votes, and many more listed on my website.

Contact
(206) 823-1956; rebecca@rebeccasaldana.com; www.rebeccasaldana.com

Beth Broadway
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
No information submitted

Other Professional Experience
Internationally renowned Hebrew teacher of hundreds of students ages 4 to 84

Education
Earned MA in Jewish History, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel, 2014

Community Service
Volunteers in various Seattle-area synagogues

Statement
Beth Broadway is a centrist candidate who stands for restoring common sense and fiscal responsibility. If elected she will work to ban Head Taxes and reduce car tabs and property taxes. Economic growth depends on more job opportunities, not less.

Beth believes that rewriting the state budget will allow current tax money to be used more efficiently to fund education, parks, vital road repairs, and law enforcement. Mental health/ addiction treatment is a more effective way to solve homelessness than continuing to fund failed programs. Beth backs the enforcement of existing drug, gun, camping, and vandalism laws.

Beth proposes moving our primary dates to earlier in the year, so that we receive a valid voice in selecting presidential candidates. She supports equal rights and religious freedom for every person. She opposes deporting law-abiding DACA residents, illegal state income taxes, “safe injection sites,” and illegal I-405 tolling.

Beth’s opponent voted no four times on $1 billion in property tax relief and refused to fix dishonest car tab values. Beth will be a Senator who takes care of the people’s biggest concerns rather than ignoring them. Please exercise your democratic rights and vote for Beth Broadway.

Contact
(206) 643-3857; beth.broadway.for.senate@gmail.com; broadwayforsenate.org
Elected Experience
Washington State Representative, 37th District, Position 1 (1998-present)

Other Professional Experience
Previous work experience in the banking industry, on staff to local public elected officials including Mike Lowry and Gary Locke, and in senior management positions for non-profit organizations.

Education
B.A., The Evergreen State College, Olympia, WA; M.A., Northeastern University, Boston, MA

Community Service
Church Council of Greater Seattle, King County Women’s Political Caucus, Puget Sound Public Radio (KUOW 94.9 FM), United Way of King County Seattle Community Council, Wing Luke Asian Museum, YMCA Youth and Government

Statement
I am honored to serve our diverse communities where I learned that our nation’s founding ideals require careful attention and constant vigilance to flourish. This is why I am committed to improving public education for all students, creating an economy that benefits everyone, and safeguarding our universal civil rights. I champion efforts to raise equity and excellence for students and schools. I believe in affirmative action to create equal opportunities. I hold public agencies accountable for protecting our privacy and us. I am proud to represent these 37th District principles and ask for the continued privilege to represent you.

Contact
(206) 326-9042; friendsofsts@aol.com
Eric Pettigrew
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
Elected to Washington State House of Representatives, November 2002-Present; Majority Caucus Chair, 2011-Present

Other Professional Experience
Policy Specialist, The Children’s Alliance; Deputy Chief of Staff for Public Safety, Mayor Norman Rice; Director of Emergency Management, City of Seattle

Education
Oregon State University – BS, Sociology, Counseling Psychology; University of Washington – MSW, Business Administration Certification

Community Service
2016 Public Citizen of the Year – National Association of Social Workers-WA Chapter; 2011 Angels in Adoption Award; Board member, ACT Theatre; 2009 Champion for Children Award, Children’s Alliance; President, Rainier Chamber of Commerce; 2007 Urban League Spirit Award; Ike Ikeda Public Service Award

Statement
As your State Representative, I put the interests of the people in our district above all else. I supported legislation to fully-fund all public education and make college affordable because all students deserve a real opportunity to succeed. I’m working to make housing affordable, transit better, and grow jobs in our district, for our district. I’ll keep listening to you and fighting for what’s right, no matter what special interests say. I’m determined to make everyday life easier and the future brighter for everyone in this district. I’m honored to serve you and I ask for your vote.

Contact
(206) 979-5999; e.pettigrew@comcast.net; hdcc.org

Tamra Smilanich
(Prefers Independent Party)

Elected Experience
Neighborhood Association Chair, Precinct Officer, Past Legislative District Chair, Past Legislative District Caucus Chair

Other Professional Experience
Contractor in public and private schools. Negotiator of housing contracts. Worked for Parks and Recreation; Atlantic Street Center (non profit). Business Manager.

Education

Community Service
Community board member; courtsey citizen tour docent of State Legislature, volunteer educator of civil/constituional rights, interscholastic athletics coach/official, citizen respondent in government hearings.

Statement
I agree with Democrats; Education, Transportation, Wages are important; there are better solutions though. School curriculum should teach Absolutes—“Common Core” erodes this. I support “Lessons Taught” to students rather than “Testing to Remember”.

Why are legislators voting to take money from the poor, again? I don’t support road user fees-this hurts a large percentage of our population. Olympia has assisted Electric Companies to implement peak hour rates on you Smart Meters will do this. State Income Tax Dream=Individuals with money Can Dontate to State Fund that helps others. Your choice for better solutions, vote with vision!

Contact
(253) 880-9200; seatsmilanich@gmail.com
### Tana Senn
(Prefers Democratic Party)

**Elected Experience**
State Representative 41st Legislative District, former Mercer Island City Councilmember

**Other Professional Experience**
Twenty years experience as a communications and government relations professional for nonprofits and foundations

**Education**
Master of Public Policy and Administration from Columbia University. Bachelor’s in education from Washington University in St. Louis.

**Community Service**

**Statement**
I’ve served as your state representative to help make life better for families. With two kids in public schools, I know the importance of high-quality education from early learning through higher education. My recently passed equal pay legislation will have a positive impact on women and families. Expanding children’s mental health in schools and reducing gun violence are issues I will continue to champion. I ask for your vote to again represent you and your family in Olympia. Endorsed by NARAL, Alliance for Gun Responsibility, King County Realtors, Washington Conversation Voters, State Labor Council, Dow Constantine, more...

**Contact**
(206) 369-1253; ElectTanaSenn@gmail.com; ElectTanaSenn.org

### Tim Cruickshank
(Prefers Republican Party)

**Elected Experience**
First-time candidate for the legislature.

**Other Professional Experience**
Honorably served 25 years as a highly decorated US Navy SEAL and Medical Service Corp Officer, retiring at the rank of Lieutenant Commander. Liaison to NATO Special Operations Forces, Head Quarters. Officer in Charge and Navy Dean to the Joint Special Operations Medical Training Center.

**Education**
Graduate of Kennedy Catholic High School. Bachelor of Science and Masters of Physician Assistant Studies from the University of Nebraska. Bachelor of Science from Regents College, NY.

**Community Service**
Eastside Catholic Booster Club, Mercer Island VFW, Advisor to NSW Kids and Stronger Families (Non-profit), Veteran Advocate.

**Statement**
Politicians claim they need to continually increase your taxes but tax fatigue is taking its toll – car tabs for ST3, 10% sales tax, increasing property taxes, threats of an income tax and now a head tax. Lack of results, fiscal mismanagement, and identity politics are polarizing and endangering our state. Enough is enough. There is an urgent need for proven, disciplined leadership on issues facing the Eastside: quality schools, reducing traffic, support for small businesses and lower taxes. I’m running to be your next state legislator because we need mission focused, goal driven positive disruption in Olympia.

**Contact**
(425) 442-7569; info@votecruickshank.com; www.votecruickshank.com
My-Linh Thai
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
President, Bellevue School District Board; Vice President, Washington State School Board Directors Association

Other Professional Experience
Pharmacist; built Wyoming first pediatric neurology practice from the ground up; Refugee Advocate, King County Public Health; Instructor, Viet-nam Nursing Master Program

Education
BS, Pharmacy, University of Washington; Federal Way High School

Community Service
Board of Directors, Vietnamese Scholarship Foundation; School Board Liaison: Bellevue School Foundation, YouthLink, Eastside Pathways; recipient, Washington State PTA Outstanding Advocate Award

Statement
As a young Vietnamese refugee, I learned the value of hard work from my parents and the importance of education from outstanding teachers. As Bellevue School Board President, I strive to give every child opportunities to reach their full potential.

As your Representative, I will seek equitable school funding, advance Public Health and safety, and bring innovative solutions to address traffic, affordability and our regressive tax code. Sole Endorsements: 41st LD Democrats; King County Democrats; NARAL Pro-Choice WA; Attorney General Bob Ferguson; Senators Wellman and Dhingra; former Representative Maxwell; County Councilmember Balducci; local elected leaders; and many more!

Contact
(425) 749-1864; info@my-linhthai.com; www.my-linhthai.com

Michael Appleby
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
Precinct Committee Officer

Other Professional Experience
Current Small Business Owner; Retired Regional Vice President, Prudential Financial, 19 years; Machinist, 11 years, UAW.

Education
University of Bridgeport, CT, Bachelor of Science, Business Economics, Finance minor; BH Technical High School.

Community Service
Board Member, Tillicum Middle School PTA; President, Cougar Crest HOA; supporter and volunteer for local non-profit groups, including Jubilee Reach. Charitable activity: Childhaven, Imagine Housing, Hopelink, Eastside Academy, Vision House, Union Gospel Mission.

Statement
Our families deserve the best quality of life at a price everyone can afford, with an education system for our children second to none. That requires transparency, government efficiency, and a commitment to equality. It also requires active leadership, which comes from firsthand experience. As a member of the Tillicum PTA Board, I see the critical needs in our schools. As a businessperson, I value a transportation system that keeps traffic moving. With a family of my own, I know what’s required to live within a budget. I commit to these shared values, and I ask for your vote.

Contact
(425) 623-0330; info@voteappleby.com; www.voteappleby.com
State Senator | District 47 | 4-year term

Joe Fain
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
State Senate (elected 2010)

Other Professional Experience
Healthcare attorney; Economic Development Director, University of Washington (CoMotion); Deputy Prosecutor, King County; Adjunct Political Science Faculty, Highline College; Chief of Staff, King County District 7

Education
MBA, JD; Seattle University; BA; University of Washington

Community Service
Boards: Sports in Schools; Auburn Youth Resources (Nexus); Auburn Public Schools Foundation, and others;
Awards: Spirit of Community, Kent PTA; Transportation Champion, Association of Washington Cities; Legislator of the Year - Humane Society, Metropolitan Police & Sheriffs, Kent Chamber; Champion for Children, Children’s Alliance; Crayon Award, Early Learning Alliance; Sunshine Award, League of Women Voters; Leader on Developmental Disabilities, ARC.

Statement
My wife, Steffanie, and I just welcomed our second child and we know families like ours need safe, affordable communities with strong schools and reasonable taxes.

As a former coach and the son of two educators, I realize our schools need both the resources to help every child succeed and the accountability to make sure our tax dollars are spent efficiently. I’ve helped lead the largest investment in schools in state history while lowering tuition at our state colleges.

I am supported by Independents, Democrats, Republicans, business and labor groups because I listen to and respect everyone regardless of party affiliation. Together, we’ve increased access to family leave, expanded our freeways and transit options, built local parks, and passed a 4-year balanced budget reform to force government to prioritize its most important duties while pushing back against the continual call for higher taxes. Yet there is more work ahead to reduce traffic, control property and car tab taxes, and keep our communities and families safe. I’m asking for your vote to continue this important work. Please contact me and let me know how I can serve you better!

Contact
(253) 293-5633; joe@votefain.com; www.votefain.com

Mona Das
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
I’m not a career politician – I was inspired to run for office for the first time this year to step up and help make our community the best place to live, work, and raise a family.

Other Professional Experience
Founder of MOXY Mortgage, a mortgage business located in Renton focused on educating and empowering first-time homebuyers and connecting people with affordable housing opportunities.

Education
B.A., Psychology, University of Cincinnati; MBA, Presidio Graduate School

Community Service
Former Boardmember, Women’s Business Exchange; Former Boardmember, Women’s Network for a Sustainable Future

Statement
I run a mortgage business that works with families all over South King County. Over 40 percent of my customers are first time homebuyers. For many of these families, their first home will be the investment that lifts them into the middle class. But I’ve also seen the impact that rising housing costs have on our community and how it’s harder and harder for families to make ends meet.

My opponent voted for big property tax increases in 2017, then voted against the plan in 2018 to reduce them. His plan hurt new homeowners and seniors on fixed incomes. I’m running for Senate because working families and seniors in South King County deserve a champion in Olympia.

We’ll create jobs in South King County so people can work here instead of commute to Seattle, ease traffic congestion so we spend less time in traffic and more time with our families, and keep housing affordable as skyrocketing prices continue to creep into South King County. I’m excited to be your voice and your advocate in Olympia as your next Senator.

Endorsed by Win With Women, Senator Karen Keiser, Sheriff Mitzi Johanknecht, Planned Parenthood Votes, King County Young Democrats, and more.

Contact
(503) 419-8010; mona@electmona.com; www.electmona.com
Mark Hargrove
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
State Representative 2011 – Present

Other Professional Experience
United States Air Force (8 years) – Transport Pilot, Math Instructor USAF Academy Prep School. The Boeing Company (31 years) – Flight Training Instructor and 737, 747, 757, 767, 777, 787 Instructor Pilot, Founding Member and Secretary/Treasurer of the Boeing Instructor Pilots Union

Education
Bachelor of Science in Engineering Mechanics, United States Air Force Academy

Community Service
Terry Home board member (traumatic brain injuries), Lake Sawyer Christian Church, Bible Study Fellowship and Young Life leader, Prison Fellowship, Make a Difference Day, PTSA, classroom volunteer, math tutor, Kent Parks coach, personally visited 51,000 homes in our community

Statement
Mark has been married to Sandy for 39 years, 31 of those in this community, has two married daughters and five grandchildren. He’s passionate that parents have excellent school choices, preparing their children for careers or affordable higher education. His mission is to build hope and opportunities for our families.

Mark is adamant about reining in out-of-control car tab fees, gas taxes and tolling and insists property taxes decrease as promised. He is committed to ensuring businesses have every opportunity to thrive, keeping you employed, spending your own money. Call Mark’s personal cell (253)632-0736 anytime.

Contact
(253) 632-0736; Mark@MarkHargrove.org; www.MarkHargrove.org

Debra Entenman
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
First-time candidate with a long history of community advocacy.

Other Professional Experience
For 12 years, Debra has worked in South King County for U.S. Representative Adam Smith. Currently, District Director, Debra has worked her way up by holding a variety of roles including community outreach and policy development.

Education
Bachelor’s Degree, Seattle University.

Community Service
Appointed to Renton Technical College Board of Directors by Governor Inslee in 2015. Member of the Urban League of Metropolitan Seattle. Previously served on the boards of Neighborhood House and Kent Youth & Family Services.

Statement
I’ve dedicated my career to serving our South King County communities. I believe that everyone deserves the opportunity to succeed. That opportunity starts with strong public schools, a growing economy that supports small businesses, property tax relief, and better transportation systems. I bring the experience necessary to ensure that all of our voices are heard in Olympia.

As your Representative, I’ll work every day to ensure that all of our voices are represented on policies that impact our lives. I ask for your vote. Endorsed by Senator Patty Murray, Congressman Adam Smith, Washington State Labor Council, and many more.

Contact
(206) 375-6298; info@electdebraentenman.com; www.electdebraentenman.com
Pat Sullivan
(Prefers Democratic Party)

Elected Experience
Pat has served as a City Councilmember and Mayor of Covington and currently is our State Representative in Olympia. He was elected by his peers to serve as House Majority Leader.

Other Professional Experience
Pat has experience as a legislative assistant at the local, regional and state level. He also served as chair of his local economic development commission.

Education
Pat has a BA from the University of Washington.

Community Service
Pat has a long history of involvement in his community serving as a youth sports coach, PTA President, service organization member and on a number of community and nonprofit organization boards.

Statement
Pat Sullivan is running for State Representative because he has a sincere commitment to making government more efficient, effective and accountable. He refuses to send taxpayer funded newsletters and opposes out of state travel and junkets. Pat’s leadership was crucial to improving our education system and funding our schools. He continues fighting to lower property taxes and B&O taxes for small businesses.

Contact
(253) 740-6772; pat@votepatsullivan.com; www.votepatsullivan.com

Ted Cooke
(Prefers Republican Party)

Elected Experience
PCO 2009 to present, COV 3244, COV 1127; previous director Timberlane Home Owners Association, Covington.

Other Professional Experience
Senior Software Tester, Lab Manager

Education
Bachelor of Theology, Beacon University, 2000

Community Service
Past director for Portable Academic Lapdesk (African education non-profit), Generational Hope Church Young Adults Leader; Earth Day volunteer; short term missions including: building a school in Waspam, Nicaragua, college instruction in Suva, Fiji, cleanup on the Colville Indian Reservation; past volunteer for charities including: Vine Maple Place women’s shelter, Union Gospel Mission, Maple Valley Food Bank; former Royal Ranger leader, former WSU Women’s Intramural Football Coach.

Statement
Devoted husband, father of five, senior software tester and 30+ year community volunteer. I’ve known the poverty of starting out and prosperity of working to see dreams come true. I’m putting lessons learned to work for you.

I support education choice for parents, charters and vouchers; right to work for workers; only one state business tax, based on profitability; new roads and congestion relief over boutique rail; two thirds majority to raise taxes; cross-state insurance competition; eliminating road tolling; second amendment rights; protecting all human life; expanding conventional energy; equal treatment under the law.

Contact
(206) 779-8495; ted.cooke@outlook.com; www.tedcooke.org
Judicial Qualifications & Responsibilities

Washington judges are nonpartisan. Judicial candidates must be in good standing to practice law in Washington and are prohibited from statements that appear to commit them on legal issues that may come before them in court. Judges must be registered Washington voters.

State Supreme Court Justice
The Washington Supreme Court is the highest judiciary in the state. State Supreme Court justices hear appeals and decide cases from Courts of Appeals and other lower courts. Nine justices are elected statewide to serve six-year terms.

Court of Appeals Judge
Court of Appeals judges hear appeals from Superior Courts. A total of 22 judges serve three divisions headquartered in Seattle, Tacoma, and Spokane. Each division is further split into three districts. Court of Appeals judges serve six-year terms.

Superior Court Judge
Superior Courts hear felony criminal cases, civil matters, divorces, juvenile cases, and appeals from the lower courts. Superior Courts are organized by county into 30 districts. Superior Court judges serve four-year terms.

Candidate statements are printed exactly as submitted. The Office of the Secretary of State does not make corrections of any kind or verify statements for truth or fact.
Susan Owens  
(Nonpartisan)

Legal/Judicial Experience
Washington State Supreme Court Justice; former District Court Judge, Western Clallam County; former Chief Judge, Quileute Tribe; former Chief Judge, Lower Elwha S’Klallam Tribe

Other Professional Experience
Member, Rules Committee, Bench-Bar-Press Committee, and the Board for Judicial Administration

Education
BA, Duke University; JD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Community Service
Justice Owens has trained judges nationally from Anchorage to Albuquerque on domestic violence issues, and participated in the writing of the Northwest Tribal Judges Domestic Violence Manual. She has also lectured at the National College of Prosecuting Attorneys’ Domestic Violence Conference, and is committed to this very important area of law.

Statement
“I bring diverse judicial experience and a commitment to upholding our laws and Constitution to my job as a Supreme Court Justice. I'm a proud, independent voice for common sense rulings that respect our rights and communities.”

Supreme Court Justice Susan Owens has served with integrity, independence and a strong commitment to our Constitutional rights. Prior to being elected to the Supreme Court in 2000, Justice Owens served on the Clallam County District Court for nearly two decades. An advocate for crime victims and families, she earned a national reputation teaching judges how to enforce tougher domestic violence laws.

One of the most productive Justices, authoring numerous important opinions on complex cases, Justice Owens has served with honor and the respect of her peers. Her plain interpretations of the law are rooted in common sense, free of bias, and seek to respect your rights and privacy.

A seasoned judge when she joined the court, she has earned the respect and endorsements of judges statewide, advocates for women, crime victims, working families and law enforcement. Re-elect Justice Susan Owens.

Contact
(360) 866-6052; sowens@olypen.com; www.reelectjusticesusanowens.com
Legal/Judicial Experience
Practicing Attorney since 1999. Licensed in Multiple Jurisdictions. Practiced in Multiple Countries. Hawaii Supreme Court Annexed Arbitrator from 2003-2009

Other Professional Experience
Professor of Accounting-Hawaii Business College; Merrill Lynch (Once World’s Largest Brokerage) Midmarkets Securities Trading Desk; Developed Numerous Real Estate Projects; Housing Association Director; Chief Executive Office (Private Equity/Non-Profit Charitable Organizations) Real Estate Principal Broker

Education
Juris Doctor and Masters of Business Administration-University of Hawaii Bachelor’s Degree in Real Estate and Accounting-University of Hawaii

Community Service
Donated Numerous Generous Scholarships; Funded Multiple Missionary/Humanitarian Organizations; Conducted Free Legal Seminars for Immigrants and other less privileged members of society

Statement
The 2 most important qualities of a Judge in order of importance 1:Fairness 2: Real world experience. Nathan Choi owes no political party or special interest Quid Pro Quo. This is the cause of the current constitutional crisis in our Nation’s Capital. Why else does one judge rule in opposite of another under identical written laws? I am a Patriot. My allegiance is to you.
Nathan Choi is the most experienced candidate to resolve current vital issues in Washington. The housing problem can be resolved with proper interpretation and implementation of laws. The Supreme Court is in the special position to interpret legislative laws to positively impact the public. The Judiciary needs Real World Experience how rulings affect developers, business, and the public. I have litigated and developed housing and know exactly how they create or eliminate affordable housing and other legal problems.
The Judiciary needs an understanding of economics, tax regulations and the ripple effects of their decisions. I am the only candidate who has successfully developed Real Estate and understands the Macro Economics of legal decisions and will apply the law without bias and for the benefit of the public. Learn more at WAjudicialwatchdog.org.

Contact
(425) 691-6559; kanakavaivai@gmail.com; www.nathanchoiforjudge.org

Legal/Judicial Experience
Current Supreme Court Justice. Ten years as King County Superior Court Judge. Former Assistant US Attorney, Domestic Violence Prosecutor, and business lawyer.

Other Professional Experience
Chair, statewide Access to Justice Board and Interpreter Commission. Chair, Traveling Court and Court Security Committees. National instructor on prosecuting international terrorism. State Constitutional Law Instructor at Gonzaga University.

Education

Community Service
Board member, Washington Leadership Institute, Northwest Minority Job Fair. Regularly teaches civics in schools across Washington, and mentors students.

Statement
Justice Steve Gonzalez is a husband and father with a distinguished career serving the people of Washington and protecting the integrity of our judicial system. He writes clear opinions that support our rights and the rule of law.
He spent a decade as a King County Superior Court Judge and earned a reputation as a fierce advocate for judicial access and fairness. As a lawyer, he prosecuted terrorism, hate crimes, and domestic violence. He was also a business attorney and regularly did free work for people who could not pay.
Justice Gonzalez was named “Outstanding Judge of the Year” by several organizations, including the Washington State Bar. He is rated “Exceptionally Well Qualified” by ten professional and civic organizations, including the Veterans Bar, Joint Asian Bar, and Washington Women Lawyers.
Justice Gonzalez has bipartisan support. He is endorsed by his Supreme Court colleagues, Attorney General Bob Ferguson, former Attorney General Rob McKenna, Congresswomen Pramila Jayapal and Suzan DelBene, former Governor Gary Locke, Secretary of State Kim Wyman, Senator Bob Hasegawa, Representative Sharon Tomiko Santos, former Representative Velma Veloria, former King County Executive Ron Sims, judges statewide; State Labor Council, State Fire Fighters, State Patrol; legislative districts across the state.

Contact
(206) 707-9239; info@justicegonzalez.com; justicegonzalez.com
Sheryl Gordon McCloud
(Nonpartisan)

**Legal/Judicial Experience**
Supreme Court Justice since 2012; nearly 30 years as an accomplished trial and appellate lawyer; former adjunct professor, Seattle University School of Law

**Other Professional Experience**
Chair, Gender & Justice Commission; member, State Bar Association's Council on Public Defense; Washington Women Lawyers President's Award recipient. Prior to service on the Court, recipient of William O. Douglas Award presented by the Washington Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers for “extraordinary courage and dedication” to justice

**Education**
J.D., University of Southern California Law Center; B.A., State University of New York at Buffalo, cum laude

**Community Service**
Frequent speaker at school, community, and court-related events

**Statement**
Justice McCloud was elected to the Supreme Court in 2012 after a long career fighting for constitutional and individual rights, often for people who could not afford a lawyer.

Now, she is an experienced Supreme Court Justice. Her fairness, hard work, clear writing, and intellect have earned her awards, endorsements, and “exceptionally well qualified” ratings from groups with varying points of view across the state. She is endorsed by Democrats, Republicans, Independents, and community leaders – all who believe in the importance of an independent judiciary.

Justice McCloud remains dedicated to equal rights and access to justice for all. She believes this is a time when all of us, regardless of our political views, must stand together in defending our right to a fair and independent judiciary – a right vital to our democracy.

Endorsements: Attorney General Bob Ferguson; former Attorney General Rob McKenna; former U.S. Attorneys Mike McKay and John McKay; 12 current & former Supreme Court justices and over 150 judges statewide; National Women’s Political Caucus of Washington; Washington State Labor Council; State Patrol Troopers Association; State Council of Firefighters; King County Democrats; See more: www.justicesherylmccloud.com; Rated “Exceptionally Well Qualified” by 10 independent Bar Associations

**Contact**
(425) 466-0619; justicesherylmccloud@gmail.com; www.justicesherylmccloud.com
Beth M. Andrus
(Nonpartisan)

Legal/Judicial Experience
Appointed by Gov. Jay Inslee to the Washington Court of Appeals, effective June 1, 2018; King County Superior Court Judge (2010-2018); attorney in private practice (1989-2010); federal law clerk (1988-1989). Judge Andrus has extensive experience in criminal, civil, family law, employment, commercial, and construction cases.

Other Professional Experience
Mental health worker in private psychiatric hospital while attending college (1981-1985).

Education
B.A., Wayne State University (1985); J.D., University of Minnesota (1988); Masters of Judicial Studies, University of Nevada, Reno (2016).

Community Service
No information submitted

Statement
Judge Andrus was appointed by Governor Christine Gregoire to the King County Superior Court in 2010 following a 21-year career in private practice. In 2018, Gov. Inslee appointed her to a seat on the Court of Appeals. Judge Andrus is respected for her commitment to the rule of law, excellence in judicial decisions, her calm demeanor, intelligence, impartiality, and her work ethic on the bench. In appointing Judge Andrus to the Court of Appeals, Gov. Inslee said,

“Beth has a range of legal experience that will serve the community well. She is known as a problem solver who can find a path forward through even the most complicated challenges.”

Notably, in 2016, Judge Andrus was nominated by President Obama to be a federal judge in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington; however, her nomination expired without Senate action when President Obama’s term in office ended. Judge Andrus has remained a highly regarded judge in the courts of Washington State and now serves on the Court of Appeals.

Judge Andrus has been endorsed by more than 100 other judges statewide and dozens of attorneys who are familiar with her work.

Contact
(425) 466-0619; judgeandrus@gmail.com;
www.judgeandrus.com
Marlin Appelwick
(Nonpartisan)

Legal/Judicial Experience
Judge, Court of Appeals, 1998-present; Attorney, private practice, 1980-1998; State Representative, 46th Legislative District, 1983-1998

Other Professional Experience

Education
Juris Doctor, University of Washington School of Law, 1979; Bachelor of Science/Arts, Minnesota State University-Mankato, 1976

Community Service
Seattle-Lake City Lions Club; Lawforwa.org Board; Faith Lutheran Church

Statement
Thank you for the privilege of serving on the Court of Appeals for the past 20 years.

Your right of appeal to a three-judge panel of the Court of Appeals is a critical part of the safety net that protects your rights. The large volume of cases and tremendous variety of civil and criminal issues makes the job very challenging. My experience while a lawyer in private practice and during 16 years in the legislature prepared me well for the breadth of issues. I have thoroughly enjoyed working with the other members of the court to make just decisions. I strive to write opinions that are clear and persuasive.

I believe a judge should serve the community in ways beyond the decisions made on the bench. I work hard on court budget matters and participate actively in judicial administration. I remain committed to making information about the law more accessible to the public. And, I will continue to promote public awareness of our civic rights and responsibilities through the Council on Public Legal Education.

I ask for your vote of confidence and the opportunity to serve you for another term.

Contact
reelectjudgeappelwick@comcast.net
Coming July 2019

Voter registration laws will change in time for next year’s Primary.

Starting July 2019... New Voter Registration Deadlines

8 days before Election Day: To register by mail or online, your application must be received no later than 8 days before Election Day.

Election Day: Visit a local voting center to register or update your address in person no later than 8 p.m. on Election Day.

Future Voter Sign-up

Also starting in July 2019, sixteen and seventeen year olds can sign up as Future Voters and will be registered to vote when they turn eighteen.

Automatic Voter Registration

Applicants who meet all qualifications will be registered to vote when receiving or renewing an enhanced driver’s license or identicard, unless they opt out. Starting July 2019.

For full bill information visit app.leg.wa.gov/billinfo
SSB 6021, 2SHB 1513, and E2SB 2595
Vote in Honor of a Vet

Our right to vote is protected by the extraordinary men and women of the U.S. Armed Forces. Now is your chance to thank them for their service!

The Office of the Secretary of State invites you to recognize active military and veterans from Washington State by posting a personal story and a photo. We’ll send you a pin to wear proudly in respect and gratitude for your veteran.

You can participate in 3 easy steps

1. Visit our website vote.wa.gov/vet
2. Upload your story and a picture
3. You will receive a pin to wear on Election Day

Share your story!
vote.wa.gov/vet
How do I read measure text?
Language in double parentheses with a line through it is existing state law; it will be taken out of the law if this measure is approved by voters.
((sample of text to be deleted))
Underlined language does not appear in current state law but will be added to the law if this measure is approved by voters.
sample of text to be added

Complete Text
Initiative Measure No. 1631

AN ACT Relating to reducing pollution by investing in clean air, clean energy, clean water, healthy forests, and healthy communities; and adding a new chapter to Title 70 RCW.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS. The people of the state of Washington make the following findings and determinations:

(1) The intent of this chapter is to protect Washington for our children, our grandchildren, and future generations by quickly and effectively reducing pollution and addressing its negative impacts.

(2) Fossil fuel consumption and related pollution contribute directly to climate change and the regional effects of global warming, which harm Washington's health, economy, natural resources, environment, and communities. This harm includes, but is not limited to, intensified storms, droughts, sea level rise, increased flooding, more frequent and severe wildfires, and other adverse impacts to forests, agriculture, wildlife, fisheries, rivers, and the marine environment.

(3) Investments in clean air, clean energy, clean water, healthy forests, and healthy communities will facilitate the transition away from fossil fuels, reduce pollution, and create an environment that protects our children, families, and neighbors from the adverse impacts of pollution. Funding these investments through a fee on large emitters of pollution based on the amount of pollution they contribute is fair and makes sense. A pollution fee offsets and alleviates burdens to which those emitters directly contribute.

(4) The transition to the clean energy economy will have tremendous economic and job growth benefits. Washington's tradition of innovation and technology development combined with the funding available under this chapter will increase economic opportunity, enhance economic and environmental sustainability, and create and support family-sustaining jobs across the state. The business community will play a critical role in leading this transition and in reducing pollution.

(5) Both pollution itself and transitioning to a society that prioritizes clean air, clean energy, clean water, healthy forests, and healthy communities disproportionately impact some people, workers, and communities more than others, including communities within pollution and health action areas. The use of a pollution fee to offset and alleviate those impacts is appropriate to ensure a successful and just transition.

(6) The investments authorized in this chapter constitute the purchase of pollution reduction and the protection of Washington's clean air, clean water, healthy forests, and healthy communities.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. SHORT TITLE. This act may be known and cited as the Protect Washington Act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. CLEAN UP POLLUTION FUND. (1) The clean up pollution fund is created in the state treasury. All receipts collected from the pollution fee imposed by this chapter must be deposited in the fund. The department of revenue is authorized to create subfunds or subaccounts as may be necessary or appropriate to implement the purposes of this chapter. Receipts collected from the pollution fee imposed by this chapter may only be spent after appropriation into the clean up pollution fund.

(2) After reasonable administrative costs:

(a) Seventy percent of total expenditures under this act must be used for the clean air and clean energy investments authorized under section 4 of this act;

(b) Twenty-five percent of total expenditures under this act must be used for the clean water and healthy forests investments authorized under section 5 of this act; and

(c) Five percent of total expenditures under this act must be used for the healthy communities investments authorized under section 6 of this act.

(3) The board may authorize deviation from the allocations in subsection (2) of this section if there are an insufficient number of interested or eligible programs, activities, or projects seeking funding or if the board otherwise determines that variance from the prescribed allocation is critically important to achieve the purposes of this chapter.

(4) Compliance with the allocations required in subsection (2) of this section may be calculated based upon the average expenditures from the fund over any four-year period.

(5) In addition to the requirements of subsection (2) of this section, each year the total investments made under this chapter must meet the following requirements:

(a) A minimum of thirty-five percent of total investments authorized under this chapter must provide direct and meaningful benefits to pollution and health action areas.
(b) A minimum of ten percent of the total investments authorized under this chapter must fund programs, activities, or projects that are located within the boundaries of and provide direct and meaningful benefits to pollution and health action areas. An investment that meets the requirements of both this subsection (5)(b) and of (a) of this subsection may count towards the requisite minimum percentage for both subsections.

(c) A minimum of ten percent of the total investments authorized under this chapter must be used for programs, activities, or projects formally supported by a resolution of an Indian tribe, with priority given to otherwise qualifying projects directly administered or proposed by an Indian tribe. An investment that meets the requirements of both this subsection (5)(c) and of (a) of this subsection may count towards the requisite minimum percentage for both subsections. However, investments under this subsection (5)(c) are in addition to, and may not count towards, the requisite minimum percentage for (b) of this subsection. Programs, activities, or projects for which credits are authorized pursuant to section 4(6) of this act may, but are not required to, count towards the requisite minimum percentage for this subsection (5)(c).

(d) For the purposes of this subsection, “benefits” means investments or activities that:

(i) Reduce vulnerable population characteristics, environmental burdens, or associated risks that contribute significantly to the cumulative impact designation of the pollution and health action area;

(ii) Meaningfully protect the pollution and health action area from, or support community response to, the impacts of climate change; or

(iii) Meet a community need identified by vulnerable members of the community that is consistent with the intent of this chapter and endorsed by the environmental and economic justice panel.

(6) The expenditure of moneys under this chapter must be consistent with applicable federal, state, and local laws, and treaty rights, including but not limited to prohibitions on uses of public funds imposed by the state Constitution.

(7) Public entities, including but not limited to state agencies, municipal corporations, and federally recognized tribes, and not-for-profit and for-profit private entities are eligible to receive investment funds authorized under this chapter.

(8) Funding under this chapter and credits authorized under section 4(6) of this act may be invested in pilot tests and other market and technology development projects that are designed to test the effectiveness of the proposed project, program, or technology.

NEW SECTION. Sec 4. CLEAN AIR AND CLEAN ENERGY INVESTMENTS.

(1) The clean air and clean energy account is created in the state treasury. All moneys directed to the account from the clean up pollution fund created in section 3 of this act must be deposited in the account. Money in the account must be used for programs, activities, or projects that yield or facilitate verifiable reductions in pollution or assist affected workers or people with lower incomes during the transition to a clean energy economy, including but not limited to:

(a) Programs, activities, or projects that deploy eligible renewable energy resources, such as solar and wind power;

(b) Programs, activities, or projects, including self-directed investments, that increase the energy efficiency or reduce carbon emissions of industrial facilities, including but not limited to proposals to implement combined heat and power, district energy, or on-site renewables, such as solar and wind power, to upgrade existing equipment to more efficient models, to reduce process emissions, and to switch to less carbon-intensive fuel sources, especially converting fossil fuel sources of energy to nonfossil fuel sources;

(c) Programs, activities, or projects, including self-directed investments, that increase energy efficiency in new and existing buildings, with a goal of creating carbon neutral buildings across the state;

(d) Programs, activities, or projects that reduce transportation-related carbon emissions, including but not limited to programs, activities, or projects that:

(i) Accelerate the deployment of zero-emission fleets and vehicles, including off-road and maritime vehicles, create zero-emission vehicle refueling infrastructure, or deploy grid infrastructure to integrate electric vehicles and charging equipment;

(ii) Reduce vehicle miles traveled or increase public transportation, including investing in public transit, transportation demand management, nonmotorized transportation, affordable transit-oriented housing, and high-speed rural broadband to facilitate telecommuting options such as telemedicine or online job training; or

(iii) Increase fuel efficiency in vehicles and vessels where options to convert to zero-emissions, low-carbon fuels, or public transportation are cost-prohibitive and unavailable;

(e) Programs, activities, or projects that improve energy efficiency, including programs, activities, or projects related to developing the demand side management of electricity, district energy, or heating and cooling, and investments in market transformation of energy efficiency products;

(f) Programs, activities, or projects that replace the use of natural gas with gas not derived from fossil fuels, including but not limited to biomethane and synthetic gas. Programs, activities, or projects may include investments that address the incremental cost of nonfossil fuel gas or investments that expand the manufacture or delivery of nonfossil fuel gas;

(g) Programs, activities, or projects that deploy distributed generation, energy storage, demand side management technologies, and other grid modernization projects; or
(h) Programs, activities, or projects that result in sequestration of carbon, including but not limited to sequestration in aquatic marine and freshwater natural resources, agricultural lands and soils, terrestrial, riparian, and aquatic habitats, and working forests. Funding under this subsection (1)(h) may not fund legally required land management responsibilities, such as requirements under the forest practices act or other pertinent land use regulations.

(2)(a) The department of commerce, working with the panels, the Washington State University extension energy program, the department of transportation, and in consultation with the utilities and transportation commission, investor-owned and consumer-owned utilities, and other experts and agencies, and after review of other states’ plans to reduce carbon pollution or investment strategies for greenhouse gas reduction, shall develop pollution reduction investment plans and proposed rules that describe the process and criteria to disburse funds from the clean air and clean energy account in compliance with this section. All investment plans and proposed rules required by this subsection must follow this same process.

(i) The department of commerce shall propose and submit to the board for approval an initial investment plan, processes, and procedures for investments made under this section, which the board shall review and approve by January 1, 2020. The investment plan, processes, and procedures govern investments made under this section until the permanent investment plan required by (a)(ii) of this subsection is adopted by rule.

(ii) By January 1, 2022, the department of commerce shall draft and submit to the board a permanent investment plan and proposed rules for the board to review and approve through the rule-making process. Upon adoption of the final rules by the board, the adopted investment plan supersedes the initial investment plan authorized under (a)(i) of this subsection.

(iii) The department of commerce shall propose updates to the permanent investment plan and proposed rules every four years for review and approval by the board through the rule-making process.

(b) The investment plans must prescribe a competitive project selection process that results in a balanced portfolio of investments containing a wide range of technology, sequestration, and emission reduction solutions that efficiently and effectively reduce the state’s carbon emissions from 2018 levels by a minimum of twenty million metric tons by 2035 and a minimum of fifty million metric tons by 2050 while creating economic, environmental, and health benefits. The emission reductions to be achieved under the plan should, in combination with reductions achieved under other state policies, achieve emissions reductions that are consistent with the state’s proportional share of global carbon reductions that will limit global temperature increases to two degrees centigrade and preferably below one and one-half degrees centigrade.

(3)(a) For investments authorized under subsection (1)(h) of this section:

(i) The department of natural resources shall develop proposed procedures, criteria, and rules for a program to sequester carbon through blue carbon projects.

(ii) The department of agriculture shall develop proposed procedures, criteria, and rules for a program to increase soil sequestration and reduce emissions from the loss and disturbance of soils, including the conversion of grassland and cropland soils to urban development.

(iii) The recreation and conservation office shall develop proposed procedures, criteria, and rules for a grant program that funds projects to prevent the conversion and fragmentation of working forests, farmland, and natural habitats of all types; expands habitat and working forest connectivity; promotes reforestation; funds the acquisition of permanent conservation easements or fee simple title with deed restrictions that result in increased forest carbon sequestration through the implementation of improved forest management practices that safeguard ecological benefits, protect habitat, and provide sustainable jobs in rural communities; and supports management activities that improve landscape-scale ecological functions to protect water, soils, and habitat for fish, wildlife, and plants and reduce potential for emissions of greenhouse gases. The program must prioritize and rank projects that effectively capture and store carbon and provide a diversity of additional ecological benefits.

(b) Procedures and criteria for the programs, activities, or projects created under (a)(ii) and (iii) of this subsection must retain sufficient flexibility to serve as a source of matching funds from other sources and to allow for a portion of the funds awarded to provide for the long-term costs of stewardship obligations on lands protected under those programs, activities, or projects.

(c) The proposed procedures, criteria, and rules for the programs, activities, or projects created under (a)(ii) and (iii) of this subsection must be developed in consultation with the panels and must be submitted to the board for final review and approval by January 1, 2020.

(4)(a) There must be sufficient investments made from the clean air and clean energy account to prevent or eliminate the increased energy burden of people with lower incomes as a result of actions to reduce pollution, including the pollution fees collected from large emitters under this chapter. At a minimum, fifteen percent of the clean air and clean energy account is dedicated to investments that directly reduce the energy burden of people with lower incomes. Additional funds from the clean air and clean energy account must be allocated for program development, recruitment, enrollment, and administration to achieve the intent of this subsection. Investments are in addition to programs, activities, or projects funded through credits authorized under subsection (6) of this section. After the first effectiveness report is issued, the environmental and economic justice
panel may make recommendations to the board on measures to better achieve the intent of this subsection.

(b) The department of commerce or, for credits authorized pursuant to subsection (6) of this section, a light and power business or gas distribution business shall:

(i) In meaningful consultation with people with lower incomes and with the environmental and economic justice panel, develop a draft plan that identifies programs, activities, or projects that achieve the intent of this subsection and maximize the number of people with lower incomes benefiting at levels appropriate to need. The draft plan must be submitted to the board for final review and approval.

(ii) Prioritize programs, activities, and projects that create the following sustained energy burden reductions:

(A) Energy affordability through bill assistance programs and other similar programs;

(B) Reductions in dependence on fossil fuels used for transportation, including public and shared transportation for access and mobility;

(C) Reductions in household energy consumption, such as weatherization; and

(D) Community renewable energy projects that allow qualifying participants to own or receive the benefits of those projects at reduced or no cost.

(iii) In consultation with community-based nonprofit organizations and Indian tribes as appropriate, design and implement comprehensive enrollment campaigns that are language and culturally appropriate to inform and enroll people with lower incomes in the assistance programs that the department may contract with third parties to carry out the requirements of this subsection.

(c) Programs, activities, or projects that count toward the expenditures required by section 3(5)(a) of this act may not be counted toward the minimum expenditures required by this subsection.

(5) Within four years of the effective date of this section, a minimum balance of fifty million dollars of the clean air and energy investment account must be set aside, replenished annually, and maintained for a worker-support program for bargaining unit and nonsupervisory fossil fuel workers who are affected by the transition away from fossil fuels to a clean energy economy. The department of commerce, in consultation with the environmental and economic justice panel, may allocate additional moneys from the fund if necessary to meet the needs of eligible workers in the event of unforeseen or extraordinary amounts of dislocation.

(a) Worker support may include but is not limited to full wage replacement, health benefits, and pension contributions for every worker within five years of retirement; full wage replacement, health benefits, and pension contributions for every worker with at least one year of service for each year of service up to five years of service; wage insurance for up to five years for workers reemployed who have more than five years of service; up to two years of retraining costs including tuition and related costs, based on in-state community and technical college costs; peer counseling services during transition; employment placement services, prioritizing employment in the clean energy sector; relocation expenses; and any other services deemed necessary by the environmental and economic justice panel.

(b) The department of commerce, in consultation with the environmental and economic justice panel, shall develop draft rules, procedures, and criteria, to identify affected workers and administer this program. These draft rules, procedures, and criteria must be submitted to the board for final review and approval through the rule-making process.

(6)(a) A qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business may claim credits for up to one hundred percent of the pollution fees for which it is liable under this chapter. Credits may be authorized for, and in advance of, investment in programs, activities, or projects consistent with a clean energy investment plan that has been approved by the utilities and transportation commission, for investor-owned utilities and gas distribution businesses, or the department of commerce, for consumer-owned utilities.

(b) Clean energy investment plans must be developed by a qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business in meaningful collaboration with stakeholders, including the board and the panels. The qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business shall solicit public input and submit the clean energy investment plan for review and approval by the commission, for investor-owned utilities and gas distribution businesses, or the department, for consumer-owned utilities.

(c) To receive approval, the clean energy investment plan must:

(i) Identify investments aligned with the pollution reduction investment plan, targets, and goals authorized under and identified in subsection (2) of this section. Eligible investments include:

(A) Those categories listed in subsection (1)(a) through (g) of this section;

(B) A customer education and outreach program to promote widespread participation by consumers and businesses;

(C) The accelerated depreciation of a fossil fuel-fired generator owned by a light and power business, limited to thirty percent of credits authorized under a clean energy investment plan, if:

(I) The accelerated depreciation schedule includes recovery of all plant-in-service costs of the light and power business that owns or controls the plant associated with the fossil fuel-fired generator;

(II) The plant is replaced with renewable resources or de-
mand side resources that emit no greenhouse gases; and

(iii) The accelerated depreciation schedule and replacement power plan is included in a clean energy investment plan approved by the commission;

(D) Replacing all or a part of the debt financing portion of a capital investment made in the development of eligible renewable energy resources if doing so lowers the cost of financing and the construction of the capital investment commences after the effective date of this section;

(E) For a qualifying gas distribution business, purchasing alternative carbon reduction units. Alternative carbon reduction units are available only if a gas distribution business demonstrates in its clean energy investment plan that it has pursued all other available investment opportunities. No more than ten percent of the pollution fee owed in a given year may be reduced by purchasing alternative carbon reduction units. A qualifying gas distribution business must demonstrate that any carbon reduction unit it purchased verifiably reduced carbon emissions within the state, created benefits, as defined in section (3)(5)(d) of this act, within pollution and health action areas, and was developed in meaningful consultation with vulnerable populations. Alternative carbon reduction units are available only during the ten years immediately following the effective date of this section;

(ii) Identify sufficient investments to eliminate net increases in energy burden of customers that are people with lower incomes as a result of actions to reduce pollution, including the requirements of this act. At a minimum, fifteen percent of credits must be dedicated to investments that directly reduce energy burden on people with lower incomes. Additional funds must be allocated for program development, recruitment, enrollment, and administration to achieve the intent of this subsection. These investments must be consistent with subsection (4) of this section;

(iii) Demonstrate how the requirements of section 3(5)(a) of this act have been met and the criteria in section 7 of this act, excluding subsection (1)(d) of that section, have been given priority in the development of the plan;

(iv) Describe a long-term strategy to eliminate any fee obligation imposed by this chapter on electricity and minimize any fee obligation on natural gas;

(v) Provide performance metrics, including performance metrics designed to measure pollution reduction achieved, energy burden reduction benefits supplied, and other indicators of progress in achieving the purposes of this chapter. Performance metrics must cover the life of the plan;

(vi) Demonstrate that expenditures in the plan are in addition to existing programs and expenditures necessary to meet other emissions reduction, energy conservation, low income, or renewable energy requirements in the absence of this chapter and incremental to investments or expenditures that the light and power business or gas distribution business would have pursued in the absence of the plan and the requirements of this chapter; and

(vii) Describe methods of addressing shortfalls of previous plans in achieving the requirements set forth in this subsection (6)(c).

(d) The department and the commission may choose to approve the entire plan or only parts of a plan and authorize credits only for the approved segments. The department, the commission, and the board may confer with and provide recommendations to one another prior to the approval of a clean energy investment plan. The department and the commission may make determinations based on the efficacy of the plan, including appropriate comparison to carbon reduction and other outcomes that are projected to be achieved under the state’s pollution reduction investment plan developed under subsection (2) of this section, results of the effectiveness report developed under section 12 of this act, and other criteria they adopt.

(e) A light and power business or gas distribution business authorized to receive credits under this subsection must establish and maintain a separate clean energy investment account into which it must deposit amounts equal to the credits authorized under this section. Funds deposited into this account must be expended during the year in which the funds were collected from customers, the preceding year, or any of the three subsequent years, after which they must be remitted to the clean air and clean energy account.

(f) Upon approval of a clean energy investment plan, a qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business must expend moneys from its clean energy investment account in accordance with the approved clean energy investment plan, with the oversight of the commission or department. A light and power business or gas distribution business must submit annual reports to the commission or department that include, at a minimum, the status of the plan and an evaluation of whether its investments have achieved the performance metrics identified in the clean energy investment plan.

(g) If the commission or the department determines that a plan did not meet a performance metric, the commission or department may require the light and power business or gas distribution business to remit remaining credits dedicated for the nonperforming plan or components to the clean air and clean energy account and may deny future plans unless they meet the requirements of this subsection.

(h) To maintain eligibility to receive a credit for fees, a qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business must submit and receive approval of an updated clean energy investment plan every two years.

(i) An investor-owned light and power business or gas distribution business may not earn a rate of return from the portion of investments paid with credits under this section.

(j) Credits may not support programs, activities, or projects that are otherwise legally required by federal, state, or local laws, or that are required as a result of a legal settlement or other action binding on the potential recipient of
the funds. Credits may not be used to supplant existing funding for related programs.

(k) A qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business is authorized to use a reasonable portion of credits for necessary administrative costs related to the requirements of this subsection, including the development and implementation of an approved clean energy investment plan.

(l) For the purposes of this subsection, a qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business may request that within one hundred twenty days the department of health designate additional pollution and health action areas located in the service area of the qualifying light and power business or gas distribution business.

(m) Credited fees in the clean energy investment account are considered gross operating revenue for the purpose of RCW 80.24.010, and may not be considered gross income for the purposes of chapters 82.04 and 82.16 RCW. In addition to fees paid pursuant to RCW 80.24.010 on credited fees in the clean energy investment account, each investor-owned utility must pay an annual fee set by the commission annually through order of up to one percent of credited fees deposited in the clean energy investment account to pay for the commission’s reasonable cost of administering this subsection.

(n) The commission and department must adopt rules concerning the process, timelines, reporting, committees, standards, and documentation required to ensure proper implementation of this subsection. These rules must allow for stakeholder contribution to the clean energy investment plans and establish requirements for review, approval, performance metrics, and independent monitoring and evaluation of a clean energy investment plan of a light and power business or gas distribution business.

(o) The amount of credits authorized and spent under this subsection counts towards the minimum percentage of investments required by section 3(2)(a) of this act.

(p) The definitions in this subsection (6)(p) apply throughout this subsection unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

(i) “Commission” means the utilities and transportation commission.

(ii) “Department” means the department of commerce.

(7) Funding made available for programs, activities, or projects under this section must be additive to existing funding and may not supplant funding otherwise available.

(8) The expenditures of funds under this section may not support programs, activities, or projects that are otherwise legally required by federal, state, or local laws, or that are required as a result of a legal settlement or other legal action or court order binding on the potential recipient of the funds.

NEW SECTION  Sec. 5. CLEAN WATER AND HEALTHY FORESTS INVESTMENTS. (1) The clean water and healthy forests account is created in the state treasury. All moneys directed to the account from the clean up pollution fund created in section 3 of this act must be deposited in the account. Moneys in the account are intended to increase the resiliency of the state’s waters and forests to the impacts of climate change. Moneys in the account must be spent in a manner that is consistent with existing and future assessment of climate risks and resilience from the scientific community and expressed concerns of and impacts to pollution and health action areas.

(2) Moneys in the account may be allocated for the following purposes:

(a) Clean water investments that improve resilience from climate impacts.

(i) Funding under this subsection (2)(a) must be used to:

(A) Restore and protect estuaries, fisheries, and marine shoreline habitats, and prepare for sea level rise;

(B) Increase the ability to remediate and adapt to the impacts of ocean acidification;

(C) Reduce flood risk and restore natural floodplain ecological function;

(D) Increase the sustainable supply of water and improve aquatic habitat, including groundwater mapping and modeling; or

(E) Improve infrastructure treating stormwater from previously developed areas within an urban growth boundary designated under chapter 36.70A RCW, with a preference given to projects that use green stormwater infrastructure.

(ii) Funding under this subsection (2)(a) proposed for projects in the Puget Sound basin must be reviewed by the Puget Sound partnership for consistency with the Puget Sound action agenda authorized under chapter 90.71 RCW. This review must be conducted in a manner that does not delay the approval of programs, activities, or projects under this subsection.

(iii) The departments of ecology, natural resources, fish and wildlife, the Puget Sound partnership, and the recreation and conservation office must jointly develop draft procedures, criteria, and rules for the program authorized under this subsection (2)(a).

(b) Healthy forests investments to improve resilience from climate impacts.

(i) Funding under this subsection (2)(b) must be used for projects and activities that will:

(A) Increase resilience to wildfire in the face of increased temperature and drought; or

(B) Improve forest health and reduce vulnerability to changes in hydrology, insect infestation, and other impacts of climate change.

(ii) The department of natural resources may consider supporting cross laminated timber and other mass timber technologies in support of this work.

(iii) The department of natural resources must develop draft procedures, criteria, and rules for the program authorized under this subsection (2)(b). Funding priority must be
given to programs, activities, or projects prioritized pursuant to RCW 76.06.200 and 79.10.530 across any combination of local, state, federal, tribal, and private ownerships.

(iv) The department of natural resources must adopt rigorous performance-based criteria and objectives for funding decisions under this subsection (2)(b), such as the number of acres burned or thinned or otherwise treated to improve forest health, acres of forest for which wildland fire prevention measures have been implemented, and the number of communities in the wildland urban interface for which wildfire resilience and defense measures have been implemented.

(3) Draft procedures, criteria, and rules required under this section must be developed in consultation with the clean water and healthy forests panel and must be submitted to the board for final review and approval subject to the rule-making process.

(4) Moneys in the account may not be used for projects that would violate tribal treaty rights or result in significant long-term damage to critical habitat or ecological functions. Investments from this account must result in long-term environmental benefit and increased resiliency to the impacts of climate change.

(5) Funding made available for projects under this section should be considered additive to existing funding and is not intended to supplant funding otherwise available for such projects.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. HEALTHY COMMUNITIES INVESTMENTS. (1) The healthy communities account is created in the state treasury. All moneys directed to the account from the clean up pollution fund created in section 3 of this act must be deposited in the account. Moneys in the account must be used for programs, activities, or projects to prepare communities for challenges caused by climate change and to ensure that the impacts of climate change are not disproportionately borne by certain populations. Investments from this account may be used for the following purposes, with first priority given to programs, activities, or projects eligible for funding under (a), (b), and (c) of this subsection:

(a) Enhancing community preparedness and awareness before, during, and after wildfires;
(b) Developing and implementing resources to support fire suppression, prevention, and recovery for tribal communities impacted or potentially impacted by wildfires;
(c) Relocating communities on tribal lands that are impacted by flooding and sea level rise; and
(d) Developing and implementing education programs and teacher professional development opportunities at public schools to expand awareness of and increase preparedness for the environmental, social, and economic impacts of climate change and strategies to reduce pollution.

(2) Funding under this section may not supplant federal funding or federal obligations otherwise required by law or treaty.

(3) The department of natural resources, in consultation with the environmental and economic justice panel, shall develop draft procedures, criteria, and rules for the programs authorized in subsection (1)(a) through (c) of this section. The procedures, criteria, and rules for the program authorized in subsection (1)(a) of this section must prioritize programs, activities, or projects that benefit communities with limited English proficiency and other vulnerable populations in communities at risk from wildfires.

(4) The superintendent of public instruction shall develop draft procedures, criteria, and rules for the program authorized in subsection (1)(d) of this section.

(5) Twenty percent of the healthy communities account must be reserved for developing community capacity to participate in the implementation of this chapter, including the preparation of funding proposals. Funds for this community capacity program must be allocated through a competitive process with a preference for projects proposed by vulnerable populations in pollution and health action areas and rural communities. Any Indian tribe that applies must receive up to two hundred thousand dollars per year to build tribal capacity to participate in the implementation of this chapter. The department of commerce shall work with the environmental and economic justice panel to develop draft procedures, criteria, and rules for this program.

(6) Proposed procedures, criteria, and rules prepared under this section must be sent to the board for final adoption, including through the rule-making process as appropriate.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. INVESTMENT CRITERIA. (1) After applying the account-specific criteria in sections 4, 5, and 6 of this act, preference must be given to investments authorized under section 3 of this act and credits authorized under section 4(6) of this act that meet one or more of the following investment criteria:

(a) Procurement and use of materials and content that have lower carbon emissions associated with their transportation and manufacturing, as determined through the best available reporting and assessment tools;
(b) Support of high quality labor standards, prevailing wage rates determined by local collective bargaining, apprenticeship and preapprenticeship utilization and preferred entry standards, community workforce agreements with priority local hire, procurement from women, veteran, and minority-owned businesses, procurement from and contracts with entities that have a history of complying with federal and state wage and hour laws and regulations, and other related labor standards;
(c) Reduction of worker and public exposure to emissions of air pollutants regulated under chapter 70.94 RCW, discharges of pollutants regulated under chapter 90.48 RCW, or releases of hazardous substances under chapter 70.105D RCW; and
(d) Reduction of pollution through strategies that reduce
vehicle miles traveled, including by reducing travel distances for people with lower incomes.

(2) Projects that satisfy multiple criteria in subsection (1) of this section receive first preference under this section.

**NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. POLLUTION FEE.** (1) A pollution fee is imposed on and must be collected from large emitters based on the carbon content of:

(a) Fossil fuels sold or used within this state; and

(b) Electricity generated within or imported for consumption in the state.

(2) The fee must be levied only once on a particular unit of fossil fuels or electricity.

(3) Beginning January 1, 2020, the pollution fee on large emitters is equal to fifteen dollars per metric ton of carbon content. Beginning January 1, 2021, the pollution fee on large emitters increases by two dollars per metric ton of carbon content each January 1st. The annual increase shall adjust for inflation each year. The pollution fee is fixed and no longer increases, except for annual increases for inflation, when the state’s 2035 greenhouse gas reduction goal is met and the state’s emissions are on a trajectory that indicates that compliance with the state’s 2050 goal is likely, as those goals exist or are subsequently amended, as determined by the board.

(4) In order to calculate the pollution fee on large emitters imposed by this chapter, by November 1, 2019, the department of ecology must, in consultation with the department of revenue, adopt emergency rules specifying the basis for the carbon content inherent in or associated with covered fossil fuels and electricity. In developing these rules, the department of ecology may consider, among other resources, the carbon dioxide content measurements for fossil fuels from the federal energy information administration and the federal environmental protection agency. The department of ecology may periodically update the rules specifying the carbon content of fossil fuels and electricity.

(5) For the generation or import of electricity from an unspecified source, the department of ecology, in consultation with the department of commerce, must select a default emission factor that maximizes the incentive for light and power businesses to specify power sources without also unduly burdening the ability to purchase electricity from the market.

(6) For power generated or imported by the Bonneville power administration, the department of ecology must publish a default emissions factor for sales into Washington state.

(7) A credit for the fee owed may be authorized as provided in section 4(6) of this act. The utilities and transportation commission and the department of commerce shall ensure that resources are not reallocated between customers, customer classes, or geographies for the purposes of artificially reducing the application of this fee without reducing actual pollution emissions and, in doing so, must also not unduly burden the ability of a light and power business or gas distribution business to transact with the market.

(8) The department of revenue is directed to collect the fee and is authorized to take actions it deems necessary to collect the pollution fee.

(9) To carry out the purposes of this chapter, the state is authorized to issue general obligation or revenue bonds within the limitations now or hereafter prescribed by the laws of this state, and may use, and is authorized to pledge, the moneys collected under this section for repayment of those bonds.

(10) The pollution fee owed by a large emitter may be assumed by a light and power business when it purchases electricity from that large emitter.

(11) When a large emitter purchases power from the Bonneville power administration, the larger emitter must assume the pollution fees, if any.

**NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. EXEMPTIONS.** (1) To ensure consistency with existing state and federal law and to facilitate the timely, feasible, and effective reduction of pollution under this chapter, the pollution fee imposed on large emitters does not apply to and may not be collected for:

(a) Fossil fuels brought into this state in the fuel supply tank of a motor vehicle, vessel, locomotive, or aircraft;

(b) Fossil fuels that are exported or sold for export outside of Washington. Export to a federally recognized Indian tribal reservation located within this state is not considered export outside of Washington;

(c) Fossil fuels directly or eventually supplied to a light and power business for purposes of generating electricity;

(d) Motor vehicle and special fuel currently exempt from taxation under RCW 82.38.080;

(e) Fossil fuels and electricity sold to and used onsite by facilities with a primary activity that falls into an EITE sector, including any facility primarily supporting one or more facilities falling into one or more EITE sectors such as administrative, engineering, or other office facilities, after the department of commerce has validated a facility’s designation within such sector or its supporting facility status in an EITE sector;

(f) Aircraft fuels as defined in RCW 82.42.010 and maritime fuels;

(g) Activities or property of Indian tribes and individual Indians that are exempt from state taxation as a matter of federal law and state law, whether by statute, rule, or compact, including but not limited to the exemptions listed in WAC 458-20-192. For motor vehicle fuel or special fuel sold on tribal lands, the fee may be included in any agreements under RCW 82.38.310;

(h) Diesel fuel, biodiesel fuel, or aircraft fuel when these fuels are used solely for agricultural purposes by a farm fuel user, as those terms are defined in RCW 82.08.865;

(i) Pollution emissions from a coal closure facility. For the purpose of this chapter, a “coal closure facility” is any facili-
ty that generates electricity through the combustion of coal as of the effective date of this section and:

(i) Is legally bound to comply with emissions performance standards as set forth in RCW 80.80.040 by December 31, 2025; or

(ii) Is legally bound to cease operation by December 31, 2025.

(2) For any electricity or fossil fuels subject to the fee imposed by this chapter that are also subject to a similar fee on carbon content imposed by another jurisdiction, the payer may take a credit against the fee imposed by this chapter up to the amount of the similar fee paid to the other jurisdiction if the payer petitions to and receives approval for the credit from the department of commerce.

(3) For electricity generated in Washington that is sold out of state to a jurisdiction that has a similar fee on carbon content, a large emitter may receive a credit equal to the amount of the fee in the receiving jurisdiction up to the amount of the fee owed under this chapter if the payer petitions to and receives approval for the credit from the department of commerce.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. PUBLIC OVERSIGHT BOARD AND CONSULTATION. (1) The public oversight board is established within the executive office of the governor. The purpose of the board is to ensure timely, effective, and efficient implementation of this chapter. The board must ensure robust public involvement, accountability, and transparency in the implementation of this chapter.

(2) The board has fifteen voting members, including the chair, the six cochairs of the panels, four at-large positions, the commissioner of public lands, and the directors of the department of commerce, the department of ecology, and the recreation and conservation office. The governor shall appoint the chair and the four at-large positions, one of which must be a tribal representative and one of which must represent vulnerable populations in pollution and health action areas, to achieve an overall board membership with appropriate expertise in pollution reduction. The at-large positions must serve staggered four-year terms. The department of health, the department of transportation, and the superintendent of public instruction are nonvoting members of the board.

(3) The board has the following powers and duties:

(a) Develop budget recommendations pursuant to the process set forth in chapter 43.88 RCW;

(b) Work with appropriate state agencies to utilize, where feasible, existing programs to deliver funding made available under this chapter;

(c) Evaluate the funding proposals developed by the state agencies and the panels and provide final approval of funding for programs and projects under this chapter at a public hearing;

(d) Adopt rules under chapter 34.05 RCW as necessary to carry out the purposes of this chapter;

(e) Review and approve procedures, criteria, and rules developed under the provisions of this chapter, the pollution reduction investment plan developed under section 4 of this act, and the effectiveness report required by section 12 of this act;

(f) Develop a tribal consultation process for programs, activities, or projects proposed for funding under this chapter consistent with subsection (9) of this section;

(g) Confer with the governor and the legislature regarding implementation of this chapter; and

(h) Carry out such other duties necessary for implementation of this chapter or that are delegated to the board.

(4) The board must be led by the chair of the board. The chair is a full-time staff person appointed by the governor and should be housed in the office of the governor. The chair should have experience in management and administration and expertise in and a demonstrated commitment to reducing pollution and transitioning to a clean energy economy.

(5) In addition to leading the board, the chair has, without limitation, the following duties and authorities:

(a) Drive implementation of programs, activities, or projects in a manner that achieves timely and effective pollution reduction and the other purposes of this chapter;

(b) Solicit analysis from any state agency or office on matters related to implementation of this chapter;

(c) Convene and preside over a climate subcabinet, consisting of representatives of the agencies with responsibility to implement portions of this chapter and the cochairs of the panels;

(d) Periodically brief the governor and legislative leaders regarding progress, challenges, and obstacles in implementing this chapter; and

(e) Hire staff as necessary to support the work of the chair and the board.

(6) Members of the board who are not state employees must be compensated in accordance with RCW 43.03.240 and are entitled to reimbursement individually for travel expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as members of the board in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060.

(7) All state agencies shall cooperate with and support the board as it implements this chapter. All state agencies shall complete their duties under this chapter and otherwise drive its implementation with a sense of urgency.

(8) To ensure timeliness, efficiency, and effectiveness, the board and the joint legislative audit and review committee shall jointly develop a schedule for periodic review and reporting regarding the implementation of this chapter.

(9) In furtherance of strengthening partnerships between the state and Indian tribes, achieving the goals set forth in this chapter, and to ensure mutual respect for the rights, interests, and obligations of each sovereign, this chapter must be construed to recognize and affirm the inherent sovereignty of Indian tribes, and to further the govern-
ment-to-government relationships between Indian tribes and the state as follows:

(a) Any state agency acting under the authority of this chapter or receiving funding under this chapter must consult with Indian tribes on all decisions that may directly affect Indian tribes and tribal lands including but not limited to activities such as rule making. That consultation must follow the agency's protocol for consultation with Indian tribes developed pursuant to the centennial accord and must occur independent of any public participation process required by state law or by the agency, regardless of whether the agency receives a request for consultation from an Indian tribe.

(b) Any project proposed for funding under this chapter that directly impacts tribal lands or usual and accustomed fishing areas must be subject to meaningful formal consultation with Indian tribes before the board approves disbursement of investment moneys for the project. Consultation must include all consultation required under state or federal law and the provisions of this section. The goal of consultation is to share information regarding the project to ensure a complete understanding of the project and to identify and address tribal concerns. The process for consultation must be as follows:

(i) Consultation with Indian tribes must be initiated when a project is being evaluated for funding by a panel.

(ii) Consultation is initiated upon receipt of a letter from the board or panel to the person identified by Indian tribes under RCW 43.376.050. If an Indian tribe does not respond within forty-five days of receipt of the letter, the board may conclude that the Indian tribe has declined consultation on the project. The board shall provide notice in a manner that ensures actual receipt by the tribe and provides clarity as to the commencement of the forty-five day period outlined herein.

(iii) Where an Indian tribe responds to the letter, the board must utilize the consultation process established by the board, including a mutually agreed timeline for completion of consultation. The consultation process runs concurrently with the panels' and board's evaluation of the project and must be completed prior to the date determined by the board to complete final funding decisions.

(iv) The board and the Indian tribe must work in good faith during the consultation process to reach consensus on whether the project should be funded.

(c) For programs, activities, or projects that directly impact tribal lands, the goal of the consultation process is to obtain free, prior, and informed consent for the project. For these programs, activities, or projects, consultation is complete when the Indian tribe’s government provides the board with a written resolution providing consent or withholding consent by the deadline set for completion of the consultation process.

(d) If any project that directly impacts tribal lands is funded under this chapter without complying with (b) and (c) of this subsection, upon a request by an Indian tribe, all further action on the project must cease until consultation with the Indian tribe is complete.

(e) Nothing in this subsection precludes a panel or the board from evaluating similar programs, activities, or projects as a group or using existing programs, activities, or projects to provide preliminary funding recommendations.

(f) Informal and early consultation between an Indian tribe and a project proponent is encouraged.

(g) The utilities and transportation commission shall comply with this subsection in exercising its authority under section 4 of this act.

NEW SECTION, Sec. 11. INVESTMENT ADVISORY PANELS. (1) Three panels are created to provide detailed recommendations to the board and state agencies regarding implementation of this chapter, including the development of proposed rules, criteria, procedures, and other program elements. The governor shall appoint members of each panel for four-year, staggered terms. At least one-third of the membership of each panel must be representatives of the interests of vulnerable populations in pollution and health action areas.

(2) The clean air and clean energy panel must be cochaired by one business interest and a stakeholder that represents a statewide labor organization that represents a broad cross-section of workers. The panel may have no more than nine members, representing tribal, environmental, business, and labor communities and pollution and health action areas outside of tribal lands. The panel's membership must have expertise in carbon reduction programs, activities, and technologies. The panel shall work with appropriate state agencies to identify existing state programs that can be utilized to provide preliminary evaluations of grant applications, develop criteria and processes for evaluating programs, activities, or projects proposed that cannot be evaluated under existing programs, and prepare funding and other recommendations to the board for expenditures from the clean air and clean energy account, created in section 4 of this act. The clean air and clean energy panel may also develop, as needed, and recommend rules for the board’s consideration.

(3) The clean water and healthy forests panel must be cochaired by one tribal leader and one stakeholder that represents statewide environmental interests. The panel may have no more than nine members, representing tribal, environmental, business, and labor communities and pollution and health action areas outside of tribal lands. The panel shall work with appropriate state agencies to identify existing state programs that can be utilized to provide initial evaluations of grant applications, develop funding criteria and processes for programs, activities, or projects that cannot be evaluated under existing programs, and prepare funding and other recommendations to the board for expenditures from the clean water and healthy forests
account, created in section 5 of this act. The panel may also recommend rules for the board’s consideration.

(4) The environmental and economic justice panel must be cochaired by one tribal leader and one person that is a representative of the interests of vulnerable populations in pollution and health action areas outside of tribal lands. In addition to the cochairs, the panel consists of two members representing union labor with expertise in economic dislocation, clean energy economy, or energy-intensive and trade-exposed industries and five members, including at least one tribal leader and at least two nontribal leaders representing the interest of vulnerable populations in pollution and health action areas. The purpose of the panel is to:

(a) Prepare funding recommendations to the board for expenditures from the healthy communities account, created in section 6 of this act;

(b) Develop draft procedures, criteria, and rules for evaluating programs, activities, or projects for review and approval by the board and make funding recommendations regarding people with lower incomes, affected workers, vulnerable populations, and pollution and health action areas;

(c) Make recommendations regarding preventing or eliminating any increased energy burden of people with lower incomes as a result of actions to reduce pollution, including the pollution fees collected from large emitters under this chapter;

(d) Define meaningful consultation with pollution and health action areas, vulnerable populations, and people with lower incomes, and provide opportunities for vulnerable populations to consult on the implementation of this chapter;

(e) Evaluate compliance with the investment criteria in section 7 of this act;

(f) Define qualifying events and workers for the allocation of funds authorized under section 4(5) of this act;

(g) Review and comment on the analyses required under section 12 of this act and identify and recommend opportunities and measures to reduce burdens identified in the cumulative impact designation of pollution and health action areas pursuant to section 12(2) of this act, to increase economic opportunities, and to decrease risks, such as displacement; and

(h) Administer, in cooperation with the department of commerce, the community capacity grants authorized under section 6(5) of this act.

(5) Relevant state agencies shall cooperate with and support the panels as they implement this chapter.

(6) Any single individual may serve on more than one panel. Members of the panels who are not state employees must be compensated in accordance with RCW 43.03.240 and are entitled to reimbursement individually for travel expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as members of the panel in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060. Members of the environmental and economic justice panel may receive financial support from organizations and the governments of Indian tribes through approved community capacity grants awarded under section 6(5) of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW AND POLLUTION MAPPING. (1)(a) By December 10, 2022, and every four years thereafter, the department of commerce, with support from relevant agencies and in consultation with the panels, the board, academic institutions, and other experts as appropriate, and taking into account scientific and community assessments of climate impacts, risks, and resilience needs, must develop and submit to the board a draft effectiveness report for final review and approval by the board.

(b) The effectiveness report must describe progress in achieving the purposes of this chapter, including progress made in achieving the carbon reduction goals established in section 4(2)(b) of this act and in developing and implementing the pollution reduction plans and clean energy investment plans under section 4 of this act. In addition, the effectiveness report must also include information regarding the impact of the implementation of this chapter upon employment and jobs, including the number and nature of jobs created, worker hours, job quality, job access and demographics, cobenefits secured, and other employment and economic information as deemed appropriate. The effectiveness report must also identify and evaluate outcomes, risks, and recommendations for vulnerable populations, pollution and health action areas, people with lower incomes, Indian tribes, and affected workers. The effectiveness report must recommend improvements to the implementation of this chapter.

(2) By July 31, 2019, the department of health shall designate pollution and health action areas. This designation must be at a minimum resolution of census tract scale and be based on the cumulative impact analysis of vulnerable populations and environmental burdens conducted by the University of Washington’s department of environmental and occupational health sciences. The designation and ranking of census tracts in the cumulative impacts analysis and underlying data must be available for public review and may be integrated with or build upon other population tracking resources. The designation of pollution and health action areas and the cumulative impact analysis of vulnerable populations and environmental burdens must be periodically evaluated and updated by the department of health after meaningful consultation with vulnerable populations, the environmental and economic justice panel, and the University of Washington’s department of environmental and occupational health sciences.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. DEFINITIONS. The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

(1) "Alternative carbon reduction unit" means a credit for
one metric ton reduction in pollution that substitutes for an equivalent emission reduction in a qualifying gas distribution business's operations and is real, permanent, enforceable, verifiable, and additional to business as usual. The unit must derive from an action that reduces pollution.

(2) "Board" or "oversight board" means the public oversight board created in section 10 of this act.

(3) "Carbon content" means the carbon dioxide equivalent that is released through the combustion or oxidation of a fossil fuel, or that is associated with the combustion or oxidation of a fossil fuel, used to generate electricity.

(4) "Carbon dioxide equivalent" has the same meaning as provided in RCW 70.235.010.

(5) "Consumer-owned utility" has the same meaning as in RCW 19.29A.010.

(6) "Eligible renewable energy resource" has the same meaning as in RCW 19.285.030.

(7) "Energy burden" is the percentage of household income spent on road transportation and home energy bills.

(8) "Energy-intensive and trade-exposed sectors" and "EITE sectors" mean:

(a) Those sectors identified under "EITE covered party" in WAC 173-442-020(1)(m) as of April 22, 2017; and

(b) Other sectors the department of commerce designates that have, on average across all facilities belonging to the sector in the state, both a greater energy intensity of production and a greater trade share of goods than the corresponding averages for any other EITE sector.

(9) "Environmental burdens" refers to the cumulative risks to communities caused by historic and current:

(a) Exposure to conventional and toxic hazards in the air, water, and land, and;

(b) Adverse environmental effects, which are environmental conditions caused or made worse by contamination or pollution or that create vulnerabilities to climate impacts.

(10) "Fossil fuel" means petroleum products that are intended for combustion, natural gas, coal or coke of any kind, or any form of solid, liquid, or gaseous fuel derived from these products including but not limited to motor vehicle fuel, special fuel, aircraft fuel, marine fuel, still gas, propane, and petroleum residuals such as bunker fuel. For purposes of imposing the pollution fee on the carbon content of fossil fuels consumed by a refinery facility during the process of refining fossil fuels, “fossil fuel” also means crude oil and petroleum.

(11) “Fund” means the clean up pollution fund established under section 3 of this chapter.

(12) “Gas distribution business” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 82.16.010.

(13) “Greenhouse gas” and “greenhouse gases” have the same meaning as provided in RCW 70.235.010(6).

(14) An “Indian tribe” is an Indian nation, tribe, band, community, or other entity:

(a) Recognized as an Indian tribe by the federal department of the interior; and

(b) With its principal governmental office located within the geographical boundaries of the state of Washington or with treaty-reserved rights retained within the geographical boundaries of the state of Washington.

(15) “Inflation” means the percentage change in the consumer price index for all urban wage earners and clerical workers for the United States as published for the most recent twelve-month period by the bureau of labor statistics of the federal department of labor by September 30th of the year before the fees are payable.

(16) “Investor-owned utility” has the same meaning as in RCW 19.29A.010.

(17) “Large emitter” means:

(a) For electricity:

(i) An importer of electricity that was generated using fossil fuels or is subject to a default emissions factor under section 8 of this act; or

(ii) A power plant located in the state of Washington that generates electricity using fossil fuels.

(b) For motor vehicle fuel and special fuel, entities required to pay the tax specified in RCW 82.38.030(9).

(c) For natural gas, entities required to pay the tax specified in chapter 82.16 RCW, or, if the fee is not paid by a gas distribution business under chapter 82.16 RCW, by the person required to pay tax as provided in RCW 82.12.022(1) through (3) and (8) through (10).

(d) For other petroleum products, persons as designated by rule by the department of revenue.

(e) A seller of fossil fuels to end users or consumers.

(f) A seller of fossil fuels sold for combined heat and power as defined in RCW 19.280.020.

(g) A refinery facility for crude oil, crude oil derivatives and other fossil fuels consumed by or in a refinery facility.

(18) “Light and power business” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 82.16.010, and includes a light and power business owned or operated by a municipality.

(19) “Maritime fuels” means diesel, gasoline, and biofuel-blend fuels sold from fuel docks for use in vessels and bunker and other fuels sold for use in ships for interstate and international transportation.

(20) “Motor vehicle fuel” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 82.38.020.

(21) “Panel” or “panels” means any or all of the panels established in section 11 of this chapter.

(22) “People with lower incomes” means:

(a) All Washington residents with an annual income, ad-
adjusted for household size, which is at or below the greater of:

(i) Eighty percent of the area median income as reported by the federal department of housing and urban development; or

(ii) Two hundred percent of the federal poverty line; and

(b) Members of an Indian tribe who meet the income-based criteria for existing other means-tested benefits through formal resolution by the governing council of an Indian tribe.

(24) “Petroleum product” means hydrocarbons that are the product of the fractionation, distillation, or other refining or processing of crude oil that are used as, usable as, or may be refined as a fuel or fuel blend stock.

(25) “Pollution” means, for purposes of this chapter only, the presence of or introduction into the environment of greenhouse gases.

(26) “Pollution and health action areas” are those communities designated by the department of health based on the cumulative impacts analysis required by section 12(2) of this chapter and census tracts that are fully or partially on “Indian Country” as defined in 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1151.

(27) “Power plant” has the same meaning as in RCW 80.80.010.

(28) “Special fuel” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 82.38.020 and includes fuel that is sold or used to propel vessels.

(29) “Supplier” means a person that produces, refines, imports, sells, or delivers fossil fuels in or into the state for use or processing within the state.

(30) “Tribal lands” means “Indian Country” as defined in 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1151, lands owned by or held in trust for an Indian tribe, and sensitive tribal areas. For the purposes of this chapter, “sensitive tribal areas” are areas in which an Indian tribe has a significant interest, such as sacred sites, traditional cultural properties, and burial grounds protected under chapter 27.44 RCW.

(31) “Tribal leaders” means persons identified by Indian tribes under RCW 43.376.050 or other designee formally appointed by the Indian tribe.

(32) “Usual and accustomed fishing area” is any area adjudicated to have been reserved for fishing by one or more Indian tribe(s) through treaties as recognized by United States v. Washington, 20 F. Supp. 3d 899 (2008). For purposes of this chapter only, “usual and accustomed fishing area” refers to waterways only and not nearby uplands.

(33) “Vulnerable populations” are communities that experience high cumulative risk from environmental burdens due to:

(a) Adverse socioeconomic factors, such as unemployment, high housing and transportation costs relative to income, and linguistic isolation; and

(b) Sensitivity factors, such as low birth weight and higher rates of hospitalization.
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Initiative Measure No. 1634

AN ACT Relating to the taxation of groceries; and adding a new chapter to Title 82 RCW.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. SHORT TITLE. This chapter may be known and cited as the “keep groceries affordable act of 2018.”

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. KEEPING GROCERIES AFFORDABLE: FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS.

(1) Whereas access to food is a basic human need of every Washingtonian; and
(2) Whereas keeping the price of groceries as low as possible improves the access to food for all Washingtonians; and
(3) Whereas taxing groceries is regressive and hurts low- and fixed-income Washingtonians the most; and
(4) Whereas working families in Washington pay a greater share of their family income in state and local taxes than their wealthier counterparts; now, therefore,
(5) The people of the state of Washington find and declare that no local governmental entity may impose any new tax, fee, or other assessment that targets grocery items.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this chapter: (1) “Alcoholic beverages” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 82.08.0293.
(2) “Groceries” means any raw or processed food or beverage, or any ingredient thereof, intended for human consumption except alcoholic beverages, marijuana products, and tobacco. “Groceries” includes, but is not limited to, meat, poultry, fish, fruits, vegetables, grains, bread, milk, cheese and other dairy products, nonalcoholic beverages, kombucha with less than 0.5% alcohol by volume, condiments, spices, cereals, seasonings, leavening agents, eggs, cocoa, teas, and coffees whether raw or processed.
(3) “Local governmental entity” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 4.96.010.
(4) “Marijuana products” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 69.50.101.
(5) “Tax, fee, or other assessment on groceries” includes, but is not limited to, a sales tax, gross receipts tax, business and occupation tax, business license tax, excise tax, privilege tax, or any other similar levy, charge, or exaction of any kind on groceries or the manufacture, distribution, sale, possession, ownership, transfer, transportation, container, use, or consumption thereof.
(6) “Tobacco” has the same meaning as provided in RCW 82.08.0293.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. KEEPING GROCERIES TAX FREE—PROTECTING TRADITIONAL LOCAL REVENUE STREAMS—CONTINUED AUTHORITY.

Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary:
(1) Except as provided in subsections (2) through (4) of this section, a local governmental entity may not impose or collect any tax, fee, or other assessment on groceries.
(2) Nothing in this section precludes the continued collection of any existing tax, fee, or other assessment on groceries as is in effect as of January 15, 2018; but no existing tax, fee, or other assessment on groceries may be increased in rate, scope, base, or otherwise after January 15, 2018, except as provided in subsections (3) and (4) of this section.
(3) Nothing in this section prohibits the imposition and collection of a tax, fee, or other assessment on groceries if:
(a) The tax, fee, or other assessment is generally applicable to a broad range of businesses and business activity; and
(b) The tax, fee, or other assessment does not establish or rely on a classification related to or involving groceries or a subset of groceries for purposes of establishing or otherwise resulting in a higher tax rate due to such classification.
(4) Nothing in this section prohibits the imposition and collection of a local retail sales and use tax pursuant to RCW 82.14.030 on those persons taxable by the state under chapters 82.08 and 82.12 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. IMPLEMENTATION.

Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary:
(1) This chapter applies to any tax, fee, or other assessment on groceries first imposed, increased, or collected by a local governmental entity on or after January 15, 2018.
(2) The provisions of this chapter are to be construed liberally so as to effectuate their intent, policy, and purposes.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. SEVERABILITY.

(1) If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.
(2) The people of the state of Washington hereby declare that they would have adopted this chapter, and each and every portion, section, subsection, clause, sentence, phrase, word, and application not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any portion of this chapter, or application thereof, would be subsequently declared invalid.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. Sections 1 through 5 of this act constitute a new chapter in Title 82 RCW.

--- END ---
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Initiative Measure No. 1639

AN ACT Relating to increasing public safety by implementing firearm safety measures, including requiring enhanced background safety checks, waiting periods, and increased age requirements for semiautomatic assault rifles and secure gun storage for all firearms; amending RCW 9.41.090, 9.41.092, 9.41.094, 9.41.097, 9.41.0975, 9.41.110, 9.41.113, 9.41.124, 9.41.240, 9.41.129, and 9.41.010; adding new sections to chapter 9.41 RCW; creating new sections; prescribing penalties; and providing effective dates.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. INTENT. Gun violence is far too common in Washington and the United States. In particular, shootings involving the use of semiautomatic assault rifles have resulted in hundreds of lives lost, devastating injuries, and lasting psychological impacts on survivors, their families, and communities. Semiautomatic assault rifles are specifically designed to kill quickly and efficiently and have been used in some of the country’s deadliest mass shootings, including in Newtown, Connecticut; Las Vegas, Nevada; and Parkland and Orlando, Florida, among others. Semiautomatic assault rifles have also been used in deadly shootings in Washington, including in Mukilteo and Tacoma.

The impacts of gun violence by assault weapons fall heavily on children and teenagers. According to one analysis, more than two hundred eight thousand students attending at least two hundred twelve schools have experienced a shooting on campus since the Columbine mass shooting in 1999. Active shooter drills are normal for a generation on children and teenagers. According to one analysis, more than two hundred eight thousand students attending at least two hundred twelve schools have experienced a shooting on campus since the Columbine mass shooting in 1999. Active shooter drills are normal for a generation, instilling at a young age the sad and unnecessary realization that a mass shooting can happen in any community, in any school, at any time.

 Enough is enough. The people find and declare that it is crucial and urgent to pass laws to increase public safety and reduce gun violence.

Implementing an enhanced background check system for semiautomatic assault rifles that is as strong as the one required to purchase a handgun and requiring safety training and a waiting period will help ensure that we keep these weapons out of dangerous hands. Further, federal law prohibits the sale of pistols to individuals under the age of twenty-one and at least a dozen states further restrict the ownership or possession of firearms by individuals under the age of twenty-one. This makes sense, as studies show that eighteen to twenty year olds commit a disproportionate number of firearm homicides in the United States and research indicates that the brain does not fully mature until a later age. Raising the minimum age to purchase semiautomatic assault rifles to twenty-one is a commonsense step the people wish to take to increase public safety.

Finally, firearms taken from the home by children or other persons prohibited from possessing firearms have been at the heart of several tragic gun violence incidents. One study shows that over eighty-five percent of school shooters obtained the firearm at their home or from a friend or relative. Another study found that more than seventy-five percent of firearms used in youth suicide attempts and unintentional injuries were stored in the residence of the victim, a relative, or a friend. Secure gun storage requirements for all firearms will increase public safety by helping ensure that children and other prohibited persons do not inappropriately gain access to firearms, and notice requirements will make the potential dangers of firearms clear to purchasers.

Therefore, to increase public safety for all Washingtonians, in particular our children, this measure would, among other things: Create an enhanced background check system applicable to semiautomatic assault rifles similar to what is required for handguns, require that individuals complete a firearm safety training course and create a waiting period for the purchase of such weapons, and establish standards for the responsible storage of all firearms.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. SHORT TITLE. This act may be known and cited as the public safety and semiautomatic assault rifle act.

Sec. 3. ENHANCED BACKGROUND CHECKS. RCW 9.41.090 and 2018 c 201 s 6003 are each amended to read as follows:

   (1) In addition to the other requirements of this chapter, no dealer may deliver a pistol to the purchaser thereof until:

   (a) The purchaser produces a valid concealed pistol license and the dealer has recorded the purchaser’s name, license number, and issuing agency, such record to be made in triplicate and processed as provided in subsection (((5))) (6) of this section. For purposes of this subsection (1) (a), a “valid concealed pistol license” does not include a temporary emergency license, and does not include any license issued before July 1, 1996, unless the issuing agency conducted a records search for disqualifying crimes under RCW 9.41.070 at the time of issuance;

   (b) The dealer is notified in writing by (i) the chief of police or the sheriff of the jurisdiction in which the purchaser resides that the purchaser is eligible to possess a pistol under RCW 9.41.040 and that the application to purchase is approved by the chief of police or sheriff; or (ii) the state; or

   (c) The requirements or time periods in RCW 9.41.092 have been satisfied.

   (2) In addition to the other requirements of this chapter, no dealer may deliver a semiautomatic assault rifle to the purchaser thereof until:

   (a) The purchaser provides proof that he or she has com-
completed a recognized firearm safety training program within the last five years that, at a minimum, includes instruction on:

(i) Basic firearms safety rules;
(ii) Firearms and children, including secure gun storage and talking to children about gun safety;
(iii) Firearms and suicide prevention;
(iv) Secure gun storage to prevent unauthorized access and use;
(v) Safe handling of firearms; and
(vi) State and federal firearms laws, including prohibited firearms transfers.

The training must be sponsored by a federal, state, county, or municipal law enforcement agency, a college or university, a nationally recognized organization that customarily offers firearms training, or a firearms training school with instructors certified by a nationally recognized organization that customarily offers firearms training. The proof of training shall be in the form of a certification that states under the penalty of perjury the training included the minimum requirements; and

(b) The dealer is notified in writing by (i) the chief of police or the sheriff of the jurisdiction in which the purchaser resides that the purchaser is eligible to possess a firearm under RCW 9.41.040 and that the application to purchase is approved by the chief of police or sheriff; or (ii) the state that the purchaser is eligible to possess a firearm under RCW 9.41.040, as provided in subsection (3)(b) of this section; or

(c) The requirements or time periods in RCW 9.41.092 have been satisfied.

(3)(a) Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, in determining whether the purchaser meets the requirements of RCW 9.41.040, the chief of police or sheriff, or the designee of either, shall check with the national crime information center, including the national instant criminal background check system, provided for by the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act (18 U.S.C. Sec. 921 et seq.), the Washington state patrol electronic database, the health care authority electronic database, and with other agencies or resources as appropriate, to determine whether the applicant is ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a firearm.

(b) The state, through the legislature or initiative process, may enact a statewide firearms background check system equivalent to, or more comprehensive than, the check required by (a) of this subsection to determine that a purchaser is eligible to possess a firearm under RCW 9.41.040. Once (the) a state system is established, a dealer shall use the state system and national instant criminal background check system, provided for by the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act (18 U.S.C. Sec. 921 et seq.), to make criminal background checks of applicants to purchase firearms. (However, a chief of police or sheriff, or a designee of either, shall continue to check the health care authority's electronic database and with other agencies or resources as appropriate, to determine whether applicants are ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a firearm.)

((3)(i) In any case under this section where the applicant has an outstanding warrant for his or her arrest from any court of competent jurisdiction for a felony or misdemeanor, the dealer shall hold the delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle until the warrant for arrest is served and satisfied by appropriate court appearance. The local jurisdiction for purposes of the sale, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, shall confirm the existence of outstanding warrants within seventy-two hours after notification of the application to purchase a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle. The local jurisdiction shall also immediately confirm the satisfaction of the warrant on request of the dealer so that the hold may be released if the warrant was for an offense other than an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol or firearm.

((3)(ii) In any case where the chief or sheriff of the local jurisdiction, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, has reasonable grounds based on the following circumstances: (a) Open criminal charges, (b) pending criminal proceedings, (c) pending commitment proceedings, (d) an outstanding warrant for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol or firearm, or (e) an arrest for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol or firearm, if the records of disposition have not yet been reported or entered sufficiently to determine eligibility to purchase a pistol or firearm, the local jurisdiction or the state may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court, superior court, or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement or the state and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.

(4) In any case under this section where the applicant has an outstanding warrant for his or her arrest from any court of competent jurisdiction for a felony or misdemeanor, the dealer shall hold the delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle until the warrant for arrest is served and satisfied by appropriate court appearance. The local jurisdiction for purposes of the sale, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, shall confirm the existence of outstanding warrants within seventy-two hours after notification of the application to purchase a pistol or firearm. The local jurisdiction or the state may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court, superior court, or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement or the state and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.

(5) In any case where the chief or sheriff of the local jurisdiction, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, has reasonable grounds based on the following circumstances: (a) Open criminal charges, (b) pending criminal proceedings, (c) pending commitment proceedings, (d) an outstanding warrant for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol or firearm, or (e) an arrest for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol or firearm, if the records of disposition have not yet been reported or entered sufficiently to determine eligibility to purchase a pistol or firearm, the local jurisdiction or the state may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court, superior court, or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement or the state and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.

(6) In any case under this section where the applicant has an outstanding warrant for his or her arrest from any court of competent jurisdiction for a felony or misdemeanor, the dealer shall hold the delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle until the warrant for arrest is served and satisfied by appropriate court appearance. The local jurisdiction for purposes of the sale, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, shall confirm the existence of outstanding warrants within seventy-two hours after notification of the application to purchase a pistol or firearm. The local jurisdiction or the state may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court, superior court, or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement or the state and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.

(7) In any case where the chief or sheriff of the local jurisdiction, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, has reasonable grounds based on the following circumstances: (a) Open criminal charges, (b) pending criminal proceedings, (c) pending commitment proceedings, (d) an outstanding warrant for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol or firearm, or (e) an arrest for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol or firearm, if the records of disposition have not yet been reported or entered sufficiently to determine eligibility to purchase a pistol or firearm, the local jurisdiction or the state may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court, superior court, or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement or the state and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.

(8) In any case under this section where the applicant has an outstanding warrant for his or her arrest from any court of competent jurisdiction for a felony or misdemeanor, the dealer shall hold the delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle until the warrant for arrest is served and satisfied by appropriate court appearance. The local jurisdiction for purposes of the sale, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, shall confirm the existence of outstanding warrants within seventy-two hours after notification of the application to purchase a pistol or firearm. The local jurisdiction or the state may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court, superior court, or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement or the state and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.

(9) In any case under this section where the applicant has an outstanding warrant for his or her arrest from any court of competent jurisdiction for a felony or misdemeanor, the dealer shall hold the delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle until the warrant for arrest is served and satisfied by appropriate court appearance. The local jurisdiction for purposes of the sale, or the state pursuant to subsection (3)(b) of this section, shall confirm the existence of outstanding warrants within seventy-two hours after notification of the application to purchase a pistol or firearm. The local jurisdiction or the state may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court, superior court, or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement or the state and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.
application may be processed, but delivery of the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle to the purchaser may not occur unless the manufacturer's number is recorded on the application by the dealer and transmitted to the chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county in which the purchaser resides, or the state pursuant to subsection (3) (b) of this section; (and)

(v) A statement that the purchaser is eligible to purchase and possess a (pistol) firearm under ((RCW 9.41.040)) state and federal law; and

(vi) If purchasing a semiautomatic assault rifle, a statement by the applicant under penalty of perjury that the applicant has completed a recognized firearm safety training program within the last five years, as required by subsection (2) of this section.

(b) The application shall contain ((a)) two warnings substantially stated as follows:

(i) CAUTION: Although state and local laws do not differ, federal law and state law on the possession of firearms differ. If you are prohibited by federal law from possessing a firearm, you may be prosecuted in federal court. State permission to purchase a firearm is not a defense to a federal prosecution; and

(ii) CAUTION: The presence of a firearm in the home has been associated with an increased risk of death to self and others, including an increased risk of suicide, death during domestic violence incidents, and unintentional deaths to children and others.

The purchaser shall be given a copy of the department of fish and wildlife pamphlet on the legal limits of the use of firearms((, and firearms safety((, and the fact that local laws and ordinances on firearms are preempted by state law and must be consistent with state law)).

(c) The dealer shall, by the end of the business day, sign and attach his or her address and deliver a copy of the application and such other documentation as required under subsection((1) and (2)) of this section to the chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county of which the purchaser is a resident, or the state pursuant to subsection (3) (b) of this section. The triplicate shall be retained by the dealer for six years. The dealer shall deliver the pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle to the purchaser following the period of time specified in this chapter unless the dealer is notified of an investigative hold under subsection (((4))) (5) of this section in writing by the chief of police of the municipality ((or)), the sheriff of the county, or the state, whichever is applicable, ((denying)) or of the denial of the purchaser's application to purchase and the grounds thereof. The application shall not be denied unless the purchaser is not eligible to purchase or possess (a pistol) the firearm under (((RCW 9.41.040))) state or (((9.41.045, or))) federal law.

(d) The chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county, or the state pursuant to subsection (3) (b) of this section, shall retain or destroy applications to purchase a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle in accordance with the requirements of 18 U.S.C. Sec. 922.

(((7))) (7)(a) To help offset the administrative costs of implementing this section as it relates to new requirements for semiautomatic assault rifles, the department of licensing may require the dealer to charge each semiautomatic assault rifle purchaser or transferee a fee not to exceed twenty-five dollars, except that the fee may be adjusted at the beginning of each biennium to levels not to exceed the percentage increase in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, CPI-W, or a successor index, for the previous biennium as calculated by the United States department of labor.

(b) The fee under (a) of this subsection shall be no more than is necessary to fund the following:

(i) The state for the cost of meeting its obligations under this section;

(ii) The health care authority, mental health institutions, and other health care facilities for state-mandated costs resulting from the reporting requirements imposed by RCW 9.41.097(1); and

(iii) Local law enforcement agencies for state-mandated local costs resulting from the requirements set forth under RCW 9.41.090 and this section.

(8) A person who knowingly makes a false statement regarding identity or eligibility requirements on the application to purchase a (pistol) firearm is guilty of false swearing under RCW 9A.72.040.

(((7))) (9) This section does not apply to sales to licensed dealers for resale or to the sale of antique firearms.

Sec. 4. WAITING PERIOD. RCW 9.41.092 and 2018 c 145 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Except as otherwise provided in this chapter and except for semiautomatic assault rifles under subsection (2) of this section, a licensed dealer may not deliver any firearm to a purchaser or transferee until the earlier of:

(((1))) (a) The results of all required background checks are known and the purchaser or transferee (((a))) is not prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm under federal or state law and (((b))) does not have a voluntary waiver of firearm rights currently in effect; or

(((2))) (b) Ten business days have elapsed from the date the licensed dealer requested the background check. However, for sales and transfers of pistols if the purchaser or transferee does not have a valid permanent Washington driver's license or state identification card or has not been a resident of the state for the previous consecutive ninety days, then the time period in this subsection shall be extended from ten business days to sixty days.

(2) Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, a licensed dealer may not deliver a semiautomatic assault rifle to a purchaser or transferee until ten business days have elapsed from the date of the purchase application or, in the case of a transfer, ten business days have elapsed from the date a background check is initiated.

NEW SECTION, Sec. 5. SECURE GUN STORAGE. A new
section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

(1) A person who stores or leaves a firearm in a location where the person knows, or reasonably should know, that a prohibited person may gain access to the firearm:
   (a) Is guilty of community endangerment due to unsafe storage of a firearm in the first degree if a prohibited person obtains access and possession of the firearm and causes personal injury or death with the firearm; or
   (b) Is guilty of community endangerment due to unsafe storage of a firearm in the second degree if a prohibited person obtains access and possession of the firearm and:
      (i) Causes the firearm to discharge;
      (ii) Carries, exhibits, or displays the firearm in a public place in a manner that either manifests an intent to intimidate another or that warrants alarm for the safety of other persons; or
      (iii) Uses the firearm in the commission of a crime.

(2)(a) Community endangerment due to unsafe storage of a firearm in the first degree is a class C felony punishable according to chapter 9A.20 RCW.

(b) Community endangerment due to unsafe storage of a firearm in the second degree is a gross misdemeanor punishable according to chapter 9A.20 RCW.

(3) Subsection (1) of this section does not apply if:
   (a) The firearm was in secure gun storage, or secured with a trigger lock or similar device that is designed to prevent the unauthorized use or discharge of the firearm;
   (b) In the case of a person who is a prohibited person on the basis of the person's age, access to the firearm is with the lawful permission of the prohibited person's parent or guardian and supervised by an adult, or is in accordance with RCW 9.41.042;
   (c) The prohibited person obtains, or obtains and discharges, the firearm in a lawful act of self-defense; or
   (d) The prohibited person's access to the firearm was obtained as a result of an unlawful entry, provided that the unauthorized access or theft of the firearm is reported to a local law enforcement agency in the jurisdiction in which the unauthorized access or theft occurred within five days of the time the victim of the unlawful entry knew or reasonably should have known that the firearm had been taken.

(4) If a death or serious injury occurs as a result of an alleged violation of subsection (1)(a) of this section, the prosecuting attorney may decline to prosecute, even though technically sufficient evidence to prosecute exists, in situations where prosecution would serve no public purpose or would defeat the purpose of the law in question.

(5) For the purposes of this section, "prohibited person" means a person who is prohibited from possessing a firearm under state or federal law.

(6) Nothing in this section mandates how or where a firearm must be stored.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. AVAILABILITY OF SECURE GUN STORAGE. A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

(1) When selling or transferring any firearm, every dealer shall offer to sell or give the purchaser or transferee a secure gun storage device, or a trigger lock or similar device that is designed to prevent the unauthorized use or discharge of the firearm.

(2) Every store, shop, or sales outlet where firearms are sold, that is registered as a dealer in firearms with the department of licensing, shall conspicuously post, in a prominent location so that all patrons may take notice, the following warning sign, to be provided by the department of licensing, in block letters at least one inch in height:

WARNING: YOU MAY FACE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION IF YOU STORE OR LEAVE AN UNSECURED FIREARM WHERE A PERSON WHO IS PROHIBITED FROM POSSESSING FIREARMS CAN AND DOES OBTAIN POSSESSION.

(3) Every store, shop, or sales outlet where firearms are sold that is registered as a dealer in firearms with the department of licensing, upon the sale or transfer of a firearm, shall deliver a written warning to the purchaser or transferee that states, in block letters not less than one-fourth inch in height:

WARNING: YOU MAY FACE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION IF YOU STORE OR LEAVE AN UNSECURED FIREARM WHERE A PERSON WHO IS PROHIBITED FROM POSSESSING FIREARMS CAN AND DOES OBTAIN POSSESSION.

(4) Every person who violates this section is guilty of a class 1 civil infraction under chapter 7.80 RCW and may be fined up to two hundred fifty dollars. However, no such fines may be levied until thirty days have expired from the time warning signs required under subsection (2) of this section are distributed by the department of licensing.

Sec. 7. RCW 9.41.094 and 2018 c 201 s 6004 are each amended to read as follows:

A signed application to purchase a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle shall constitute a waiver of confidentiality and written request that the health care authority, mental health institutions, and other health care facilities release, to an inquiring court or law enforcement agency, information relevant to the applicant’s eligibility to purchase a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle to an inquiring court or law enforcement agency.

Sec. 8. RCW 9.41.097 and 2018 c 201 s 6005 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The health care authority, mental health institutions, and other health care facilities shall, upon request of a court, (or a law enforcement agency, or the state), supply such relevant information as is necessary to determine the eligibility of a person to possess a (pistol) firearm or to be issued a concealed pistol license under RCW 9.41.070 or to purchase a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle under RCW 9.41.090.

(2) Mental health information received by: (a) The department of licensing pursuant to RCW 9.41.047 or 9.41.173; (b)
an issuing authority pursuant to RCW 9.41.047 or 9.41.070;
(c) a chief of police or sheriff pursuant to RCW 9.41.090 or
9.41.173; (d) a court or law enforcement agency pursuant
to subsection (1) of this section; or (e) the state pursuant to
RCW 9.41.090, shall not be disclosed except as provided
in RCW 42.56.240(4).

Sec. 9. RCW 9.41.0975 and 2009 c 216 s 7 are each
amended to read as follows:

(1) The state, local governmental entities, any public or
private agency, and the employees of any state or local
governmental entity or public or private agency, acting in
good faith, are immune from liability:

(a) For failure to prevent the sale or transfer of a firearm
to a person whose receipt or possession of the firearm is
unlawful;

(b) For preventing the sale or transfer of a firearm to a
person who may lawfully receive or possess a firearm;

(c) For issuing a concealed pistol license or alien firearm
license to a person ineligible for such a license;

(d) For failing to issue a concealed pistol license or alien
firearm license to a person eligible for such a license;

(e) For revoking or failing to revoke an issued concealed
pistol license or alien firearm license;

(f) For errors in preparing or transmitting information as
part of determining a person’s eligibility to receive or poss-

ess a firearm, or eligibility for a concealed pistol license or
alien firearm license;

(g) For issuing a dealer’s license to a person ineligible for
such a license; or

(h) For failing to issue a dealer’s license to a person eli-
gible for such a license.

(2) An application may be made to a court of competent
jurisdiction for a writ of mandamus:

(a) Directing an issuing agency to issue a concealed pist-

ol license or alien firearm license wrongfully refused;

(b) Directing a law enforcement agency to approve an
application to purchase a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle
wrongfully denied;

(c) Directing that erroneous information resulting either
in the wrongful refusal to issue a concealed pistol license or
alien firearm license or in the wrongful denial of a pur-
chase application for a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle
be corrected; or

(d) Directing a law enforcement agency to approve a
dealer’s license wrongfully denied.

The application for the writ may be made in the county in
which the application for a concealed pistol license or alien
firearm license or to purchase a pistol or semiautomatic ass-
sault rifle was made, or in Thurston county, at the discretion
of the petitioner. A court shall provide an expedited hearing
for an application brought under this subsection (2) for a
writ of mandamus. A person granted a writ of mandamus
under this subsection (2) shall be awarded reasonable at-
torneys’ fees and costs.

Sec. 10. RCW 9.41.110 and 2009 c 479 s 10 are each
amended to read as follows:

(1) No dealer may sell or otherwise transfer, or have in his or her possession with
intent to sell, or otherwise transfer, any pistol without being licensed as provided in this section.

(2) No dealer may sell or otherwise transfer, or expose for
sale or transfer, or have in his or her possession with intent
to sell, or otherwise transfer, any firearm other than a pistol
without being licensed as provided in this section.

(3) No dealer may sell or otherwise transfer, or expose for
sale or transfer, or have in his or her possession with intent
to sell, or otherwise transfer, any ammunition without being
licensed as provided in this section.

(4) The duly constituted licensing authorities of any city,
town, or political subdivision of this state shall grant licens-
es in forms prescribed by the director of licensing effective
for not more than one year from the date of issue permitting
the licensee to sell firearms within this state subject to the
following conditions, for breach of any of which the license
shall be forfeited and the licensee subject to punishment
as provided in RCW 9.41.010 through 9.41.810. A licensing
authority shall forward a copy of each license granted to
the department of licensing. The department of licensing
shall notify the department of revenue of the name and ad-

ress of each dealer licensed under this section.

(5)(a) A licensing authority shall, within thirty days after
the filing of an application for any person for a dealer’s li-
cense, determine whether to grant the license. However, if
the applicant does not have a valid permanent Washington
driver’s license or Washington state identification card, or
has not been a resident of the state for the previous con-
secutive ninety days, the licensing authority shall have up
to sixty days to determine whether to issue a license. No
person shall qualify for a license under this section without
first receiving a federal firearms license and undergoing fin-
gerprinting and a background check. In addition, no person
eligible to possess a firearm under RCW 9.41.040 or in-
eligible for a concealed pistol license under RCW 9.41.070
shall qualify for a dealer’s license.

(b) A dealer shall require every employee who may sell a
firearm in the course of his or her employment to undergo
fingerprinting and a background check. An employee must
be eligible to possess a firearm, and must not have been
convicted of a crime that would make the person ineligible
for a concealed pistol license, before being permitted to
sell a firearm. Every employee shall comply with require-
ments concerning purchase applications and restrictions
on delivery of pistols or semiautomatic assault rifles that
are applicable to dealers.

(6)(a) Except as otherwise provided in (b) of this subsec-
tion, the business shall be carried on only in the building
designated in the license. For the purpose of this section,
advertising firearms for sale shall not be considered the

 carrying on of business.

(b) A dealer may conduct business temporarily at a lo-
cation other than the building designated in the license, if the temporary location is within Washington state and is the location of a gun show sponsored by a national, state, or local organization, or an affiliate of any such organization, devoted to the collection, competitive use, or other sporting use of firearms in the community. Nothing in this subsection (6)(b) authorizes a dealer to conduct business in or from a motorized or towed vehicle.

In conducting business temporarily at a location other than the building designated in the license, the dealer shall comply with all other requirements imposed on dealers by RCW 9.41.090, 9.41.100, and (9.41.119) this section. The license of a dealer who fails to comply with the requirements of RCW 9.41.080 and 9.41.090 and subsection (8) of this section while conducting business at a temporary location shall be revoked, and the dealer shall be permanently ineligible for a dealer’s license.

(7) The license or a copy thereof, certified by the issuing authority, shall be displayed on the premises in the area where firearms are sold, or at the temporary location, where it can easily be read.

(8)(a) No pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle may be sold: (i) In violation of any provisions of RCW 9.41.010 through 9.41.810; nor (ii) may a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle be sold under any circumstances unless the purchaser is personally known to the dealer or shall present clear evidence of his or her identity.

(b) A dealer who sells or delivers any firearm in violation of RCW 9.41.080 is guilty of a class C felony. In addition to any other penalty provided for by law, the dealer is subject to mandatory permanent revocation of his or her dealer’s license and permanent ineligibility for a dealer’s license.

(c) The license fee for pistols shall be one hundred twenty-five dollars. The license fee for firearms other than pistols shall be one hundred twenty-five dollars. Any dealer who obtains any license under subsection (1), (2), or (3) of this section may also obtain the remaining licenses without payment of any fee. The fees received under this section shall be deposited in the state general fund.

(9)(a) A true record in triplicate shall be made of every pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle sold, in a book kept for the purpose, the form of which may be prescribed by the director of licensing and shall be personally signed by the purchaser and by the person effecting the sale, each in the presence of the other, and shall contain the date of sale, the caliber, make, model and manufacturer’s number of the weapon, the name, address, occupation, and place of birth of the purchaser, and a statement signed by the purchaser that he or she is not ineligible under (RCW 9.41.040) state or federal law to possess a firearm.

(b) One copy shall within six hours be sent by certified mail to the chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county of which the purchaser is a resident, or the state pursuant to RCW 9.41.090; the duplicate the dealer shall within seven days send to the director of licensing; the triplicate the dealer shall retain for six years.

(10) Subsections (2) through (9) of this section shall not apply to sales at wholesale.

(11) The dealer’s licenses authorized to be issued by this section are general licenses covering all sales by the licensee within the effective period of the licenses. The department shall provide a single application form for dealer’s licenses and a single license form which shall indicate the type or types of licenses granted.

(12) Except as provided in RCW 9.41.090, every city, town, and political subdivision of this state is prohibited from requiring the purchaser to secure a permit to purchase or from requiring the dealer to secure an individual permit for each sale.

Sec. 11. RCW 9.41.113 and 2017 c 264 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) All firearm sales or transfers, in whole or part in this state including without limitation a sale or transfer where either the purchaser or seller or transferee or transferor is in Washington, shall be subject to background checks unless specifically exempted by state or federal law. The background check requirement applies to all sales or transfers including, but not limited to, sales and transfers through a licensed dealer, at gun shows, online, and between unlicensed persons.

(2) No person shall sell or transfer a firearm unless:

(a) The person is a licensed dealer;

(b) The purchaser or transferee is a licensed dealer; or

(c) The requirements of subsection (3) of this section are met.

(3) Where neither party to a prospective firearms transaction is a licensed dealer, the parties to the transaction shall complete the sale or transfer through a licensed dealer as follows:

(a) The seller or transferor shall deliver the firearm to a licensed dealer to process the sale or transfer as if it is selling or transferring the firearm from its inventory to the purchaser or transferee, except that the unlicensed seller or transferor may remove the firearm from the business premises of the licensed dealer while the background check is being conducted. If the seller or transferor removes the firearm from the business premises of the licensed dealer while the background check is being conducted, the purchaser or transferee and the seller or transferor shall return to the business premises of the licensed dealer and the seller or transferor shall again deliver the firearm to the licensed dealer prior to completing the sale or transfer.

(b) Except as provided in (a) of this subsection, the licensed dealer shall comply with all requirements of federal and state law that would apply if the licensed dealer were selling or transferring the firearm from its inventory to the purchaser or transferee, including but not limited to conducting a background check on the prospective purchaser or transferee in accordance with federal and state law requirements ((and fulfilling all federal and state record-keeping requirements, and complying with the specific re-
quirements and restrictions on semiautomatic assault rifles in this act.

(c) The purchaser or transferee must complete, sign, and submit all federal, state, and local forms necessary to process the required background check to the licensed dealer conducting the background check.

(d) If the results of the background check indicate that the purchaser or transferee is ineligible to possess a firearm, then the licensed dealer shall return the firearm to the seller or transferee.

(e) The licensed dealer may charge a fee that reflects the fair market value of the administrative costs and efforts incurred by the licensed dealer for facilitating the sale or transfer of the firearm.

(4) This section does not apply to:

(a) A transfer between immediate family members, which for this subsection shall be limited to spouses, domestic partners, parents, parents-in-law, children, siblings, sibs-in-law, grandparents, grandchildren, nieces, nephews, first cousins, aunts, and uncles, that is a bona fide gift or loan;

(b) The sale or transfer of an antique firearm;

(c) A temporary transfer of possession of a firearm if such transfer is necessary to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm to the person to whom the firearm is transferred:

(i) The temporary transfer only lasts as long as immediately necessary to prevent such imminent death or great bodily harm; and

(ii) The person to whom the firearm is transferred is not prohibited from possessing firearms under state or federal law;

(d) A temporary transfer of possession of a firearm if: (i) The transfer is intended to prevent suicide or self-inflicted great bodily harm; (ii) the transfer lasts only as long as reasonably necessary to prevent death or great bodily harm; and (iii) the firearm is not utilized by the transferee for any purpose for the duration of the temporary transfer;

(e) Any law enforcement or corrections agency and, to the extent the person is acting within the course and scope of his or her employment or official duties, any law enforcement or corrections officer, United States marshal, member of the armed forces of the United States or the national guard, or federal official;

(f) A federally licensed gunsmith who receives a firearm solely for the purposes of service or repair, or the return of the firearm to its owner by the federally licensed gunsmith;

(g) The temporary transfer of a firearm (i) between spouses or domestic partners; (ii) if the temporary transfer occurs, and the firearm is kept at all times, at an established shooting range authorized by the governing body of the jurisdiction in which such range is located; (iii) if the temporary transfer occurs and the transferee’s possession of the firearm is exclusively at a lawful organized competition involving the use of a firearm, or while participating in or practicing for a performance by an organized group that uses firearms as a part of the performance; (iv) to a person who is under eighteen years of age for lawful hunting, sporting, or educational purposes while under the direct supervision and control of a responsible adult who is not prohibited from possessing firearms; (v) under circumstances in which the transferee and the firearm remain in the presence of the transferor; or (vi) while hunting if the hunting is legal in all places where the person to whom the firearm is transferred possesses the firearm and the person to whom the firearm is transferred has completed all training and holds all licenses or permits required for such hunting, provided that any temporary transfer allowed by this subsection is permitted only if the person to whom the firearm is transferred is not prohibited from possessing firearms under state or federal law;

(h) A person who (i) acquired a firearm other than a pistol by operation of law upon the death of the former owner of the firearm or (ii) acquired a pistol by operation of law upon the death of the former owner of the pistol within the preceding sixty days. At the end of the sixty-day period, the person must either have lawfully transferred the pistol or must have contacted the department of licensing to notify the department that he or she has possession of the pistol and intends to retain possession of the pistol, in compliance with all federal and state laws; or

(i) A sale or transfer when the purchaser or transferee is a licensed collector and the firearm being sold or transferred is a curio or relic.

Sec. 12. RCW 9.41.124 and 2015 c 1 s 7 are each amended to read as follows:

Residents of a state other than Washington may purchase rifles and shotguns, except those firearms defined as semiautomatic assault rifles, in Washington: PROVIDED, That such residents conform to the applicable provisions of the federal Gun Control Act of 1968, Title IV, Pub. L. 90-351 as administered by the United States secretary of the treasury: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That such residents are eligible to purchase or possess such weapons in Washington and in the state in which such persons reside: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That such residents are subject to the procedures and background checks required by this chapter.

Sec. 13. RCW 9.41.240 and 1994 sp.s. c 7 s 423 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) A person under twenty-one years of age may not purchase a pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle, and except as otherwise provided in this chapter, no person may sell or transfer a semiautomatic assault rifle to a person under twenty-one years of age.

(2) Unless an exception under RCW 9.41.042, 9.41.050, or 9.41.060 applies, a person at least eighteen years of age, but less than twenty-one years of age, may possess a pistol only:

((ff)) (a) In the person’s place of abode;

((ff)) (b) At the person’s fixed place of business; or
(9) "Family or household member" means "family" or "household member" as used in RCW 10.99.020.

Sec. 14. RCW 9.41.129 and 2005 c 274 s 203 are each amended to read as follows:

The department of licensing (may) shall keep copies or records of applications for concealed pistol licenses provided for in RCW 9.41.070, copies or records of applications for alien firearm licenses, copies or records of applications to purchase pistols or semiautomatic assault rifles provided for in RCW 9.41.090, and copies or records of pistol or semiautomatic assault rifle transfers provided for in RCW 9.41.110. The copies and records shall not be disclosed except as provided in RCW 42.56.240(4). NEW SECTION. Sec. 15. A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Within twelve months of the effective date of this section, the department of licensing shall, in conjunction with the Washington state patrol and other state and local law enforcement agencies as necessary, develop a cost-effective and efficient process to:

(a) Verify, on an annual or more frequent basis, that persons who acquired pistols or semiautomatic assault rifles pursuant to this chapter remain eligible to possess a firearm under state and federal law; and

(b) If such persons are determined to be ineligible for any reason, (i) notify and provide the relevant information to the chief of police or the sheriff of the jurisdiction in which the purchaser resides and (ii) take steps to ensure such persons are not illegally in possession of firearms.

(2) The department of licensing, where appropriate, may consult with individuals from the public and private sector or ask the individuals to establish a temporary advisory committee to accomplish the purposes in subsection (1) of this section. Members of such an advisory committee are not entitled to expense reimbursement.

Sec. 16. RCW 9.41.010 and 2018 c 7 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the defini-
(9) “Felony firearm offender” means a person who has previously been convicted or found not guilty by reason of insanity in this state of any felony firearm offense. A person is not a felony firearm offender under this chapter if any and all qualifying offenses have been the subject of an expungement, pardon, annulment, certificate, or rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of the rehabilitation of the person convicted or a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.

(10) “Felony firearm offense” means:
(a) Any felony offense that is a violation of this chapter;
(b) A violation of RCW 9A.36.045;
(c) A violation of RCW 9A.56.300;
(d) A violation of RCW 9A.56.310;
(e) Any felony offense if the offender was armed with a firearm in the commission of the offense.

(11) “Firearm” means a weapon or device from which a projectile or projectiles may be fired by an explosive such as gunpowder. “Firearm” does not include a flare gun or other pyrotechnic visual distress signaling device, or a powder-actuated tool or other device designed solely to be used for construction purposes.

(12) “Gun” has the same meaning as firearm.

(13) “Law enforcement officer” includes a general authority Washington peace officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020, or a specially commissioned Washington police officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020. “Law enforcement officer” also includes a limited authority Washington peace officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020 if such officer is duly authorized by his or her employer to carry a concealed pistol.

(14) “Lawful permanent resident” has the same meaning afforded a person “lawfully admitted for permanent residence” in 8 U.S.C. Sec. 1101(a)(20).

(15) “Licensed collector” means a person who is federally licensed under 18 U.S.C. Sec. 923(b).

(16) “Licensed dealer” means a person who is federally licensed under 18 U.S.C. Sec. 923(a).

(17) “Loaded” means:
(a) There is a cartridge in the chamber of the firearm;
(b) Cartridges are in a clip that is locked in place in the firearm;
(c) There is a cartridge in the cylinder of the firearm, if the firearm is a revolver;
(d) There is a cartridge in the tube or magazine that is inserted in the action; or
(e) There is a ball in the barrel and the firearm is capped or primed if the firearm is a muzzle loader.

(18) “Machine gun” means any firearm known as a machine gun, mechanical rifle, submachine gun, or any other mechanism or instrument not requiring that the trigger be pressed for each shot and having a reservoir clip, disc, drum, belt, or other separable mechanical device for storing, carrying, or supplying ammunition which can be loaded into the firearm, mechanism, or instrument, and fired therefrom at the rate of five or more shots per second.

(19) “Nonimmigrant alien” means a person defined as such in 8 U.S.C. Sec. 1101(a)(15).

(20) “Person” means any individual, corporation, company, association, firm, partnership, club, organization, society, joint stock company, or other legal entity.

(21) “Pistol” means any firearm with a barrel less than sixteen inches in length, or is designed to be held and fired by the use of a single hand.

(22) “Rifle” means a weapon designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed metallic cartridge to fire only a single projectile through a rifled bore for each single pull of the trigger.

(23) “Sale” and “sell” mean the actual approval of the delivery of a firearm in consideration of payment or promise of payment.

(24) “Secure gun storage” means:
(a) A locked box, gun safe, or other secure locked storage space that is designed to prevent unauthorized use or discharge of a firearm; and
(b) The act of keeping an unloaded firearm stored by such means.

(25) “Semiautomatic assault rifle” means any rifle which utilizes a portion of the energy of a firing cartridge to extract the fired cartridge case and chamber the next round, and which requires a separate pull of the trigger to fire each cartridge.

“Semiautomatic assault rifle” does not include antique firearms, any firearm that has been made permanently inoperable, or any firearm that is manually operated by bolt, pump, lever, or slide action.

(26) “Serious offense” means any of the following felonies or a felony attempt to commit any of the following felonies, as now existing or hereafter amended:
(a) Any crime of violence;
(b) Any felony violation of the uniform controlled substances act, chapter 69.50 RCW, that is classified as a class B felony or that has a maximum term of imprisonment of at least ten years;
(c) Child molestation in the second degree;
(d) Incest when committed against a child under age fourteen;
(e) Indecent liberties;
(f) Leading organized crime;
(g) Promoting prostitution in the first degree;
(h) Rape in the third degree;
(i) Drive-by shooting;
(j) Sexual exploitation;
(k) Vehicular assault, when caused by the operation or driving of a vehicle by a person while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug or by the operation or driving of a vehicle in a reckless manner;
(l) Vehicular homicide, when proximately caused by the driv-
ing of any vehicle by any person while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug as defined by RCW 46.61.502, or by the operation of any vehicle in a reckless manner;

(m) Any other class B felony offense with a finding of sexual motivation, as “sexual motivation” is defined under RCW 9.94A.030;

(n) Any other felony with a deadly weapon verdict under RCW 9.94A.825;

(o) Any felony offense in effect at any time prior to June 6, 1996, that is comparable to a serious offense, or any federal or out-of-state conviction for an offense that under the laws of this state would be a felony classified as a serious offense; or

(p) Any felony conviction under RCW 9.41.115.

NEW SECTION. (((27) “Short-barreled rifle” means a rifle having one or more barrels less than sixteen inches in length and any weapon made from a rifle by any means of modification if such modified weapon has an overall length of less than twenty-six inches.

NEW SECTION. (((28) “Short-barreled shotgun” means a shotgun having one or more barrels less than eighteen inches in length and any weapon made from a shotgun by any means of modification if such modified weapon has an overall length of less than twenty-six inches.

NEW SECTION. (((29) “Shotgun” means a weapon with one or more barrels, designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed shotgun shell to fire through a smooth bore either a number of ball shot or a single projectile for each single pull of the trigger.

NEW SECTION. (((29)) “Transfer” means the intended delivery of a firearm to another person without consideration of payment or promise of payment including, but not limited to, gifts and loans. “Transfer” does not include the delivery of a firearm owned or leased by an entity licensed or qualified to do business in the state of Washington to, or return of such a firearm by, any of that entity’s employees or agents, defined to include volunteers participating in an honor guard, for lawful purposes in the ordinary course of business.

NEW SECTION. (((29)) “Unlicensed person” means any person who is not a licensed dealer under this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. This act may be known and cited as the law enforcement training and community safety act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The intent of the people in enacting this act is to make our communities safer. This is accomplished by requiring law enforcement officers to obtain violence de-escalation and mental health training, so that officers will have greater skills to resolve conflicts without the use of physical or deadly force. Law enforcement officers will receive first aid training and be required to render first aid, which will save lives and be a positive point of contact between law enforcement officers and community members to increase trust and reduce conflicts. Finally, the initiative adopts a “good faith” standard for officer criminal liability in those exceptional circumstances where deadly force is used, so that officers using deadly force in carrying out their duties in good faith will not face prosecution.

PART II
REQUIREING LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO RECEIVE VIOLENCE DE-ESCALATION TRAINING

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 43.101 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Beginning one year after the effective date of this section, all law enforcement officers in the state of Washington must receive violence de-escalation training. Law enforcement officers beginning employment after the effective date of this section must successfully complete such training within the first fifteen months of employment. The commission shall set the date by which other law enforcement officers must successfully complete such training.

(2) All law enforcement officers shall periodically receive continuing violence de-escalation training to practice their skills, update their knowledge and training, and learn about new legal requirements and violence de-escalation strategies.

(3) The commission shall set training requirements through the procedures in section 5 of this act.

PART III
REQUIREING LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO RECEIVE MENTAL HEALTH TRAINING

--- END ---
NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 43.101 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Beginning one year after the effective date of this section, all law enforcement officers in the state of Washington must receive mental health training. Law enforcement officers beginning employment after the effective date of this section must successfully complete such training within the first fifteen months of employment. The commission shall set the date by which other law enforcement officers must successfully complete such training.

(2) All law enforcement officers shall periodically receive continuing mental health training to update their knowledge about mental health issues and associated legal requirements, and to update and practice skills for interacting with people with mental health issues.

(3) The commission shall set training requirements through the procedures in section 5 of this act.

PART IV

TRAINING REQUIREMENTS SHALL BE SET IN CONSULTATION WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AND COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 43.101 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Within six months after the effective date of this section, the commission must consult with law enforcement agencies and community stakeholders and adopt rules for carrying out the training requirements of sections 3 and 4 of this act. Such rules must, at a minimum:

(a) Adopt training hour requirements and curriculum for initial violence de-escalation trainings required by this act;

(b) Adopt training hour requirements and curriculum for initial mental health trainings required by this act, which may include all or part of the mental health training curriculum established under RCW 43.101.227 and 43.101.427;

(c) Adopt training hour requirements and curricula for continuing trainings required by this act;

(d) Establish means by which law enforcement officers will receive trainings required by this act; and

(e) Require compliance with this act’s training requirements as a condition of maintaining certification.

(2) In developing curricula, the commission shall consider inclusion of the following:

(a) De-escalation in patrol tactics and interpersonal communication training, including tactical methods that use time, distance, cover, and concealment, to avoid escalating situations that lead to violence;

(b) Alternatives to jail booking, arrest, or citation in situations where appropriate;

(c) Implicit and explicit bias, cultural competency, and the historical intersection of race and policing;

(d) Skills including de-escalation techniques to effectively, safely, and respectfully interact with people with disabilities and/or behavioral health issues;

(e) “Shoot/don’t shoot” scenario training;

(f) Alternatives to the use of physical or deadly force so that deadly force is used only when unavoidable and as a last resort;

(g) Mental health and policing, including bias and stigma; and

(h) Using public service, including rendering of first aid, to provide a positive point of contact between law enforcement officers and community members to increase trust and reduce conflicts.

(3) The initial violence de-escalation training must educate officers on the good faith standard for use of deadly force established by this act and how that standard advances violence de-escalation goals.

(4) The commission may provide trainings, alone or in partnership with private parties or law enforcement agencies, authorize private parties or law enforcement agencies to provide trainings, or any combination thereof. The entity providing the training may charge a reasonable fee.

PART V

ESTABLISHING LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS’ DUTY TO RENDER FIRST AID

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 36.28A RCW to read as follows:

(1) It is the policy of the state of Washington that all law enforcement personnel must render first aid to save lives.

(2) Within one year after the effective date of this section, the Washington state criminal justice training commission, in consultation with the Washington state patrol, the Washington association of sheriffs and police chiefs, organizations representing state and local law enforcement officers, health providers and/or health policy organizations, tribes, and community stakeholders, shall develop guidelines for implementing the duty to render first aid adopted in this section. The guidelines must: (a) Adopt first aid training requirements; (b) assist agencies and law enforcement officers in balancing competing public health and safety duties; and (c) establish that law enforcement officers have a paramount duty to preserve the life of persons whom the officer comes into direct contact with while carrying out official duties, including providing or facilitating immediate first aid to those in agency care or custody at the earliest opportunity.

PART VI

ADOPTING A “GOOD FAITH” STANDARD FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER USE OF DEADLY FORCE

Sec. 7. RCW 9A.16.040 and 1986 c 209 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Homicide or the use of deadly force is justifiable in the following cases:

(a) When a public officer applies deadly force ((is acting)) in obedience to the judgment of a competent court; or

(b) When necessarily used by a peace officer meeting the good faith standard of this section to overcome actual resistance to the execution of the legal process, mandate,
or order of a court or officer, or in the discharge of a legal duty; or

(c) When necessarily used by a peace officer meeting the good faith standard of this section or person acting under the officer’s command and in the officer’s aid:

(i) To arrest or apprehend a person who the officer reasonably believes has committed, has attempted to commit, or is attempting to commit a felony;
(ii) To prevent the escape of a person from a federal or state correctional facility or in retaking a person who escapes from such a facility; ((e#))
(iii) To prevent the escape of a person from a county or city jail or holding facility if the person has been arrested for, charged with, or convicted of a felony; or
(iv) To lawfully suppress a riot if the actor or another participant is armed with a deadly weapon.

(2) In considering whether to use deadly force under subsection (1)(c) of this section, to arrest or apprehend any person for the commission of any crime, the peace officer must have probable cause to believe that the suspect, if not apprehended, poses a threat of serious physical harm to the officer or a threat of serious physical harm to others. Among the circumstances which may be considered by peace officers as a “threat of serious physical harm” are the following:

(a) The suspect threatens a peace officer with a weapon or displays a weapon in a manner that could reasonably be construed as threatening; or
(b) There is probable cause to believe that the suspect has committed any crime involving the infliction or threatened infliction of serious physical harm.

Under these circumstances deadly force may also be used if necessary to prevent escape from the officer, where, if feasible, some warning is given, provided the officer meets the good faith standard of this section.

(3) A public officer ((or peace officer)) covered by subsection (1)(a) of this section shall not be held criminally liable for using deadly force without malice and with a good faith belief that such act is justifiable pursuant to this section.

(4) A law enforcement officer shall not be held criminally liable for using deadly force if such officer meets the good faith standard adopted in this section.

(5) The following good faith standard is adopted for law enforcement officer use of deadly force:

(a) The good faith standard is met only if both the objective good faith test in (b) of this subsection and the subjective good faith test in (c) of this subsection are met.
(b) The objective good faith test is met if a reasonable officer, in light of all the facts and circumstances known to the officer at the time, would have believed that the use of deadly force was necessary to prevent death or serious physical harm to the officer or another individual.
(c) The subjective good faith test is met if the officer intended to use deadly force for a lawful purpose and sincerely and in good faith believed that the use of deadly force was warranted in the circumstance.

(d) Where the use of deadly force results in death, substantial bodily harm, or great bodily harm, an independent investigation must be completed to inform the determination of whether the use of deadly force met the objective good faith test established by this section and satisfied other applicable laws and policies.

(6) For the purpose of this section, “law enforcement officer” means any law enforcement officer in the state of Washington, including but not limited to law enforcement personnel and peace officers as defined by RCW 43.101.010.

(7) This section shall not be construed as:
(a) Affecting the permissible use of force by a person acting under the authority of RCW 9A.16.020 or 9A.16.050; or
(b) Preventing a law enforcement agency from adopting standards pertaining to its use of deadly force that are more restrictive than this section.

PART VII
MISCELLANEOUS

NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. The provisions of this act are to be liberally construed to effectuate the intent, policies, and purposes of this act. Nothing in this act precludes local jurisdictions or law enforcement agencies from enacting additional training requirements or requiring law enforcement officers to provide first aid in more circumstances than required by this act or guidelines adopted under this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. Except where a different timeline is provided in this act, the Washington state criminal justice training commission must adopt any rules necessary for carrying out the requirements of this act within one year after the effective date of this section. In carrying out all rule making under this act, the commission shall seek input from the attorney general, law enforcement agencies, tribes, and community stakeholders. The commission shall consider the use of negotiated rule making. The rules must require that procedures under RCW 9A.16.040(5)(d) be carried out completely independent of the agency whose officer was involved in the use of deadly force; and, when the deadly force is used on a tribal member, such procedures must include consultation with the member’s tribe and, where appropriate, information sharing with such tribe. Where this act requires involvement of community stakeholders, input must be sought from organizations advocating for: Persons with disabilities; members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer community; persons of color; immigrants; non-citizens; native Americans; youth; and formerly incarcerated persons.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. For constitutional purposes, the subject of this act is “law enforcement.”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone Numbers</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams County</td>
<td>210 W Broadway, Ste 200 Ritzville, WA 99169</td>
<td>(509) 659-3249</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.adams.wa.us">elections@co.adams.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asotin County</td>
<td>PO Box 129 Asotin, WA 99402</td>
<td>(509) 243-2084</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dmckay@co.asotin.wa.us">dmckay@co.asotin.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benton County</td>
<td>PO Box 1440 Prosser, WA 99350</td>
<td>(509) 736-3085</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.benton.wa.us">elections@co.benton.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelan County</td>
<td>350 Orondo Ave, STE 306 Level 3 Wenatchee, WA 98801</td>
<td>(509) 667-6808</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.chelan.wa.us">elections@co.chelan.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clallam County</td>
<td>223 E 4th St, Ste 1 Port Angeles, WA 98362</td>
<td>(360) 417-2221</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.clallam.wa.us">elections@co.clallam.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark County</td>
<td>PO Box 8815 Vancouver, WA 98666-8815</td>
<td>(564) 397-2345</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@clark.wa.gov">elections@clark.wa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia County</td>
<td>341 E Main St, Ste 3 Dayton, WA 99328</td>
<td>(509) 382-4541</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sharon_richter@co.columbia.wa.us">sharon_richter@co.columbia.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowlitz County</td>
<td>207 N 4th Ave, Rm 107 Kelso, WA 98626-4124</td>
<td>(360) 577-3005</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.cowlitz.wa.us">elections@co.cowlitz.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas County</td>
<td>PO Box 456 Waterville, WA 98858</td>
<td>(509) 888-6403 or (509) 888-6402</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.douglas.wa.us">elections@co.douglas.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry County</td>
<td>350 E Delaware Ave, Ste 2 Republic, WA 99166</td>
<td>(509) 775-5225 ext. 1139</td>
<td><a href="mailto:delections@co.ferry.wa.us">delections@co.ferry.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County</td>
<td>PO Box 1451 Pasco, WA 99301</td>
<td>(509) 545-3538</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.franklin.wa.us">elections@co.franklin.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield County</td>
<td>PO Box 278 Pomeroy, WA 99347-0278</td>
<td>(509) 843-1411</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ddeal@co.garfield.wa.us">ddeal@co.garfield.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant County</td>
<td>PO Box 37 Ephrata, WA 98823</td>
<td>(509) 754-2011 ext 2793</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@grantcountywa.gov">elections@grantcountywa.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grays Harbor County</td>
<td>100 W Broadway, Ste 2 Montesano, WA 98563</td>
<td>(360) 964-1556</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.grays-harbor.wa.us">elections@co.grays-harbor.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island County</td>
<td>PO Box 1410 Coupeville, WA 98239</td>
<td>(360) 679-7366</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.island.wa.us">elections@co.island.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County</td>
<td>PO Box 563 Port Townsend, WA 98368-0563</td>
<td>(360) 385-9119</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.jefferson.wa.us">elections@co.jefferson.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King County</td>
<td>919 SW Grady Way Renton, WA 98057</td>
<td>(206) 296-8683</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@kingcounty.gov">elections@kingcounty.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitsap County</td>
<td>614 Division St, MS 31 Port Orchard, WA 98366</td>
<td>(360) 337-7128</td>
<td><a href="mailto:auditor@co.kitsap.wa.us">auditor@co.kitsap.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kittitas County</td>
<td>205 W 5th Ave, Ste 105 Ellensburg, WA 98926-2891</td>
<td>(509) 962-7503</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elections@co.kittitas.wa.us">elections@co.kittitas.wa.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klickitat County</td>
<td>205 S Columbus Ave, Room 203 Goldendale, WA 98620</td>
<td>(509) 773-4001</td>
<td><a href="mailto:voting@klickitatcounty.org">voting@klickitatcounty.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contact your county elections department

Lewis County
PO Box 29
Chehalis, WA 98532-0029
(360) 740-1164
elections@lewiscountywa.gov

Lincoln County
PO Box 28
Davenport, WA 99122-0028
(509) 725-4971
elections@co.lincoln.wa.us

Mason County
PO Box 400
Shelton, WA 98584
(360) 427-9670 ext 470
elections@co.mason.wa.us

Okanogan County
PO Box 1010
Okanogan, WA 98840-1010
(509) 422-7240
elections@co.okanogan.wa.us

Pacific County
PO Box 97
South Bend, WA 98586
(360) 875-9317
jkidd@co.pacific.wa.us

Pend Oreille County
PO Box 5015
Newport, WA 99156
(509) 447-6472
elections@pendoreille.org

Pierce County
2501 S 35th St, Ste C
Tacoma, WA 98409
(253) 798-VOTE (8683)
pcelections@piercecountywa.gov

San Juan County
PO Box 638
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-0638
(360) 378-3357
elections@sanjuanco.com

Skagit County
PO Box 1306
Mount Vernon, WA 98273
(360) 416-1702
scelections@co.skagit.wa.us

Skamania County
PO Box 790
Stevenson, WA 98648-0790
(509) 427-3730
elections@co.skamania.wa.us

Snohomish County
3000 Rockefeller Ave, MS 505
Everett, WA 98201-4046
(425) 388-3444
elections@snoco.org

Spokane County
1033 W Gardner Ave
Spokane, WA 99260
(509) 477-2320
elections@spokanecounty.org

Stevens County
215 S Oak St, Rm 106
Colville, WA 99114-2836
(509) 684-7514
elections@stevenscountywa.gov

Thurston County
2000 Lakeridge Dr SW
Olympia, WA 98502-6090
(360) 786-5408
elections@co.thurston.wa.us

Wahkiakum County
PO Box 543
Cathlamet, WA 98612
(360) 795-3219
bergsengn@co.wahkiakum.wa.us

Walla Walla County
PO Box 2176
Walla Walla, WA 99362-0176
(509) 524-2530
elections@co.walla-walla.wa.us

Whatcom County
PO Box 369
Bellingham, WA 98227-0369
(360) 778-5102
elections@co.whatcom.wa.us

Whitman County
PO Box 191
Colfax, WA 99111
(509) 397-5284
elections@co.whitman.wa.us

Yakima County
PO Box 12570
Yakima, WA 98909-2570
(509) 574-1340
iVote@co.yakima.wa.us
General Election
November 6

Your ballot will be mailed by October 19

(800) 448-4881 | vote.wa.gov

Residential Customer

King County
98001, 98002, 98003, 98010, 98030, 98031, 98032, 98035,
98038, 98042, 98051, 98055, 98056, 98057, 98058, 98059,
98063, 98064, 98071, 98089, 98093, 98198

King County Carrier Routes Only: 98022, 98023, 98047,
98092, 98354, 98422

Para recibir un folleto en español, comuníquese al (800) 448-4881
o visite vote.wa.gov.