

EXHIBIT 9

THE SPOKESMAN-REVIEW

June 11, 2010

House, senate races draw a crowd

Jim Camden

The Spokesman-Review

Tags: 2010 elections 5th Congressional District candidate list u.s. senate

OLYMPIA – Last week, Eastern Washington Democrats were scrambling to find one candidate to challenge Republican Rep. Cathy McMorris Rodgers for her fourth term. This week, they have four – a perennial candidate and a trio of novices, one of them a relative unknown, one known for telling television viewers about the weather and a third who lives on the other side of the state.

Daryl Romeyn, a former weathercaster for KREM and KXLY television stations in Spokane, entered the 5th Congressional District race Friday, one of many candidates filling out the Aug. 17 ballot on the last day of filing.

Romeyn, a 51-year-old Greenacres resident, couldn't immediately be reached for comment. He left KREM in March, a spokeswoman for the station said.

His entry surprised state Democratic Vice Chairwoman Sharon Smith, who said she didn't know Romeyn was active in Democratic events or causes. Party leaders recruited Clyde Cordero, a Spokane Valley resident who works as a Web advertising salesman and Desert Storm veteran.

David Fox, a Port Angeles attorney, made it a half-dozen shortly before filing closed. Fox previously announced plans to run for Clallam County prosecutor, but that was short-circuited by his arrest last weekend at Sea-Tac airport for driving while intoxicated and possession of marijuana; charges were not filed in that case or in a previous allegation of sexual misconduct with a client.

Fox said he grew up in Othello, where his grandfather homesteaded and his family still farms. He plans to move to Spokane for the campaign. Under federal law, a candidate for the U.S. House need not live in the district, only in the same state as the district.

Romeyn's and Fox's entrance makes five challengers to McMorris-Rodgers in the 5th District, with Randall Yearout of the Constitution Party and Democrat Barbara Lampert, a perennial candidate who runs low-budget campaigns.

That pales in comparison with the U.S. Senate race, generally thought to be a race in the fall between three-term incumbent Democrat Patty Murray and either former state Sen. Dino Rossi or Clint Didier, a former NFL star and farmer from Eltopia. The primary ballot, however, will sport 15 candidates: six listing Republican Party preference, five listing Democratic Party, one Centrist Party, one Reform Party and two listing no party preference.

Under Washington's top-two primary system, candidates decide how to list their party preference. Candidates also are free to change from one election to the next.

Glen Stockwell, running as a Republican in the 9th Legislative District, ran as a Democrat two years ago, but as a Republican in a previous race. Mohammad Said, who lists the Centrist Party in his run for the U.S. Senate, has run previously as a Democrat.

Regardless of party, the two candidates with the most votes in the primary face off in the Nov. 2 general election.

Other crowded primary races include the Spokane County Assessor race, where Republican incumbent Ralph Baker faces four challengers, including late entrant Sadie Charlene Cooney, a former assessor. Challengers to Spokane County Commissioner Bonnie Mager, a Democrat, also grew to four just before the deadline with the entry of David Elton, a self-styled political consultant.

And some incumbents got the equivalent of a free pass, with no opponent filing a challenge. Write-in candidates can still surface, but under the top-two primary the major political parties can no longer file a candidate if they aren't represented in a race.

Here are the candidates, and their stated party preference, appearing on Spokane-area ballots in the Aug. 17 primary

Congressional

U.S Senate: Paul Akers, Republican; Charles Allen, Democratic; Will Baker, Reform, Bob Burr, Democratic; William Chovill, Republican; Clint Didier, Republican; Goodspaceguy, Democratic; Norma Gruber, Republican; Mike Latimer, Republican; Schalk Leonard, no party; James Mercer, no party; Mike the Mover, Democratic; Patty Murray, Democratic; Dino Rossi, Republican; Mohammad Said, Centrist

U.S. House, 5th-District: Clyde Cordero, Democratic; David Fox, Democratic; Barbara

Lampert, Democratic; Cathy McMorris Rodgers, Republican; Daryl Romeyn, Democratic; Randall Yearout, Constitution

Legislative

3rd District House Pos. 1: Bob Apple, Democratic; Andy Billig, Democratic; Louise Chadez, Democratic; Dave White, GOP

House Pos. 2: Timm Ormsby, Democratic; Hector Martinet, no party; Morgan Oyler, GOP

4th District House Pos. 1: Larry Crouse, Republican

House Pos. 2: Matt Shea, Republican

6th District Senate: Mike Baumgartner, Republican; Chris Marr, Democratic

House Pos. 1: Kevin Parker, Republican

House Pos. 2: John Ahern, Republican; John Driscoll, Democratic; Shelly O'Quinn, Republican

7th District Senate: Bob Morton, Republican; Barbara Mowrey, Democratic

House Pos. 1: Shelly Short, Republican

House Pos. 2: Joel Kretz, Republican

9th District House Pos. 1: Susan Fagan, Republican

House Pos. 2: Joe Schmick, Republican; Glen Stockwell, Republican

Spokane County

Commissioner District 3: Al French, GOP; Jeff Holy, Republican; Bonnie Mager, Democratic; Steve Salvatori, Republican; David Elton, Republican

Assessor: Ralph Baker, Republican; Vicki Horton, Republican; Andrew Jackson, Democratic; Lori Wick, Independent; Sadie Charlene Cooney, Democratic Auditor: Leonard Christian, Republican; Vicky Dalton, Democratic

Clerk: Thomas Fallquist, Republican

Prosecuting Attorney: Chris Bugbee, Republican; Frank Malone, Democratic; Jim Reiersen, Law & Order; Dave Stevens, Republican; Steve Tucker, Republican

Sheriff: Ozzie Knezovich, Republican

Treasurer: Skip Chilberg, Democratic

Judicial

>p>State Supreme Court Pos. 1: Jim Johnson; Stan Rumbaugh

Pos. 5: Barbara Madsen

Pos. 6: Bryan Chuschoff, Richard Sanders, Charlie Wiggins

Court of Appeals Dist. 3: Harvey Dunham, Laurel Siddoway

District Court Pos. 1: Vance Peterson; Pos. 2: Sara Derr; Pos. 3: John Cooney; Pos. 4: Patti Connolly Walker; Pos. 5: Gregory Tripp; Pos. 6: Debra Hayes, Timothy Note; Pos. 7: Donna Wilson; Pos. 8: Richard White

Get more news and information at Spokesman.com

EXHIBIT 10

NORTHWEST PROGRESSIVE INSTITUTE OFFICIAL BLOG

Read a Pacific Northwest, liberal perspective on world, national, and local politics. From majestic Redmond, Washington - the Northwest Progressive Institute Official Blog.

Friday, June 6, 2008

Filing Week: Final Report (June 6th, 2008)

We've reached the end of filing week and that means that matchups for the August 19 primary are set, so here's the rundown of the races. Remember that under Top Two, only the candidates that get the most votes will advance to the November general election, regardless of party affiliation.

Federal Races


- **1st Congressional District** - Congressman Jay Inslee (Democrat) will be taking on Larry Ishmael (Republican).
- **2nd Congressional District** - Congressman Rick Larsen (Democrat) has three challengers: Rick Bart (Republican), Doug Schaffer (Democrat), and Glen Johnson (Democrat).
- **3rd Congressional District** - Congressman Brian Baird (Democrat) has also drawn three challengers. Cheryl Crist (Democrat), Michael Delavar (Republican) and Christine Webb (Republican) have all filed.
- **4th Congressional District** - Congressman Doc Hastings (Republican) will be challenged by George Fearing (Democrat) and Gordon Allen Pross (Republican).
- **5th Congressional District** - Congresswoman Cathy McMorris Rodgers (Republican) will face off with Mark Mays (Democrat), Randall Yearout (Constitution Party), Barbara Lempert (Democrat), John Beck (Libertarian Party), and Kurt Erickson (Republican).
- **6th Congressional District** - Congressman Norm Dicks (Democrat) faces Doug Cloud (Republican), Paul Richmond (Democrat) and Gary Murrell (Green Party).
- **7th Congressional District** - Congressman Jim McDermott (Democrat) leads a crowded field including perennial candidate Goodspaceguy Nelson

Join NPI

We're looking for progressive activists who want to make a difference and share their ideas with the world.

[NPI Home](#)
[Contact Us](#)
[Legislative Advocacy HQ](#)
[Copyright Information](#)
[Permanent Defense](#)

Syndication




(Democrat), Mark Goldman (No party preference), Donovan Rivers (Democrat), Al Schaefer (No party preference), and Steve Beren (Republican).

- **8th Congressional District** - Congressman Dave Reichert (Republican) has drawn five challengers: Boleslaw (John) Orlinski (No party preference), Richard Todd (No party preference), James Vaughn (Democrat), Keith Arnold (Democrat), and Darcy Burner (Democrat).
- **9th Congressional District** - Congressman Adam Smith (Democrat) will square off with James Postma (Republican).

Statewide Races

- **Governor** - Governor Chris Gregoire (Democrat) will face Dino Rossi (Republican), Will Baker (Reform Party), Duff Badgely (Green Party), John Aiken Jr. (Republican), Christian Pierre Joubert (Democrat), Christopher Tudor (No party preference), Javier Lopez (Republican), Mohammad Hasan Said (No Party Preference), and James White (Independent).
- **Lt. Governor** - Lt. Governor Brad Owen (Democrat) is being challenged by Marcia McCraw (Republican), Arlene Peck (Constitution Party), Jim Wiest (Republican), and Randal Bell (Democrat).
- **Secretary of State** - Secretary of State Sam Reed (Republican) finds himself running against Mark Greene (Party of Commons Party), Jason Osgood (Democrat) and Marilyn Montgomery (Constitution Party).
- **State Treasurer** - With the incumbent retiring, this is an open seat being contested by Jim McIntire (Democrat), Allan Martin (Republican) and ChangMook Sohn (Democrat).
- **State Auditor** - State Auditor Brian Sonntag (Democrat) faces Glenn Freeman (Constitution Party), and J. Richard (Dick) McEntee (Republican).
- **Attorney General** - Attorney General Rob McKenna (Republican) is being challenged by Pierce County Executive John Ladenburg (Democrat).
- **Commissioner of Public Lands** - Commissioner Doug Sutherland (Republican) and Peter Goldmark (Democrat) will face off.
- **Superintendent of Public Instruction** - Superintendent Terry Bergeson will be taking on John Patterson Blair, Don Hansler, Randy Dorn, David Blomstrom, and Enid Duncan.
- **Insurance Commissioner** - Insurance Commissioner Mike Kreidler (Democrat) has drawn two challengers: John Adams (Republican, and not the dead President) and Curtis Fackler (No party preference).



Obama'08
BarackObama.com

Infocenter

Official Blog Feed (Atom)
[Official Blog Feed](#) (RSS)
[NPI Multimedia Feed](#) (RSS)
[Permanent Defense](#) (RSS)



Receive Email Digest when
the Official Blog is updated:

Subscribe Me

Previous Posts

[The People vs. The Powerful](#)

[Talking with our enemies](#)

[Filing Week: Report for June 5,](#)

For the full slate of legislative candidates, please visit the [Secretary of State's website](#).

Posted by Ken Camp : 8:35 PM 

Comments:

| [RPope98155](#) said...

There is the issue of Republicans playing games with the "party preference" on their filing forms.

Some of the Republican candidates decided to file as "Prefers G.O.P. Party" -- such as Dino Rossi for Governor, and Jim Wiest for Lieutenant Governor. Gordon Allan Pross filed as "Prefers Grand Old Party" for 4th district U.S. House.

And something which might be slightly interesting, Curtis Fackler (Chair of the Spokane County Republican Party) filed for Insurance Commissioner as "States No Party Preference"!

[June 7, 2008 2:03 PM](#)

[Post a Comment](#)

[<< Home](#)



[2008](#)
[Give General Wes Clark Orders to Work with Darcy B...](#)

[Filing Week: Report for June 4, 2008](#)

[Remaining Washington Superdelegates Endorse Obama](#)

[Filing Week: Report for June 3rd, 2008](#)

[The Dream is Alive Obama Speech Leaked](#)

[BREAKING: Barack Obama now the presumptive Democra...](#)

Archives

Select month to view:

- Archives -

"The modern conservative is engaged in one of man's oldest exercises in moral philosophy; that is, the search for a superior moral justification for selfishness."

- attributed to John Kenneth Galbraith

EXHIBIT 11

Office of the Secretary of State / Elections Division



Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot
Interactive Polling Workshop

April 10, 2008 | Tukwila WA

ER
ELWAY RESEARCH, INC.

INTRODUCTION

In August 2008, Washington voters will participate in their fourth new primary system in four years. Following a recent United States Supreme Court decision, the top two vote-getters in the Primary election, regardless of party affiliation, will advance to the general election in the fall.

The Elections Division of the Secretary of State's Office is charged with both developing the ballot format and explaining Washington's new "Top Two" Primary system to voters. Concerned about potential voter confusion, the Elections Division commissioned this interactive polling workshop designed to test alternate explanations and ballot formats. This report summarizes the results of this workshop.

Elway Research, of Seattle, assembled 36 voters recruited at random from voter lists in several legislative districts to participate in this two-hour interactive session held in Tukwila, WA, on April 10, 2008. The session combined polling and discussion. Using wireless handsets, participants anonymously responded to questions and materials. In addition, the moderator facilitated a discussion of each alternative ballot. Participants were encouraged to ask questions and make comments.

This report presents the questions in the order they were asked at the workshop and displays the charts as they were shown to the participants. The discussion was audio-taped. The text to the right of the charts consists of comments by participants, and, in some cases, noted differences between types of participants.

KEY FINDINGS

- ♦ Most participants (20/36) believed the purpose of a primary election was to designate the party's nominees for the general election.
- ♦ 2 in 3 participants believed the purpose of a primary election in a non-partisan election was to "narrow the field" of candidates.
- ♦ Almost half of participants (16/36) believed the purpose of a Presidential preference primary was to "indicate voter preference for candidates."
- ♦ Almost 6 in 10 participants incorrectly believed the next primary election was in September 2008.
- ♦ Half correctly identified the new primary's name: the "Top Two."
- ♦ 2 in 3 participants said they believed that the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes would proceed to the General Election ballot, regardless of party.
- ♦ Participants were split over which type of primary they preferred: Blanket or Top Two.
- ♦ 7 in 10 said they found the proposed postcard about the primary either "very clear," "clear," or "somewhat clear."
- ♦ 7 in 10 believed Disclaimer B was the most clear.
 - Disclaimer B: "Each candidate for partisan office may list a political party that he or she prefers. A

candidate's preference is not an indication of endorsement by the party."

- ♦ Most believed that the Partisan Ballot Arrangement A implied the strongest connection between the party and the candidate.

Partisan Ballot Arrangement A
24th Legislative District, Pos 1
JOHN SMITH
 Republican Party
JANE DOE
 Democratic Party


- ♦ 6 in 10 believed that Independent Arrangement A suggested the strongest connection between the party and the candidate.

Independent Ballot Arrangement A
24th Legislative District, Pos 1
JANE DOE
 (Prefers Republican Party)
JOHN SMITH
 (Independent Preference)


- ♦ Fewer than half (16/36) said the sample ballot instructions were "very clear."
- ♦ Almost half (17/36) said the Voters Pamphlet would be the most useful way to provide information about the primary.
- ♦ 8 of 10 participants said the TV copy was "very clear."
- ♦ Fewer than half (16/36) said the radio copy was "very clear."

PARTICIPANT PROFILE**2. What is your gender**


1. Male
2. Female

**3. Where is your home?**


1. Auburn
2. Bellevue
3. Federal Way
4. Kent
5. Renton
6. SeaTac
7. Seattle
8. Tukwila
- 9 Other

**4. If you had to register by party in order to vote, would you register as..**

1. Democrat
2. Republican
3. Independent
4. Other


**5. What is your age?**

1. 18-35
2. 36-50
3. 51-60
4. 60+




KNOWLEDGE OF PRIMARIES**6. The purpose of a Primary Election is to:**

1. Elect people to office
2. Narrow the field of candidates
3. Designate the party nominees for the General Election

**7. The purpose of a Primary in a non-partisan election is to:**


1. Elect people to office
2. Narrow the field of candidates
3. Practice run for the General election



- Men were significantly more likely to answer "narrow the field" than women.
- All but one Republican chose "narrow the field."
- 84% of people over 60 chose "narrow the field."

8. The purpose of a Presidential preference Primary is to:


1. Elect delegates to political party conventions
2. Indicate voter preferences for candidates
3. Varies by party



- Women were most likely to answer "varies by party."


9. Washington will have a new form of Primary this year. When is the Primary election?

1. July
2. August
3. September




10. Our new Primary is called:

1. Pick a Party
2. Top Two
3. Blanket Primary



11. Under this new Primary, which of the following is true:

1. The 2 candidates receiving the highest number of votes will proceed to the General election ballot, regardless of party
2. The top Democrat vote getter and the top Republican vote getter will proceed to the General Election ballot
3. The top two Democrat vote getters and the top two Republican vote getters will proceed to the General Election ballot




Office of the Secretary of State

Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot Language

12. Washington will have had 4 types of Primary Election in the last four years. Which one do you prefer:

1. Blanket
2. Pick a party
3. Top Two
4. Presidential preference Primary



Support for the "Top Two" primary process:
 "Simplifies the number of candidates."

"This is democracy."

"I think the reason I like it best is sometimes I like to pick the person not the party, although there might be a party that I have a little more inclination to support than another. I hate to be locked in to its representative every time."

More support for the "Top Two":

"That's the way the constitution was originally developed, pick the best person."

"This system, the top-two system doesn't leave it up to the party to decide who's going to be running. It leaves it up to the people to decide whether they're Republicans, or Democrats, or independents. If you have a number of independents in a, let's say a Republican district and you're dissatisfied with the incumbent and there's another Republican running and there are Democrats, and greens, and so forth, libertarians.... You decide that a Republican is probably going to win then you could probably pick the Republican most closely aligned to what your position is rather than the most popular or the incumbent. You have a way of weighing your vote, where as before, you didn't have. The activists of either the Republican or Democratic Party would be making that choice. Now it opens it up to the people to make that choice."

Concerns about the "Top Two":

"Can I ask a question pertaining to the top-two? Is the only way you can get on the general election is by through the primary or can, like a third-party, go through a caucus system and insist on being named on the general election?"

"You have a one-party slant if you have to, the top two are both Democrats, and the top two are both Republicans, you're going to get that slant. You won't really have opposition; you won't have somebody who is showing what the other side of the coin looks like."

"I personally think that it's going to be more exclusionary because the only way to get an incumbent out is to only have one other candidate in a party. You do not want to have multiple candidates because you're not going to hit the 50% or the number. To me it's going to make it less elective."

Comments in support of a "Blanket" primary:

"With the blanket you're not restricted, you can vote either way."

"In a republic, which we are, the top two, by default, benefits whoever is in power because they're the most popular, they have the most name recognition, and it will by default; exclude the party that is out of power."


April 10, 2008

ELWAY RESEARCH, INC.

8

POSTCARD CLARITY


13. This is an example of a post card that you might receive, telling voters about the new Primary. Do you find it...

**Comments and Suggestions:**

"Show the hours, the day and hours the polls will be open."

"If this is going to be an all-mail-in, they probably ought to mention that."


"I think it needs a website in case people have a question then they go to a website."



- 1- Very Clear
- 2- Clear
- 3- somewhat clear
- 4- somewhat Confusing
- 5- confusing
- 6- Very Confusing

DISCLAIMER LANGUAGE

- 14. Washington law allows a candidate for partisan office to state on the ballot the political party that he or she prefers. A candidate's statement of party preference does not imply that the candidate is nominated by, endorsed by, or a member of that party.**




"I wonder if the word, reveal, shouldn't be in there maybe?"

"It's got unnecessary stuff in it, it should just say, the candidates party preference may or may not be true."

"What about, represent instead of prefer. That's not right, they may not represent it if they're not a member of the party."

- 15. Each candidate for partisan office may list a political party that he or she prefers. A candidate's preference is not an indication of endorsement by the party**




"That was more clear."

"A lot less wordy."

"Brief is good."

"Less adjectives."


- 16. In partisan races the political party listed with a candidate is the political party that the candidate prefers. The party may or may not prefer or approve of that candidate**



"This one required too much thought."


"The party may or may not approve of that guy, what does that mean?"

- 17. State law allows candidates for partisan office to self-select the political party he or she prefers. A party preference does not imply that the candidate is nominated by, endorsed by, or a member of that party.**



What makes "B" the favorite?

- "It's clear."
 "Simple and clear."
 "Short."
 "Conveys the information?"



- 18. Which of these statements do you think is the most clear, understandable and useful to voters?**

Office of the Secretary of State

Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot Language


BALLOT LANGUAGE, PARTISANS**A.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1**

JOHN SMITH

Republican Party

JANE DOE

Democratic Party


**B.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1**

JOHN SMITH

Republican Party preference

JANE DOE

Democratic Party preference


**C.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1**

JOHN SMITH

prefers Republican Party

JANE DOE

prefers Democratic Party



“They really have no idea if they do or do not have a formal connection because they might.”

“They needed to have preferred and endorsed.”

“I voted; ‘don’t know’, on every one of these. To me there’s got to be something out there that says, endorsed by the Democratic Party.”

April 10, 2008


12

ELWAY RESEARCH, INC.

Office of the Secretary of State


Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot Language

D.
24th Legislative District, Pos. 1
JOHN SMITH
(prefers Republican Party)
JANE DOE
(prefers Democratic Party)



- Democrats were significantly more likely than Republicans to believe that Arrangement D suggested the candidate "think of self."
- "I don't see what's different."
- "Basically, there's no endorsement going on, we don't know who's endorsed and who isn't?"

24. Which of these Examples implies the strongest connection between the Party and the Candidate?
[Handout 2]



- "We're used to seeing it that way."
- "There is no qualifying word."
- "That's what we've seen in the past."
- "It's just stated as a fact."
- "The only thing stronger would be paid for by the Democratic party."

April 10, 2008

13


ELWAY RESEARCH, INC.

Office of the Secretary of State

Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot Language

BALLOT LANGUAGE, INDEPENDENTS

- 1.**
24th Legislative District, Pos. 1
JANE DOE
 (prefers Republican Party)
JOHN SMITH
 (Independent Preference)




"Is an Independent a formal party or just a declaration of independence from party affiliation only?"

"You could say Independent of party."

"No party affiliation."

"It shouldn't put preferred and preference with the same thing, it's kind of confusing."

- 2.**
24th Legislative District, Pos. 1
JANE DOE
 (prefers Republican Party)
JOHN SMITH
 (has no party preference)




"Has no formal connection but is for sale."

"Prefers no party sounds like you... doesn't like either the Democrats or the Republicans."

"Or if they could have left it blank; they didn't state a party preference where the other one actually preferred no party."

- 3.**
24th Legislative District, Pos. 1
JANE DOE
 (prefers Republican Party)
JOHN SMITH
 (prefers no party)



April 10, 2008

14

ELWAY RESEARCH, INC.

Office of the Secretary of State

Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot Language

4.

24th Legislative District, Pos. 1


JANE DOE

(prefers Republican Party)


JOHN SMITH

(states no party preference)

capitalize



29. Which of these Examples implies the strongest connection between the Party and the Candidate?



"I'm going for parallel structure; I think that's very confusing."

"It should just be one word, Republican, Democrat, and no affiliation."

"At the very top of the ballot say none of this really means anything. That's just what people are saying."

"You can bet before the election the


Democrat and Republican parties will have a lot of ads out saying, who their nominee is. This is done in a vacuum now but if we were real campaign the Republican and Democrat and green party would all be running as...to say they are the nominee of the Republican or Democrat...

April 10, 2008


15

ELIJAH RESEARCH, INC.

BALLOT INSTRUCTIONS

INSTRUCTIONS TO ALL VOTERS		
1 Use a dark pen to fill in the oval next to your choice. Fill in the oval completely.		OPTIONAL WRITE-IN VOTE: To vote for a WRITE-IN candidate, write the name and darken the oval.
2 ABSENTEE VOTERS: If you make an error in voting, draw an "X" through the error and fill in the correct oval.		Do not sign or make any additional marks on the ballot.
POLL VOTERS: If you make an error in voting, ask a poll worker for a new ballot.		

30. Respondents were shown the following ballot instructions and asked to rate its clarity on a six-point scale, where 1=Very Clear and 6=Very Unclear.




"I think it needs to say mail-in and absentee voters only. I might go to the polls and say, oh look, I can correct my mistakes. I won't read down that far, I'll already see the X and I will've already X-ed one out and keep going."

"Optimal write-in vote, I would probably change; write the name and darken the oval. Flip them because isn't that what's happening, the town's people forget and actually mark the oval?"

MOST USEFUL INFORMATION SOURCE

31. Which of the following would you find most useful as a way to provide you with information about the primary.

1. Voters Pamphlet
2. Special Mailing
3. Insert In The Mailing With The Ballot
4. Television Ads
5. Radio Ads
6. Newspaper Ads



“Something that you could take some time and read.”


“I like number “3” because the information is there with the most important thing, the ballot.”

“Do number “1” and use “3” as a reminder.”


“Those people who vote at the polls they would have to read it there but if they already knew about it then it would just be a reminder.”


ADVERTISING COPY**TV Ad Copy**

Washington has a new primary.
 August 19 you can vote for the person,
 not the party.
 New laws mean you won't have to pick
 a political party and you can choose
 any candidate on the ballot –
 regardless of party preference.
 Occasionally two candidates with
 similar political party preferences may
 advance to the general election.
 Remember: State law allows
 candidates to express their political
 party preferences. Preferences do not
 imply endorsement or nomination by a
 political party

**RADIO COPY**

Washington has a new primary.
 August 19 you can vote for the person,
 not the party.
 New laws mean you won't have to pick
 a political party and you can choose
 any candidate on the ballot –
 regardless of party preference.





Office of the Secretary of State

Elections Division

**VOTER EVALUATION OF
PRIMARY BALLOT LANGUAGE**

April 2008


RESPONSE FREQUENCIES

This report presents the raw data from the interactive polling session. The results are displayed as they were during the session.


ER
ELWAY RESEARCH, INC.

PARTICIPANT PROFILE**2. What is your gender**


1. Male
2. Female

**3. Is your cell phone:**


1. On
2. Off
3. Not with me
4. Don't have a cell phone

**4. Where is your home?**

1. Auburn
2. Bellevue
3. Federal Way
4. Kent
5. Renton
6. SeaTac
7. Seattle
8. Tukwila
9. Other

**5. If you had to register by party in order to vote, would you register as..**

1. Democrat
2. Republican
3. Independent
4. Other




Office of the Secretary of State


Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot Language

6. What is your age?


1. 18-35
2. 36-50
3. 51-60
4. 60+

**KNOWLEDGE OF PRIMARIES****7. The purpose of a Primary Election is to:**


1. Elect people to office
2. Narrow the field of candidates
3. Designate the party nominees for the General Election

**8. The purpose of a Primary in a non-partisan election is to:**


1. Elect people to office
2. Narrow the field of candidates
3. Practice run for the General election

**9. The purpose of a Presidential preference Primary is to:**

1. Elect delegates to political party conventions
2. Indicate voter preferences for candidates
3. Varies by party

**10. Washington will have a new form of Primary this year. When is the Primary election?**

1. July
2. August
3. September




Office of the Secretary of State


Voter Evaluation of Primary Ballot Language

11. Our new Primary is called:




1. Pick a Party
2. Top Two
3. Blanket Primary

**12. Under this new Primary, which of the following is true:**

1. The 2 candidates receiving the highest number of votes will proceed to the General election ballot, regardless of party
2. The top Democrat vote getter and the top Republican vote getter will proceed to the General Election ballot
3. The top two Democrat vote getters and the top two Republican vote getters will proceed to the General Election ballot


**13. Washington will have had 4 types of Primary Election in the last four years. Which one do you prefer:**

1. Blanket
2. Pick a party
3. Top Two
4. Presidential preference Primary


**14. This is an example of a post card that you might receive, telling voters about the new Primary. Do you find it...**

DISCLAIMER LANGUAGE


- 15. Washington law allows a candidate for partisan office to state on the ballot the political party that he or she prefers. A candidate's statement of party preference does not imply that the candidate is nominated by, endorsed by, or a member of that party.**




- 16. Each candidate for partisan office may list a political party that he or she prefers. A candidate's preference is not an indication of endorsement by the party**




- 17. In partisan races the political party listed with a candidate is the political party that the candidate prefers. The party may or may not prefer or approve of that candidate**



- 18. State law allows candidates for partisan office to self-select the political party he or she prefers. A party preference does not imply that the candidate is nominated by, endorsed by, or a member of that party.**



- 19. Which of these statements do you think is the most clear, understandable and useful to voters?**




BALLOT LANGUAGE, PARTISANS**A.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1****JOHN SMITH**

Republican Party

JANE DOE


Democratic Party

**B.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1****JOHN SMITH**

Republican Party preference

JANE DOE


Democratic Party preference

**C.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1****JOHN SMITH**

prefers Republican Party

JANE DOE


prefers Democratic Party

**D.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1****JOHN SMITH**


(prefers Republican Party)

JANE DOE

(prefers Democratic Party)



24. Which of these Examples implies the strongest connection between the Party and the Candidate?
[Handout 2]




BALLOT LANGUAGE, INDEPENDENTS**1.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1**

JANE DOE

(prefers Republican Party)

JOHN SMITH

(Independent Preference)


**2.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1**

JANE DOE

(prefers Republican Party)

JOHN SMITH

(has no party preference)


**3.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1**

JANE DOE

(prefers Republican Party)

JOHN SMITH

(prefers no party)


**4.****24th Legislative District, Pos. 1**

JANE DOE


(prefers Republican Party)

JOHN SMITH



(states no party preference)




29. Which of these Examples implies the strongest connection between the Party and the Candidate?



BALLOT INSTRUCTIONS


INSTRUCTIONS TO ALL VOTERS		
1 Use a dark pen to fill in the oval next to your choice. Fill in the oval completely. 	2 ABSENTEE VOTERS: If you make an error in voting, draw an "X" through the error and fill in the correct oval.  POLL VOTERS: If you make an error in voting, ask a poll worker for a new ballot.	3 OPTIONAL WRITE-IN VOTE: To vote for a WRITE-IN candidate, write the name and darken the oval.  4 Do not sign or make any additional marks on the ballot.

30.

**MOST USEFUL INFORMATION SOURCE**


31. Which of the following would you find most useful as a way to provide you with information about the primary.

1. Voters Pamphlet
2. Special Mailing
3. Insert In The Mailing With The Ballot
4. Television Ads
5. Radio Ads
6. Newspaper Ads



ADVERTISING COPY**TV Ad Copy**

Washington has a new primary.
 August 19 you can vote for the person,
 not the party.
 New laws mean you won't have to pick
 a political party and you can choose
 any candidate on the ballot –
 regardless of party preference.
 Occasionally two candidates with
 similar political party preferences may
 advance to the general election.
 Remember: State law allows
 candidates to express their political
 party preferences. Preferences do not
 imply endorsement or nomination by a
 political party

**32. RADIO COPY**

Washington has a new primary.
 August 19 you can vote for the person,
 not the party.
 New laws mean you won't have to pick
 a political party and you can choose
 any candidate on the ballot –
 regardless of party preference.




EXHIBIT 12

Throop, Emily D

From: McDonald, David
Sent: Thursday, September 09, 2010 6:32 PM
To: Throop, Emily D
Subject: FW: Rep Party v. State - expenditures on public education
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

From: Pharris, James (ATG) [mailto:JamesP@ATG.WA.GOV]
Sent: Friday, September 03, 2010 2:36 PM
To: John White; McDonald, David; orrin@orringrover.com
Cc: Thomas Ahearne; Zipp, Allyson (ATG); Even, Jeff (ATG); Blinn, Katie; Guerrero, Lori
Subject: Rep Party v. State - expenditures on public education

Counsel:

Below is shown a "ball park" figure on what the secretary of state spent in on public education since 2004. The contractors shown all worked on public ad campaigns. In 2004-05 those campaigns related to the "pick a party" primary. In 2008, the campaign related to the Top Two primary.

This is going to be the great majority of the expenditures in this area, but if we combed the old records, we could find more incidental expenditures in those years.

Is this more or less what you want, or do you want us to do a deeper search. I hate to spend more time unless you think it's important.

Jim

From: Guerrero, Lori
Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2010 4:51 PM
To: Blinn, Katie; Handy, Nick; Pharris, James (ATG)
Subject: RE: Handy deposition

2004 Election season we spent \$1.8 million with Media Plus

2005 Election season we spend \$1.4 million with Hydrogen for a County based education campaign

2008 Election season we spent \$773K with Media Plus

August 2005 through Sept 2006, we spent \$444K with Jones Advertising

I realize the vendor names are not that useful for you, but in case someone asks me later how I came up with these numbers, I would need to know the vendor names.

9/9/2010

Let me know if you need anything else.

Lori Guerrero | HAVA Coordinator
Secretary of State's Office
Post Office Box 40229
Olympia, Washington 98504-0229
WK 360-902-4169 | Cell 253-255-8492 *****Note New cell number*****
FAX 360-664-4619

From: Blinn, Katie
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 2:08 PM
To: Handy, Nick; Guerrero, Lori; Pharris, James
Subject: FW: Handy deposition

Lori Guerrero, our HAVA coordinator, is going to track down those numbers. These will be ballpark amounts for the public education campaigns in summer 2004 and summer 2008 to explain the new primary election systems implemented in those years. Thanks Lori.

Katie

From: Pharris, James (ATG) [mailto:JamesP@ATG.WA.GOV]
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 12:01 PM
To: Blinn, Katie
Subject: FW: Handy deposition

Katie,

In Nick's deposition, he promised John White that he would supply numbers on the amount the secretary of state spent in implementing the "pick a party" primary in 2004 and the Top Two in 2008. I know Nick is on vacation. Do we know someone who knows those numbers, and can get them to John?

Jim

From: John White [mailto:white@lfa-law.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 10:35 AM
To: Pharris, James (ATG)
Cc: 'McDonald, David'; Throop, Emily D
Subject: Handy deposition

Dear Jim:

In Mr. Handy's deposition, he indicated that the State would provide information on the amounts spent on advertising for the Montana and I-872 primaries. Do you know when that information will be provided?

John J. White, Jr.
white@lfa-law.com
(425) 822-9281 ext. 321

The contents of this message and any attachments may contain confidential information and be protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable protection. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error, please notify the sender and promptly delete

9/9/2010

the message. Thank you for your assistance.

Tax Advice Notice: IRS Circular 230 requires us to advise you that, if this communication or any attachment contains any tax advice, the advice is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding federal tax penalties. A taxpayer may rely on professional advice to avoid federal tax penalties only if the advice is reflected in a comprehensive tax opinion that conforms to stringent requirements. Please contact us if you have any questions about Circular 230 or would like to discuss our preparation of an opinion that conforms to these IRS rules.

EXHIBIT 13



Building Confidence in the Political Process
Public Disclosure Commission

HOME PUBLIC RESOURCES FILER RESOURCES SEARCH THE DATABASE VIEW ACTUAL REPORTS ONLINE FILING

CANDIDATES COMMITTEES INDEPENDENT SPENDING ADVANCED SEARCH

CASH CONTRIBUTIONS INKIND CONTRIBUTIONS EXPENDITURES INDEPENDENT SPENDING

Cash Contributions for: MCKENNA ROBERT M

Contact Information

C1 Report

Total Raised: \$1,882,960.14

Total Spent: \$1,882,960.14

Total IE Supporting: \$478,254.98

Total IE Opposing: \$0.00

(Cash Contributions (Inkind Contributions (Anonymous (Personal (Loans (Miscellaneous (Small Contributions

\$1,839,542.66

\$32,093.04

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$10,321.71

\$0.00

Select an opponent

NOTE: Click on a column header to sort by that column, or click on the icon to filter your results



BOOKMARK

Drag a column header and drop it here to group by that column

Report	Name	Date	Amount	P/G	City	State	Zip	Employer	Occupation
Report	BECKER JESSIE & ROLAN	8/6/2008	\$3,200.00	P	MUKILTEO	WA	98275	BECKER TRUCKING	TRANSPORTATION
Report	BINDER KATHERINE & BILL	7/12/2008	\$3,200.00	G	BELLEVUE	WA	98006	EEMFCO HOLDINGS	OWNER
Report	BOENG WILLIAM & JUNE	7/24/2008	\$3,200.00	P	SEATTLE	WA	98112		RETIRED
Report	CONNORS JOHN & KATHY	8/9/2008	\$3,200.00	G	MEDINA	WA	98039	IGNITION PARTNERS	PARTNER
Report	CONNORS JOHN & KATHY	8/9/2008	\$3,200.00	P	MEDINA	WA	98039	IGNITION PARTNERS	PARTNER
Report	HANSEN STEVE & SHIRLEY	7/10/2008	\$3,200.00	G	VANCOUVER	WA	98661		HOMEMAKER
Report	HANSEN STEVE & SHIRLEY	7/10/2008	\$3,200.00	P	VANCOUVER	WA	98661		HOMEMAKER
Report	LEWIS R. B. & SHARON	9/5/2008	\$3,200.00	G	VANCOUVER	WA	98687	COLUMBIA VISTA CORP	PRESIDENT
Report	LUGER JOHN & DONNA	8/15/2008	\$3,200.00	P	BELLEVUE	WA	98004	JDL ENTERPRISES	PRESIDENT
Report	LUMRY III RUFUS & PATRICIA	5/14/2008	\$3,200.00	G	BELLEVUE	WA	98004	CABLE PLUS	EXECUTIVE
Report	MARON MD HOWARD & MARY	8/5/2008	\$3,200.00	G	MEDINA	WA	98039	SELF	DOCTOR
Report	MARON MD HOWARD & MARY	8/5/2008	\$3,200.00	P	MEDINA	WA	98039	SELF	DOCTOR
Report	MCCAW CRAIG & SUSAN	9/19/2008	\$3,200.00	G	KIRKLAND	WA	98083	EAGLE RIVER INC	CEO
Report	SCHMIDT MIKE & JOAN	8/13/2008	\$3,200.00	P	ISSAQUAH	WA	98029	SELF	INVESTOR
Report	BROD FRANK & HOLLY	11/18/2006	\$2,800.00	P	BELLEVUE	WA	98006	MICROSOFT	CAO - CORP. VP

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...

Displaying items 1 - 15 of 6585

HOME / PRIVACY NOTICE / EMPLOYMENT / SITE MAP

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION / 711 CAPITOL WAY #206 / PO BOX 40908 / OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0908
 TOLL FREE - 1-877-601-2828 / PHONE 360-753-1111 / FAX (360)753-1112 / EMAIL pdc@pdc.wa.gov
 OFFICE HOURS: 8:00AM - 5:00PM Monday - Friday Closed Weekends & State Holidays.





Building Confidence in the Political Process

Public Disclosure Commission

HOME

PUBLIC RESOURCES

FILER RESOURCES

SEARCH THE DATABASE

VIEW ACTUAL REPORTS

ONLINE FILING

CANDIDATES

COMMITTEES

INDEPENDENT SPENDING

ADVANCED SEARCH

STATEWIDE

LEGISLATIVE

JUDICIAL

LOCAL

SURPLUS

Year: Total Raised: \$31,777,150.76 Total Spent: \$31,725,857.59

2008 Total IE Supporting: \$3,478,576.33 Total IE Opposing: \$17,829,755.07

NOTE: Click on a column header to sort by that column, or click on the icon to filter your results



Drag a column header and drop it here to group by that column

Details	Name	Office	Pty	Raised	Spent	Debt	Ind Sup	Ind Opp
Details	AIKEN JOHN W JR	GOVERNOR	R	\$82.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	BADGLEY E DUFF	GOVERNOR	G	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	BAKER WILLIAM A	GOVERNOR	O	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	GREGOIRE CHRISTINE O	GOVERNOR	D	\$13,661,703.15	\$13,661,703.15	\$0.00	\$381,321.25	\$11,035,853.40
Details	HOEPPNER CHRIS	GOVERNOR	S	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	JOUBERT CHRISTIAN P	GOVERNOR	D	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	LOPEZ JAVIER O	GOVERNOR	R	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	MEYER WILLIAM C	GOVERNOR	I	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	OVEDO ELIAS D	GOVERNOR	D	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	ROSSI DINO J	GOVERNOR	R	\$11,637,033.00	\$11,627,164.73	\$0.00	\$1,776,346.74	\$6,145,191.72
Details	ROUSSO LEE H	GOVERNOR	D	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	SAID MOHAMMAD H	GOVERNOR	NO	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	TUDOR CHRISTOPHER	GOVERNOR	NO	\$12,200.00	\$7,252.72	\$5,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	WHITE JAMES A	GOVERNOR	I	\$6,146.56	\$5,715.07	\$5,744.56	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	BELL RANDEL	LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR	D	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1 2 3 Displaying items 1 - 15 of 44								

HOME / PRIVACY NOTICE / EMPLOYMENT / SITE MAP

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION / 711 CAPITOL WAY #206 / PO BOX 40908 / OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0908
 TOLL FREE - 1-877-601-2828 / PHONE 360-753-1111 / FAX (360)753-1112 / EMAIL pdc@pdc.wa.gov
 OFFICE HOURS: 8:00AM - 5:00PM Monday - Friday Closed Weekends & State Holidays





FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[About the FEC](#) [Press Office](#) [Quick Answers](#) [Site Map](#)


[HOME / NATIONAL MAP / WA MAP](#)

2008 House and Senate Campaign Finance for Washington

Election Cycle: ☐ 2009-2010 ☒ 2007-2008

Choose a District Choose a House Candidate

No Senate Race



House Campaign Finance Map

Candidate	Receipts	Disbursements	Cash On Hand	Debt
Total	\$16,083,348	\$15,670,824	\$3,389,448	\$262,425
Democrat	\$10,737,666	\$10,605,018	\$2,873,382	\$22,508
Republican	\$5,323,334	\$5,047,703	\$511,623	\$239,917
Other	\$22,348	\$18,103	\$4,443	\$0

[Home](#) [About the FEC](#) [FOIA](#) [USA.gov](#) [Privacy](#) [Links](#)

Federal Election Commission, 999 E Street, NW, Washington, DC 20463 (800) 424-9530 In Washington (202) 694-1000
For the hearing impaired, TTY (202) 219-3336 Send comments and suggestions about this site to the web manager.



Building Confidence in the Political Process

Public Disclosure Commission[HOME](#)[PUBLIC RESOURCES](#)[FILER RESOURCES](#)[SEARCH THE DATABASE](#)[VIEW ACTUAL REPORTS](#)[ONLINE FILING](#)[CANDIDATES](#)[COMMITTEES](#)[INDEPENDENT SPENDING](#)[ADVANCED SEARCH](#)[CONTINUING](#)[SINGLE YEAR](#)[INITIATIVE](#)[CAUCUS](#)[PARTY](#)Year: **2008** Total Raised: \$9,565,276.17 Total Spent: \$9,547,645.16

NOTE: Click on a column header to sort by that column, or click on the icon to filter your results

[BOOKMARK](#)

Drag a column header and drop it here to group by that column

Details	Name	Ballot	F/A	Raised	Spent	Debt
Details	CIT AGAINST I-1029	1029	A	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	COALITION AGAINST ASSISTED SUICIDE	1000	A	\$1,689,222.27	\$1,672,341.74	\$0.00
Details	COMM TO ENACT INITIATIVE 975	1004	F	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	COMMUNITY CARE COALITION OF WA	1029	A	\$178,470.70	\$178,350.22	\$27,631.59
Details	COMPASSION & CHOICES WA INITIATIVE PAC	1000	F	\$627,625.00	\$627,625.00	\$0.00
Details	LEAGUE OF WA TAXPAYERS	1030	F	\$10,069.32	\$9,639.32	\$0.00
Details	NO ASSISTED SUICIDE PAC	1000	A	\$5,910.00	\$5,910.00	\$0.00
Details	NOI ON I-985	985	A	\$249,085.28	\$249,085.28	\$0.00
Details	PEOPLE FOR SAFE QUALITY CARE	1029	F	\$995,532.40	\$995,532.40	\$0.00
Details	REDUCECONGESTION.ORG	985	F	\$899,120.36	\$899,120.36	\$0.00
Details	TEAM SAMARITAN FUND	986	F	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Details	YES ON I 1000	1000	F	\$4,910,240.84	\$4,910,240.84	\$0.00

1

Displaying items 1 - 12 of 12

[HOME](#) / [PRIVACY NOTICE](#) / [EMPLOYMENT](#) / [SITE MAP](#)

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION / 711 CAPITOL WAY #206 / PO BOX 40908 / OLYMPIA, WA 98504-0908
 TOLL FREE - 1-877-601-2828 / PHONE 360-753-1111 / FAX (360)753-1112 / EMAIL pdcc@pdcc.wa.gov
 OFFICE HOURS: 8:00AM - 5:00PM Monday - Friday Closed Weekends & State Holidays.

