Dear Voter:

By now, you should have received your copy of the Official State Voter's Pamphlet. After the distribution of the pamphlet, the State Supreme Court issued an order requiring the placement of a second initiative to the people on the November ballot. As a result, we are required to supply each resident of the state with this supplement to the Voter's Pamphlet. The supplement contains the full text, ballot title, explanatory statement, and statements for and against the initiative. We hope this information will assist you in casting an informed vote.

PUBLISHED BY OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
The Law as it now exists:

State law presently neither requires nor prohibits the fluoridation of public water supplies. Whether or not fluoridation is a public water supply is now a decision that is left entirely to local government, and local voters of such local units of government acting as water districts. When, however, a unit of local government; or the voters thereof; de-

The effect of Initiative 322, if approved into Law:

This initiative, if approved by the voters, would prohibit the state or any local government (or the voters thereof) from fluoridating any water supply used for human consumption or domestic purposes. 

State law presently neither requires nor prohibits the fluoridation of public water supplies. Whether or not fluoridation is a public water supply is now a decision that is left entirely to local government, and local voters of such local units of government acting as water districts. When, however, a unit of local government; or the voters thereof; de-

NOTE: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by state law. The complete text of Initiative Measure 322 appears to the right.

Statement

Here's What the Experts are Saying:

Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared By:
JOHN A. YIAMOUYIANNIS, Ph.D., Associate Professor of Law, Hamline University, St. Paul, Minnesota; CLIFF ARMSTRONG, JR., Esq., Bellevue, Washington; JEFF RAYNO, Ph.D., Associate Professor of Chemistry and Environmental Sciences, University of Puget Sound, Tacoma, Washington; D. C. CAYHAN, D.C., Seattle; Seattle; STEWART, J. GRODNER, D.V.M., Issaquah, Washington; JOHN THOM WRIGHT, M.D., Kent, Washington.

Rebuttal of Statement against fluoridation

There is overwhelming evidence that controlled water fluoridation is a safe, economical and effective way to prevent and is beneficial to both children and adults. Fluoridation has proven effective in preventing or alleviating bone disease, particularly in our aging population. Research has shown that children living in communities with water fluoridation have lower rates of bone disease and have significantly better bone mass than children living in communities without water fluoridation.

The dental health of people in fluoridated areas has proven to be significantly better than people in non-fluoridated areas. The average number of cavities in young people is significantly lower in fluoridated areas, and there is evidence that fluoridation is especially effective in preventing cavities in children. In addition, fluoridation reduces the cost of dental care for children and adults, making it more affordable for people of all income levels.

The dental health of people in fluoridated areas has proven to be significantly better than people in non-fluoridated areas. The average number of cavities in young people is significantly lower in fluoridated areas, and there is evidence that fluoridation is especially effective in preventing cavities in children. In addition, fluoridation reduces the cost of dental care for children and adults, making it more affordable for people of all income levels.

Financial savings from reduced dental costs for children have been realized in many communities. In some communities, fluoridation has been shown to increase the rate of attendance at dental care centers. In others, fluoridation has been associated with improved dental health for children and adults. In addition, fluoridation has been shown to be cost-effective, with estimated savings of over $1 billion per year in reduced dental care costs.

The fluoridation of public water supplies is a safe and effective way to improve dental health and reduce dental costs. Fluoridation is a proven and effective public health measure that has been shown to significantly reduce the incidence of tooth decay. The benefits of fluoridation are well-documented and have been supported by numerous scientific studies.

There is overwhelming evidence that controlled water fluoridation is a safe, economical and effective way to prevent tooth decay and is beneficial to both children and adults. Fluoridation has proven effective in preventing and alleviating bone disease, particularly in our aging population. Research has shown that children living in communities with water fluoridation have lower rates of bone disease and have significantly better bone mass than children living in communities without water fluoridation.

The dental health of people in fluoridated areas has proven to be significantly better than people in non-fluoridated areas. The average number of cavities in young people is significantly lower in fluoridated areas, and there is evidence that fluoridation is especially effective in preventing cavities in children. In addition, fluoridation reduces the cost of dental care for children and adults, making it more affordable for people of all income levels.
The people of the United States deserve better choices in public policy than those presented by the major parties. The parties presently in power and their non-socialist opposition are not offering methods that will help the people get out of the depression, meet the need for full employment, provide full legal rights for all people, protect public resources, or stop the drift toward war.

The American people should have an opportunity to choose democratic socialism. A goal of the Socialist Party is workers' control of all industry through democratic organization of the work place, with workers making all the decisions now made by management. The Socialist Party proposes a society of free, continuing, and democratic participation through shop councils, and through councils for the management of each industry by workers and others most affected by it, including consumers. Spheres of life other than industry should also have popular control. These are the bases for democratic socialism, and can be achieved only through radical social change.

The Socialist Party supports moves toward democratic decision making whether in private or government enterprise, which genuinely limits management authority by institutionalizing power in the hands of working people and their democratically organized councils.

We oppose totalitarianism in every form.

We believe that the eventual government of civilized people must be international, humanitarian, democratic, and libertarian, if our species is to survive its own future. P. O. Box 121,0, Seattle 88112.

NOTICE: After the distribution of the Voter's Pamphlet, local election officials in King County qualified all candidates for the ballot. The statements appearing on this page are for the candidates of those minor parties.