

The Honorable John C. Coughenour

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

WASHINGTON STATE REPUBLICAN  
PARTY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. CV05-0927-JCC

WASHINGTON DEMOCRATIC CENTRAL  
COMMITTEE, et al.,

Plaintiff Intervenors

LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF WASHINGTON  
STATE, et al.,

Plaintiff Intervenors

WASHINGTON STATE GRANGE'S  
RESPONSE TO  
STATE REPUBLICAN PARTY  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING  
"PCO" ELECTIONS

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

Defendant Intervenors

WASHINGTON STATE GRANGE,

Defendant Intervenor.

*[Previously noted by moving party for  
Motions Calendar on  
Friday, September 17, 2010]*

The Washington State Republican Party and its leaders filed this suit to strike down Initiative 872 as unconstitutional.

Changing topics, they have now filed a partial summary judgment motion demanding that this federal Court craft an “injunction” to change what the State Republican Party plaintiffs don’t like about certain State statutes relating to the election of Precinct Committee Officers (“PCOs”). [Dkt. No. 250.]

Their demand must be denied for the straightforward reason previously explained in the Grange’s pending summary judgment motion. That reason can be summarized as follows:

Initiative 872 established a two stage election system for certain elected offices in this State – for example: Governor, State legislator, and County Commissioner. Initiative 872, section 7 (establishing a 2-stage, top two election system for “partisan offices”) and section 4 (identifying those “partisan offices”), codified at Rev. Code. Wash. RCW 29A.52.112 and 29A.04.110.

*Grange’s August 26, 2010 Summary Judgment Motion [Dkt. No. 249] at page 1.*

But the top two system enacted by Initiative 872 does not apply to the election of Precinct Committee Officers. The Initiative’s top two election system applies to three (and only three) categories of public office:

- (1) United States senator and United States representative;
- (2) All state offices, including legislative, except (a) judicial offices and (b) the office of the superintendent of public instruction;
- (3) All county offices except (a) judicial offices and (b) those offices for which a county home rule charter provides otherwise.

Initiative 872, section 4 (codified at Rev. Code Wash. RCW 29A.04.110).

The election of Precinct Committee Officers is not one of those offices.

*Grange’s August 26, 2010 Summary Judgment Motion [Dkt. No. 249] at page 6.*

[P]laintiffs claim the Washington statute allowing local Republican and Democratic party organizations to elect their Precinct Committee Officers (“PCOs”) in taxpayer-funded elections is unconstitutional. If the Precinct Committee Officer election laws are unconstitutional, plaintiffs can sue to strike them down. But Precinct Committee Officers are not included in the list of “partisan offices” to which the top two system enacted by Initiative 872 applies. The (alleged) unconstitutionality of Washington’s PCO election laws does not make Initiative 872 unconstitutional instead.

*Grange’s August 26, 2010 Summary Judgment Motion [Dkt. No. 249] at page 2.*

In short, if the State Republican Party plaintiffs want to file a suit challenging the Washington laws allowing local Republican party organizations to elect their Precinct Committee Officers (“PCOs”) in taxpayer-funded elections, they should do so.

But their suit to invalidate Initiative 872 is not that suit.

For the reasons previously explained in the Grange’s pending summary judgment motion [*Dkt. No. 249*], the State Republican Party plaintiffs’ motion concerning Washington’s PCO election laws must accordingly be denied.<sup>1</sup>

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC

*s/ Thomas F. Ahearne*

Thomas F. Ahearne, WSBA No. 14844

Foster Pepper PLLC

1111 Third Avenue, suite 3400

Seattle, WA 98101

telephone: 206-447-8934

telefax: 206-749-1902

email: ahearne@foster.com

Attorneys for the defendant-intervenor  
Washington State Grange

---

<sup>1</sup> *The State Republican Party plaintiffs’ motion should also be denied for the additional reasons explained in the State’s Response to that motion [Dkt. No. 256]. The Grange joins in those reasons explained by its co-defendant, but for the sake of efficiency, the Grange does not redundantly repeat those reasons for this Court to simply read a second time in the Grange’s filing as well.*

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Thomas F. Ahearne states: I hereby certify that on September 13, 2010, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the parties listed below:

1. WASHINGTON STATE GRANGE'S RESPONSE TO STATE REPUBLICAN PARTY PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING "PCO" ELECTIONS.

John J. White, Jr./Kevin B. Hansen  
Livengood, Fitzgerald & Alskog, 121 Third Avenue  
Kirkland, WA 98033-0908  
white@lfa-law.com; hansen@lfa-law.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Washington State Republican Party, *et al.*.

David T. McDonald  
K&L Gates, 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98104-1158  
david.mcdonald@klgates.com;  
Attorneys for Intervenor Plaintiffs Washington Democratic Central Committee,  
*et al.*.

Orrin Leigh Grover, Esq.  
Orrin L. Grover, P.C.  
416 Young Street  
Woodburn, OR 97071  
orrin@orringrover.com, gkiller3@earthlink.net  
Attorneys for Intervenor Plaintiffs Libertarian Party of Washington State, *et al.*.

James K. Pharris/Jeffrey T. Even/Allyson Zipp  
1125 Washington Street SE  
Olympia, WA 98501-0100  
Jamesp@atg.wa.gov; jeffe@atg.wa.gov; allysonz@atg.wa.gov  
Attorneys for Defendants State of Washington, Secretary of State Sam Reed and  
Attorney General Rob McKenna

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Seattle, Washington this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.

*s/ Thomas F. Ahearne*  
Thomas F. Ahearne, WSBA No. 14844  
Foster Pepper PLLC  
1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3400  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Telephone: (206) 447-8934  
E-mail: ahearne@foster.com