



**Rob McKenna**  
**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON**

Administration Division  
PO Box 40100 • Olympia WA 98504-0100 • (360) 753-6200

June 3, 2011

**COURT FILING**

Office of the Clerk  
James R. Browning Courthouse  
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
P.O. Box 193939  
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: *Washington State Republican Party, et al. v. Washington State Grange, et al.*  
Case No. 11-35122

Dear Office of the Clerk:

Appellees, the State of Washington, Rob McKenna, Attorney General of the State of Washington, Sam Reed, Secretary of State of the State of Washington, and the Washington State Grange, jointly submit this response to Appellant Washington State Democratic Central Committee's June 2, 2011 letter requesting that this case be given priority in hearing date. Because this appeal does not present an urgent situation warranting expedited review, the State and the Grange respectfully request that the Court deny the Democratic Central Committee's request.

The State and the Grange anticipate that our respective response briefing will require the full measure of time allowed by rule, and any applicable extensions provided for by rule. We respectfully request, therefore, that the Court take no action on the Central Committee's request that would shorten the briefing schedule otherwise provided under this Court's rules.

Turning to the merits of the Central Committee's June 2 request, the State and the Grange do not believe that this case presents the type of urgent situation contemplated by the Court when it established that hearing priority would be accorded to the limited category of civil appeals involving "applications for temporary or permanent injunctions." Ninth Circuit Rule 34-3(3).

The core issue in this case always has been the Central Committee's demand for a declaratory ruling that the Top Two election system established by Initiative 872 is unconstitutional. After the United States Supreme Court's 2008 decision rejected the Central Committee's *facial* challenge to Washington's Top Two system, the Central Committee filed an

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON

June 3, 2011  
Page 2

amended complaint on remand to pursue its demand for a declaratory ruling that the Top Two election system established by Initiative 872 is unconstitutional *as applied*. Although the Central Committee included prayers for injunctive relief in that amended complaint, it did not actively pursue an injunction as such, choosing instead to seek resolution of the case in due course on summary judgment. The Central Committee lost, and has appealed – which is its right. But the Central Committee’s having included an ensuing prayer for injunctive relief in its amended complaint can hardly be deemed to elevate its case to one entitled to expedited review simply because an “injunction” is mentioned.

On a second issue, the Central Committee prevailed on its claim that the State’s method for electing Precinct Committee Officers (PCO) is unconstitutional. The State is not appealing that ruling. The district court rejected, however, the Central Committee’s request that Washington be ordered to implement PCO elections in a manner demanded by the Central Committee. Noting “the wide range of options” available to the State, including simply to stop conducting PCO elections at public expense, the district court “decline[d] to order an injunction imposing a particular form of election.” Order at 23 (Jan. 11, 2011). While technically this ruling denied an application for permanent injunction, the Central Committee faces no imminent threat of irreparable harm as a consequence. Under Washington law, PCO elections are held in August of even-numbered years. Wash. Admin. Code § 434-230-100(1) (2008). Assuming Washington continues to hold public PCO elections, the earliest the next PCO election would occur is August 2012, more than one year away. Consequently, expedited review of this appeal is not necessary to safeguard the rights of the Central Committee.

In closing, because it is not warranted under the circumstances or under this Court’s rule that the Central Committee invokes, the State and the Grange respectfully request that the Court deny the Central Committee’s request to give this case priority in hearing date.

s/ Allyson Zipp  
James K. Pharris, WSBA #5313  
Jeffrey T. Even, WSBA #20367  
Allyson Zipp, WSBA #38076  
Deputy Solicitors General  
(360) 664-3027  
jamesp@atg.wa.gov, jeffe@atg.wa.gov,  
allysonz@atg.wa.gov  
Attorneys for Appellees  
State of Washington, et al.

s/ Allyson Zipp for Thomas Ahearne  
*by e-mail consent*  
Thomas F. Ahearne, WSBA #14844  
Foster Pepper PLLC  
1111 Third Ave., Suite 3400  
Seattle, WA 98101  
206-447-8934  
ahearne@foster.com  
Attorney for Appellees  
Wash. State Grange, et al.

cc: Parties of Record (via ECF)