

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed at Seattle, Washington, this 26 day of January, 2005.


THOMAS M. GOFF, JR.

From: RIVERWADE1@aol.com [mailto:RIVERWADE1@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 2:41 PM
To: tomgoff@dinorossi.com
Subject: email response from Pat Sullivan - D State Rep..says courts should handle contes

Subj: Re: Let's Do this Revote!
Date: 1/10/2005 9:35:51 PM Pacific Standard Time
From: info@votepatsullivan.com (Pat Sullivan Campaign)
Reply-to: info@votepatsullivan.com (Pat Sullivan Campaign)
To: RIVERWADE1@aol.com

Thank you very much for your message.

It is unfortunate there were a number of errors in the election process in King County and in a number of counties across the state. I share the frustration from the recent election. Some citizens have called for not certifying the election results for the race for Governor and others have requested a revote.

The certification of the Governor's race has already occurred. The 39 county auditors and our Secretary of State Sam Reed (a Republican) certified the race after the manual recount. The Speaker of the House and Secretary of the Senate, as a matter of formality, sign official documents that they have received the certified results.

The call for a revote through the legislative process, while tempting, raises a number of concerns. The greatest concern is the precedent that would be set by the Legislature if we were to legislate a revote.

The King County elections division had many serious problems 2 years ago with the absentee ballots. Some voters received their ballots days before the election, some received them after the election, and some simply never received them at all. I ran for the Legislature during that election and lost by 90 votes. Many people from the district were not allowed to vote because they did not get their ballots. Some suggested that I challenge the

1/25/2005

election because I would have won with a larger turnout. It was a very difficult time for me, but I decided not to take any action and move on.

If the partisan Legislature (and I believe it is too partisan many times) is allowed to call for a revote, we open the door to this process for any future elections. My race or any similar situation, for example, could have led to a challenge and for the Legislature to call for a revote.

I believe every election should be decided by the voters. But if there are concerns that fraud or gross errors occurred, the impartial courts should look into the allegations, not the partisan Legislature. The Rossi campaign has filed their action in Superior Court and the Supreme Court will undoubtedly take up this issue. I will abide by and support the court's decision after they have carefully and impartially reviewed this case.

I appreciate hearing from you on this important issue and hope that you will share your thoughts and ideas with me on how best to make sure this situation does not happen again. I look forward to working on election reform in our state to regain the confidence of our voters.

Sincerely,

Pat Sullivan
State Representative
47th District

----- Original Message -----

From: RIVERWADE1@aol.com

To: info@votepatsullivan.com

Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 4:40 PM

Subject: Let's Do this Revote!

Dear Mr. Sullivan,

I've been following the effort (put forth by Republicans and Democrats alike) to make some sense of all of the errors and mishaps of our November election.

I have to admit, I'm pretty disgusted at the findings!

Everyone I know (from both parties) are FOR a revote! This is unheard of! Republicans and Democrats coming together to support anything?!

I think the combined efforts in the revote would be the beginning of a healing process for this state and possibly for the nation.

Sincerely,

Deborah Wade-Rivera
Kent

----- Headers -----

Return-Path: <info@votepatsullivan.com>

Received: from rly-xk04.mx.aol.com (rly-xk04.mail.aol.com [172.20.83.41]) by air-xk04.mail.aol.com (v104.17) with ESMTTP id MAILINXK42-59541e365a466; Tue, 11 Jan 2005 00:35:51 -0500

Received: from butters.cgisp.net ([64.65.187.180]) by rly-xk04.mx.aol.com (v104.17) with ESMTTP id MAILRELAYINXK49-59541e365a466; Tue, 11 Jan 2005 00:35:32 -0500

Received: from Sullivan (c-67-183-168-92.client.comcast.net [67.183.168.92])

1/25/2005

by butters.cgisp.net (8.11.6/8.11.6) with SMTP id j0B5RpD04450
for <RIVERWADE1@aol.com>; Mon, 10 Jan 2005 21:27:51 -0800
Message-ID: <001b01c4f79f\$564f6100\$6501a8c0@Sullivan>
Reply-To: "Pat Sullivan Campaign" <info@votepatsullivan.com>
From: "Pat Sullivan Campaign" <info@votepatsullivan.com>
To: <RIVERWADE1@aol.com>
References: <d2.1fca6d1a.2f1085fe@aol.com>
Subject: Re: Let's Do this Revote!
Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2005 21:35:16 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0018_01C4F75C.464CD9B0"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180
X-yoursite-MailScanner-Information: Please contact the ISP for more information
X-yoursite-MailScanner: Found to be clean
X-MailScanner-From: info@votepatsullivan.com
X-AOL-IP: 64.65.187.180
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:0:0:
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Hier [mailto:bhier@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 6:42 PM
To: info@DinoRossi.com
Subject: email from my democrat rep says wants courts to decide

I heard on the radio that the Rossi campaign was collecting email that the dems sent out saying they wanted the court to decide. Hope this helps. Good luck.

Bob Hier

-----Forwarded Message-----

From: "Schual-Berke, Rep. Shay" <Schual-Berke.Shay@leg.wa.gov>
Sent: Jan 13, 2005 4:38 PM
To: Robert Hier <bhier@earthlink.net>
Subject: RE: ReVote Needed

Dear Mr. Hier,

Thank you for contacting me with your thoughts regarding the outcome of this year's gubernatorial election. I have heard from an extraordinarily large number of constituents; not surprisingly, people are divided in what they wish the Legislature would do. Although I have been receiving comments for many weeks, I chose to not reply until I had all the information available to me up to the time action was required in the House of Representatives. I have given this issue a great deal of thought, as it deserves, and have taken all input very seriously. I chose to vote to accept the election certification, and would like to share with you why.

Two things stand out as shared among virtually everyone I have heard

from: we all care deeply about the integrity of our vote, and would like to get to the bottom of any irregularities. I believe the core questions involved are whether either fraud and/or incompetence in the elections process, particularly involving King County, were present and in sufficient measure to make these election results invalid.

On the second day of this 2005 legislative session, in a joint session of the House and Senate, a motion was made that the Legislature not accept Secretary of State Reed's certification of Christine Gregoire as Governor, but that we defer such acceptance for two weeks until January 25, 2005. It is important to recognize that the motion did not request we pass legislation authorizing a new election, or re-vote; and it is also important to recognize that in separate action Republicans have brought a lawsuit to challenge the election results. Finally, you should know that the role of the Legislature is to accept, or not, the results that have been certified. In this case, those results were certified by 39 county auditors some of whom are Republican, others Democrat, numerous elections canvassing boards and county auditors, and by Secretary of State Sam Reed. The Legislature does not have the authority to certify.

In 1917 the Legislature enacted a Contested Elections Law which provides for a way to handle such a question; first through recounts as we have seen occur, and then through the judicial system. The Legislature is not an adjudicative body: in cases of civil or criminal wrong doing, we do not behave as a court. If indeed there is fraud involved here, it is most appropriate that it be investigated and assessed by a court of law.

There are many allegations that have been made in the press, and they are disturbing. For example, I have read that there was a significant discrepancy in the number of ballots cast and the number of voters being given credit for voting. In the press this has been characterized as fraud, while county auditors say that it is not. The means for assuring against fraud in an election is provided for in state law to occur at the front end of the process; at the polls, in ballot counting centers, in canvass board reviews and throughout the certification process. It is not through the act of crediting voters, which is very much a secondary process used primarily to update the voter registration records, according to one county auditor.

The most frequent reasons for having a mismatch between the two records are:

- Ballots cast by non-registered service personnel and overseas voters who are entitled to vote without having registered in Washington in accordance with provisions under the Federal Voting Assistance Program;

- Ballots cast by victims of domestic violence whose records are kept private under Washington's Address Confidentiality Program and never appear on voter registration roll;

- Ballots cast by eligible voters whose registrations had been maintained in the "inactive" voter file pending receipt of updated address information - whose registrations are restored to the active file at the close of the election;

- Ballots cast by citizens who registered to vote before the deadline, but whose registrations were not received by the elections office until after the official record of voter registrations had been created;

- provisional ballot voters who also signed poll books at a poll site - thereby inadvertently creating two voter credit records for one ballot cast, to be corrected later;

- Administrative or procedural errors in the crediting process due to human error in capturing bar code data from the poll books or from absentee ballot envelopes.

The point in enumerating these reasons for a voter count mismatch is to demonstrate that the allegations deserve an informed assessment, not a knee-jerk response to stories in the media.

In listening to the thoughtful debate that occurred on the floor of the House, I asked myself what would be accomplished by delaying the acceptance of Secretary of State Reed's election certification. A delay does not clarify whether there has been fraud or incompetence, but it does keep us from focusing on the work to be done at this time.

The impartial, non-partisan judicial system is the appropriate place to look carefully and comprehensively into whether there should be a new election. I will support any final judicial ruling. It is time for the Legislature to do its job: finding new jobs for the unemployed, improving the quality and access to healthcare, and

making sure our children get a fine education, as well as dealing with the all-important issue of our budget.

On the third legislative day, having voted to accept certification of the election, Governor Christine Gregoire made her inaugural speech in which she said the voters have mandated that we overcome partisan gridlock, and move on to work to solve Washington State's problems. We must not allow partisanship to paralyze us. Secretary of State Reed has proposed a series of election reforms, which you can find at http://www.secstate.wa.gov/office/news_docs/REFORM%20PACKAGE%20WINTER%202005.pdf. I am committed to supporting election reform.

I do appreciate having heard from you, and hope you will continue to stay in touch throughout the legislative session.

Warmly,

Shay

Shay Schual-Berke, MD
33rd district Washington State Representative

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Hier [mailto:bhier@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 5:09 PM
To: Schual-Berke, Rep. Shay
Subject: ReVote Needed

As someone who is from your district I urge you to do what you can to ask for a revote in the Governors race. It just does not pass the smell test. I know you are a Democrat, but you are also suppose to represent the citizens. Do the right thing. Ask for a revote please.

Thank you,

Bob Hier
1609 Maple Ln #C4
Kent, WA 98030

-----Original Message-----

From: Cliff Howard [mailto:cliff@whidbey.us]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 2:48 PM
To: tomgoff@dinorossi.com
Subject: FW: A sad day in history-

-----Original Message-----

From: Jensen, Colette [mailto:Jensen.Colette@leg.wa.gov] On Behalf Of
Rasmussen, Sen. Marilyn
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 12:24 PM
To: Cliff Howard
Subject: RE: A sad day in history-

Thank you for contacting our office regarding your comments about the recent governor's election. I share your concerns about the election process and the call for a re-vote.

This issue is in the courts and they will address the many unanswered questions about the governor's election. After moving through the court process, the issue will likely be forwarded to the State Supreme Court.

I will be the first to ask for a re-vote if the courts find proof of deliberate fraud or tampering of our voting rights.

My role as a State Senator and your representative is clear. The State Constitution says the Legislature can decide statewide elections only as determined by law. On Monday, January 10th, I took an oath of office to uphold the State Constitution and laws of the state of Washington. We do have a contested elections law, and the law directs us to follow a process through the courts. By law, the judiciary is the most appropriate forum for a contested election.

The vote I took on Tuesday accepts the Secretary of State's certification of the Governor and other state-wide elected officials. This acceptance does not prevent the courts from meeting, taking evidence, investigating the election further and deciding the issue. If it did, I would have cast a different vote. My vote was a matter of constitutional responsibility: to follow the law and let the process continue. In the meantime, the process of governing must also move forward.

I strongly believe that every vote should count, and every vote must be accounted for flawlessly. The silver-lining in this election is that we discover where our election system is broken and what necessary measures we need to strengthen it. Election reform will definitely be a priority for the Legislature this session. The integrity of voting is the highest importance in our democracy.

One area of concern is in educating military absentee voters about election time lines and their various options for voting. The last thing we want is for our election system to make it difficult for the people who are defending our democracy to exercise democracy's most fundamental right. We need to keep faith with the commitment of those in our armed forces to their country, and I will also make that a priority.

Again, thank you for sharing your comments and concerns. I hope you will feel free to contact me either at home or at the office in Olympia, at anytime. My job is to represent you and I appreciate your participation.

Warmest Regards,

Senator Marilyn Rasmussen

-----Original Message-----

From: Cliff Howard [mailto:cliff@ipds.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 3:09 PM
To: Cliff Howard
Subject: A sad day in history-

Today the House and Senate of Washington State certified Christine Gregoire the governor of the State of Washington. The act of certification by the house and legislature certifies that to the best of their knowledge the election result were true and accurate. This was the last step in our constitutional process to ensure that the election results are correct. Our fore fathers speaking in our constitution included the legislature as the last step in the process for a reason. They are the checks and balances in our election process. State law mandates that the county auditors certify their election results by a certain date, State law mandates that the Secretary of State certify the election results by a certain date. No where does it require that the election results be accurate or completely compiled before certification. Since the certification by the county auditors and the certification by the Secretary of the State there has been volumes written about problems with the process, the count, illegal votes and on top of that a lawsuit has been filed to contest the election. All of this information is available to the respected representatives of the people, just as it is available to the people themselves.

Our checks and balances are broken, the legislature failed the citizens of the state. How can anyone that is a representative of the people of the State certify something that has been shown to have so many unanswered questions and has lawsuits filed against it? The people have no faith left. First their voting rights were subverted, now their representation in the house and senate has been subverted by party line politics. Where do we turn to? The courts? No we turn to the ballot box and we ask our elected representatatives one question before voting for them....do they even know the meaning of certify?

cer·ti·fy (P) Pronunciation Key (sûrt-f)
v. cer·ti·fied, cer·ti·fy·ing, cer·ti·fies v. tr.

To confirm formally as true, accurate, or genuine.

To guarantee as meeting a standard: butter that was certified Grade A. See Synonyms at approve.

To acknowledge in writing on the face of (a check) that the signature of the maker is genuine and that there are sufficient funds on deposit for its payment.

To issue a license or certificate to.

Next election ask your representative how they voted to delay the certification of the new Governor, find out if they are interested in using the checks and balances that our forefathers gave them as the final step outside of the courts to keep the peoples faith in our electoral process. If there was ever a time in our history that the checks and balances given to us the people and our representatives be used it was today...and we failed. Let it not happen again.

Cliff Howard
IPD Services
<http://www.ipds.net>
cliff@ipds.net
360-675-5682
360-320-0710 Cell

-----Original Message-----

From: Jenkins, Judy [mailto:Jenkins.Judy@leg.wa.gov] On Behalf Of Roberts, Rep. Mary Helen
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 9:58 AM
To: heathshelbyo@aol.com
Subject: RE: Re-Vote

Thank you for contacting me regarding the current controversy surrounding the Governor's race. I appreciate hearing from my constituents. The only way our democracy stays healthy is when all people feel they can speak out and be heard.

Because of this unprecedented and highly contentious election, I believe it is critical to be deliberative and as fair as possible. Washington has a well defined procedure which must be followed in close races, including a machine recount followed by a manual recount if requested. Both of those steps have been followed. The final step is for the election to be legally contested before the Supreme Court, which I believe will now occur. This is where the final decision should reside, just as it did in the 2000 Presidential election.

I feel strongly that it would be a big mistake for the Legislature, a highly partisan political body, to insert itself into this controversy beyond exercising its time honored role of accepting the certification recommendation from County Auditors and the Secretary of State. Neither political party should encourage legislative meddling in an election because the outcome was not what some wanted. That would be an unfortunate precedent to establish.

I intend to work with the Secretary of State's office and the Snohomish County Auditor to examine the provisions of election law which played a prominent role in this year's election and which may need changing.

On Monday, January 10, I took the Oath of Office to uphold the laws of the State of Washington, and in that capacity voted to accept the recommendations of the County Auditors and the Republican Secretary of State to certify the election results. I believe that upholding our state's Constitution is the best way for me to represent my constituents. I hope that is ultimately your first and foremost concern, as well.

Again, thank you for contacting me.

Sincerely,

Mary Helen Roberts

State Representative
21st Legislative District

P.S. Please reply via email if you would like to be put on my distribution list to receive by email my legislative updates.

-----Original Message-----

From: heathshelbyo@aol.com [mailto:heathshelbyo@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 7:40 PM
To: Roberts, Rep. Mary Helen
Subject: Re-Vote

HOUSE INTERNET E-MAIL DELIVERY SERVICE

TO: Representative Mary Helen Roberts

FROM:

Mr. Heath Olinger
3109 123rd PL SW
Everett, WA 98204

E-MAIL: heathshelbyo@aol.com

PHONE: 425-513-9110

SUBJECT: Re-Vote

MESSAGE:

Representative Mary Helen Roberts,
I strongly urge you to back a Re-Vote in the Washington State Governors race. As you probably already know, there are more than enough discrepancies in this election to overturn the election. Felons, Dead people, votes without voters... This needs to be addressed! Take the lead and stand up in favor of a re-vote! Have you seen the polls? A large majority of people in this state want a revote. Stand up Ms. Roberts and be counted!

NOTE: Mr. Olinger has requested a response to this message.

NOTE: We are 99% sure that this constituent is in your district

From: Lee & Chika Andrus [mailto:leeandchika@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 9:47 AM
To: Tom
Subject: Fw: Governor's Election

Here is the response from Brian Sullivan

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Rep. Brian
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 3:47 PM
To: leeandchika@msn.com
Subject: RE: Governor's Election

Dear Lee,

Thank you for contacting me regarding the Governor's race. This was closest statewide election in Washington's history and the first time our electoral system has been tested to this degree. Because of this unprecedented and highly contentious situation, I believe it is critical to be deliberative and as fair as possible.

We are fortunate to have the work of prior legislatures who have set the groundwork in our State's Constitution and contested election laws to

provide clearly defined procedures for our state to follow. This process includes a machine recount, with a manual recount if necessary. Allowing both machine and hand recounts helps to ensure greater accuracy in the two, and only two, recounts currently allowed by state law. With both of these steps having been followed, the final step is for the election to be "legally contested" in the Supreme Court, which I believe will now occur.

As a legislator, I have taken an Oath of Office to uphold the laws of the State of Washington and have done so by voting to accept the recommendation of the Auditors from every County in the state, as well as the recommendation of the Republican Secretary of State. This vote by no way impedes the process from being pursued through the judicial branch of our government. The courts should decide this issue and with trust in the process, I will support their decision.

We are all in this together and barring any true evidence of fraud, it's time to move on from this election to work on what the people elected me to do: improve our economy, improve our education system, and improve health care access.

I am working with the Secretary of State's office and County Auditors to examine the provisions of elections law which played a prominent role this year's election and require further clarification. As we proceed together, your continued perspective and insight will be essential as we work through the legislative process. I value the input I receive and it is my sincere hope that you will continue to share your thoughts with me.

With every best wish in the New Year,

Brian Sullivan
State Representative

P.S. For your convenience, I have included the following web address to provide election information from the Secretary of State's Office. I hope you find it useful. Please stay tuned and keep in touch!
http://www.secstate.wa.gov/office/news_docs/recount/MFQ%20on%20Election%20Contests.pdf

-----Original Message-----

From: leeandchika@msn.com [mailto:leeandchika@msn.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 1:11 PM
To: Sullivan, Rep. Brian
Subject: Governor's Election

HOUSE INTERNET E-MAIL DELIVERY SERVICE

TO: Representative Brian Sullivan

1/25/2005

FROM:

Mr. Lee Andrus
5602 212th St SW
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

E-MAIL: leeandchika@msn.com

PHONE: 425-774-3786

SUBJECT: Govenor's Election

MESSAGE:

I just wanted to let you know as a resident in this district, I STRONGLY support a revote in the Governor's race. The election practices of King county in particular have made a joke out of our right to vote. If Ms. Gregoire is installed as Governor with out clearing up this mess, I will not support her or anyone who was not willing to question the results of this recount.

Sincerely,
Lee Andrus

NOTE: Mr. Andrus has requested a response to this message.

NOTE: We are 99% sure that this constituent is in your district

From: Bruce Long [mailto:brucelong1@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 6:06 AM
To: tom@dinorossi.com
Subject: District 26 legislator's opinion on where to hold challenge

Here is an opinion by one of my reps as of yesterday. Hope it helps.
Bruce Long
Key Peninsula
freerepublic.com

Thanks for your note, Bruce. Seems like a simple question but the answer is getting increasingly complicated. I can tell you my take on it; however, there are some that disagree.

There is substantial argument for having the courts decide. At the time of the certification of the final recount, the Republican Secretary of State said that if the election were contested, it should be done in the courts. Personally, I agree. I feel strongly that the judicial system should be the primary arbiter of determining whether the law has been followed. I believe it would set a dangerous precedent if the legislature determines election results every time there is a close election. An inherently partisan body like the legislature is ill equipped to investigate allegations of fraud, abuse and mismanagement.

Moreover, I believe we need to follow the law which says that unless an election is formally "contested" to the legislature, it should be decided in the courts.

With all of that said, the Democratic party is currently arguing in court that this should be decided by the legislature. I disagree with the party on this one.

I took an Oath of Office earlier this month to uphold the laws and Constitution of the State of Washington. I take that oath very seriously. To me, the best way to represent my constituents is to uphold the rule of law. That should transcend party politics.

While the courts decide on this issue, I will be working on what the people elected us to do: Improving our economy, our education system, transportation, and health care access.

Thanks for writing. Our democracy works because active citizens like you take time to participate in the process! Thank you for that!

Best,
Derek Kilmer
State Representative - 26th District

Office Contact:
430 John L. O'Brien Bldg
PO Box 40600
Olympia, WA 98504-0600
Phone: (360) 786-7802
Fax: (360) 786-1066
<http://www1.leg.wa.gov/House/Kilmer/>

Sign up for my E-Memo

I will be sending out a bi-weekly email update to keep people informed regarding events in the Legislature. Please respond to this email if you are interested in receiving it.

Call the Hotline:

Have a message for Derek and want a response? Call the Hotline at 1-800-562-6000.

Improve Government Efficiency

If you see a place where we can use your tax dollars more efficiently or effectively, tell me. We are in another tight budget year, and we need to ensure that government runs well.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hummel, Elizabeth [mailto:Hummel.Elizabeth@leg.wa.gov] On Behalf Of
Hudgins, Rep. Zack
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 5:06 PM
To: Hummel.Elizabeth@leg.wa.gov
Subject: Election Results

Thank you for contacting my office with your concerns about the Governor's election results. The race for Governor in this state was extremely close, but I have faith in our process. The goal of our election process is to provide an accurate count of every legitimate vote. It is an exhaustive, secure, transparent, and deliberate process laid out in state law. One of the main reasons for having recounts is to find and correct errors, and each recount ensures greater accuracy.

I appreciate hearing your perspective regarding a re-election, but I do not agree that this is the best course to take for Washington voters and taxpayers. It is estimated that a re-election would cost \$4 million, money better spent towards social programs or improving the economy. There are also better procedures laid out in the law. If there is a problem with an election, the remedy should be sought in the courts as the law states. There is no re-vote contingency in the state statute for a close election.

I do believe that we can improve the elections process and correct some of the flaws that have been exposed. The Legislature, working with the Secretary of State and county auditors, can examine and clarify some of the provisions of elections law that have played a prominent role this month. The Legislature will also review other elections laws to be certain that efforts in other states to suppress voter turnout do not happen in Washington.

Democracy can be a messy business because people are involved. As long as we follow the law and work towards making the law better, we should have confidence in our system. We have observers in each polling place, and observers looked at every vote that was counted. We must depend on the rule of law and citizens in our elections, and I have confidence in both, despite some small irregularities that may currently be debated in the media.

Again, thank you for contacting me, and thank you for being involved in our democracy by contacting my office. Please continue to watch your government in action.

Sincerely,

Zack Hudgins
Assistant Floor Leader
State Representative - 11th District

Office Contact:
317 John L. O'Brien Bldg
PO Box 40600

Olympia, WA 98504-0600

Fax: (360) 786-7317

<http://www.leg.wa.gov/house/members/d11_1.htm>

http://www.leg.wa.gov/house/members/d11_1.htm

Call the Hotline:

Have a message for Zack and want a handwritten personal note as a response?

Call the Hotline at 1-800-562-6000.

-----Original Message-----

From: The Schneider Family [mailto:res03u04@verizon.net]

Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 7:57 PM

To: tom@dinatorossi.com

Subject: Fw: K-16 & Governor Certification

January 24, 2005

Dear Tom Swanson c/o Rossi for Governor Campaign;

As a member of the Washington State Republican Party and as a member of the Snohomish County Republican Party, I feel that my rights as citizen of this State could be greatly diminished should the Democratic Party jurisdictional

argument in the contested Governor's election be adopted by the courts.

Specifically, after the Governor's election was contested, which I support, I contacted my State Senator, Rosemary McAuliffe (Democrat) of the 1st Legislative District. I now find myself in a "Catch-22" situation with regards to voting rights. I support the election being contested and hope that the State courts will set aside the election and instruct the State to initiate another vote to determine who really was elected as Governor. However, I have been informed by my Senator that the State Constitution requires the contesting of the election to be in the courts. If the courts

now say it should be resolved in the Legislature, I fear that there will be no rational resolution.

Therefore, as a member of the Republican Party, who voted for Dino Rossi and has been harmed due to the errors in the election process and the failure of various County officials to follow state laws in the management of the election process, resulting in a second recount incorrectly concluding that the Democratic candidate won, I ask for your help.

Below is the e-mail I got from my Senator. As you can tell from the letter I am active in my community and feel that my vote has been diminished greatly by what occurred in this past election. If a paper copy of the e-mail would help, please let me know.

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert K. Schneider
24327 Lockwood Road
Bothell, WA 98021

----- Original Message -----

From: "McAuliffe, Sen. Rosemary" <McAuliffe.Rosemary@leg.wa.gov>
To: <res03u04@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 7:50 AM
Subject: RE: K-16 & Governor Certification

Robert,

Thank you for your many years of service in helping to provide educational opportunities in our community. Because of your work, the Bothell community is recognized as leader in Education. I am very excited to have the opportunity to Chair the Senate Early Learning, K-12, Higher Education Committee. I can see you are in strong support for the continuum of learning for our students. I appreciate your contacting me regarding the Governor's election. We are following the law and allowing the process to be conducted as the constitution requires. It is in the courts, where it should be and my responsibility is to the work of the people. I look forward to working with you on the P-16 efforts.
Rosemary

From: Bob Wickwire [mailto:bwickwire@RADIXOS.com]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 2:12 PM
To: tomgoff@dinorossi.com
Subject: FW: Revote Response

Tom,
Sounds familiar. Looks like the legislators had a "form" letter to send constituents

Bob Wickwire
7-192nd St. SW
Bothell, WA 98012

-----Original Message-----

From: Ericks, Rep. Mark [mailto:Ericks.Mark@leg.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 4:08 PM
Subject: Revote Response

January 11th, 2005

Thank you for contacting my office regarding the Governor's race. I have just taken my Oath of Office on Monday to uphold the laws of the State of Washington. I apologize in advance for the brevity of this response, but as of today, I have over 500 emails and 200 phone calls on this issue alone.

1/25/2005

Because of the unprecedented close vote count in the race for Governor it has become highly contentious. I believe it is critical that I be as deliberative and fair as possible, while making sure that I understand and follow the law.

Washington has a well defined procedure which must be followed in close races like this including a machine recount followed by a manual recount if necessary. Both of those steps have been followed. The final step is for the election to be "legally contested" in the Supreme Court, which I believe will now occur. This is where the final decision should reside, just as it did in the 2000 Presidential election, and I will abide by that decision.

I believe that it would be a big mistake for the Legislature, a highly partisan political body, to insert itself into this controversy beyond exercising its time honored role of accepting the certification recommendation from County Auditors and the Secretary of State. Neither political party should encourage legislative meddling in an election because the outcome was not what some wanted. That would be an unfortunate precedent to establish.

As the closest statewide election in Washington's history, this is the first time our electoral system has been tested to this degree. I share your concerns and will work with the Secretary of State's office and County Auditors to examine the provisions of election law which played a prominent role this year's election and which may need changing.

Again, thank you for contacting me and I look forward to working for you.

Sincerely,

Mark L. Ericks
State Representative
1st Legislative District

From: Greg McKinnon [mailto:gmckinnon@stonewayconcrete.com]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 2:08 PM
To: 'tomgoff@dinorossi.com'
Subject: FW: 2004 Election Certification

I understand that you were interested in these responses

Greg McKinnon
Operations Manager
Stoneway Concrete
Office: 425 226-1000 ext 3313
Cell: 206 255-2647
gmckinnon@stonewayconcrete.com

From: Springer, Rep. Larry [mailto:Springer.Larry@leg.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 4:13 PM
To: Greg McKinnon
Subject: RE: 2004 Election Certification

Greg

Thank you for your e,ail and sorry for taking so long to respond. I tried last week but several emails never went out due entirely to my incompetence at my new computer and the rather foreign state system.

At any rate, I agree this has been a very frustrating election (understatement) however I believe we have done the right thing to date by sending the issue to our court system for resolution. The Republicans filed a lawsuit in Superior Court and I firmly believe it would be imprudent, and a very bad precedent, for a highly partisan body like the legislature to intervene before the court system has rendered a verdict.

Thanks again for the message and my apology for the late response.

Larry Springer
45th District Representative

1/25/2005

-----Original Message-----

From: Greg McKinnon [mailto:gmckinnon@stonewayconcrete.com]

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 3:12 PM

To: Ahern, Rep. John; Alexander, Rep. Gary; Anderson, Rep. Glenn; Appleton, Rep. Sherry; Armstrong, Rep. Mike; Bailey, Rep. Barbara; Blake, Rep. Brian; Buck, Rep. Jim; Buri, Rep. David; Campbell, Rep. Tom; Chandler, Rep. Bruce; Chase, Rep. Maralyn; Chopp, Speaker Frank; 'clements_ji@leg.wa.gov'; Clibborn, Rep. Judy; Cody, Rep. Eileen; Condotta, Rep. Cary; Conway, Rep. Steve; Cox, Rep. Don; Crouse, Rep. Larry; Curtis, Rep. Richard; Darneille, Rep. Jeannie; DeBolt, Rep. Richard; Dickerson, Rep. Mary Lou; Dunn, Rep. Jim; Dunshee, Rep. Hans; Eickmeyer, Rep. William; Ericks, Rep. Mark; Ericksen, Rep. Doug; Flannigan, Rep. Dennis; Fromhold, Rep. Bill; Grant, Rep. William; Green, Rep. Tami; Haigh, Rep. Kathy; Haler, Rep. Larry; Hankins, Rep. Shirley; Hasegawa, Rep. Bob; Hinkle, Rep. Bill; Holmquist, Rep. Janéa; Hudgins, Rep. Zack; Hunt, Rep. Sam; Hunter, Rep. Ross; Jarrett, Rep. Fred; Kagi, Rep. Ruth; Kenney, Rep. Phyllis; Kessler, Rep. Lynn; Kilmer, Rep. Derek; Kirby, Rep. Steve; Kretz, Rep. Joel; Kristiansen, Rep. Dan; Lantz, Rep. Patricia; Linville, Rep. Kelli; Lovick, Rep. John; McCoy, Rep. John; McCune, Rep. Jim; McDermott, Rep. Joe; McDonald, Rep. Joyce; McIntire, Rep. Jim; Miloscia, Rep. Mark; Moeller, Rep. Jim; Morrell, Rep. Dawn; Morris, Rep. Jeff; Murray, Rep. Edward; Newhouse, Rep. Dan; Nixon, Rep. Toby; O'Brien, Rep. Al; Orcutt, Rep. Ed; Ormsby, Rep. Timm; Pearson, Rep. Kirk; Pettigrew, Rep. Eric; Priest, Rep. Skip; Quall, Rep. Dave; Roach, Rep. Dan; Roberts, Rep. Mary Helen; Rodne, Rep. Jay; Santos, Rep. Sharon; Schindler, Rep. Lynn; Schual-Berke, Rep. Shay; Sells, Rep. Mike; Serben, Rep. John; Shabro, Rep. Jan; Simpson, Rep. Geoff; Skinner, Rep. Mary; Sommers, Rep. Helen; Springer, Rep. Larry; Strow, Rep. Chris; Sullivan, Rep. Brian; Sullivan, Rep. Pat; Sump, Rep. Bob; Takko, Rep. Dean; Talcott, Rep. Gigi; Tom, Rep. Rodney; Upthegrove, Rep. Dave; Wallace, Rep. Deb; Walsh, Rep. Maureen; Williams, Rep. Brendan; Wood, Rep. Alex; Woods, Rep. Beverly; Benson, Sen. Brad; Benton, Sen. Don; Berkey, Sen. Jean; Brandland, Sen. Dale; Brown, Sen. Lisa; Carrell, Sen. Michael; Deccio, Sen. Alex; Delvin, Sen. Jerome; Doumit, Sen. Mark; Eide, Sen. Tracey; Esser, Sen. Luke; Fairley, Sen. Darlene; Finkbeiner, Sen. Bill; Franklin, Sen. Rosa; Fraser, Sen. Karen; Hargrove, Sen. Jim; Haugen, Sen. Mary Margaret; Hewitt, Sen. Mike; Honeyford, Sen. Jim; Jacobsen, Sen. Ken; Johnson, Sen. Stephen; Kastama, Sen. Jim; Keiser, Sen. Karen; Kline, Sen. Adam; Kohl-Welles, Sen. Jeanne; McAuliffe, Sen. Rosemary; McCaslin, Sen. Bob; Morton, Sen. Bob; Mulliken, Sen. Joyce; Oke, Sen. Bob; Parlette, Sen. Linda Evans; Pflug, Sen. Cheryl; Poulsen, Sen. Erik; Prentice, Sen. Margarita; Pridemore, Sen. Craig; Rasmussen, Sen. Marilyn; Regala, Sen. Debbie; Roach, Sen. Pam; Rockefeller, Sen. Phil; Schmidt, Sen. Dave; Schoesler, Sen. Mark; Sheldon, Sen. Timothy; Shin, Sen. Paull; Spanel, Sen. Harriet; Stevens, Sen. Val; Swecker, Sen. Dan; Thibaudeau, Sen. Pat; Weinstein, Sen. Brian; Zarelli, Sen. Joseph

Cc: 'noodlemar@hotmail.com'; Richard McKinnon (mckinnon@3-cities.com)

Subject: 2004 Election Certification

Ladies and Gentlemen,

As a voter (district 45) and taxpayer in the State of Washington, I can not express to you adequately my anger over our current election fiasco. I do not believe that anyone who has viewed this process objectively can say with any certainty that this state can have a legitimate governor. I do not believe that in good conscience that any responsible elected representative of the people of this state should vote to accept the questionable results.

Party politics aside, I urge you not to blindly accept the result by voting NOT TO CERTIFY this election. I believe this action is the right thing to do because it is right not because it is easy or wins favor from your party affiliations. It is the right thing to do for the people of this state that you are elected to represent.

I look forward to seeing many of you, this current session, in Olympia and discussing your vote on this issue

Greg McKinnon,
Operations Manager
Stoneway Concrete
Office: 425 226-1000 ext 3313
Cell: 206 255-2647
gmckinnon@stonewayconcrete.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Jared Ranum [mailto:jared@jaredandjenny.net]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 1:55 PM
To: tomgoff@dinatorossi.com
Subject: Dem Rep's Response re: Election Challenge

This is from Deb Wallace, Democrat Representative of the 17th Legislative District. Take careful note of the first sentence in paragraph 2.

Jared Ranum

The Re-Vote is Coming!

-----Original Message-----

From: Miller, Autumn [mailto:Miller.Autumn@leg.wa.gov] On Behalf Of Wallace, Rep. Deb
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 9:37 AM
To: Jared Ranum
Subject: RE: Don't Forget Who You Represent

Dear Mr. Jared Ranum,

Thank you for writing about the recent events in our Governor's race. After the November 2nd, election and two recounts, the election results have been certified by the Republican Secretary of State Sam Reed and 39 county auditors. I was prepared to work with either of the final two candidates, as they are both well qualified. On Tuesday the Legislature accepted the certification.

Pursuant to current state law, Dino Rossi has filed in State Superior Court to contest the election results. Should the State Superior Court rule to have a new election, I will abide by their decision. I was elected not only to represent the constituents of my district, but took an oath to uphold U.S. Constitution, Federal law, the Washington State Constitution and Washington State law. The court system will now consider the evidence and decide if the election of Governor was done appropriately. This is the election process set out by state law. Our forefathers and mothers anticipated and made provision for challenges to our election system. Now we will see the system at work.

Again, thank you for contacting me regarding the Governor's race. Because of this unprecedented and highly contentious situation, I believe it is critical to be deliberative and as fair as possible. I appreciate your comments and will continue to look to citizens, such as yourself, for input. My door is always open, so please do not hesitate to contact me with your questions, comments, or concerns on any matter in the future. On that note, if you would like to receive my bi-monthly E-memo update please let me know. The E-memo will provide information on legislation being considered this session as well as provide you with a convenient opportunity to share your thoughts and opinions with me as decisions are being made.

Best regards,
Deb

Deb Wallace
State Representative
17th Legislative District
(360) 786-7976
256-0689, toll-free line to Olympia
wallace_de@leg.wa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Scowden [mailto:jim@jetcityexpo.com]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 2:02 PM
To: tomgoff@dinorossi.com
Subject: Fwd: RE: Governor 2004

--- "Morrell, Rep. Dawn" <Morrell.Dawn@leg.wa.gov>
wrote:

> Subject: RE: Governor 2004
> Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2005 18:44:05 -0800
> From: "Morrell, Rep. Dawn" <Morrell.Dawn@leg.wa.gov>
> To: <Jim@JetCityExpo.com>
>
> Thank you for contacting my office regarding the governor's race. I
> have been collecting information because what we are being asked to do
> is very serious and requires serious discussion for me to decide and
> then carry through what I perceive my duty to be.
>
> The Republicans are not calling for a revote but they will ask on
> January 11 to delay the acceptance of the certification of the
> statewide election results. I don't understand the deferral because I
> believe it would be more beneficial if the Republicans make their case
> now. The courts are the place to make these arguments, not the
> legislature.
> Partisan parties should not make a rash decision on incomplete facts.
>
> 64 years ago, the Legislature found itself in the same situation we
> face today. The House and Senate - both controlled by Democrats - met
> on the second day of session in 1941 to confirm the election of the
> Governor.
> Arthur Langley, a Republican, received the most votes in the election.
> Some Democrats challenged the decision based on allegations of fraud.
>
> You might assume that the Democrats in control would honor the
> challenge to put their candidate in the Governor's office.
> But that didn't
> happen. After much debate, the Legislature as a whole did the right
> thing. They confirmed Arthur Langley as Governor for the simple
> reason that he received the most votes.
>
> Lawyers from both sides are arguing to see if we can even have a

> revote.
> Basically, what I plan to do is to accept the certification by the
> Secretary of State Sam Reed. We don't certify the election, we just
> accept the certification and record it. The rest
> will play out in
> court. If fraud indeed happened then the courts can order a
> re-election.
>
> No election will ever be perfect but we do need election reform to
> make sure that the inconsistencies are taken care of the
> best we can. I am
> already working with other legislators on such legislation.

> Thanks again,

> Dawn

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jim@JetCityExpo.com
> [mailto:Jim@JetCityExpo.com]
> Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 4:54 PM
> To: Morrell, Rep. Dawn
> Subject: Governor 2004

> HOUSE INTERNET E-MAIL DELIVERY SERVICE

> TO: Representative Dawn Morrell

> FROM:

> Mr. James Scowden
> 2317 Terrace Drive
> Puyallup, WA 98372

> E-MAIL: Jim@JetCityExpo.com

> PHONE: 253-445-2790

> SUBJECT: Governor 2004

> MESSAGE:

> This elector of the 25th Legislative District thinks Washington should
> have a Governor without the taint of questionable legitimacy. I am
> asking you to support a new election.

> NOTE: Mr. Scowden has requested a response to this message.

> NOTE: We are 99% sure that this constituent is in your district

=====

-----Original message-----

From: Nathan Driessen [mailto:greentruck2@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 2:10 PM
To: tomgoff@dinorossi.com
Subject: FW: [UBE-03] - ReVote - Domain does not exist

-----Original Message-----

From: Simpson, Rep. Geoff [mailto:Simpson.Geoff@leg.wa.gov]
Sent: Saturday, January 15, 2005 10:53 PM
To: Nathan Driessen
Subject: RE: [UBE-03] - ReVote - Domain does not exist

Nathan,

I agree that there were many flaws in the past election all over the state, just as there are every election cycle. What was different is that there was a very high profile race that was decided by a very close margin. Consequently, mistakes that are rather routine have been magnified for everyone to see.

I am certainly concerned with the integrity of our voting process in Washington State. I have sponsored legislation in sessions past to strengthen the integrity of our voting infrastructure, and I will continue my quest in that regard during this current session. I think there may be more enthusiasm for election reform this session than there has been in the past.

Here is the link to the legislation I sponsored last year:
<http://www.leg.wa.gov/wsladm/billinfo1/dspBillSummary.cfm?billnumber=2978&year=2003> You'll see my name in the list of sponsors. Here is another piece of legislation I co-sponsored to reform our election laws last session:
<http://www.leg.wa.gov/wsladm/billinfo1/dspBillSummary.cfm?billnumber=2745&year=2003> Unfortunately, neither piece of legislation was enacted or we may have avoided many of the serious problems we saw during this past election.

Going back to the 2003 session, I sponsored and helped pass legislation to ensure the timely mailing of ballots. I also voted for the implementation of the Federal Help America Vote Act, a comprehensive voting reform package which was prompted by the Florida problems in the 2000 election.

As you are probably aware by now, I voted to recognize the validity of the

elections that were certified by our state and county elections officials. Consequently, Christine Gregoire was sworn-in as Governor last week. There is simply no precedent or provision in the law that allows for a re-vote, without the election being invalidated by our courts.

Our elections have a finite beginning and an end. They begin at the time of candidate filing and end after the elections are certified by elections officials. After they end, the only recourse available to overturn them is through the judicial system. If the judicial system had found that there was organized fraud or malfeasance on the part of an election official that influenced who won the election before the legislature was bound to vote on recognizing the certification, I would have been able to refuse to recognize the election's validity and vote no.

After that, I'm not sure what would have happened, other than criminal prosecution of the guilty and whatever other remedies the court ordered. Possibly even a re-vote. Since that chain of events did not take place, I had no other options available to me under the law than to recognize that the election official's certifications were valid.

When somebody thinks they have been wronged by our laws, their proper avenue for appeal and redress is our independent judiciary system. When somebody is found guilty of a crime that they did not commit, they appeal to the courts, not the legislature. When someone believes they are victims of somebody else's negligence or malfeasance, they take their case to court, not the legislature.

There is a reason why our government was set-up with a separation of powers. The framers of the Washington State Constitution did not want too much power vested with any single entity. If legislators were given the power to decide whether elections certified as valid by our state's elections officers were actually valid, a very dangerous precedent would be set.

This is not a partisan matter for me, you'd be getting the exact same answers from me if the democratic party stood to gain from the legislature's failure to recognize the certification of the election. The only circumstance under which I feel that I would be justified in denying the certification of an election is one in which organized fraud or malfeasance on the part of an elections official had occurred and was legitimized by the courts.

I've had a few people tell me they've voted for me in the past but will never vote for me again because I took the vote to recognize the certifications of elections issued by our state and county elections officials. I've been accused of repeating the democratic party's talking points, of having no integrity, of not caring about our state or my constituents. All of that comes with the territory and there is nothing I could have done to prevent it short of failing to do what I believe to be right, regardless of the political fallout or what the polls say.

When I cease to do what I know is the right thing to do to uphold my oath of office and start doing what I'm pressured to do by one interest or another -- even constituents -- I've lost my personal integrity and will have no business being a member of the Washington State Legislature.

I really appreciate you contacting me and hope you will continue to send along your thoughts or questions.

Sincerely,

Geoff

-----Original Message-----

From: Nathan Driessen [mailto:greentruck2@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 9:42 PM
To: Simpson, Rep. Geoff
Subject: RE: [UBE-03] - ReVote - Domain does not exist

Rep. Simpson,

I am disappointed in your response.

Beyond any fraud issues any reasonable person should realize that in an election in which 129 votes separating candidates a discrepancy of 1,217 votes could effect the results of an election.

King County Elections has reported a difference of 1,217 between voters and ballots.

King County has admitted to 348 provisional ballots mistakenly put through vote tabulation machines, again this puts in to question the outcome of the election.

I again request your support for a REVOTE and to hold off certification until these matters can be resolved.

Thank You,
Nathan Driessen
32515 Newcastle Drive
Black Diamond, WA 98010

-----Original Message-----

From: Simpson, Rep. Geoff [mailto:Simpson.Geoff@leg.wa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 3:57 PM

To: greentruck2@comcast.net

Subject: RE: [UBE-03] - ReVote - Domain does not exist

Nathan,

Thank you for your message. If there is evidence of fraud, the GOP should ask for the appropriate law enforcement to take place. That's why we have a criminal and civil justice system, to correct wrongdoing and to bring justice to the injured party. In most instances, election fraud is a felony. If such fraud exists and it can be shown that Gregoire's election would not have happened if the fraud had not occurred, then I agree that a revote should occur. If anybody is guilty of election fraud, I will call for them to be punished to the fullest extent of the law. Absent any credible allegations of fraud, the laws of Washington have been followed and the people of Washington have elected their new governor and I intend to vote to certify the election. Do I think come changes to our laws are in order? Absolutely, our laws are clearly not perfect and can certainly be improved upon. But mistakes do not constitute fraud. Mistakes occurred all over the state as they do in every election. When mistakes are corrected in accordance with our laws in regard to elections, the true will of the voters is revealed. In this case, after the mistakes that were identified in time to be corrected in accordance with our laws were corrected, the will of the voters was that Gregoire be elected governor. The only remedy in our laws available to the GOP now is to show that fraud or malfeasance has occurred and as I have stated before, if it has then perhaps a new election is in order and certainly the perpetrator should be punished. I urge you to contact GOP chair Chris Vance at chairmanvance@wsrp.org to urge him to produce a credible allegation of fraud or concede that his candidate has lost the election.

Thanks again,

Geoff

-----Original Message-----

From: greentruck2@comcast.net [mailto:greentruck2@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 12:40 AM
To: Simpson, Rep. Geoff
Subject: [UBE-03] - ReVote - Domain does not exist

HOUSE INTERNET E-MAIL DELIVERY SERVICE

TO: Representative Geoff Simpson

FROM:

Mr. Nathan Driessen
32515 Newcastle Dr.
Black Diamond, WA 98010

E-MAIL: greentruck2@comcast.net

PHONE: 360-886-7217

SUBJECT: ReVote

MESSAGE:

Rep. Simpson,

I strongly urge you to not confirm Christine Gregoire as Governor, unless the voters ReVote and legitimize this election

Let the voters of Washington State decide the Governor.

King County has made a mockery of our election system.

There must be no question that our Governor has been legitimately elected.

REVOTE! REVOTE!

NOTE: Mr. Driessen has requested a response to this message.

NOTE: We are 99% sure that this constituent is in your district

-----Original Message-----

From: Nate Wetzel [mailto:natejw@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 2:37 PM
To: tomgoff@dinorossi.com
Subject: Fwd: Re-Vote

Tom,

Here is a reply from my rep about the new election.

-Nate Wetzel

--- "Kenney, Rep. Phyllis" <Kenney.Phyllis@leg.wa.gov>
wrote:

> Subject: Re-Vote
> Date: Mon, 24 Jan 2005 11:55:43 -0800
> From: "Kenney, Rep. Phyllis"
> <Kenney.Phyllis@leg.wa.gov>
>
> Thank you for contacting me about your views regarding the Governor's
> race. I appreciate the time you took to share your thoughts with me.
>
> I based my decision to accept certification of the election results on
> the recommendation of election officials. These officials include the
> Auditors from every County in the state and the Republican Secretary
> of State.
>
> The election followed the procedures of our state.
> This process includes
> a machine recount followed by a manual recount. The final option for
> Dino Rossi is to contest the election results in the State Superior
> Court. This is where the final decision resides. If the State Superior
> Court rules to have a new election, I will stand by their decision.
>
> The Governor's race has been the closest statewide election in
> Washington's history. I share your concerns and intend to take a fair
> and critical look at our electoral system. I will work with the
> Secretary of State's office and County Auditors to examine the
> provisions of elections and laws.
>
> I appreciate your comments and concerns. Your remarks are a vital part
> of the legislative process and I urge you to stay involved. If you
> have any further questions or concerns please feel free to contact me.
>
> Sincerely,

>
> Representative Phyllis Gutierrez Kenney 46th Legislative District
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail