CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: July 31, 2023

WSR 23-16-099

TIME: 2:12 PM

PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Office of the Secretary of State								
⊠ Original Notice								
Supplemental Notice to WSR								
Continuance of WSR								
\boxtimes Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>23-14-009</u> ; or								
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW								
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Ballot Signature Verification								
Hearing location(s):								
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:				
December 8, 2023	3:00-4:00 p.m.	Washington Secretary of State, Legislative Building 416 Sid Snyder Ave. SW Olympia, WA 98504-0220		The hearing will be conducted using Microsoft Teams. To join the hearing, a person can call the following telephone number (1-206-899-2560) and enter the conference ID (160 989 358#). People will be able to listen to the hearing and comment.				
Date of intended ado		<u>1, 2024</u> (Note: This is NC		· ·				
Submit written comm	nents to:		Assistance for persons with disabilities:					
Name: Sheryl Moss			Contact Sheryl Moss					
Address: PO Box 4022		WA 98504	Phone: 360-902-4172					
Email: Sheryl.moss@s	sos.wa.gov		Fax: 260-664-4619					
Fax: 360-664-4619			TTY:					
Other: 360-902-4172			Email: Sheryl.moss@sos.wa.gov					
By (date) September 4, 2023		Other:						
By (date) August 31, 2023								
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The purpose of this proposal is to update the process and standards for verifying ballot declaration signatures in a manner that reduces and ensures consistency in counties throughout the state. The rule is expected to result in fewer mistaken rejections of valid ballots.								
Reasons supporting proposal: The signature verification process ensures that only valid ballots are counted in elections. At times, valid ballots are not accepted because the signatures do not appear to match. The reason for the adoption of this rule is to ensure that valid ballots are not mistakenly rejected while also ensuring that the signature verification process prevents the acceptance of invalid ballots. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 29A.04.525; RCW 29A.04.611								
	•			2CW 29A.60.165; RCW 29A.60.195				
Is rule necessary bed								
Federal Law?	ause of a.			🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Federal Court Decision?				$\Box \text{ Yes } \boxtimes \text{ No}$				
State Court Decision?				$\Box \text{ Yes } \boxtimes \text{ No}$				
	r recommer	ndations, if any, as to statu	utory la	inguage, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal				

Type of proponent: □ Private □ Public ⊠ Governmental Name of proponent: (person or organization) Office of the Secretary of State						
Name of agency personnel responsible for:						
	Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting:	Stuart Holmes	Olympia	360-902-4151			
Implementation:	Stuart Holmes	Olympia	360-902-4151			
Enforcement:	Stuart Holmes	Olympia	360-902-4151			
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u> ? □ Yes ⊠ No If yes, insert statement here:						
The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:						
Other:						
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under <u>RCW 34.05.328</u> ? □ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: No: Please explain:						
Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement Note: The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.						
(1) Identification of exemptions: This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <u>chapter 19.85 RCW</u>). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide published by ORIA</u> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):						

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by <u>RCW 34.05.313</u> before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of <u>RCW 15.65.570(2)</u> because it was adopted by a referendum.

☑ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	t under <mark>R</mark>	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:				
⊠ <u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)			<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(c)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)				
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)				
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)				
This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	t under R	CW 19.85.025(4) (does not affect small businesses).				
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt						
Explanation	of how the above exemption(s) applies to the pr	roposed i	ule:				
(2) Scope o	f exemptions: Check one.						
		otions ide	ntified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.				
	□ The rule proposal is partially exempt (complete section 3). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule						
proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):							
	proposal is not exempt (complete section 3). No						
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.							
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not							
impose more-than-minor costs.							
□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business							
economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:							
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
N	ame:						
	Address:						
Pł	Phone:						
	Fax:						
	ΓY:						
	nail:						
	ther:	0					
Date: 07/31	/2023	Signat					
Name: Randy Bolerjack			Ray Paryak				
Title: Deput	ty Secretary of State						